Calcium and vitamin D intake by postmenopausal women with osteoporosis in Spain: an observational calcium and vitamin D intake (CaVIT) study
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Background: Osteoporotic fractures are important causes of morbidity, mortality, and increased health care costs. However, the risk of osteoporotic fractures can be decreased, with clinical studies supporting the use of calcium and vitamin D supplements to promote bone health. Vitamin D insufficiency is widespread, particularly among postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, and this indicates that dietary intake is suboptimal, even though vitamin D supplements are widely available.

Methods: We conducted an observational study, using telephone surveys, to estimate vitamin D and calcium intake and the use of prescription osteoporosis medications in Spanish women aged ≥ 50 years with osteoporosis.

Results: Among the study participants, mean dietary calcium intake was 1239 mg/day and generally appeared sufficient in terms of the recommended daily intake guidance documents. Participants aged ≥ 75 years had a significantly lower mean dietary calcium intake (988 mg/day), thus one-half were below the level advised by the World Health Organization. Daily calcium intake was also lower in participants who were not taking prescription medications for bone health. Dietary vitamin D intake was 167 IU/day, which is well below both the established target dose (400 IU/day) and the more recent, higher guideline recommended for postmenopausal women (800–1300 IU/day). Dietary vitamin D intake was even lower for participants aged ≥ 75 years (120 IU/day) and was not related to the use of bone health prescription medications.

Conclusion: These results support the need for greater promotion of the benefits of higher vitamin D intake in Spanish women with osteoporosis.
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Background

Osteoporotic fractures are common in women aged ≥ 50 years but are potentially preventable. The lifetime risk of fracture is >50% for women at age 50 and is >20% for men of the same age,1 with such fractures estimated to affect 20 million Europeans.2,3 Osteoporotic fractures are associated with serious complications and contribute to an increase in mortality rates of up to 20% in the elderly.3

Osteoporosis presents a considerable health burden for individual European countries. In Spain, postmenopausal women experience a lifetime fracture risk of 50.4%;5 the prevalence of osteoporotic hip fracture is about 7.20 per 1000 people aged ≥ 60 years, with 74% of sufferers being women and 26% being men.6 The total annual direct cost of hospitalization for hip fractures in Spain was about 216 million Euros in 1996.7
Adequate calcium and vitamin D are indispensable for maintaining bone health. Dietary calcium is required for normal calcium balance and prevents bone loss due to dietary deficiency. Study findings support a role for calcium and vitamin D supplementation in osteoporosis treatment, as the diets of many osteoporotic patients are deficient in one or both. Serum levels of the active metabolite 25-hydroxyvitamin D are the best way to assess vitamin D sufficiency. Serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D are correlated with bone mineral density in many studies. However, key to achieving bone health benefits is the use of an appropriate vitamin D dose, with a daily dose of at least 700–800 IU recommended to produce adequate serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Similar favorable effects on bone mass and, in some studies, fracture risk have been associated with calcium and vitamin D plus calcium supplementation of nutritionally deficient populations.

As there are few natural food sources of vitamin D, the failure of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis to take sufficient vitamin D supplements can result in vitamin D inadequacy. Studies conducted in various European countries showed that a substantial proportion of patients with osteoporosis, ranging from 32.1% to 81.6%, have suboptimal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. Widespread vitamin D insufficiency in patients with osteoporosis may be due to a number of factors, including the biological consequences of aging, lack of sun exposure, and limited awareness of the importance of vitamin D supplementation by both patients and their physicians.

At present, there are limited data on the dietary and supplemental intake of vitamin D and calcium in patients with osteoporosis. The objective of this study was to assess vitamin D and calcium intake, from both food and dietary supplements, in Spanish females aged ≥ 50 years with osteoporosis.

Methods

Study participants

Spanish physicians (107) were identified using national stratified physician lists purchased from national councils and the local national health system. The physicians were contacted and those willing to participate in the study were asked to refer their qualifying patients with osteoporosis who were subsequently contacted by telephone. Patients interested in participating in the study were asked to phone a toll-free number for additional information and to determine whether they qualified. Inclusion criteria included being a female aged ≥ 50 years diagnosed with postmenopausal osteoporosis, and whose residence was in Spain. The study design and questionnaire were reviewed and approved by the Essex Institutional Review Board (Lebanon, NJ, USA). Respondents who met the inclusion criteria were read a statement of informed consent. This statement included a brief description of the study, its voluntary nature, participant confidentiality, and contacts for specific questions about participants’ health conditions, participants’ rights, and general information about the study. Those who did not provide informed consent to participate in the study were excluded. The study was conducted by a third-party contract research organization.

