Abstract: The treatment of psoriasis has been revolutionized since the introduction of biologic therapies. Prior to their introduction, it was unclear if psoriasis was primarily a keratinocyte signaling dysfunction or an autoimmune T-cell mediated pathway. Nonspecific T-cell targeting treatments had been used with some success, but they were limited by a narrow therapeutic index. The nonspecific nature of these agents was fraught with side effects, and the efficacy of these treatments pales in comparison to current treatments. The initial biologic molecules, alefacept and efalizumab, were not specific for any T-cell driven pathway, and neither are currently available in the USA. The successors to these early therapies have shown high efficacy and low side effects in psoriasis and other autoimmune diseases through the specific targeting of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α). Since the initial use of antitumor necrosis factor agents, a renaissance in our understanding of psoriasis has been underway, leading to the elucidation of the T-helper 17 (Th17) from the Th1 pathway. With each new treatment, the pathogenesis for psoriasis continues to be more defined, allowing for improved targeted therapies and the ability to achieve new milestones in efficacy.
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Introduction
Emerging role of immunotargeting for psoriasis management

Psoriasis vulgaris is a common skin disease that occurs in up to 1.5%–2% of patients of European descent.1,2 It typically presents with thick, erythematous scaly plaques in characteristic locations, including the elbows, knees, umbilicus, genitals, and scalp. Histopathologically, it consists of epidermal regular acanthosis, increased vasculature in the dermal papilla, and thick hyperkeratosis in the stratum corneum with neutrophil-laden deposits. It can be generalized and can be present in forms other than the chronic plaque psoriasis, including guttate, palmoplantar, pustular, and inverse patterns. Nail involvement is common with onycholysis, oil drops, and pitting as the most characteristic findings. Beyond the skin, it also may cause destructive arthritis and independently increases the risk of cardiovascular mortality.3 The point prevalence of any degree of psoriatic arthritis in a patient survey was around 10%.4 The features of psoriasis are life altering, resulting in a decreased quality of life from itching, arthritis, and disfigurement, which may also result in decreased income potential.5 The decreased quality of life, although resulting from alterations limited to the skin and joints, is similar to other chronic illnesses of internal organs.6 Further effects, including social
stigmatization and sexual dysfunction, are significant and have been extensively reviewed.7

Genetics of psoriasis
Psoriasis is a complex multigenic heritable disease. About 30% of patients with psoriasis will have an affected first-degree relative. Twin studies have demonstrated a concordance of 35%–70% among monozygotic twins.8,9 Based on genetics and clinical presentation, two types of inheritance have been described. Type 1 psoriasis includes patients with familial disease and an early age of involvement. It has been linked to the now-known risk factor HLA–Cw6.10,11 Type 2 has low penetrance. Patients are older when they develop it, and the disease burden is typically less severe. Studies in Type 1 psoriasis indicate that the presence of HLA–Cw6, the most highly associated gene among several previously identified as PSORS1, correlated to a 16-fold increased risk of classic young-age psoriasis and a 30-fold increased risk for the development of guttate psoriasis.12 Other associations from the human major histocompatibility complex include HLA–B57, while HLA–B40 has a protective effect.13 Further studies, including genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which have the potential to evaluate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), confirmed the association with HLA–Cw6, as well as multiple other alleles, including: interleukin (IL)-12; IL-23; and downstream genes associated with tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) expression.14 IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α have all become major targets of biologic therapies in psoriasis. Other genetic associations include increased copies of the human β-defensin gene15 and deletions in the late cornified envelope proteins 3B and 3C.16,17 Recent analyses have implicated SNPs in a human endogenous retrovirus gene that is located near HLA–C but is independent of HLA–Cw6 as another risk factor.16,19

Despite the recent advances in the genetics of psoriasis, there is no simple explanation for the progression from susceptibility to disease. Some environmental risk factors are known, including the development of guttate psoriasis after streptococcal infection,20,21 chronic plaque psoriasis flares after streptococcal infection,22 improvement in psoriasis in patients with recurrent streptococcal tonsillitis undergoing tonsillectomy,23 and psoriasis development after medications that included lithium, beta-adrenergic blockers, and antimalarials.24 There is no common mechanism that is universal to different variants of psoriasis; however, it is widely accepted that in susceptible individuals, psoriasis can be caused by a single stimulus, cascading down to an increase in the innate immune system activity level, activation of T-cells, subsequent cytokine secretion, and epidermal proliferation (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Activation of dendritic cells and resulting T-cell cytokine milieu results in epidermal acanthosis and proliferation.

