Pharmacokinetics of morphine and oxycodone following intravenous administration in elderly patients
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Background: An increased and prolonged duration of pain relief after morphine administration has been found in elderly patients. Whether this is due to alterations in pharmacokinetics, receptor binding profile or other factors remains unsolved. The aims were to elucidate the pharmacokinetics after intravenous administration of morphine and oxycodone in elderly patients older than 70 years.

Methods: A randomized non-blinded study with 16 patients aged older than 70 years scheduled for elective hip replacement receiving morphine or oxycodone 0.05 mg/kg as an IV infusion over 15 minutes.

Results: A 2-compartment pharmacokinetic model best described the disposition of morphine and oxycodone. The estimated elimination half-lives for morphine and oxycodone were (mean ± SD) 2.7 ± 3.6 (range 0.8–11.6) and 3.1 ± 1.3 (range 1.1–4.8) hr, respectively. Volume of distribution at steady state was estimated to be 243 ± 256 and 277 ± 187 L, and clearance to be 1748 ± 623 and 1206 ± 546 ml/min for morphine and oxycodone, respectively.

Conclusion: The increased and prolonged duration of pain relief after morphine administration seen in some elderly patients cannot, based on these findings, be ascribed to changes in the pharmacokinetic parameters between elderly and younger patients. Similar for oxycodone, no changes in the pharmacokinetic could be found when comparing the parameters found in elderly patients with those from younger healthy volunteers. A great variability within the individual pharmacokinetic parameters was seen for both drugs. Therefore, we recommend that treatment with morphine and oxycodone in elderly patients is initiated very conservatively and is titrated slowly to effect.
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Introduction

In developed countries the percentage of the population over 80 years will more than triple by 2050. Older people have the highest rates of surgical procedures (Gibson and Weiner 2005). Standard postoperative pain treatment is often intravenous administration of morphine during the first 24 hours after operation followed by oral sustained release morphine. An increased and prolonged duration of pain relief after morphine administration has been found in the elderly patients (Bellville et al 1971; Kaiko 1980). However, whether this is due to alterations in pharmacokinetics, in receptor binding profiles or to other factors still remains unsolved.

Oxycodone is another opioid, which is widely used in postoperative settings. Its main benefit over morphine is the lack of active metabolites contributing to the analgesic effect. Morphine and oxycodone are believed to act on different classes of opioid receptors and with different affinities and different kinetics. The pharmacokinetic of morphine in elderly people (age over 60 years) have been investigated in a few studies (Berkowitz et al 1975; Stanski et al 1978; Owen et al 1983; Baillie et al 1989; Sear
et al 1989), whereas no studies on the pharmacokinetics of oxy-
codone has so far been conducted in this patient population.

The aims of this study were to elucidate the pharma-
cokinetiology after intravenous administration of morphine
and oxycodone in elderly patients older than 70 years and
compare this to the literature data on pharmacokinetics of
morphine and oxycodone of younger people.

Materials and methods

Study design, subjects and blood sampling

The study was conducted as a randomized non-blinded study.
The Regional Committee on Biomedical Research Ethics
for Copenhagen County and the Danish Medicines Agency
approved the study protocol, the informed consent form and
the subsequent amendments for the study. Verbal and written
information concerning the study was given to the patients
and written informed consent was obtained according to the
ethical principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki II.
Study subjects were 16 patients older than 70 years scheduled
for elective hip replacement. Exclusion criteria included
mental illness assessed subjectively by a physician, dialysis,
deviant liver and/or kidney function assessed by deviant
laboratory values, regular treatment with opioids for pain
due to osteoarthritis, treatment with morphine, oxycodone or
codeine from three days prior to the study day, treatment with
MAO-inhibitors or fluoxetine (SSRI-inhibitor) from 2 weeks
before the study day. Known hypersensitivity to morphine
or oxycodone was also an exclusion criterion.

