Tipifarnib and farnesyltransferase inhibitors in the treatment of inflammatory breast cancer: is the story over? A review
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Abstract: Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a rare but aggressive form of breast cancer with unique clinicopathological features and poor prognosis. Its epidemiology is distinct from noninflammatory locally advanced breast cancer (LABC), which points to different etiology. With the advent of multimodality treatment for LABC, the outcomes for women with IBC have improved, but remain significantly inferior to outcomes for noninflammatory LABC. This review focuses on new research in epidemiology and molecular pathways characteristic for IBC. One of the critical carcinogetic events apparently driving the development and progression of IBC is activation of the RhoC protein, which is a part of the Ras oncogene superfamily. These proteins, like other Ras proteins, require posttranslational prenylation for their activation and transfer to cell membranes. Farnesyltransferase is a common type of prenylation that can be blocked with a new class of drugs—farnesyltransferase inhibitors. The most advanced farnesyltransferase inhibitor in development, tipifarnib, has been evaluated in Phase II clinical trials as monotherapy and in combination with antihormonal agents and trastuzumab in metastatic and locally advanced breast cancer. A few tumor responses have been observed in these trials, but not enough to warrant a Phase III trial. Potential combinations of tipifarnib with other novel agents targeting enzymes downstream to RhoC are reviewed. Some of these drugs, such as imatinib and crizotinib, are already commercially available. Others like perifosine and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor 3 antibody are currently under development. Innovative trial designs to address this rare type of cancer are discussed.
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Introduction

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a rare, aggressive, often fatal form of breast cancer (BC) that accounts for 1%–5% of newly diagnosed BCs in the US.1 IBC is not inflammatory, although the involved breast is erythematous and warm. Clinical features are due to numerous dermal tumor emboli in the papillary and reticular dermis of the skin overlying the breast. IBC is classified as a primary tumor, stage T4d. However, not all BCs with skin changes are IBCs.

Etiology and epidemiology of inflammatory breast cancer

The etiology of IBC is unknown. A role of genetic factors, including BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations, has been suggested.2 Mathematical modeling of age-specific rates for IBC suggests different etiologies for IBC and for noninflammatory locally advanced...
breast cancer (LABC). They have different age-associated incidence patterns, and a different number of critical carcinogenic transition stages predicted by the model (6.3 for IBC, 8.5 for noninflammatory LABC). Data from Tunisia, Italy, and the US suggest a significant environmental/socioeconomic component in IBC etiology: more cases come from low socioeconomic status (SES) rural populations than from high-SES urban populations. In the US, African American women, a racial subgroup with lower SES, has around twofold higher rates of IBC compared to the racial subgroup with higher SES – Caucasian women. The diverging trends in the incidence of IBC and noninflammatory BC strongly suggest different etiologies. Moreover, sharp temporal changes in IBC occurrence (eg, in Tunisia, the proportion of BC classified as IBC decreased $\sim$9.5-fold from 57% to 6%) point to environmental risk factors, including SES, because the genotype of the population cannot change that quickly. If these factors are identified, and if they are modifiable, the disease might be prevented.

In addition to temporal trends, analysis of Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) data in the US demonstrate an earlier age at diagnosis of IBC (peak = 51 years) than of noninflammatory LABC (peak = 74 years). This difference is also observed in other countries, and there are racial/ethnic disparities as well. However, these studies are ecological by study design, and therefore suffer from the insufficient individual-level characteristics required for the etiological analytical studies, such as cohort and case-control studies; nevertheless, they are important as hypothesis-generating studies. Analytical studies, particularly population-based case-control studies, are needed to establish etiological risk factors of IBC.