**Abbreviations:** CXCL, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand; IFN-γ, interferon gamma; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; IL, interleukin; Th, T-helper; DC, dendritic cells.
Review of current and emerging immunotargets, and associated pathophysiology

At one time, it was thought that keratinocytes were the foundation of psoriasis. This has been disproven by genetic studies, as well as results from stem cell transplantation in humans, which showed that psoriasis can be transferred from donors with psoriasis or cured by stem cell transplantation from a nonafflicted donor. It is unclear how streptococcal and other infections cause the initiation of psoriasis, but it is possible that the peptidoglycan in bacterial cell walls can result in Toll-like receptor-2 (TLR-2) activation and subsequent downstream signaling, or that the initial inflammatory milieu produces autoreactive T-cells that react to human keratin 17, which is upregulated in psoriasis and shares homology to a streptococcal M protein. TLR-2 is also activated by a gene product of the human endogenous retrovirus K (HerK) in dendritic cells (DCs), a professional antigen presenting cell, as well as keratinocytes where this receptor appears to be the most concentrated. DCs, in this case, produce the cytokines after stimulation with the HerK protein dUTPase, which are typical in psoriasis. This indicates that multiple mechanisms activate DCs to initiate the psoriasis cascade.

The DCs is where the different susceptibility genes and the environmental factors converge. Antimicrobial peptides, such as LL-37, among others, are upregulated in psoriatic plaques, and it has been shown that LL-37 is able to complex with self-RNA and DNA to activate endosomal toll-like receptors (TLR) 7, 8, and 9, which induce DCs to produce interferon-α (IFN-α).

Plasmacytoid DCs (PDC), which normally function as early responders in viral infections, have been found to be highly localized in psoriatic lesional skin. They naturally produce IFN-α and may drive the early T-helper 1 (Th1) cytokine expression early in the development of psoriasis, and then differentiate into tissue-resident DCs and myeloid DCs (MDC). TNF-α also plays a role in psoriasis initiation as seen in a mouse model deficient in interferon receptors. There may be a second population of MDC, now called inflammatory myeloid dendritic cells (iMDC) that express CD11c, but not CD1c, like most tissue resident myeloid cells. These cells produce inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), IL-20, and IL-23, which are now known to be inhibited early in TNF-α blockade. The levels of IL-23 correlated well with the development of the psoriatic plaque. A combination of IL-6, and transforming growth factor-β1 upregulate the IL-23R on naïve T-cells and allow for differentiation into the Th17 subtype, with IL-23 as a survival factor. Th17 cells, named for their production of IL-17, and the overall Th17 pathway, now appear to be central to the pathogenesis of psoriasis. IL-17 induces a proinflammatory response, as evidenced by the numerous neutrophils, increased memory T-cells seen in psoriatic lesions.

TNF-α acts synergistically with IL-17 on the keratinocytes to induce gene expression, specifically elevating human β-defensin 4, IL-8, IL-19, and IL-23A, which all characterize psoriasis plaque gene expression signatures. IL-17 inhibition results in a more extensive downregulation of these synergistic genes than TNF-α inhibition, highlighting the central role of the Th17 cell in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. IL-17 inhibition blocks upstream genes as well as CD11c dendritic cells, which perhaps is triggered by a positive feedback loop with the LL37/cathelicidin/self-RNA complex that activates the DCs. Although IL-17 appears to be central to the inflammatory nature, it does not result in acanthosis that typifies psoriasis. Instead, IL-22 secreted from Th17 and Th22 cells acts as the final messenger for epidermal proliferation and dedifferentiation. IL-22 upregulates keratin-17, commonly associated with psoriasis, and does this through a Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) mechanism, among others.

Overview of immunotargeted therapies for treatment of psoriasis

Topical therapies, such as vitamin D analogs, corticosteroids, retinoids, coal tar, and anthralin, will not be reviewed in this article as their effects have already been extensively reviewed.