The study subjects were asked to be fasting from mid-
night prior to the study day, which was the day before the
surgery. In the morning, the study subjects received either
morphine or oxycodone 0.05 mg/kg as an IV infusion over
15 minutes. The drugs, morphine hydrochloride 10 mg/ml
(SAD, County Medical Regulatory Office I/S, Denmark) and
oxycodone hydrochloride 10 mg/ml (Oxynorm®, norpharma
a/s, Denmark) in ampoules of 1 ml were supplied by the hos-
ittal pharmacy at Herlev University Hospital. A peripheral
vein contra lateral to the vein used for drug administration
was used for blood sampling. Blood samples were collected
before infusion, at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after the beginning
of the infusion, and at 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90 minutes and 2,
4, 6, 8, 11, and 24 hours after the end of the infusion period.
The last blood sample was sampled as close as possible to
the scheduled time, but before the patient went into surgery.
The actual sampling times were noted in the individual case
report form and subsequently used in the pharmacokinetic
analysis. Blood samples were drawn into dry glass tubes,
which were placed on ice until centrifugation at 3000 rpm
for 10 minutes. Serum was separated into tubes, which were
stored below –20 °C until analysis.

Routine vital signs including blood pressure, pulse rate,
respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation were obtained at the
same times as the blood samples were taken until 30 min after
drug administration. These parameters were also recorded
in the individual case report form. The study subjects were
allowed breakfast 60 min after infusion.

LC-MS analyses

Serum samples obtained after morphine administration were
analyzed for morphine and the metabolites morphine-3-
glucuronide (M3G) and morphine-6-glucuronide (M6G).
Serum samples obtained after oxycodone administration were
analyzed for oxycodone and the metabolites oxymorphone
and noroxycodone.

Quantification was done by high-performance liquid chro-
matography (HPLC) using mass spectrometry as the detection
principle. The LC-MS system used consisted of a Hewlett
Packard 1100 series chromatograph (Palo Alto, California,
USA) equipped with a Quatpump, a degasser, column oven
(colcomp), an autosampler (ALS), a DAD UV detector oper-
ated at 280 nm (bandwidth 16) and a MSD detector. Data
were collected using the HP ChemStation software, version
6.03 (Palo Alto, California, USA). The analytical column was
a reversed phase Synergi 4 µ Polar RP 80A (Phenomenex,
Torance, CA, USA) column (4.6 mm I.D. × 150 mm, 4 µm
particles). A linear gradient system was applied. Eluent A
consisted of 1% formic acid in MeCN:water (3:97 v/v), eluent
B of 1% formic acid in MeCN:water (27:73 v/v) and eluent
C of 1% formic acid in MeCN:water (80:20, v/v). The linear
gradient was applied from 100% A to 100% B over 4 min.
One hundred percent B was maintained for 3 min and then
to 100% C over 1 min and maintained at 100% C for 3 min.
Finally the gradient returned to 100% A in 1 min. The flow-
rate was 0.5 mL/min. and the total run time was 20 min.

The MSD detector was equipped with an electrospray inter-
face and used in positive mode for SIM detection of the
masses: 286.1 (morphine, and the internal standard hydromor-
phone, which are well separated in the HPLC system), 462.2
(M-6-G and M-3-G which are well separated in the HPLC
system), 316.1 (oxycodon), and 302.1 (oxymorphone and
noroxycodone being well separated in the HPLC system).

The fragmentor voltage was set to 100 V for all masses
except for mass 462.2 where it was set at 120 V. The voltage
over the capillary was kept at 3000 V. The temperature of the
nitrogen drying gas was set to 350 °C with a flow-rate of 13
L/min. The nebulizer gas pressure was 30 psig.
After addition of the internal standard, hydromorphone, serum samples and calibration standards in serum were subject to solid phase extraction using 1.0 ml of serum on Oasis HLB SPE cartridges (30 mg). The final eluent was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at ambient temperature and the residue was dissolved in 500 µL of eluent A. 25 µL was injected onto the HPLC column.

Limit of quantification (LOQ) for morphine and M3G/ M6G was 3.5 nmol/L and 5.0 nmol/L, respectively. Linearity of the calibration curve was proven from 3.5 to 10.000 nmol/L. This was used for the detection of M3G. Narrow ranges were used for the detection of morphine and M6G, 3.5 to 50 nmol/L and 5.0 to 1000 nmol/L, respectively. The LOQ for oxycodone was 3.5 nmol/L. The CV of the method determined at three concentration levels were in all cases below 15% for the inter-day variation. Intra-day variations were a little less than the inter-day CV but at the same order of magnitude.