**Molecular characteristics of IBC**

Over the past decade, mounting evidence has indicated that IBC is not only clinically and histologically distinct from other forms of LABC but is also distinct at a molecular level. A number of signaling pathways have been identified that are unique to IBC. Many of these pathways were first delineated in the limited number of cell-culture and xenograft models that existed for IBC and then confirmed in patient samples. Ras homologous (Rho) C guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) and lost in IBC (aka Wnt-inducible secreted protein 3) were the first proteins shown to be specifically altered in IBC versus non-IBC patient samples. Subsequently, several other molecular differences have been identified. For example, the platelet-derived growth-factor receptor alpha was found overexpressed in IBC patient samples compared with stage, grade, and cell type of origin-matched non-IBC patient samples. Angiogenic and lymphangiogenic factors are upregulated in IBC as well. These factors support rapid growth of tumor cells under hypoxic conditions and also promote dissemination of tumor cells to distant sites. Amplification of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) is present in a majority of IBC patient tumors. ALK is a tyrosine kinase implicated in the pathogenesis of cancers such as neuroblastoma, non-small-cell lung carcinoma, and anaplastic large-cell lymphoma.

**Clinical presentation and prognosis of IBC**

IBC has an unusual clinical presentation: (1) a more rapid onset (3–6 months), (2) breast redness, edema, excessive warmth, and pain (Figure 1), (3) more aggressive tumor characteristics than LABC (which usually manifests as a painless breast mass), (4) a peau d’orange (orange peel) appearance to the skin because of skin edema and exaggerated hair-follicle pits, (5) larger tumor sizes, (6) higher nuclear grades, and (7) more frequent involvement of
lymph nodes. Approximately 30% of IBC patients have distant metastases at diagnosis.²⁰

The presence of tumor emboli in the dermal lymphatic vessels is pathognomonic for IBC²² (Figure 2), which explains its unique clinical picture. It is observed on microscopic examination in ~70% of cases,²¹ and helps to make the diagnosis when present. However, IBC diagnosis is based on clinical findings, and the involvement of dermal lymphatics is not required. IBC is more likely to be hormone receptor-negative and HER-2/neu tumor marker-positive than noninflammatory LABC.²⁴–²⁶

Despite improved outcomes due to multimodality therapy for BC in general, survival of women with IBC (5-year survival 41%, median survival 2.9 years) is worse than for LABC (63%, 6.4 years, respectively).⁵,²⁴

**Standard multimodality therapy for IBC**

The standard-of-care treatment of IBC is neoadjuvant systemic therapy (NAST), followed by mastectomy and axillary lymph-node dissection, then post mastectomy radiation to the chest wall and adjuvant trastuzumab or hormone therapy if indicated. Data are limited regarding the optimal choice of chemotherapy, since IBC is a rare disease.¹⁹

Several chemotherapy regimens have been used in the neoadjuvant treatment of IBC, including a cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracil regimen and a cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and 5-fluorouracil regimen, but it is unclear whether differences in these regimens affect overall survival (OS).²⁰ Adding paclitaxel to anthracycline-based therapy significantly improved patient outcomes for hormone receptor-negative IBC, with median OS and progression-free survival advantages of 22 and 9 months, respectively.²⁹

In HER-2/neu-positive-IBC, a trastuzumab-based chemotherapy combination is used with most common regimens: (1) doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel and trastuzumab; (2) docetaxel, carboplatin, and trastuzumab – trastuzumab is continued adjuvantly after mastectomy for 1 year. A subset analysis of IBC patients from a recent randomized Phase III study (the NOAH trial) found that adding trastuzumab to NAST improved the pathologic complete response (pCR) rate from 13% to 48%.³⁰

Subsequent mastectomy after NAST followed by radiotherapy lowered the incidence of local recurrence, improved distant disease-free survival, and improved OS in patients who achieved a clinical complete or partial response to chemotherapy.³¹

Although multimodality therapy improved the prognosis of women with IBC, it remains inferior to prognosis in LABC. This has necessitated a search for novel agents to solve this important clinical problem. A promising new class of drugs that may help to address it is farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTIs).