Phototherapy

Phototherapy in the form of photochemotherapy, psoralen ultraviolet A light (PUVA), broadband ultraviolet B (bBUBV), and narrowband UVB (nBUBV) have long been stalwarts in the treatment of psoriasis. Despite their decades of use, the mechanisms have never been fully elucidated. Both nBUBV and PUVA have been found to decrease co-stimulatory expression molecules and antigen expression of human major histocompatibility complex in the dermis in psoriatic plaques. Furthermore, light therapy depletes T-cells in the epidermis via apoptosis and has an apoptotic effect on proliferating keratinocytes. Further studies have shown a strong correlation of nBUBV reduction in dermal dendritic cells CD11c+, CD1c−, and resolution of psoriasis plaques. The same study found a significant reduction in downstream cytokines in normalized psoriasis after nBUBV, including IFN-γ, IL-17, and IL-22. PUVA may act by
contributing to an increase in the number of regulatory T-cells (Tregs), functionality of Tregs, and decreasing circulating Th17 cells in some patients. Clinically, both PUVA and nbUVB, which are more effective than bbUVB in most types of psoriasis, are highly effective, with about 80% and 70%, respectively, of treated patients obtaining clearance or marked improvement.

Phototherapy is a highly effective treatment modality utilizing multiple mechanisms to control psoriasis, but it has the disadvantage of being inconvenient for patients and ineffective for psoriatic arthritis, and in the case of PUVA, it may increase the long-term risk of cutaneous carcinogenesis.

**Nonspecific T-cell therapies**

Methotrexate is a competitive antagonist of dihydrofolate reductase, which blocks de novo pyrimidine and purine synthesis, resulting in decreased B- and T-cell proliferation. In addition, methotrexate may have an anti-inflammatory effect as well. Recent studies indicate that the effect of low-dose methotrexate may be more related to blocking T-cell adhesion molecule expression than on limiting the cellular proliferation. Methotrexate shows varying degrees of effectiveness, typically measured by the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI), which is used with two common cutoffs, PASI-50 and PASI-75, to describe the percentage of patients that develop a 50% or 75% improvement, respectively. In early studies, PASI-75 with 15 mg weekly was seen in 60% of patients. However, this has been inconsistent; a similar study, although with a starting dose of 7.5 mg weekly, demonstrated only a 24% PASI-75. Methotrexate has been used as the control in several biologic trials and, typically, is found to have a PASI-75 around 35%–40%. Dosing is limited, based on side effects and concern for myelosuppression at higher dose; however, methotrexate still represents an affordable option for many patients with psoriasis.

Cyclosporine is a calcineurin inhibitor, originally developed for suppression of rejection of solid organ transplants. Similar to methotrexate, it is not specific for the psoriasis pathways other than for the inhibition of T-cells. It has been found to be slightly more efficacious than methotrexate and typically more rapid, but its use is limited due to side effects and the development of long-term nephrotoxicity. However, a new small molecule inhibitor, voclosporin or ISA-247, has been found to be effective in psoriasis as well. With improved metabolism compared to cyclosporine, nephrotoxicity will hopefully be less of a concern.

Leflunomide is an inhibitor of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, a step in the de novo pyrimidine synthesis pathway. It has shown some efficacy in the treatment of psoriasis, although more so in psoriatic arthritis, with about 17% of patients reaching PASI-75. Notably, the prospective study included patients with a lower initial degree of involvement than many others focusing on skin psoriasis. In similar medications, other purine and pyrimidine synthesis inhibitors, such as mycophenolate mofetil, have been trialed with some efficacy, although less than methotrexate. Chemotherapies, such as hydroxyurea and 6-mercaptopurine, that target the T-cells have been found to be highly effective for the treatment of psoriasis, but as they are only used rarely and their mechanism is not specific, they will not be reviewed.

**Phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitors**

Apremilast, an oral small molecule phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, is in late-stage trials for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. Phosphodiesterase-4 is the primary phosphodiesterase of mammalian immune cells and results in increased levels of the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (AMP) molecule and inhibition of active cells, blocking TNF-α, IL-12, IL-23, and increasing inhibitory IL-10 production. In early trials, it has shown efficacy in psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis, although PASI-75 scores have ranged from 20%–40% in effective doses from Phase II studies.

**JAK inhibitors**

Another new class of oral small-molecule inhibitors and topical therapies target the JAK/STAT stimulation pathway. This pathway has been found to be active in cytokine production, common in psoriasis and other autoinflammatory diseases. Tofacitinib inhibits JAK3/JAK1 signaling for multiple cytokines important in psoriasis, including IL-6 and IL-17. Tofacitinib was found to be highly effective and well tolerated in Phase II dose studies, with about 66% of patients achieving PASI-75 improvement at the highest dose. Health-related quality of life was also improved rapidly in these patients. Tofacitinib is being developed in a topical formulation that also appears effective. A topical formulation of a JAK1/ JAK2 inhibitor with a similar mechanism is also under development and has shown promising early results.