**Data analysis**

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

Individual serum concentrations for morphine and oxycodone were analyzed using WinNonLin, Version 3.3 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA). The data was fitted to 1-, 2- and 3-compartment pharmacokinetic models. Selection criteria for the final model was a low Akaike Criteria (AIC), a statistical significant (p < 0.05) improvement of the fit as determined by the F-test based upon the residual sum of squares, and an even distribution of residuals.

The following pharmacokinetic parameter estimates were determined using compartmental analysis: systemic clearance (CL), volume of distribution at steady state (Vss), central compartment volume (Vc), peripheral compartment volume (Vp), and elimination half-life (t1/2). Area under the serum concentration-time curve (AUC) was calculated using the software GraphPad Prism version 4.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA). Intercompartmental rate constants (k12 and k21) and elimination rate constant (ke) were calculated using standard pharmacokinetic equations. Peripheral compartment morphine concentrations were calculated from mean data as described by Rowland and Tozer (Rowland and Tozer 1995).

Kidney function was evaluated by estimation of the creatinine clearance:

\[
CL_{\text{creatinine}} (\text{ml/min}) = \frac{F \times (140 - \text{age (years)} \times \text{weight (kg)})}{\text{p-creatinine (mol/L)}}
\]

(1)

F equals 1.23 for men and 1.04 for women.

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism version 4.00 for Windows was used for all statistical analyses. Normally distributed data was tested for differences between the two treatment means with a t-test with two-tail p-value. A p-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

All results are presented as mean values with standard deviation (± S.D.). All patients completed the study. Mean age, height and weight of the study subjects was 76.1 ± 4.5 years, 167.4 ± 8.3 cm, and 77.8 ± 16.9 kg, respectively. Kidney function estimated by creatinine clearance was found to be normal except for two patients, where the kidney function was mildly reduced.

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

The individual serum concentrations versus time profiles after IV administration of morphine, M3G and M6G, and oxycodone and noroxycodone are shown in Figure 1. Mean dose of morphine and oxycodone was 10744 ± 3017 and 9393 ± 1015 nmol, respectively. Peak drug serum concentrations (Cmax) occurred at 15 min (end of infusion) and were 150 ± 50 nmol/L and 98 ± 62 nmol/L for morphine and oxycodone, respectively. The metabolites M3G and M6G were measurable in the samples after 10 and 20 min, respectively. Maximum concentration was reached at 75 min for both metabolites, for M3G 166 ± 46 nmol/L and for M6G 30 ± 8 nmol/L. Oxymorphone was not quantifiable in any of the samples, but noroxycodone was measurable in 4 patients after 20 min. Maximum concentration was after 6 hours, 8 ± 4 nmol/L. In a single patient (no. 14) noroxycodone was not quantifiable in any of the samples.

A 2-compartment pharmacokinetic model best described the disposition of morphine and oxycodone. The best fits of morphine and oxycodone serum concentrations after applying the 2-compartment model are shown in Figure 2. The AUCs for morphine and oxycodone were 159 ± 38 and 230 ± 196 nmol/L*hr, respectively. After approximately 4.3 hr the morphine serum concentrations were below the limit of quantification. For oxycodone serum concentrations were still quantifiable at 11 hours. The results of the pharmacokinetic modeling are summarized in Table 1. The estimated elimination half-lives for morphine and oxycodone were 2.7 ± 3.6 (range 0.8–11.6) and 3.1 ± 1.3 (range 1.1–4.8) hr, respectively. Volume of distribution at steady state (Vss) was estimated to be 243 ± 256
and $277 \pm 187 \text{ L}$, and clearance (CL) to be $1748 \pm 623$ and $1206 \pm 546 \text{ ml/min}$ for morphine and oxycodone, respectively. The intercompartmental rate constants $k_{12}$ and $k_{21}$ were for morphine $29.0 \pm 36.9$ and $1.9 \pm 1.2 \text{ hr}^{-1}$, and for oxycodone $11.44 \pm 15.0$ and $2.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ hr}^{-1}$. Maximum morphine peripheral compartment concentration was $20.8 \text{ nmol/L}$ at $1.26 \text{ hr (t}_{\text{max}}$) and the elimination rate constant was $0.32 \text{ hr}^{-1}$. 