**Development of farnesyltransferase inhibitors against Ras-based tumors**

The Ras activated oncogene was the first human oncogene reported. The Ras protein belongs to a superfamily of about 100 proteins, including H-Ras, K-Ras, and N-Ras, which regulate cell proliferation. Of all cancers, 20%–25% harbor a mutation in the Ras GTPase oncogene,³² which leads to constitutively active expression of the Ras protein. Some cancers, like BC, have a lower incidence of Ras mutations (5%), while others such as pancreatic cancer have a much higher incidence of Ras mutations (90%).³³–³⁵ Ras promotes growth-stimulatory signals via the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway.³⁶–⁴⁹ Thus, actively targeting Ras proteins to prevent tumor growth has been a highly attractive approach. Ras, like other GTPases such as Rho proteins, requires posttranslational prenylation (a lipid-modification process with intermediates in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, such as the 15-carbon farnesyl and the 20-carbon geranylgeranyl groups) to be properly localized to the plasma membrane, become activated, and signal correctly.⁴⁰–⁴³ FTIs are the class of drugs rationally designed to inhibit farnesylation, and thus ras activation.

Ras is primarily farnesylated at the C-terminal end of the protein on a CAAX motif (cysteine, two aliphatic
The prenyl group is generated in the mevalonate pathway, the same pathway that produces cholesterol and dolichol. The farne-syl group is added to the cysteine of the CAAX motif via farnesyltransferase.

A good deal of effort was put into understanding the mevalonate pathway and characterizing farnesyltransferase. The characterization of farnesyltransferase suggested its utility as a target. Thus, FTIs were originally developed to target tumors containing mutated Ras. Early FTIs blocked farnesylation of Ras in biochemical assays and in cells. Two classes of FTIs were developed: (1) direct inhibitors of farnesyltransferase and (2) farnesyltransferase substrates.

Preclinical experiments demonstrated that FTIs potently block Ras-mediated tumor cell growth. Initially, FTIs appeared to have great promise in Phase II clinical trials, demonstrating both potential efficacy and little toxicity. However, their performance in Phase III trials (Table 1) proved to be disappointing, which is not uncommon in oncology-drug development. The failure of FTIs against Ras-based tumors was suggested by a number of in vitro experiments as well. FTI resistance developed in some mutant K-Ras-containing cells. Other studies demonstrated that K- and N-Ras in FTI-treated cells can be alternately geranylgeranylated, with Ras activity remaining uninhibited.

**Targeting Rho proteins with farnesyltransferase inhibitors**

Subsequently, attention turned to non-Ras targets, specifically the Rho GTPases, which comprise a subfamily of the Ras superfamily. The Rho proteins are 23 monomeric GTP-binding proteins that actively control all aspects of cytoskeletal reorganization. These GTP-binding proteins are inactive in their guanosine diphosphatase-bound form and active in their GTP-bound form. Early work showed a profound effect of FTIs on cytoskeletal morphology,
Table 1  Summary of Phase II/III trials with tipifarnib (excluding breast cancer trials)\(^{38,39-48}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Tipifarnib dose schedule</th>
<th>Main toxicities</th>
<th>Response/outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-cell lung cancer (relapsed)(^{38})</td>
<td>400 mg twice a day for days 1–14 every 3 weeks</td>
<td>Granulocytopenia</td>
<td>No significant activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloproliferative disorders(^{34})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>2 complete responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer(^{35})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>No significant activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma(^{36})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Granulocytopenia</td>
<td>3/33 partial responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemias(^{37})</td>
<td>600 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Hypokalemia</td>
<td>29% response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS, AML, CMML(^{35})</td>
<td>600 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4–6 weeks</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>17% complete responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small-cell lung cancer(^{38})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>No partial or complete response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced colorectal cancer(^{36})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>14% stable disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS(^{37})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>2/28 complete responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer (gemcitabine + [tipifarnib versus placebo])(^{38})</td>
<td>200 mg twice a day continuously + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>No significant difference in overall survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal cancer (tipifarnib versus placebo)(^{38})</td>
<td>300 mg twice a day for 21 days every 4 weeks</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>No significant difference in overall survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypokalemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Myelosuppression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced with permission of Kelland LR, Expert Opin Investig Drugs, 2003;12(3):413–421. Copyright © 2003, Informa Healthcare.\(^{38}\)

