Pharmacological causes of hyperprolactinemia
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Abstract: Hyperprolactinemia is a common endocrinological disorder that may be caused by several physiological and pathological conditions. Several drugs may determine a significant increase in prolactin serum concentration that is frequently associated with symptoms. The so-called typical antipsychotics are frequently responsible for drug-related hyperprolactinemia. Risperidone is one of the atypical neuroleptics most likely to induce hyperprolactinemia, while other atypical drugs are infrequently and only transiently associated with increase of prolactin levels. Women are more sensitive than men to the hyperprolactinemic effect of antipsychotics. Classical and risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia may be revert when a gradual antipsychotic drug discontinuation is combined with olanzapine or clozapine initiation. Antidepressant drugs with serotoninergic activity, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAO-I) and some tricyclics, can cause hyperprolactinemia. A long list of other compounds may determine an increase in prolactin levels, including prokinetics, opiates, estrogens, anti-androgens, anti-hypertensive drugs, H2-receptor antagonists, anti-convulsivants and cholinomimetics. Finally, hyperprolactinemia has also been documented during conditioning and after autologous blood stem-cell transplantation and during chemotherapy, even though disturbances of prolactin seem to occur less frequently than impairments of the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad/thyroid axis after intensive treatment and blood marrow transplantation.
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Regulation of prolactin secretion

Prolactin (PRL) is a 23 kDa polypeptide hormone secreted by the lactotroph cells of the anterior pituitary gland. It is released with a circadian trend, in 4 to 14 daily secretory pulses of increasing amplitude after sleep onset, with a decline short after waking and nadir around noon. Prolactin secretion responds to physiological stimuli: it increases after food intake and breast mechanical stimulation. Prolactin main biological role is milk induction and lactation, but it probably exerts also metabolic effects and takes part in reproductive mammary development, parental behavior (Benker et al 1990) and immune responsiveness stimulation (Halbreich et al 2003).

Even though monomeric 23 kDa form is predominant, big variants of 50 kDa and prolactin-IgG complexes of 150 kDa, with high immunogenic properties but poor biological effects, can circulate in large amount (up to 85% of total prolactin). This condition, referred to as “macroprolactinemia”, is characterized by normal levels of biological prolactin and lack of clinical symptoms (Gibney et al 2005).

Accurate definition of normal prolactin serum concentration can be difficult, because of high inter-individual variability and the frequent occurrence of “macroprolactinemia”, that can be revealed by polyethylene glycol precipitation of serum samples (Suliman et al 2003).

Prolactin homeostasis is the result of a complex balance between positive and negative stimuli, derivating from both external and endogenous environment. A plenty of mediators, of central, pituitary and peripheral origin (Freeman et al 2000, Figure 1),
take part in regulating prolactin secretion, through a direct or indirect effect on lactotroph cells.

The main physiologic control of prolactin secretion is exerted by the inhibiting action of dopamine. Dopamine, secreted in hypothalamic periventricular zone (periventricular nucleus and arcuates nucleus) and released from neuronal projections in the median eminence, reaches the anterior pituitary gland through portal vessels (system known as “tuberoinfundibular dopamine pathway” or “TIDA”). The dopamine-mediated inhibition of prolactin secretion occurs through the binding of D2 receptors on the membrane of lactotroph cells and involves several signal transduction systems, resulting in inhibition of prolactin gene transcription, reduction of prolactin synthesis and release.

Other prolactin inhibiting factors of CNS derivation include GABA, somatostatin, acetylcholine and norepinephrine; an autocrine regulation is exerted by prolactin through a short loop negative feedback on its own release, by stimulating TIDA cells through prolactin receptors binding, while GH, TGFB1 and endothelin have a paracrine regulating role at pituitary level.

Prolactin secretion mostly results from removal of dopamine inhibiting pathway. Hence, most “prolactin-relasing factors (PRFs)” act indirectly through the disactivation of TIDA system, though direct effects on the lactotrophs and other mechanisms of action, not completely clarified, are certainly present.

Serotonin physiologically mediates nocturnal surges and suckling-induced prolactin rises and is a potent, though indirect, modulator of prolactin secretion. The serotoninergic neurons project from the dorsal raphe nucleus to the medial basal hypothalamus and exert their action via 5HT1A and 5HT2 receptors mechanisms; Paraventricular Nucleus (one of the prominent nuclei of the Medial Hypothalamus), proved by pharmacologic and anatomic data to be a major regulatory site of serotonin-induced prolactin release, contains different populations of neurosecretory cells, producing oxytocin, vasopressin, vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH) and other neuropeptides. It is known that serotonin affects prolactin levels through the action of one or more of these PRFs, among which VIP pathway is the best studied. VIP (and a fragment of its precursor named peptide his-isoleucine) acts both via hypothalamic afferents and direct paracrine and autocrine mechanisms, through lactotroph cell receptors binding, enhancing adenylate cyclase activity and increasing prolactin gene transcription. Oxytocin seems to participate in VIP-induced prolactin release and may act through the inhibition of TIDA. However, there is little synaptic contact between serotonin-fibers and dopamine cells. Hence, if direct inhibition of dopamine cells occurs, it is rather through serotonin volume transmission. A larger body of evidence of direct stimulation of GABAergic neurons in the vicinity of dopamine cells exists. This pathway, referred to as “tuberoinfundibular-GABA (TI-GABA) system”, has been shown to modulate prolactin secretion in humans, possibly through serotoninergic stimulation of GABA interneurons (that express the 5HT1A membrane receptor) resulting in inhibition of TIDA cells and prolactin secretion (Emiliano and Fudge 2004).

TRH physiologic role is unresolved, but it is thought to stimulate both prolactin gene expression (via protein kinase C pathway) and prolactin release from anterior pituitary.

Estrogens modulate prolactin secretion in response to reproductive events through different mechanisms: amplification of mitotic activity of the lactotrophs, enhancement of prolactin gene transcription and translation through ERβ receptor binding, indirect stimulation of prolactin synthesis through VIP and OT gene expression enhancement. Estrogens have also an indirect stimulating action on prolactin release through inhibition of hypothalamic dopamine synthesis and reduction in the number of pituitary D2 receptors. The net effect is an elevation of prolactin levels through increase in amplitude of prolactin bursts, release and storage (Halbreich et al 2003).

Histamine has a predominantly stimulatory effect, due to the inhibition of the dopaminergic system, which is mediated via H2-receptors following central administration and via H1-receptors following systemic infusion. During blockade of the receptor mediating the stimulatory effect, histamine may also exert a minor inhibitory effect on prolactin secretion, which involves transmitters other than dopamine and is mediated via H1-receptors following central administration and via H2-receptors following systemic administration (Knigge 1990). Histamine-induced prolactin production is mediated by dopaminergic as well as serotoninergic neurons, but other PRFs (eg, beta-endorphin, VIP, vasopressin or TRH) may be involved (Knigge 1990).

Opioids regulate prolactin secretion through unknown mechanisms, perhaps acting on the synchronization of pulsatile pattern of prolactin (Lafuente 1994) and may have a role in stress-induced hyperprolactinemic response (Van Vught and Meites 1980; Benker et al 1990).