It was determined that a sample size of 207 was required to detect a statistically significant difference (5% level of significance) in mean population calcium intakes, assuming a mean standard deviation [SD] intake of 1200 (577) mg/day, the effect size of 100 mg/day yields 80% power for a sample size of N = 207 at 5% level of significance.

Survey questions

The survey was conducted by trained, native Spanish-speaking interviewers; an average of 15 minutes was given to participants for survey completion. Questions were drafted in English and translated into Spanish. Subsequently, the questions were translated back into English to ensure that the questions had been accurately translated.

Survey responses provided information on prescribed osteoporosis medications, calcium, and vitamin D intake from the diet and supplements. Participants were asked, “Are you currently taking prescription medication(s) for your bone health?” If their answer was affirmative, they were asked, “What prescription medication(s) are you currently taking for bone health?” Participants were also asked to describe the frequency with which they consumed certain foods, using the Supplementation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants (SU.VI.MAX) food-frequency questionnaire; a list of the most common foods consumed was broken down by type. Participants were also asked eight questions about their use of vitamin and mineral supplements, including calcium or calcium-containing antacids. They were asked if they took multivitamins regularly and, if so, how often (possible responses: every day, 4–6 days per week, 1–3 days per week, 1–3 days per month, and <1 day per month). Participants were also asked if the calcium products contained vitamin D and were prompted to look at the package label to report the calcium dosage (<500 mg, 500–599 mg, 600–999 mg, ≥1000 mg). Participants were also asked, “During the past month, have you sunbathed or travelled to a sunny climate or region?” and “During the past month, on average, how many hours per week did you spend outside without and with sun protection (sunscreen or protective clothing)?” Of the twelve
questions, two were from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to facilitate validation.25

The questionnaires included a vitamin D assessment component from the Health Habits and History Questionnaire (HHHQ), the Spanish Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), and a short survey on calcium and vitamin D intake.24,26 The HHHQ is a self-administered diet history questionnaire. It has been validated for use in epidemiological and clinical studies. The FFQ consist of questions on frequency of intake of foods such as dairy, eggs, meat, fish, vegetables, fruit, legumes, fats, sweets and pastries, drinks, pre-cooked or pre-prepared food, and miscellaneous food. Possible responses included, “never,” “yearly,” “monthly,” “weekly,” or “daily.” The Spanish FFQ has been validated and used in previous epidemiological studies.27,28

Published references were used to determine the calcium and/or vitamin D content per food serving and the number of required servings per listed item.24,25 The average daily intake of calcium and vitamin D per serving for each food item was computed as: (calcium or vitamin D content) × (times per month/30), (calcium or vitamin D content) × (times per week/7), or (calcium or vitamin D content) × (times per day). Daily values for all foods were then added to achieve the total daily intake of calcium and vitamin D.

Daily calcium intake from calcium or calcium-containing antacids was computed as reported daily elemental calcium intake at <800 mg, 800–1200 mg, or ≥1200 mg. Respondents who regularly used a standard multivitamin typically received 400 IU vitamin D, and daily vitamin D intake from supplements was computed as daily use at <200 IU, 200–400 IU, or ≥400 IU.

Statistical analysis
Mean daily calcium and vitamin D intake were computed. A one-sample t-test was performed to estimate whether the mean daily vitamin D intake was significantly lower than the recommended average daily dose of 400 IU/day.30 A similar analysis was done to estimate whether the sample mean daily calcium intake was significantly lower than the 800 mg recommended daily dose.31 To identify factors associated with calcium and vitamin D intake, in addition to their relative effects, least squares regressions were conducted. Fisher’s exact tests were used to analyze the differences of vitamin D/calcium intake in patients on different prescribed medications for bone health and the differences of vitamin D/calcium intake from diets in patients among different age groups.

Results
Patient characteristics
Of the 207 women who participated, 62.8% were aged 50–64 years, 20.8% were aged 65–74 years, and 16.4% were aged ≥75 years.

Vitamin D intake from food
The mean (SD) intake of vitamin D from food was 167 (±87) IU/day (Table 1), which is notably less than the recommended daily dose of 400 IU/day. Vitamin D intake was not significantly different (P = 0.114) in patients taking bisphosphonates (186 ± 92 IU/day) compared with those taking non-bisphosphonates (156 ± 64 IU/day) or no prescription medications for bone health (148 ± 85 IU/day).