Figure 1 The individual serum concentrations versus time profiles of morphine, M3G and M6G after IV administration of morphine and of oxycodone and noroxycodone after IV administration of oxycodone. Please notice the different axes.
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Discussion

Drug disposition of both morphine and oxycodone followed a biexponential decline with an initial rapid distribution phase followed by a slower elimination phase. The corresponding elimination half-life $t_{1/2}$ was $2.7 \pm 3.6$ (range 0.8–11.6) and $3.1 \pm 1.3$ (range 1.1–4.8) hr for morphine and oxycodone, respectively. For morphine, this is in agreement with what have been reported by others in healthy elderly volunteers (age 60–90 years) (Stanski et al 1978; Owen et al 1983; Baillie et al 1989; Sear et al 1989, 1989a, 1989b) and elderly patients (age 61–83 years) (Stanski et al 1976, 1978; Murphy and Hug 1981; Säwe et al 1981; Moore et al 1984; Chauvin et al 1987; Säwe and Odar-Cederlöf 1987; Seer et al 1989, 1989a, 1989b) regardless of age. Also clearance of morphine has been found to be significantly reduced in elderly people compared to middle-aged as well as young people. In this study the CL of morphine was estimated to be $1748 \pm 623$ ml/min, which in agreement with the estimates found by others. For all studies, a great variability exists. However, there are some indications that the older the patients or study population are, the greater variability. In this study great inter-patient variability is seen in parent drugs as well as in the metabolites.

Owen et al compared the disposition of morphine in elderly (age 60–69) with younger (age 23–28) healthy volunteers. The coefficient of variance (% CV) was approximately twice as big in the elderly group compared to the younger group (Owen et al 1983). In this study the patients are older (age 70–84), and the % CV were even greater. Similar for oxycodone, great variability in the parameters exists. This great variability could be the explanation for the increased sensitivity observed in some elderly patients and complicates the treatment with morphine and oxycodone in this patient population.

Owen et al have also suggested that the increased analgesic potency of morphine seen in elderly subjects might be related to increased peripheral compartment concentration in elderly subjects (Owen et al 1983). In the present study the patients were older (age 70–84), and the % CV were even greater. Similar for oxycodone, great variability in the parameters exists. This great variability could be the explanation for the increased sensitivity observed in some elderly patients and complicates the treatment with morphine and oxycodone in this patient population.

The $V_{ss}$ of morphine has been found to considerably smaller in elderly patients than younger patients (Owen, Sitar, Berger, Brownell, Duke, and Mitenko 1983). However, the $V_{ss}$ found in this study is in range with what others have reported in both healthy volunteers (Stanski et al 1978; Patwardhan et al 1981; Owen et al 1983; Aitkenhead et al 1984; Mazoit et al 1987; Baillie et al 1989; Hasselström and Säwe 1993; Westerling et al 1993, 1994, 1995; Stuart-Harris et al 2000) and patients (Stanski et al 1976, 1978; Murphy and Hug 1981; Säwe et al 1981; Moore et al 1984; Chauvin et al 1987; Säwe and Odar-Cederlöf 1987; Seer et al 1989, 1989a, 1989b) regardless of age. Also clearance of morphine has been found to be significantly reduced in elderly people (Owen et al 1983; Baillie et al 1989; Seer et al 1989) compared to middle-aged as well as young people. In this study the CL of morphine was estimated to be $1748 \pm 623$ ml/min, which in agreement with the estimates found by others. For all studies, a great variability exists. However, there are some indications that the older the patients or study population are, the greater variability. In this study great inter-patient variability is seen in parent drugs as well as in the metabolites.

Owen et al compared the disposition of morphine in elderly (age 60–69) with younger (age 23–28) healthy volunteers. The coefficient of variance (% CV) was approximately twice as big in the elderly group compared to the younger group (Owen et al 1983). In this study the patients are older (age 70–84), and the % CV were even greater. Similar for oxycodone, great variability in the parameters exists. This great variability could be the explanation for the increased sensitivity observed in some elderly patients and complicates the treatment with morphine and oxycodone in this patient population.