A number of other neurotransmitters and neuropeptides can also modulate prolactin secretion, among which galanin, endothelin, TGFBeta1, angiotensin, somatostatin, substance P, neurotensin, calcitonin, EGF, natriuretic...
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atrial peptide, bombesin, colecistokinine, acetylcholine, vasopressin (Figure 1).

Hyperprolactinemia, usually defined as fasting levels at least 2 hours after waking above 20 ng/ml in men and above 25 ng/ml in women (Halbreich et al 2003), is one of the most common endocrinologic disorders of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis.

Several physiologic and pathologic conditions can result in increased plasma prolactin levels (Table 1): during pregnancy, prolactin is secreted under estrogen stimul

Table 1  Major physiologic and pathologic causes of hyperprolactinemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physiologic</th>
<th>Pathologic</th>
<th>CNS disorders</th>
<th>Systemic diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>Prolactinomas</td>
<td>Tumors</td>
<td>Severe Hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding</td>
<td>Mixed pituitary adenomas</td>
<td>Granulomatous</td>
<td>Epithic cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast stimulation</td>
<td>Cushing's disease</td>
<td>Vascular disorders</td>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>Autoimmune disorders</td>
<td>Polycystic ovary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Not secreting adenomas</td>
<td>Hypothalamic tumours</td>
<td>Estrogen-secreting tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Empty sella syndrome</td>
<td>or metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pituitary stalk section or tumours</td>
<td>Cranial irradiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphoid hypophysitis</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Molitch 1992
and induces lactation; prolactin is a major stress-induced hormone, and its secretion follows psychological, environmental or physical stress; conditions of impaired prolactin metabolism are advanced liver dysfunction or chronic renal failure. Among central nervous system diseases, the most common are anterior pituitary disorders (above all prolactin-secreting adenomas, but also GH-secreting adenomas and empty sella syndrome), and any space – occupying lesion involving hypothalamus (meningiomas, craniopharangiomas, sarcoidosis, vascular impairments) or disrupting pituitary stalk connection; systemic diseases, such as severe hypothyroidism, epathic cirrhosis, chronic renal failure, polycystic ovary syndrome, estrogenic tumors from granulosa cells (Santala et al 2001), pseudocyesis.

Most symptoms of hyperprolactinemia involve the reproductive system and are due to both a direct action of prolactin on target tissues and indirect effects mediated by the decrease in gonadotropin pulsatile secretion, that leads to gonadal dysfunction. The most frequent symptoms of chronic hyperprolactinemia include reproductive dysfunction (anovulation, menstrual irregularity, sub-fertility, decreased estrogen and testosterone production), sexual impairment (diminished libido, erectile dysfunction, retrograde or painful ejaculation, orgasmic dysfunction, impotence), breast pathology (galactorrhea, breast enlargement, possible prolactin – sensitive dysplasia with increased risk for breast cancer), abnormalities associated with chronic hypogonadism (decreased bone mineral density and osteoporosis, increased cardiovascular risk), behavioral and mood alterations (depression, anxiety, hostility, memory deficit, psychosis) (Kinon et al 2003b), possible immunologic depression (Halbreich et al 2003).

### Drug-induced hyperprolactinemia

Pharmacologic hyperprolactinemia is a problem of underestimated prevalence. This is due to lack of externally visible symptoms, patients’ reluctance for embarrassing disturbs and/or clinicians’ lack of awareness.

A large group of medications can raise prolactin levels (Table 2 and Figure 2): drugs variably able of impairing central nervous system (CNS) dopaminergic function, such as false dopamine precursors, inhibitors of L-aromatic aminoacids decarboxylase and dopamine receptor antagonists, drugs enhancing serotoninergic neurotransmission, such as serotoninergic precursors, direct and indirect serotonin agonists and blockers of serotonin reuptake, histamine H2 receptor antagonists (Steiner et al 1976; Polleri et al 1980; Muller et al 1983; Di Renzo et al 1989; Molitch 2005).

Most of the above mentioned medications lead to an increase in prolactin plasma concentration through an influence on prolactin secretion control, primarily removing inhibitor pathways or directly stimulating prolactin production by the lactotroph cells.

In a pharmacoepidemiological analysis conducted on French Pharmacovigilance Database, recording 182,836 adverse drug reactions from 1985 to 2000, Petit et al reported 159 cases of hyperprolactinaemia (Petit et al 2003). In that study (Petit et al 2003), the female/male ratio was 5.9 (136 women and 29 men) and mean age was 40 (range 14–85) years. The rates of hyperprolactinaemia according to therapeutic drug classes were as follow: 31% were associated with neuroleptics, 28% with neuroleptic-like drugs, 26% with antidepressants, 5% with H2-receptor antagonists, and 10% with other drugs (Petit et al 2003). Among the latter group, verapamil, a benzamide derivated with anti-dopaminergic action (Vercellini et al 1994), indoramin (Pradalier et al 1998) and sertraline are reported in the literature as inducing hyperprolactinemia.

### Psychotropic drugs

#### Antipsychotics

Antipsychotics are the most common cause of pharmacologic hyperprolactinemia, and the majority of antipsychotic agents cause hyperprolactinemia (Molitch 2005).

The early age of onset of schizophrenia and related disorders and the need for long-term therapy make antipsychotic

---

**Table 2 Drugs inducing sustained hyperprolactinemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antipsychotics</th>
<th>Typical</th>
<th>Atypical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>Tricyclics</td>
<td>SSRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td>H2 Antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotropics</td>
<td>Prokinetics</td>
<td>Opiates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prokinetics</td>
<td>Serotonin</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td>Prokinetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Only drugs with demonstrated ability to induce hyperprolactinemia above the normal range have been included in this table.
chronic adverse effects, such as hyperprolactinemia, a major therapeutic problem (Halbreich and Kahn 2003).

In female schizophrenic patients, menstrual irregularities can be partly due to an illness-related dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis with subsequent hypoes- тотrogenic state (Haddad and Wieck 2004) and to abnormalities of neurotransmitters or their receptors, including D₁, D₂, serotoninergic alfa₁ e 2, histamine, GABA and sigma opiates (Goldstein SR 1999). However, since the prolactin secretory pattern of drug-free psychiatric patients, measured throughout the day, is not different from that observed in healthy control subjects, the elevation of baseline prolactin in treated psychiatric patients seems to be more related to drug effects rather than to the illness itself (Haddad and Wieck 2004).

During the last ten years, a new class of neuroleptic drugs, usually referred to as “atypical antipsychotics”, has been developing, to avoid the side effects related to the complete dopaminergic blockade exerted by the oldest drugs (extrapyramidal symptoms and hyperprolactinemia). Actually, neither receptorial binding characteristics, nor clinical criteria, enable a clear cut definition of “atypical” behavior, a possibility being a positive relation between antipsychotic efficacy and degree of side effects due to antagonism of other dopamine pathways (Kuhn et al 2000).

In this regard, classification of antipsychotic drugs may be based on their prolactin-elevating aptitude: classical antipsychotics are traditionally “prolactin-raising”, while the newest class is usually “prolactin-sparing”.