Supplemental vitamin D and multivitamin use
Of those participants who derived 200–400 IU/day of vitamin D from their diets, 68% reported taking a multivitamin with vitamin D and 54% reported taking supplemental vitamin D alone (Figure 1). Use of a multivitamin with vitamin D and supplemental vitamin D alone by groups

Table 1 Daily vitamin D intake from food

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Daily vitamin D intake from food</th>
<th>&lt;200 IU</th>
<th>200–400 IU</th>
<th>≥400 IU</th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>167 (87)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonates</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>186 (92)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-bisphosphonates</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>156 (64)</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>148 (85)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (from diet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>177 (77)</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>176 (115)</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>120 (65)</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P* values are based on Fisher’s exact test; <sup>b</sup>nine participants reported having taken medication but did not know what it was.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
obtaining <200 IU/day and >400 IU/day from their diet was notably lower, as shown in Figure 1. When considered by age group, women aged ≥75 years reported a significantly lower mean daily intake of vitamin D from food (120 ± 65 IU/day versus 177 ± 77 IU/day for the 50–64 years age group and 176 ± 115 IU/day for the 65–74 years age group; \( P = 0.034 \) (Table 1).

**Calcium intake from food**

The mean (SD) calcium intake from food was 1239 (±457) mg/day (Table 2), which was notably higher than the recommended daily dose of 800 mg/day. Daily calcium intake was also significantly lower \( (P = 0.037) \) in patients not taking prescription medications for bone health (1054 ± 336 mg/day) compared with those taking bisphosphonates (1365 ± 448 mg/day) or non-bisphosphonates (1167 ± 432 mg/day).

The predominant sources of dietary calcium were dairy products (mean 477.4 mg) and vegetables (371.3 mg), with more participants taking milk every day than the other major sources of calcium (Table 3). The proportion of participants eating a portion each day of all major calcium sources was higher for those with a daily calcium intake of more than 800 mg.

**Supplemental calcium and multivitamin use by dietary calcium intake**

Of those participants who derived < 800 mg/day of calcium from their diet, 67% reported taking a multivitamin with calcium and 50% reported taking supplemental calcium alone (Figure 2). Similar incidences of multivitamin with calcium, and supplemental calcium alone use were seen in participants obtaining 800–1200 mg/day and ≥1200 mg/day from their diet, as shown in Figure 2. When considered by age group, women aged ≥75 years reported a significantly lower mean daily intake of calcium from food (988 ± 346 mg/day versus 1314 ± 461 mg/day for the 50–64 age group and

![Figure 1 Supplemental vitamin D and multivitamin use by daily dietary vitamin D intake.](image)

**Table 2 Daily calcium intake from food**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Daily calcium intake from food</th>
<th>(&lt;800) mg</th>
<th>(800–1200) mg</th>
<th>(&gt;1200) mg</th>
<th>(P^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1239 (457)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonates</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1365 (448)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-bisphosphonates</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1167 (432)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1054 (336)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (from diet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1314 (461)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1209 (460)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>988 (346)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^aP\) values are based on Fisher’s exact test; \(^b\)nine participants reported having taken medication but did not know what it was.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
was not specified in this study, the low proportion of subjects taking supplements and multivitamins combined with a low dietary intake supports this conclusion. In fact, these results are likely to underestimate the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency, because a low threshold for vitamin D sufficiency (400 IU/day) was applied. In contrast, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization guidelines recommend a minimum vitamin D intake of 600 IU/day for women aged > 65 years. More recent European guidelines recommend a minimum intake of 800 IU per day to reduce fracture risk.

In contrast, the mean dietary calcium intake in postmenopausal Spanish women was higher (1239 mg/day) than the recommended daily dose of 800 mg/day, and was comparable across all age groups assessed. This daily intake of calcium is consistent with the level recommended in the “Nutritional objectives for the Spanish population.” Calcium intake from food was significantly higher in participants taking osteoporosis medications. Calcium supplementation occurred with comparable frequency in women irrespective of their dietary calcium intake. The target calcium intake level of 800 mg/day used in this study is lower than the 1300 mg/day recommended by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization for postmenopausal women; however, only postmenopausal Spanish women aged ≥ 75 years had a dietary calcium intake substantially below this higher target.