**Retinoic acid receptor agonists**

Acitretin and formerly etretinate (no longer available in the USA) work through the novel retinoid acid receptors. These receptors are part of the steroid hormone receptor family of transcription factors. They result in a decrease in inflammation...
and an increase in cellular differentiation. Acitretin is the only systemic agent that treats psoriasis and is not an immunosuppressant, making it an ideal option in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other immunodeficiencies. It has been shown to have no effect on the Th17 or Th22 pathways. Its efficacy is frequently limited by side effects; and at doses of 25–50 mg daily, it is not as effective as methotrexate. In fact, it was not even evaluated with PASI-75 in the early trials. The greatest benefit appears to be in a combination of acitretin and phototherapy. Acitretin, combined with UVB, produced a PASI-75 in 60% of participants; while in combination with PUVA, it produced a PASI-90 improvement in 96% of patients. Acitretin also shows good activity in psoriatic nail disease.

**Targeted biologics for T-cells**

Efalizumab is a humanized monoclonal immunoglobulin (Ig) G1 antibody to lymphocyte functional associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) and, specifically, the CD11, which is a subunit of LFA-1. This targets T-cell activation and migration via interactions with endothelial intercellular adhesion molecule-1. Efalizumab was found to increase circulating levels of T-cells, with a concomitant decrease in tissue-infiltrating T-cells within psoriasis plaques. Downregulation of CD11a on T-cells also leads to the development of anergy that is responsive to drug withdrawal. It was initially well tolerated in short-term studies and demonstrated PASI-75 rates of 22%–30%. The 3-year data appeared to show that the medication was relatively safe and well tolerated, with only mild side effects, such as thrombocytopenia, increase in upper respiratory tract infections, and new onset psoriatic arthritis. However, this medication was withdrawn from the market in 2009 as multiple cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) developed in patients on this medication longer than 3 years. Although both T-cell anergy and inhibition of migration may be the cause of reactivation of the John Cunningham virus, migration impairment may be more likely based on a similar humanized monoclonal antibody for multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease that inhibits lymphocyte migration using α-4 integrin, natalizumab, in which more than 200 cases of PML have been reported.

Alefacept is a fusion protein of IgG1, combined with lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 (LFA3), which binds to the cluster of differentiation 2 (CD2) on activated memory T-cells. LFA3 is typically expressed on antigen-presenting cells to produce a mitogenic signal via CD2 activation. Blockage creates a depletion of peripheral effector memory T-cells, which selectively express more CD2 with a relative preservation of central memory and naïve T-cells. Alefacept differs from other biologic therapies in that the dosing is not continuous and typically requires weekly injections for 12 weeks and then 12 weeks off before restarting as needed. Initial trials showed about 30% of patients reaching PASI-75 after the first course of therapy. Furthermore, selective targeting of memory T-cells did not appear to result in abnormalities in delayed type hypersensitivity or humoral immunity. This appeared to be effective and safe in up to five courses of treatment, dosed on an as-needed basis. Unfortunately, the company stopped promoting and distributing alefacept in 2011, which was unrelated to a safety or product recall.

**Anti-TNF-α – etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab**

Three anti-TNF-α biologic molecules have been US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for the treatment of psoriasis. In addition, others exist that may be used off-label, including golimumab and certolizumab.

Etanercept is a fusion protein, linking TNF-α receptor to IgG1. It was incidentally found to be highly effective in psoriasis and demonstrated very high responses based on PASI-75, up to 40%–50% at 24 weeks in initial studies. This was the first of the anti-TNF-α agents to be approved for psoriasis.

Infliximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody that is given intravenously (IV), targeting soluble TNF-α. It has been found to have the highest and most rapid onset of PASI-75 in trials; about 80% of patients develop rapid and extensive improvement in their psoriasis. As an infusion molecule and the most efficacious, it is noted to have the highest rate of discontinuation due to serious reactions (infusion reactions) of all the anti-TNF molecules.

Adalimumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting soluble TNF-α. It is administered less frequently and achieves a PASI-75 of up to 70% of patients at the standard dosing of 40 mg every other week.