A study of 422 psychotic patients on neuroleptic treatment showed that antipsychotic therapy is strongly associated with hyperprolactinemia, with a significantly higher prevalence of hyperprolactinemia in classic antipsychotics-treated patients compared to the “atypical”-treated group (Montgomery et al 2004). Furthermore, neuroleptic-induced hyperprolactinemia is often a dose-related side effect (Smith et al 2002; Montgomery et al 2004).

The extent of prolactin increase is not only dependent on drug characteristics (ie, class of antipsychotic and dose administered), but also on patient’s sex and age. Clinical consequences associated with hyperprolactinemia are well docu-
mented in women on antipsychotics, in whom the prevalence of symptomatic hyperprolactinemia reaches 50% or more, the most common symptoms being galactorrhea and menstrual irregularities (Wieck and Haddad 2002; Halbreich et al 2003). However, little information is available on the gender-specific response to prolactin elevating antipsychotics. On equal doses, women on chronic prolactin-raising antipsychotic seem more likely to develop hyperprolactinemia than men, and they reach significantly higher prolactin levels during the treatment (Smith et al 2002; Kinon et al 2003a). Among male patients, age was not found to influence prolactin concentration, while in women a younger age was associated with higher prolactin levels, as expected for their reproductive status (Halbreich and Kahn 2003; Montgomery et al 2004).

Regarding age, data from studies performed with new atypical drugs in elderly patients and in youths are consistent with previous findings in adult patients: olanzapine appears to be a prolactin-sparing antipsychotic medication in the elderly (Kinon et al 2003c), and quetiapine produced significantly less frequent hyperprolactinemic events and lower mean elevation of prolactin levels than the conventional drug risperidone in children (Stevens et al 2005).

Classical antipsychotics

Classical antipsychotics are the most common cause of drug-induced hyperprolactinemia (Molitch 2005). The antipsychotic action of these dopamine-receptor blockers is based on the dopamine pathogenetic hypothesis of schizophrenic disorders. These drugs act as nonselective dopamine receptors antagonists and interfere with all four dopamine pathways (Figure 2). Therapeutic effects on negative and positive psychotic symptoms occur through dopamine D_2 and D_4 receptors binding in the mesolimbic area, while side effects are mediated by D_2 blockade in the striatal area (extrapyramidal effects) and in the hypothalamic infundibular system (hyperprolactinemia) (Wieck and Haddad 2002).

Prolactin is reported to reach higher levels on neuroleptics than during most of other prolactin-raising treatments and seem to increase proportionally to the antipsychotic therapeutic efficacy (Gruen et al 1978; Green and Brown 1988).

Although prolactin does not usually reach the levels typically associated with prolactin-secreting pituitary tumors, cases of huge elevation are reported, above 300 ng/ml (Rivera et al 1976; Pollock and McLaren 1998; Smith et al 2002).

Plasma prolactin levels have been reported to increase in a dose-dependent manner (Smith et al 2002; Montgomery et al 2004; Meltzer and Fang 1976), but even low daily dosages of classical antipsychotics can cause significant elevations (Wieck and Haddad 2002).

The increase begins after a few hours and persists during the rest of the treatment, the total effect depending on therapy duration: a medium-term treatment (3–9 weeks) has been found to increase baseline levels up to 10-fold (Meltzer and Fang 1976), while during chronic treatment, partial tolerance may lead to prolactin normalization, though after long-term therapy prolactin remains above normal in most cases (Rivera et al 1976).

After suspension of the oral treatment, prolactin returns to normal range within two to three weeks, depending on the half-life of the drug and its metabolites and on the storage in the fatty tissue, but remains above pre-treatment values for six months after discontinuation in case of intramuscular depot administration (Wieck and Haddad 2002).

Butyrrophenones. Haloperidol is a butyrophenone used for the treatment of schizophrenia, tics, stutter or delirium. Endocrinologic side effects (amenorrhea, galactorrhea, gynecmastia, sexual dysfunction, mastalgia) occur at undefined frequency.

A study of haloperidol (10–20 mg) versus placebo showed association of the treatment with prolactin elevation, persistent at sixth week of therapy (Crawford et al 1997). Substantial increases, up to nine-fold above basal levels, have been found after single injections of haloperidol, with persistent, though less consistent, elevation (three-fold) after weeks of treatment (Goodnick et al 2002). Prolactin response to haloperidol, studied in 15 patients, with prolactin sampling taken every 3 days, showed a distinct pattern: prolactin concentration quickly increased in the first 6–9 days, reaching a peak between 30 and 50 ng/mL, and then a plateau that remained mainly constant throughout the study, always below 77 ng/mL. The pattern and amplitude of the increase were not influenced by the dose administered (Spitzer et al 1998).

Phenothiazines. Acute increases, of two- to tenfold, have also been observed after single parenteral or oral doses of phenothiazines. Prolactin elevation induced by a single fluphenazine injection was found to last up to 28 days. Long term studies have documented an initial increase in prolactin levels (three-fold above normal range) in the first three days of treatment, with a subsequent further increase (up to two-fold) during the following weeks of treatment. In this case, no gender-dependent response was observed (Goodnick et al 2002).

A great, dose-dependent increase in prolactin has been observed in 40% to 90% of patients treated with phenothi-
azines (Rivera et al 1976; Kinon et al 2003a). Chlorpromazine-induced hyperprolactinemia is reported to develop earlier during the treatment and to reach higher levels in women than in men (Meltzer et al 1983). The increase begins hours after the first i.m. or oral administration (Mannisto et al 1978; Busch et al 1979) and high levels persist during treatment period (Kolakowska et al 1976).

Thioridazine 50 mg was found to increase prolactin at level similar to those observed after chlorpromazine, within the first two hours following administration (Sachar et al 1975).

**Thioxanthenes.** In a study that compared the effects of thiothixene and thioridazine (Crowley and Hydinger-Macdonald 1981), prolactin levels increased equally with the two drugs, but another study documented exaggerated hyperprolactinemic response to thioridazine in one subject (Ash and Bouma 1981).

Unlike other “classical” thioxanthenes, flupenthixol shows an “atypical” receptorial profile, with a mixed dopamine D$_2$/D$_3$, serotonin and histamine H1 receptorial antagonism. In this regard, flupentixol could be referred to as “partial atypical” neuroleptic (Kuhn 2000). Indeed, prolactin levels during prolonged therapy with flupenthixol were found to increase two- to three-fold above baseline during the first month of therapy, with reduction at month 3 and 6 and normalization over the next few months (Schlosser et al 2002).

**Others.** The effect on prolactin levels of pimozide administration seems to be the result of an impaired dopamine secretion to portal vessels, rather than the consequence of a reduction of hypothalamic dopamine production (Aguilar et al 1985).

**Atypical antipsychotics**

Atypical antipsychotics are characterized by increased antipsychotic efficacy and fewer neurological and endocrine-related side effects as compared to classical antipsychotic drugs. Most of them elicit poor hyperprolactinemic response or no hyperprolactinemia at all. There are several hypotheses to explain the “atypical” behavior on prolactin levels: regional limbic selectivity (Goldstein 1999), preferential binding to D$_3$ and D$_4$ (Kuhn 2000), peculiar binding dynamics at the D$_2$ receptors or differences in affinity to D$_2$ (Seeman 2002), combined antagonism of dopamine and serotonin receptors (Kuhn 2000).