Abbreviations: MDS, myelodysplastic syndromes; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; CMML, chronic myelomacroclytic leukemia.

thus suggesting an effect of this class of inhibitors on Rho function.\(^{39,59}\) Like Ras, Rho proteins are prenylated at their C-terminal end at the CAAX domain (Figure 3). Prenylation typically occurs as a farnesyl or geranylgeranyl group.\(^{42}\)

Preclinical studies of farnesyltransferase inhibitors in IBC

Studies using the SUM149 IBC cell line were done to determine whether FTI treatment had an effect on the IBC cell line. SUM149 cells were developed from a primary triple-negative IBC and are of the basal B subtype.\(^{58,69}\) The first direct comparison of IBC cells with non-IBC cells using a modified differential display and in situ hybridization identified RhoC GTPase as being overexpressed in >90% of IBC cell lines and patient samples.\(^{11}\) RhoC GTPase was subsequently identified as a key facilitator of the metastatic IBC phenotype, primarily affecting cell motility and invasion (Figure 4).\(^{11,70,71}\) Furthermore, RhoC drives expression of proangiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor.\(^{71}\) FTI treatment of IBC cells significantly reduced RhoC GTPase-induced motility and invasion. Also, introduction of a mutant RhoB that can only be geranylgeranylated recapitulated FTI treatment.\(^{72}\)

Farnesyltransferase inhibitors induce dormancy in breast cancer cells

The mechanisms of tumor cell dormancy are not well understood. Patients deemed “cured” of their disease can develop cancer recurrence years or decades later, with metastatic disease histologically identical to their original tumor.\(^{73}\) Treatment of IBC cells with FTI resulted in a phenotype similar to what is described for an in vitro model of dormancy.\(^{72}\) A recent study demonstrated that treatment of MCF-7 non-IBC cells with FTI L-422,831 also leads to a phenotype reminiscent of dormancy.\(^{74,75}\) FTI treatment of the MCF-7 cell line leads to profound changes in Rho GTPase activation.\(^{74,75}\) Specifically,
RhoA GTPase becomes hypoactivated, while RhoC GTPase becomes hyperactivated, producing radical changes in the cell cytoskeleton and morphology identical to that of FTI-treated SUM149 IBC cells.72

Similar to the in vitro model of dormancy,76,77 FTI-induced dormancy is reversible.72,75,78 Upon FTI withdrawal, cells grow normally after exiting from nearly 2 weeks of dormancy.75

Implications for therapy
Taken together, these studies suggest innovative approaches to the use of FTIs in IBC. Potentially, FTI-induced dormancy could be exploited to make IBC cells more susceptible to conventional chemotherapies by synchronizing the cell cycle. Upon FTI withdrawal, dividing cells could be targeted with chemotherapy. The combination of statins with FTIs could decrease de novo synthesis of prenyl groups and prevent farnesylation of proteins by exogenous isoprenes. A molecular signature of RhoC expression and activation along with active Akt1 in the tumor would be predictors of patients that would benefit from FTI clinical trials. Current evidence suggests that FTIs could be paired with other known compounds that target pathways important in the IBC phenotype. Examples of such compounds include phosphatidylinositol kinase 3/Akt inhibitors such as perifosine, platelet-derived growth-factor receptor inhibitors such as imatinib, and ALK inhibitors.

Clinical experience with farnesyltransferase inhibitors in malignancy
A number of FTIs have been evaluated in Phase I, Phase II, and even Phase III clinical trials, including tipifarnib, lonafarnib, salirasib, L778123, and BMS-214662.79 Although some of them are still under investigation, none has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for clinical use.

Tipifarnib as an antineoplastic agent
Tipifarnib (Zarnestra, R115777, Johnson and Johnson) (Figure 5) is the best-studied FTI. It has been evaluated against both hematologic malignancies and solid tumors (Table 1).