Owen et al have also suggested that the increased analgesic potency of morphine seen in elderly subjects might be related to increased peripheral compartment concentration in elderly subjects (Owen et al 1983). In the present study the patients were older (age 70–84), the %CV greater and the calculated peripheral compartment morphine concentrations higher (dose difference taken into consideration). The analgesic effect of morphine may partly be related to altered disposition, however great variability exists and no easy correlation can be made. Animal and human studies indicate
that also polymorphism may contribute to the variability of morphine efficacy. However, the role of polymorphism for morphine is controversial. A recent report suggested that cancer patients homozygous for the 118G allele caused by the single nucleotide polymorphism at nucleotide position 118 in the \( \mu \)-opioid receptor gene, require higher doses of morphine to relieve pain (Klepstad et al 2004). Sawyer et al saw an effect on M3G and M6G-concentrations in patients with variations in the uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferase (by UGT2B7*2), which indicates that inter-individual differences in morphine glucuronidation may be the result of genetic variation (Saywer et al 2003). The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of M6G also seems to be related to A118G mutation of the human \( \mu \)-opioid receptor gene resulting in reduced analgesic responses to M6G (Romberg et al 2004, 2005). Klepstad et al (2005) concludes in a short review that opioid efficacy is partly related to inborn properties caused by genetic variability related to metabolism, receptors and transporters, and that variation in other non-opioid biological systems may indirectly influence the pharmacology.

The role of polymorphism for oxycodone seems to be a bit clearer. The metabolic pathway for oxycodone is by the cytochrome P450 2D6 iso-enzyme. Approximately 10% of Caucasians are poor metabolizers, eg, they do not express this enzyme, and hence only form small amount of oxymorphone. As oxymorphone is not considered an important contributor to the analgesia seen after oxycodone administration, the component of polymorphism does not seem so important. However, as for morphine many factors influence the variation and the efficacy of the drugs, and further studies investigating this is necessary.

As both the peripheral compartment concentrations and the variability increases with increasing age, treatment with morphine and probably oxycodone becomes more complicated the older the patient is, and treatment should be conservative. Furthermore, it is important to acknowledge the risk of the potential life-threatening side effects, such as respiratory depression, with opioid during the acute phase of post-operative pain relief, which further supports a conservative treatment.

### Table 1: Pharmacokinetic characteristics of morphine and oxycodone in elderly patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>AUC (nmol/L*hr)</th>
<th>Alpha (hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Beta (hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>t½ (hr)</th>
<th>V1 (L)</th>
<th>V2 (L)</th>
<th>Vss (L)</th>
<th>Cl (ml/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>105.60</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>90.21</td>
<td>135.71</td>
<td>225.92</td>
<td>2375.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>185.00</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>84.04</td>
<td>94.13</td>
<td>1120.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>199.20</td>
<td>120.08</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>129.23</td>
<td>133.02</td>
<td>1133.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>149.10</td>
<td>114.33</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>158.64</td>
<td>162.66</td>
<td>2730.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98.18</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>33.08</td>
<td>826.71</td>
<td>859.79</td>
<td>1358.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>182.90</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>56.52</td>
<td>68.61</td>
<td>1352.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>166.00</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>209.46</td>
<td>241.66</td>
<td>2268.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>205.10</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>41.97</td>
<td>171.25</td>
<td>213.22</td>
<td>865.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>299.00</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>126.86</td>
<td>152.08</td>
<td>689.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>683.40</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>26.46</td>
<td>92.76</td>
<td>119.22</td>
<td>309.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>172.00</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>81.54</td>
<td>103.23</td>
<td>184.77</td>
<td>1414.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>63.86</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>221.72</td>
<td>194.21</td>
<td>415.93</td>
<td>1271.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>137.40</td>
<td>61.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>126.40</td>
<td>136.30</td>
<td>1749.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>119.60</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>152.44</td>
<td>511.92</td>
<td>664.36</td>
<td>1919.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>157.40</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>40.88</td>
<td>285.90</td>
<td>326.78</td>
<td>1425.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the pharmacokinetic could be found when comparing the parameters found in elderly patients with those from younger healthy volunteers. A great variability within the individual pharmacokinetic parameters was seen for both drugs.

As age-related drug effect could not solely be translated to alterations in pharmacokinetics, in order to fully understand the pharmacological basis for these changes in the elderly people, pharmacodynamic parameters must also be considered when conducting such studies. Therefore, we recommend that treatment with morphine and oxycodone in elderly patients is initiated very conservatively and is titrated slowly to effect.
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