Receptor binding dynamics have been widely investigated and are now completely identified: while classical drugs are complete dopamine antagonists, the newest antipsychotics exert a mixed dopamine-agonistic/antagonistic activity (Lieberman 2004). Partial agonists have a lower intrinsic activity at receptors than full agonists, and that allows them to act either as a functional antagonist or agonist depending on the surrounding levels of naturally neurotransmitters, that are fully agonists (Lieberman 2004). This results in an early dissociation from the receptor, that enables a normal dopaminergic neurotransmission in tuberoinfundibular pathway and avoids hyperprolactinemia (Lieberman 2004).

Most clinical studies on atypical drugs have been limited by blood sampling after 12 to 24 hours from the drug administration, not allowing the detection of early hyperprolactinemia. Indeed, clinical studies of pharmacokinetics have shown a transient D$_2$ blockade by atypical antipsychotics with an early hyperprolactinemic effect after acute administration. Accordingly, only monitoring of prolactin levels within the first 24 hours after oral administration of atypical antipsychotics may reveal early modifications: prolactin serum concentration increases within the first six hours after drug administration (up to two-fold from basal level) with a variable mean peak time, also in relation to the specific drug (120 min for risperidone, 180 min for clozapine and 290 min for olanzapine), and return to baseline values within 12–24 hours. More specifically, only clozapine and risperidone result in a pathologic elevation, while olanzapine-induced prolactin increase is always within normal range (Turrone et al 2002).

The hypothesis of a transient blockade of D$_2$ receptors has been supported by PET scans showing that haloperidol constantly binds to D$_2$, for 24 hours, while receptors occupation by atypical drugs is significantly shorter and mostly expired at the 24th hour (Seeman 2002), supporting the hypothesis of a transient D$_2$ blockade.

Indeed, partial agonistic activity was observed with bifeprunox and aripiprazole. Other antipsychotics, such as olanzapine, ziprasidone and clozapine, have been associated with attenuated dopamine-induced ERK phosphorylation (Bruins et al 2006).

A second theory is that of a simultaneous binding at D$_2$ and 5-HT2A receptors, leading to a more physiologic serotonin-dopamine balance (Seeman 2002). The effects of the new antipsychotics on dopamine D$_2$/serotonin 5-HT1A receptors have been recently investigated: antipsychotics, with the exception of olanzapine, exhibited agonist properties at serotonin 5-HT1A receptors, the greatest E-max values being found for bifeprunox, efficaciously stimulating both serotonin 5-HT1A and dopamine D$_2$ receptors, followed by aripiprazole, ziprasidone and clozapine (Bruins et al 2006).

**Risperidone.** Risperidone is one of the atypical antipsy-
chotics most likely to induce hyperprolactinemia. This drug

---
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is used for the treatment of schizofrenia, bipolar disturb, acute mania, dementia, Tourette syndrome and autism. Risperidone has a dose-dependent serotonin and dopamine antagonistic action. It binds with very high affinity to serotonergic 5-HT2 receptors, in the CNS and in periphery, and to dopamine D2 receptors, with an affinity 20-fold lower, but relatively higher compared to other atypical antipsychotics. Risperidone causes more marked elevations in prolactin than other atypical antipsychotics because it does not fully cross the blood-brain barrier, hence D2 receptor occupancy is higher at the level of the pituitary than in the striatum. However, the effect on prolactin levels is unlikely related to 5HT2 receptors binding, which would result in inhibition of prolactin secretion. Endocrinologic side effects, such as amenorrhoea, galactorrhea, gynecomastia, sexual dysfunction in patients on risperidone are documented with a frequency of 1%–10%.

Current data show that, similarly to conventional antipsychotics, high doses of risperidone (>6 mg/day) increase prolactin levels to the range typically associated with sexual dysfunction in otherwise healthy patients (Pettty 1999). Some studies report that risperidone would raise prolactin levels even more than the classic antipsychotics: comparative studies showed a significantly higher prevalence and higher prolactin mean levels in risperidone-treated patients, as compared to patients treated with conventional (Kleinberg et al 1999; Kinon et al 2003a) or atypical antipsychotics such as clozapine (Kearns et al 2000). However, in other studies (Kearns et al 2000), the rise in prolactin levels was lower with risperidone than with classical neuroleptics. In the same study by Kearns et al, prolactin increase under risperidone was present in 12 out of 12 patients and was influenced by age and gender (but not by duration of the therapy), being higher in young females.

On risperidone and haloperidol therapy, prolactin serum concentration increases within a few hours after acute treatment, and remains permanently high during an extended period (up to 54 weeks for risperidone and 52 for haloperidol) (Tollefson et al 1997; Purdon et al 2000). Cases of successful treatment with dopamine agonists of risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia are reported (Tollin 2000).

**Amisulpride.** Amisulpride is a substituted benzamide derivative, characterized by little extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) but great prolactin elevation, similar to that of conventional antipsychotics and often clinically relevant (Fric and Laux 2003). Since amisulpride is a highly selective D2/D3 receptor antagonist, its “atypical properties” cannot be explained by combined 5-HT2/D2 antagonism (Leucht et al 2002), but rather by a preferential occupancy of dopamine receptors in limbic than in striatal regions (McKeage and Plosker 2004). High dosages of amisulpride seem to preferentially antagonize postsynaptic D2/D3 receptors, resulting in reduced dopamine transmission, while low dosages preferentially block presynaptic D2/D3 receptors, resulting in enhanced dopamine transmission (McKeage and Plosker 2004). To explain prolactin elevation with low extrapyramidal symptoms, it has recently been hypothesized that amisulpride may determine a selective higher occupancy of D2/D3 at the pituitary level than at central regions, because of its poor brain barrier permeability (Bressan et al 2004).

Amisulpride-induced hyperprolactinemia is reported after both acute and chronic treatment and does not seem to be strongly dose-related: samples taken during the first eight hours following 20 or 100 mg i.v. single administration in ten healthy subjects revealed a significant elevation of prolactin levels (8–10 fold above baseline) (Wetzel et al 1994). Long-term amisulpride treatment was found to lead to a constant but slow decline in prolactin levels, that remained significantly elevated until the 12th month of treatment and then significantly decreased, but still above normal range, during the first three months after suspension (Schlosser et al 2002). Therefore, amisulpride chronic therapy in patients treated with antidepressants or benzodiazepines can potentially worsen hyperprolactinemia, even at low doses (Kopecek et al 2004). However, the safety profile of amisulpride, as reported from a comparison of 18 clinic trials, appears to be better than traditional neuroleptics (Leucht et al 2002), but it is reported more likely to cause hyperprolactinaemia than risperidone or olanzapine, amenorrhoea occurring in about 4% of treated women (McKeage and Plosker 2004). A recent study reported the rapid and complete reversibility of amisulpride-induced prolactin elevation in 17 psychiatric patients, with significant reduction after 14 to 51 days after discontinuation, independently from dosage (50–800 mg/day) or treatment duration. (Papparrigopoulos et al 2006). In that study (Papparrigopoulos et al 2006), mean prolactin increase was significantly greater in women than in men.

Prolactin pattern after 100 mg i.m. of the benzamide sulpiride, analyzed in 10 healthy patients through blood sampling at 30, 60 and 120 minutes has showed a quick, marked and permanent increase (Mancini et al 1976).