In Phase I trials against hematologic malignancies, the tipifarnib doses tested ranged from 100 to 1200 mg twice a day (BID). In a Phase I trial of poor-risk leukemia, the dose-limiting toxicity was neurotoxicity, which occurred at 1200 mg BID.80 No dose–response relationships were

Figure 4 Proposed schema for farnesyltransferase inhibitor (FTI) action in inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) cells.

Notes: Akt1 is localized to the plasma membrane via farnesylated RhoB guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) (fRhoB). Akt1 phosphorylates RhoC GTPase, promoting IBC cell invasion. FTI inhibits farnesylation of RhoB, shifting the population towards geranylgeranylated RhoB (ggRhoB), and relocates Akt1, preventing RhoC phosphorylation. RhoC can become active, but does not drive invasion.

Abbreviations: fRhoB, farnesylated RhoB; ggRhoB, geranylgeranylated RhoB.

seen, and CRs were seen at the lowest dose level of 100 mg BID.80 In a Phase I trial of tipifarnib in myelodysplastic syndromes, more than 75% inhibition of farnesyltransferase was observed in peripheral mononuclear cells at all doses.81

In Phase I studies in solid tumors, the maximum tolerated dose ranged from 300 to 500 mg BID.79 Similar to the hematologic malignancies, the frequent dose-limiting toxicity of tipifarnib was neurotoxicity as well as myelosuppression.82,83

Based on the suboptimal outcomes of Phase III trials (Table 1), tipifarnib has not been approved by the FDA for any clinical indication, although it continues to be investigated in acute myelogenous leukemia.

Tipifarnib in breast cancer
Of the various Phase II trials that have evaluated tipifarnib against solid tumors, BC studies predominate. Tipifarnib has been evaluated in Phase II studies as monotherapy, in combination with chemotherapy, in combination with hormonal
therapy, and in a Phase I study in combination with an anti-
HER-2/neu-targeted agent trastuzumab.89

In 2003, Johnston et al published the results of a
single-agent tipifarnib study that compared two cohorts of
advanced BC patients treated with either continuous dosing
(CD, n = 41) of tipifarnib 400 or 300 mg BID or intermit-
tent dosing (ID, n = 35) of 300 mg BID for 21 days every
4 weeks.87 In the CD cohort, 10% had a partial response
and 15% had stable disease at or beyond 24 weeks, whereas
in the ID cohort, 14% had a partial response and 9% had
stable disease. Due to grade 3/4 neutropenia seen initially at
the 400 mg BID dose in the CD cohort, most patients were
treated with tipifarnib 300 mg BID.87 Toxicities – mainly
neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and neurotoxicity – were
less frequent in the ID cohort. Tipifarnib showed clinical
activity as a single agent for treatment of metastatic BC, and
ID was superior CD.

In 2009, Sparano et al evaluated the effect of tipifarnib
on the pCR rate. Tipifarnib was added to a neoadjuvant dox-
orubicin–cyclophosphamide regimen being given to patients
with clinical stage IIIB–IIIC breast cancer.90 Of the total
44 patients, eleven (25%) had a breast pCR. This was greater
than the 10%–15% pCR rate in historical controls treated with
doxorubicin–cyclophosphamide chemotherapy alone.90 In the
course of the treatment, farnesyltransferase enzyme activity
in the tumor was decreased in most patients. Twelve patients
with IBC were included in this study; among them, two (17%)
achieved breast pCR.90 The authors concluded that tipifarnib
inhibits farnesyltransferase activity in vivo and enhances the
breast pCR rate, which warrants further evaluation. Among
biomarkers studied in this trial was expression of RhoA, -B,
and -C GTPase proteins in the tumors. The investigators
concluded that there was no association between Rho pro-
tein expression and tumor response. However, as discussed
earlier, it is the Rho activity, not expression, that drives the
tumor phenotype. This is particularly true for RhoC GTPase,
where high expression levels are toxic to the cell. Also, the
prenylation state of RhoB GTPase, ie, a shift in farnesylated
to geranylgeranylated RhoB upon FTI treatment, was not
assessed in the study. The prenylation state is likely to be
the key factor determining tumor responses to FTIs and it is
independent of protein expression levels.