Comparison with literature findings
A number of recent studies have also shown suboptimal vitamin D intake in elderly Spanish populations and
in various groups of postmenopausal Spanish women.\textsuperscript{35-37} However, the findings reported in this study are somewhat at odds with those presented in a recent study conducted in postmenopausal European women with osteoporosis or osteopenia.\textsuperscript{19} Despite a high prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy in European subjects, Bruyère et al showed a mean 25-hydroxyvitamin D level of 85.2 (±33.3) nmol/L in Spanish subjects, a level well above all recommended cutoffs.\textsuperscript{19} This mean value did, however, equate to low 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels for 45.8% of Spanish participants. The finding of more widespread vitamin D inadequacy in our study could result from the absence of any assessment of the contribution of endogenous vitamin D synthesis following exposure to sunlight; Bruyère et al noted that levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D were significantly different when sampling occurred in winter than when it occurred during the summer months.\textsuperscript{19}

The literature considering dietary calcium intake in Spanish populations shows considerable variability. Bruyère et al reported a dietary intake of 1074 mg/day for postmenopausal Spanish women with osteoporosis,\textsuperscript{37} which is not substantially different from our finding. Their study went on to identify that the majority of dietary calcium ingested was derived from dairy sources, consistent with previous reports.\textsuperscript{38,39} In contrast, other studies report a lower mean daily calcium intake, of approximately 800 mg, for elderly/postmenopausal women in various regions of Spain.\textsuperscript{34,35,37} These studies assessed nutrient levels in specific areas of Spain, raising the prospect that regional variation in dietary patterns probably affects calcium intake.

**Methods of improving vitamin D sufficiency**

In our study, vitamin D intake from food was not notably different in women who did or did not receive prescribed medication for osteoporosis. This finding is somewhat surprising, given that women regularly taking such treatments might be expected to be more aware of other methods of optimizing their bone health. Vitamin D supplementation could help to promote bone health in Spanish women with osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{34,35}

**Study limitations**

There are several limitations inherent in our study design. The study was observational in its nature and, although likely to be reflective of usual-care practice, may be subject to selection bias. Results may be biased by the use of a convenience sample and by the selection of patients who consented to the study and contacted the interviewers: these patients may be more aware of their health needs, more motivated to seek care, and/or have more favorable views of their health care compared with patients who did not agree to participate. This disparity may have led to our underestimating the actual level of vitamin D inadequacy in Spanish postmenopausal women. In addition, the study results are based on patient self-report, which is subject to the influence of memory and other subjective factors.

Although 69.6% of participants reported that their calcium supplements contained vitamin D, this study did not ask participants to quantify the amount of vitamin D contained in the calcium supplements. The amounts of vitamin D in the vitamin D supplements were also not specified, thus the total daily intake of vitamin D from both food and supplements could not be estimated.

The data consider the dietary intake of both vitamin D and calcium rather than serum levels of the active components. Endogenous synthesis following exposure to sunlight is a major source of vitamin D.\textsuperscript{40} Our study did not assess different levels of exposure to sunlight, which are known to affect serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D.\textsuperscript{33,35} In addition, our study did not assess different types of diets. A recent study showed that calcium absorption and utilization are influenced by dietary composition, with a Mediterranean diet potentially favoring nutrient utilization to a greater extent than other diets.\textsuperscript{41} In a study by Mataix et al, 40% of individuals participating in a survey in Southern Spain had a low calcium intake, yet plasma calcium concentrations were below the reference range in only 15%.\textsuperscript{42}

The extent to which our results apply to the general European population is unclear, and the findings cannot be extrapolated to men with osteoporosis. Elderly men are also at high risk of developing osteoporosis,\textsuperscript{1,6} with the resulting fractures being associated with substantial morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{43}

Future studies are needed to better define the optimal use of vitamin D supplements in promoting bone health and preventing osteoporotic fractures. Some previous studies have failed to demonstrate a significant benefit for vitamin D supplementation on fracture risk.\textsuperscript{44,45} For example, in a study of postmenopausal women recruited to a Women’s Health Initiative trial with or without osteoporosis, calcium plus vitamin D supplementation increased hip bone mineral density.\textsuperscript{44} However, a significant effect of supplementation on the incidence of hip fracture was observed only after data from non-treatment-compliant subjects were censored. In the Randomised Evaluation of Calcium Or vitamin D (RECORD) trial, calcium and/or vitamin D supplementation did not...
significantly reduce the incidence of low-energy fracture.  
A limitation of both studies was the inclusion of subjects who had not been diagnosed with osteoporosis. In addition, the Women’s Health Initiative highlights the importance of achieving sufficiently high vitamin D intake, which is increasingly being cited as instrumental to optimizing bone health benefits.  

Conclusion

Inadequate vitamin D intake is common among postmenopausal women with osteoporosis in Spain. Further initiatives, including improved patient education, lifestyle, and vitamin D supplementation or pharmacotherapy, are needed to promote adequate vitamin D intake in elderly populations to avoid serious adverse consequences for bone health.
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