Molindone is another atypical new drug able to cause sustained hyperprolactinemia. In a study by Pandurangi et al, prolactin showed a positive dose-effect response in
14 psychiatric patients receiving high doses of molindone (Pandurangi et al 1989).

Problems related to hyperprolactinaemia occur less often with some atypical antipsychotics than with typical drugs, even though risperidone and amisulpride appear to have no advantages on this regard. Some other atypical antipsychotics, such as clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, sertindole, and ziprasidone cause only mild and transient hyperprolactinemia (Stanniland and Taylor 2000).

**Clozapine.** Clozapine, the prototypic atypical antipsychotic drug, antagonizes serotonin and dopamine receptors. It has been proposed that the selective interaction of clozapine with dopamine D₁, D₂ and D₄ and 5HT2 receptors results in a distinctive alteration in the function of pre- and post-synaptic dopamine elements, with the hyperprolactinemic effect being mediated by supra-pituitary action of the drug (Meltzer and Gudelsky 1992). The lack of prolactin increase following clozapine administration could be due to both the sparing of dopamine-mediated inhibition of prolactin release and the direct stimulatory effect on TID neurons (Meltzer and Gudelsky 1992). Moreover, clozapine does not interfere with norepinephrine-mediated inhibition of prolactin secretion (Lamberts et al 1990). In *in vitro* cultured pituitary tumor cells, clozapine at high concentration appeared to directly inhibit prolactin release and DNA content, suggesting antimitotic action on the lactotrophs (Lamberts et al 1990). Early single-dose trials reported that clozapine reduced hyperprolactinemia by 16%–80% during 6 weeks of drug administration (Goodnick et al 2002).

**Olanzapine.** Olanzapine is a potent 5-HT2 blocker that shows higher affinity for 5-HT2 than D₂ at all doses. D₂ occupancy is dose-dependent and seems to be similar to risperidone pattern, greater than clozapine. At the usual clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy varies from 71% to 80%, a restricted range that may explain clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy and risperidone pattern, greater than clozapine. At the usual clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy varies from 71% to 80%, a restricted range that may explain clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy and risperidone pattern, greater than clozapine. At the usual clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy varies from 71% to 80%, a restricted range that may explain clinical dose range of 10–20 mg/day, receptor occupancy and risperidone pattern, greater than clozapine at high concentration appeared to directly inhibit prolactin release and DNA content, suggesting antimitotic action on the lactotrophs (Lamberts et al 1990). In *in vitro* cultured pituitary tumor cells, clozapine at high concentration appeared to directly inhibit prolactin release and DNA content, suggesting antimitotic action on the lactotrophs (Lamberts et al 1990). Early single-dose trials reported that clozapine reduced hyperprolactinemia by 16%–80% during 6 weeks of drug administration (Goodnick et al 2002).

Problems related to hyperprolactinaemia occur less often with some atypical antipsychotics than with typical drugs, even though risperidone and amisulpride appear to have no advantages on this regard. Some other atypical antipsychotics, such as clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, sertindole, and ziprasidone cause only mild and transient hyperprolactinemia (Stanniland and Taylor 2000).
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Although higher affinity for 5-HT2 receptors than for D\textsubscript{2} dopamine receptors is a common feature of atypical neuroleptics, considerable differences in their clinical and pharmacological properties are present. At clinical doses, atypical neuroleptics occupy serotoninergic receptors near to saturation, but show considerable differences on D\textsubscript{2} receptor occupancies, with clozapine showing the lowest degree of occupation, as confirmed by blunt prolactin responses to the acute administration of the dopaminergic drug haloperidol after olanzapine but not after clozapine administration (Markianos et al 2002). The fact that prolactin responses to haloperidol were not altered after treatment with clozapine, but were significantly reduced after the olanzapine treatment indicates that there is a difference between the two drugs in their capacity to block dopamine receptors at the hypothalamus-pituitary level, consistently with SPECT receptor binding results on striatal dopamine receptors (Markianos et al 2002).

Other atypical drugs. Quetiapine, aripiprazole and ziprasidone are reported either to cause no prolactin increase at all or to increase it transiently and mildly: serial blood samples showed a rapid raise in prolactin levels (up to 1.5- to 2.5-fold above basal levels) during the first two-four hours after drug administration and normalization within the following eight hours (Turrone et al 2002).

Quetiapine interacts with a wide range of neurotransmitter receptors and has a high affinity for serotonin 5HT2A receptors and lower affinity for dopamine D\textsubscript{2} receptors. Furthermore, quetiapine seems to be selective for meso-limbic and mesocortical dopamine receptors, with relative sparing of TIDA system (Goldstein JM 1999). Quetiapine transient action on prolactin elevation corresponds to a transient dopamine D\textsubscript{2} occupancy: a single administration leads to receptor occupancy that ranges from 58\%-64\% after two to three hours, with D\textsubscript{2} occupancy decreasing to minimum (0\%-27\%) within 12 hours. This high, but transient, D\textsubscript{2} occupancy, which decreases to very low levels by the end of the dosing interval, can explain its efficacy and lack of prolactin elevation (Kapur et al 2000). This conclusion has been confirmed by clinical data acquired from a comparison study with haloperidol, carried out in 35 schizophrenic patients, that showed persistently and significantly lower prolactin concentration at the 6th week control in the quetiapine treated group (Atmaca et al 2002). These data confirm preliminary clinical studies that documented a quetiapine effect on prolactin levels not different from placebo at week 6 (Hamner et al 1996).

Aripiprazole, a new atypical antipsychotic, has a unique receptor binding profile, resulting in a potent (ie, active at low dose) but “partial” agonistic effect at D\textsubscript{2} and 5HT1A (Buckley 2003; Bruins et al 2006) and complete antagonistic effect at 5HT2A receptors (Taylor 2003; Lieberman 2004) (Figure 2). *In vitro* partial agonism at D\textsubscript{2} receptor coincides with a reduced prolactin release *in vivo*, as confirmed in a comparison study of haloperidol vs aripiprazole oral administration (Cosi et al 2006).

**Ziprasidone** exerts agonistic properties at serotonin 5-HT1A receptors (Bruins et al 2006). In one controlled study of ziprasidone vs haloperidol 15 mg, only transient elevations in prolactin were observed, with return to the normal range within the dosing interval, at every dose of ziprasidone administered (4–160 mg/day) (Goff 1998).

**Zotepine** is another drug reported to cause prolactin elevation during both acute and chronic administration (Von Bardeleben et al 1987).

**Perospirone** impact on serum prolactin levels was investigated in 41 schizophrenic patients receiving clinically effective doses: blood samples obtained 10–14 hours after perospirone administration showed median levels of prolactin within normal range (in both female and male patients), still normal after more than 4 weeks. These results suggest that in contrast to risperidone, where baseline prolactin levels were elevated 5.3-fold in female and 4.2-fold in male patients, baseline prolactin levels were not elevated after treatment with perospirone (Togo et al 2003). However, these results should be carefully interpreted, because drug-by-time interactions are reported in antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia (Togo et al 2003).