More recently, in 2012, a Phase II trial looked at the
addition of capecitabine to tipifarnib in 63 anthracycline-
treated and taxane-resistant metastatic BC patients. The
objective response rate was 9.5%, compared to a historical
response rate of up to 25% for capecitabine alone at a higher
dose in this patient population.91 The conclusion was that the
tipifarnib–capecitabine combination was not more effective
than capecitabine alone in metastatic BC.91

In addition to tipifarnib–chemotherapy combinations,
tipifarnib was evaluated in combinations with hormonal
agents such as letrozole, fulvestrant, and tamoxifen. There
were no significant differences in response rate, response
duration, time to disease progression, or survival in these
studies.92–94

Thus, no Phase II trials with tipifarnib alone or in combina-
tion with other drugs showed enough efficacy to proceed with
a Phase III BC trial. However, some activity of tipifarnib as a
single agent was demonstrated in metastatic BC and in combi-
nation with standard neoadjuvant chemotherapy in LABC.57,90
As discussed earlier, few IBC patients were included in the
latter trial, and their outcomes were analyzed as a separate
subset without significant difference between IBC and non-
inflammatory BC.90 Unfortunately, the sample was too small
to draw any meaningful conclusions. No studies specifically
addressed IBC. Based on the unique clinical and molecular
characteristics of IBC, we propose that tipifarnib still holds
promise in IBC if combined with novel targeted agents, and/or
if an improved trial design is implemented.

A clinical trial design to study
the effect of tipifarnib or another
FTI in IBC

Trials specifically addressing IBC are rarely conducted. Most
relevant clinical trials are for LABC: women with IBC may
be allowed to participate, or quite often they are excluded
because of IBC’s unique biology and poor prognosis.19
Nevertheless, since NAST is the standard of care for IBC,
there is an opportunity to see a potential pathologic response
quite soon after initiation of treatment, when the patient is
going for a planned mastectomy. Until recently, a pCR after
neoadjuvant chemotherapy could not be the basis for accel-
ernated drug approval. However, in May 2012, the FDA issued
new guidelines to allow using pCR in NAST of high-risk early
stage BC as an end point to support accelerated approval.95
Since IBC progresses rapidly, it is potentially dangerous
to expose women with IBC to an experimental agent that may
be ineffective while withholding established therapy. Therefore,
an FTI must be combined with a standard anthracycline-
or paclitaxel-based NAST to increase its efficacy, as measured by
the pCR rate. This design was utilized in the already-discussed
Phase II LABC trial,90 which allowed enrollment of IBC
patients. A difficulty with this approach is the absence of a
control arm. Thus, historical controls are used, and any effect
must be quite robust to further pursue drug development.
Neoadjuvant trials combining standard chemotherapy, such as paclitaxel with novel treatments in LABC, are still a good venue for studying novel drugs in IBC, provided IBC patients are enrolled and stratified to differentiate their outcomes from the outcomes for non-IBC LABC patients.

As mentioned earlier, FTI withdrawal may increase cancer sensitivity to chemotherapy. This suggests that a short course of pretreatment with an FTI may potentiate the effect of NAST with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel.

With the advent of characterizing disease based on molecular subtypes, increasingly clinical trials use an adaptive design, which is more flexible and allows one to change therapy to another drug quickly. However, a paucity of IBC patients is still a barrier. A solution may be a national or international clinical trial, which has been a recent trend in oncology. The disadvantage of international trials is the use of different clinical standards across the globe, especially in staging.

Aside from the neoadjuvant setting, novel drugs can be studied in the adjuvant setting when residual IBC is present after standard neoadjuvant treatment. Because of the poor prognosis of women who have not achieved a complete response, this approach is appealing since it would fill an unmet need. On the other hand, this design requires a control arm and a longer period of observation.

Finally, an FTI can be studied in metastatic IBC. The study design should incorporate a tissue reassessment after drug exposure. A recently opened Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group trial – E2108: A randomized Phase III trial of the value of early local therapy for the intact primary tumor in patients with metastatic breast cancer – has an attractive design in IBC. Patients with IBC are eligible for this study.