Although there are differences in efficacy and design, the underlying mechanism is the same, and they will be discussed in that fashion. All three are effective in psoriatic arthritis. Inhibition with anti-TNF-α therapies result in a rapid decrease in IL-1, IL-8, IL-23, and iNOS in psoriatic plaques, followed by a decrease in the CD11c DCs, a decrease in messenger RNA for IL-23, and finally a decrease in T-cell infiltration in the psoriatic plaques. Further experiments of psoriasis plaques in early involution, 1 month after starting etanercept, noted selective CD11c DCs undergoing...
apoptosis, although no circulating or dermal T-cells were noted to be affected in such fashion.\textsuperscript{108} In the follow-up study, it was found that, although etanercept decreases acute response peptides IL-1 and IL-8 in all patients, only the responders developed a decrease in IL-17 to normal levels, indicating that TNF-\(\alpha\) is early in the cascade.\textsuperscript{109} This resulted in further studies to examine more specific inhibition within the pathways that lead to psoriasis.

\textbf{Anti-IL-12,-23 – ustekinumab, briakinumab}

The most recent US FDA-approved biologic is ustekinumab. It is a fully human IgG1 against the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23 that potentiates the survival signal for Th17 cells. IL-12 and IL-23 are linked by a common p40 subunit that exists constitutively on naïve T-cells. Depending on survival signals, and then whether IL-23R or IL-12R2 is produced, the T-cell may differentiate into Th1 or Th17 cells. This has become a highly effective treatment for psoriasis with PASI-75 developing in 60\%–70\% of patients.\textsuperscript{110–112} Furthermore, the 3-year data indicates that this medication is safe with few cardiovascular events, no increase in salmonella infections, and no favoring of a Th2 response, such as asthma developing based on inhibition of the Th1 cytokine IL-12.\textsuperscript{113,114}

Briakinumab is another human monoclonal antibody to the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23. While it too was found to be highly effective in Phase III studies,\textsuperscript{62,115} it has not obtained nor is it currently seeking US FDA approval after seven patients had either a stroke, myocardial infarction, or cardiac death in a separate trial.\textsuperscript{116}

Based on the 3-year safety data, it does appear that ustekinumab is relatively safe, with the most concerning side effects being the major cardiovascular events occurring in 0.3–0.6 per 100 life years, which is within the expected population range of such events.\textsuperscript{117}

\textbf{Anti-IL-17 – brodalumab, ixekizumab}

Recent Phase II trials were just completed for the first two IL-17 inhibitors. Mechanistically, these inhibitors cause upstream and downstream inhibition of genes characteristic of psoriasis.\textsuperscript{43} Brodalumab is a human anti-IL-17R monoclonal antibody that demonstrates high efficacy, with PASI-75 rates reaching 70\%–80\% of patients.\textsuperscript{118} Ixekizumab is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody to IL-17 that also demonstrates efficacy, with about 70\%–80\% of treated patients reaching PASI-75 in Phase II studies.\textsuperscript{119} Furthermore, ixekizumab appears to be effective for psoriatic arthritis.\textsuperscript{119} Further studies will be needed, especially to ensure safety, as neutropenia may be a concerning side effect of inhibition of IL-17.\textsuperscript{118}

\section*{Future research}

The field of psoriasis therapies has expanded exponentially with numerous small-molecule inhibitors and biologics, some that target novel pathways such as IL-22, and others that are less specific in targeting T-cell activation in the pipeline. Many of these are in early-stage development and have been published in a recent review.\textsuperscript{120}

\section*{Implications for enhanced patient care and quality of life}

Options have expanded dramatically for patients suffering from psoriasis since the beginning of the biologic era. Psoriasis clearly has a substantial burden on patients throughout the world. Even in developed countries, it continues to have significant treatment dissatisfaction.\textsuperscript{5,121} The era of research and development of small molecule inhibitors, as well as targeted extracellular biologics, has created profound impact on patients throughout the world. It is worth noting that PASI-75 did not become the accepted marker for psoriasis improvement until the biologics evolved, because of the significant improvement in psoriasis treatment efficacy. However, significant limitations still remain: how to increase accessibility to care, despite the significant cost burden;\textsuperscript{122} how to maximize the time that each biologic is efficacious\textsuperscript{102} prior to formulation of clearing antibodies; and how to ensure long-term safety of these medications.

\section*{Conclusion and discussion}

The treatment of psoriasis has progressed substantially in the past 10 years. Although many questions remain, especially regarding the initial pathogenesis of psoriasis and the evolutionary advantage of psoriasis, basic details have emerged from targeted inhibition of cytokines. With each new targeted agent, new data becomes available, highlighting new cytokines involved and new cell types. With the significant advances in psoriasis therapy over the past decade, hopefully new targeted therapies will continue to be developed and allow for more safe and efficacious options for patients with psoriasis.
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