In summary, effects of antipsychotic medications on serum prolactin seem to be multifactorial. It seems that both drug type and gender influence the risk for developing hyperprolactinemia, with women being more sensitive than males and risperidone and typic drugs more likely to induce hyperprolactinemia than olanzapine (Kinon et al 2003b). The elderly age seemed to influence the prolactin response, leading to a blunted reaction to conventional antipsychotics (Street et al 2000) when compared with the subjects of the study by Purdon et al 2000, aged 28.87 ± 8.36, as reported by Kinon et al 2003b. Among antipsychotic, classical drugs, and more specifically haloperidol, chlorpromazine, thioridazine and thiothixene, induce sustained hyperprolactinemia. Atypical drugs show a better pharmacological profile under this respect, even though risperidone, amisulpride and zotepine induce hyperprolactinemia with frequencies and levels similar to those observed with classical drugs. Other atypical drugs, such olanzapine, clozapine or aripiprazole can be used in switching protocols to correct an antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia.
Management of antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia
The importance of monitoring for health problems, among which amenorrhea, in patients on psychotropic treatment, has been stressed during an expert consensus on the pharmacologic treatment of psychotic disorders (Kane et al 2003). For their peculiar activity on dopamine receptors, atypical antipsychotics are usually associated with a broader spectrum of clinical efficacy and are better tolerated as compared to conventional agents. However, adverse effects such as weight gain and metabolic changes are cause for concern, even in patients treated with some of the newest drugs (Cassano et al 2007). The management of antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia should include subsequent measures, in order to minimize the side effects, thus optimizing therapy compliance and reducing the risk of subsequent psychotic relapses. Avoiding hyperprolactinemia and its long-term complication means improving treatment outcomes and enhancement of quality of life.

A safe and effective approach to drug-induced hyperprolactinemia should consist in prolactin measurement in symptomatic patients on antipsychotic treatment and, routinely, in all the patients taking conventional drugs or risperidone (Miller 2004). Patients showing plasma prolactin levels ≥100 ng/ml should undergo hypophisal NMR to rule out the presence of a prolactinoma. In case of hyperprolactinemia during antipsychotic treatment, once other potential causes have been excluded, prolactin normalizing strategies should be attempted when clinically significant hyperprolactinemia occurs, specifically amenorrhea in women or testosterone deficiency in men (Haddad and Wieck 2004; Miller 2004).

Traditional pharmacological approach of hyperprolactinemia in schizophrenic patients can raise some problems, even when no concomitant antipsychotic treatment is present, because of the potential worsening effect of dopaminergic drugs on psychosis. The hypothesis that the pathophysiology of negative symptoms in schizophrenia may involve relative hypoactivity of central dopaminergic neurotransmission, however, led to the exploration of dopamine agonist strategies in the treatment of this condition (Levi-Minzi et al 1991). Although the use of dopamine agonists in otherwise unmedicated schizophrenic patients often leads to the exacerbation of psychosis, trials of dopamine agonists in combination with neuroleptic agents warrant investigation (Levi-Minzi et al 1991).

The effects of bromocriptine (5.0–7.5 mg/day) on antipsychotic-induced prolactin-related endocrinological disturbances (amenorrhea, galactorrhea and impotence) were investigated in psychiatric patients receiving classical neuroleptics (Matsuoka et al 1986), with the following results: menstrual cycles recurred in 7 of 10 patients with amenorrhea, a decrease in lactation appeared in 5 of 6 patients with galactorrhea and a significant increase in the serum levels of testosterone was observed after 8 weeks of treatment in six out of six male patients. In the same study (Matsuoka et al 1986), no remarkable deterioration of psychotic symptoms was reported in 6 schizophrenic patients. In another study (Smith 1992), one woman out of 6 developed worsened psychiatric symptoms while taking bromocriptine (daily dosage 5–10 mg) and had to discontinue the therapy.

Concerning atypical drugs, the optimal management of risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia has not been clarified, though it is widely assessed that risperidone can cause clinically significant hyperprolactinemia. Tollin (Tollin 2000) reported 4 patients on risperidone, with significant hyperprolactinemia (65.5 to 209 ng/ml) that showed reduction of prolactin level and alleviated hypogonadism after dopamine agonists (bromocriptine or cabergoline) were added, without deterioration of psychotic symptoms.

A more recent pilot study (Cavallaro et al 2004) attested the safety and efficacy of a low dose cabergoline (0.125 to 0.250 mg/week) administered for 8 weeks in 19 male and female schizophrenic patients with risperidone-induced symptomatic hyperprolactinemia. Mean plasma prolactin levels, assessed at baseline and at the end of the study, were significantly decreased in all the patients, and within the normal range in 11 patients, with remission of clinical signs; in this study no side effects or changes in the patients’ psychopathology were observed.

Cases of successful treatment of risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia with cabergoline in youths are also reported (Cohen and Biederman 2001): the addition of cabergoline (initial mean dose 2.13 +/– 0.09 mg/week) in four male children on risperidone with plasma prolactin ranging 57.5–129 ng/ml, led to serum prolactin levels normalization. Cabergoline was well tolerated without adverse effects during the whole treatment (13–21 months) on maintenance dose of 1 mg/week.

A case report has been reported of bromocriptine efficacy in resolving amisulpride-induced hyperprolactinemia (Bliesener et al 2004).

Although dopamine-agonists have successfully been used in patients with antipsychotic–induced hyperprolactinemia, bromocriptine treatment is reported to be associated with exacerbation of acute psychotic state in psychotic women (Frye et al 1982; Dorevitch et al 1991). Discontinuation of the bromocriptine treatment and increase in antipsychotic dosage
resulted in complete remission. Caution is advisable in the use of bromocriptine especially in patients with a pre-existing psychiatric history and monitoring for changes in mental status when bromocriptine is prescribed is recommended. (Dorevitch et al 1991).

In conclusion, dopamine agonist therapy is not generally advisable (Miller 2004), even though successful cases are reported of risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia treated with the addition of either cabergoline or bromocriptine, without worsening psychotic symptoms (Tollin 2000). More specifically, bromocriptine, confirmed to be effective in reducing hyperprolactinemia and resolving amenorrhea/oligomenorrhea in schizophrenic women, should be cautiously considered as a drug potentially exacerbating acute psychosis (Smith 1992), thus leading to the conclusion that other treatment approaches should be suggested for neuroleptic-induced hyperprolactinemia and associated manifestations (Frye et al 1982).

Since the discontinuation of a neuroleptic therapy, or the addition of dopamine-agonists, may worsen the psychosis, and the reduction of the neuroleptic dose may not necessarily lead to decrease in prolactin, switching to prolactin sparing agents acquires great relevance.