In view of limitations inherent to conducting clinical trials in this rare disease, it is hard to overestimate the importance of preclinical research with accurate target identification and development of IBC animal models. An improved understanding of “molecular drivers” in IBC would certainly help in optimizing the clinical trial design and in decreasing the required patient sample size in this rare cancer. It is critical to identify a molecular signature allowing for IBC patient selection for FTI treatment. Such a signature, the RASGRP1:APTX gene-expression ratio, was suggested for acute myeloid leukemia where it was associated with a high likelihood of response to FTIs.

**Summary**

The class of FTIs was rationally developed with high-throughput screening to inhibit the expression of the Ras oncogene, one of the most commonly activated oncogenes in human cancers. Since the Ras oncogene requires posttranslational prenylation for its activation, blocking this process was a rational goal in the attempt to suppress cancer cell proliferation. Although preclinical studies with FTIs were encouraging, clinical trials were disappointing. Tipifarnib is the most advanced FTI. Nonetheless, it is not FDA-approved for clinical use.

Initially, BC was an unlikely malignancy to benefit from tipifarnib, because Ras mutations are uncommon in BC. Surprisingly, however, Phase II trials with tipifarnib in BC were promising. This may be the result of inhibiting farnesylation of other enzymes, not necessarily of Ras. Over 300 proteins in human cells require farnesylation for their activation. Unfortunately, the anticancer activity of tipifarnib was deemed insufficient to pursue a Phase III trial in BC. No trials have studied the potential role of tipifarnib specifically in IBC.

Recent research has identified new molecular targets in IBC, including RhoC in the Rho subfamily of Ras proteins, which also requires prenylation (typically, farnesylation) for its activation. Activation of RhoC may be a key step in IBC carcinogenesis. Thus, revisiting the potential of tipifarnib in IBC is warranted.

The importance of prenylation in activation of key molecules in IBC was underscored by the recent retrospective study showing prolongation of disease-free survival in women with IBC who have taken hydrophilic statins at the time of diagnosis.

To be able to better identify a potential benefit for patients with IBC, we propose use of improved clinical trial designs for (1) specifically addressing this rare disease, (2) studying the activity of RhoC before and after treatment with tipifarnib, (3) analyzing the activity of other farnesylated proteins in search of other possible targets, and (4) combining tipifarnib with agents inhibiting molecules downstream to Ras and RhoC.

Some of the candidate drugs to combine with tipifarnib or another FTI for the treatment of IBC are commercially available, while others are investigational. Among commercially available agents is imatinib, one of the first targeted agents, which is FDA-approved for the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Also, an ALK inhibitor, crizotinib, was recently approved for treating ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. Investigational agents include an Akt inhibitor, perifosine, which was studied in Phase II trials against advanced renal cell carcinoma and the anti-vascular endothelial growth factor 3 monoclonal antibody IMC-3C5, which specifically inhibits lymphangiogenesis, a very important target in IBC.
Obviously, these novel combinations need to be evaluated first in preclinical models with IBC cell lines such as SUM149 and with a xenograft model. If these studies confirm the synergism between two agents, a Phase I/II trial would be warranted. Given the rarity of IBC, it may not be feasible to conduct a trial just for IBC patients. However, allowing them to participate in neoadjuvant, metastatic, and adjuvant (when residual disease is present) trials along with separate analysis of their outcomes will facilitate the development of new drugs for IBC.

It is not uncommon in medicine that medications originally designed to treat one disease or a group of diseases find application to a different group of diseases. Unexpectedly, FTIs were found to hold promise for patients with another rare disease – Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) – a genetic condition causing affected children to age and die prematurely. This disease is characterized by production of a permanently farnesylated mutant lamin A protein termed progerin.\(^\text{103}\) FTI-227 reverses cellular defects in Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome cells in vitro.\(^\text{104,105}\) FTIs are currently in clinical trials for HGPS.
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