Kinon et al (2000) studied the reversibility of hyperprolactinemia by comparing four medication switching paradigms from classical drugs or risperidone to olanzapine and showed the return of prolactin within normal limit three weeks after switching. The switch patterns comparison attested that reversibility of classical and risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia is successful when a gradual antipsychotic drug discontinuation is combined with olanzapine initiation (Kinon et al 2000). These results were confirmed a few years later, with olanzapine found to be able to reverse hyperprolactinemia in conventional or risperidone-treated female schizophrenic patients, to decrease amenorrhea, to improve cycle regularity and to reduce sexual side effects, thus creating a safe and effective alternative method for patients with antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia (Kim et al 2002; Kinon et al 2006). In this study by Kinon et al 2006, male patients who switched to olanzapine treatment experienced significantly (p = .03) increased free testosterone levels, even with no significant improvement in total testosterone levels; some female patients experienced improved menstrual cycling, as well as resolution of galactorrhea and gynecomastia, and sexual functioning was significantly improved in both genders. Patients switching to olanzapine, as well as those remaining on their pre-study medication, maintained clinical stability, their symptoms continued to improve, although there were no significant between-treatment differences in improvement. Treatment-emergent adverse events occurred in both treatment groups, with no significant differences between groups.

A case report confirmed the successful strategy of switching to olanzapine while tapering risperidone: one month of titrated dose (15 mg) olanzapine normalized serum prolactin, restored menstrual regularity, resolved galactorrhea and improved the psychiatric condition (Canuso et al 1998).

Six months olanzapine was reported effective in reducing prolactin levels from 116 ng/ml to 72 ng/ml also in a woman receiving phenothiazines. This patient recovered from galactorrhea and menstrual irregularities and her psychiatric condition remained stable (Canuso et al 1998).

Bunker et al reported a case of correction of serum prolactin levels after conversion to clozapine therapy in a 16-year-old female patient who had developed hyperprolactinemia with galactorrhea and amenorrhea while receiving thioridazine 300 mg daily and after a period of treatment with bromocriptine (Bunker et al 1997).

Successful management of antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia with switching to quetiapine has also been reported (Keller and Mongini 2002; Kunwar and Megna 2003).

Aripiprazole provides to clinicians another treatment option, both as a first-line antipsychotic agent and a switching possibility from maintenance therapy, as confirmed by the report of an expert consensus meeting of October 2005 that aimed to agree on a set of guidelines for best-practice use of aripiprazole in the acute and long-term management of schizophrenia in Italy (Cassano et al 2007). A recent pilot study reported the successful replacement of amisulpride and risperidone with aripiprazole, with normalization of prolactin concentration at the end of week 4 (Lee et al 2006).

Given that it may be advantageous to avoid the use of direct dopaminergic agents in psychotic patients, for the risk of worsening psychosis, it is still unclear whether this risk is lower when using a partial agonist agent as aripiprazole in combination with a full antagonist (Cassano et al 2007). Indeed, because of the coexistence of high dopamine receptor affinity and partial agonist properties, aripiprazole may act as a dopamine agonist and may restore tonic inhibition to anterior pituitary lactotrophs and correct dopamine hypoactivity induced by risperidone (Whal and Ostroff 2005).

A single case of aripiprazole used in combination with another antipsychotic (risperidone) for the treatment of symptomatic hyperprolactinemia was reported by Wahl and Ostroff (2005). In a 17-year-old adolescent diagnosed
with schizophrenia, treated with risperidone long acting formulation (25 mg i.m. every 2 weeks), introduction of aripiprazole (15 mg/day) determined a gradual resolution of bilateral breast pain, swelling, and galactorrhea and normalization of serum prolactin from 119 ng/ml to 18 ng/ml (Whal and Ostroff 2005). However, the safety and efficacy of the combined use of aripiprazole with other antipsychotics, for the treatment of drug-induced hyperprolactinemia, need to be demonstrated in large, controlled trials (Cassano et al 2007).

In conclusion, a systematic evaluation of atypical neuroleptics as an alternative treatment for conventional drug-induced hyperprolactinemia is recommended. Switching to olanzapine has been proven to be an advantageous treatment of hyperprolactinemia in women with schizophrenia (Kinon et al 2000, 2006; Kim et al 2002). Also clozapine (Bunker et al 1997), quetiapine (Kunwar and Megna 2003) and aripiprazole (Lee et al 2006; Cassano et al 2007) seem to be reasonable substitutive treatment options for patients suffering from old neuroleptic- and risperidone-induced hyperprolactinemia. As for the use of aripiprazole in combination with other antipsychotics, large clinical trials are needed.

Antidepressant drugs

Only a few data concerning the effect of antidepressant drugs on prolactin secretion are currently available. Unlike neuroleptics, the action of antidepressant drugs on the neuroendocrine system is highly variable and not strictly related to their therapeutic efficacy (Meltzer et al 1982). This heterogeneity reflects the complexity of the aminergic control on pituitary hormone secretion and the role of antidepressants on these pathways (Goyot et al 1985). Antidepressant drugs with serotoninergic activity, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAO-I) and some tricyclics, can cause modest and generally asymptomatic hyperprolactinemia (Wieck and Haddad 2003; Molitch 2005) (Table 2 and Figure 2). Monotherapy-treated patients rarely reported symptoms due to increased prolactin secretion, while in patients on antipsychotic drugs that stimulate prolactin secretion, serotoninergic antidepressants may elevate prolactin levels above symptomatic levels or worsen pre-existing symptoms (Haddad and Wieck 2000). Most serotonin reuptake inhibitors can slightly elevate prolactin, with the exception of sertraline (Foley and Kast 2006). The atypical (ie, alone in their class) antidepressants mirtazapine and bupropion, are prolactin neutral (Foley and Kast 2006) the latter being previously reported to even decrease serum prolactin, maybe through a dopamine re-uptake blockade (Meltzer et al 1982).

Heterocyclic antidepressants. Heterocyclic antidepressants are reported to induce only mild hyperprolactinemia, but further studies are needed. Some of them, such as the tertiary amines imipramine (Nutt et al 1987), amitriptyline (Schlienger et al 1980) and clomipramine (Anderson and Cowen 1986) and the secondary amine desipramine (Price et al 1989), are thought to share a serotoninergic mechanism with SSRI.

A study on 14 patients on the tertiary amine amitriptyline showed an increase of 100% above pre-treatment prolactin level in 14% of the patients (Meltzer et al 1982), while a moderate (about 25%), though statistically significant, increase in plasma prolactin was reported in 17 patients daily treated with the secondary amine nortriptyline for three weeks, even though it was never above the symptomatic threshold (Nielsen 1980).

Prolactin levels, evaluated in depressive patients after acute and chronic administration of tricyclic antidepressants clomipramine and amitriptyline, were found to temporary rise during the first day of treatment in 6 patients out of 11, with a delay in relation to the drug plasma peak. After 28 days of therapy, a significant increase was observed in the clomipramine-treated group and a significant decrease in the amitriptyline group (Goyot et al 1985).

The abolishment of prolactin response to clomipramine after pre-treatment with serotonin receptor blockers clozapine and olanzapine (Markianos et al 2002) and its enhancement after L-tryptophan (Anderson and Cowen 1986) confirm the involvement of serotoninergic pathway in clomipramine-induced prolactin increase. Therapeutic doses of clomipramine had been associated with non-puerperal lactation and elevated plasma prolactin, both disturbances recovering within weeks after drug discontinuation (Fowlie and Burton 1987). Treatment with either clomipramine or maprotiline in 17 patients with major depressive disorder and in healthy subjects increased significantly basal prolactin levels, that were also significantly higher in responders than in non-responders. This increase is possibly the result of a weak inhibition of prolactin secretion, due to down-regulation of beta-adrenergic receptors, and/or enhanced activity of prolactin stimulating serotoninergic receptors (Baumgartner et al 1988). However, Schlienger et al showed that basal plasma levels of prolactin and delta prolactin response to TRH were increased in women on clomipramine but remained normal under maprotiline, in agreement with the different pharmacologic effect of these two classes of drugs, tricyclics mainly inhibiting serotonin, while tetracyclics rather norepinephrine recaptation (Schlienger et al 1980).
No evidence for a hyperprolactinemic effect of mianserine is reported (Meltzer et al 1982).

Desipramine administration was found not to affect prolactin levels in 24 patients on short term treatment (one week), but significantly enhanced prolactin levels after four weeks (Price et al 1989).

The effect of traditional tricyclic antidepressant imipramine on serum prolactin levels is controversial: oral administration of 100 mg, but not of 40 mg, led to consistent rise in prolactin levels, as measured in nine healthy young men, the effect being the result of serotonin enhancement following reuptake inhibition (Nutt et al 1987). A previous five-week double-blind study on depressed patients did not show any significant change in serum prolactin levels after imipramine therapy (Cooper et al 1981). The lack of prolactin raising effect and of antipsychotic properties is possibly explained with imipramine and other traditional tricyclic antidepressants not affecting dopamine transmission (Cooper et al 1981).

Amoxapine. Amoxapine is an antidepressant known to have neuroleptic properties. Its in vitro profile receptor occupancy pattern and preclinical effects are very similar to atypical antipsychotics, and it has also shown antipsychotic efficacy in clinical trials (Antor et al 1983; Apiquian et al 2005). In agreement with such properties, amoxapine was found to increase serum prolactin in 10 major depressed men significantly more than the secondary amine desipramine in the control group (Antor et al 1983). However a randomized, double-blind 6-week trial performed by Apiquian et al in 2005 to compare the antipsychotic and side effect profile of amoxapine (up to 250 mg/day) and risperidone (up to 5 mg/day) in 39 schizophrenic patients, showed that amoxapine was associated with less extrapyramidal effects and lower prolactin elevation than risperidone (Apiquian et al 2005). This prolactin raising effect, that amoxapine shares with loxapine, a related compound widely used as neuroleptic (Robertson et al 1982), is reported in both female and male patients and may be explained with the blockade of dopamine receptors in central tuberoinfundibular pathways (Cooper et al 1981) or in the anterior pituitary gland (Robertson et al 1982) (Figure 2).

Monoamine-oxidase inhibitors. The precise mechanism underlying monoamine-oxidase inhibitors (MAO-I)-induced hyperprolactinemia is still unknown. These drugs interact with several possible stimulatory pathways (Meltzer et al 1982; Price et al 1985).

Tranylcypromine was proved to enhance prolactin production after administration of the serotonin precursor tryptophan, thus confirming its role in serotonin function. The study by Price et al (Price et al 1985) showed little increase in prolactin concentration (3 ng/ml) after 2 weeks of tranylcypromine 10–40 mg/day treatment (Price et al 1985). Instead, hyperprolactinemia was certainly documented for old drugs currently not in use, such as pargyline and clorgyline, which acted through unknown mechanisms (Slater et al 1977), a hypothesis being the production of a prolactin releasing factor (Meltzer et al 1982).

SSRI. The development of SSRI as antidepressant drugs derived from the “serotonin hypothesis” of depression. These drugs have the ability to enhance serotonin activity, by inhibition of CNS neuron serotonin reuptake. Although several SSRI interact with other neurotransmitters (for example sertraline with dopamine and paroxetine with norepinephrine), prolactin stimulation probably involves only serotoninergic pathways, since hyperprolactinemia is a constant class-related effect, regardless of other pharmacological interactions (rev. by Emiliano and Fudge 2004).

SSRI were reported to be the most frequent cause of drug-induced hyperprolactinemia (Cohen and Davies 1998), but other data do not confirm this conclusion. SSRI actually cause little, if any, increase in prolactin secretion. Several uncontrolled studies assessed prolactin rise during SSRI treatment, though only paroxetine-treated patients exhibited statistically significant elevations, while all subjects on fluoxetine, sertraline or venlafaxine showed not significant elevations of basal prolactin (Urban and Veldhuis 1991; Spigset and Mjorndal 1997; Cowen and Sargent 1997).

Thirteen case reports were collected to revise the effect of chronic serotonin stimulation on prolactin in female patients on chronic SSRI therapy: prolactin raised between 28 and 60 ng/ml in all the patients, with most of them developing galactorrhea, associated with amenorrhea, soon after initiation of the therapy. In all the reports, hyperprolactinemia promptly subsided after discontinuation of the drug (Emiliano and Fudge 2004).

Sertraline, used for depressive illness, is the strongest dopamine reuptake blocker among SSRIs. Although one study of 15 female patients on 42.5 mg/day sertraline reported no difference in prolactin concentration, as compared to 16 control subjects, evaluated up to 24 weeks of treatment (Šsagud 2002), a large French review on the pharmacological causes of hyperprolactinemia, conducted on 159 patients, reported 27 cases (17%) due to SSRI administration (Petit et al 2003) with the highest incidence of SSRI-associated hyperprolactinemia for sertraline, followed by fluoxetine, paroxetine, and fluvoxamine. In the same study (Petit et al 2003) only citalopram was not linked to a significant increase.
in prolactin levels (Petit et al 2003), differently from previous findings of an increase by 40% from basal prolactin level after 10 days of treatment (Laine et al 1997).

Fluoxetine is indicated in major depression and panic disorders with agoraphobia, obsessive-compulsive disorders, binge eating, bulimia, premenstrual syndrome. Fluoxetine clinical efficacy is due to enhanced serotonin pathway mainly via postsynaptic mechanisms, with minimal effect on dopamine reuptake. Fluoxetine induces a 5HT receptor–mediated stimulation of prolactin secretion and is reported to increase prolactin levels more than tricyclics (Meltzer et al 1997). The most common endocrinologic side effects associated with fluoxetine are reduced libido (1%–11%) impaired ejaculation (<1%–7%) and impotence (<1%–7%). Since fluoxetine half-life varies upon duration of the treatment (1–3 days after short term intake, 4–6 days after chronic treatment) and its metabolite norfluoxetine washout is complete only after 4–16 days, the resolution of symptoms after discontinuation may be slow. Frequent blood sampling after 60 mg fluoxetine administered daily for 6 days to 7 female patients showed a significant increase of mean 24-hour serum prolactin concentrations (16% above basal level), due to an increase in maximal serum prolactin peak height, without alteration of prolactin pulse circadian frequency (Urban and Veldhuis 1991).

Paroxetine is used for depression, panic disorder with agoraphobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder, binge eating. The most frequent endocrinologic side effects are impaired libido (6%–15%), anorgasmia (2%–9%) and dismenorrhea (5%). In a study by Cowen and Sargent on 11 healthy subjects treated with paroxetine 20 mg/daily, prolactin levels showed no increase above pre-treatment values within the first week, but a slight increase (by 35%) was observed at the 3rd week, not correlated with an increased drug plasma concentration (Cowen and Sargent, 1997). These data have been confirmed by another study that reported significant hyperprolactinemia in female patients treated with paroxetine for at least 2 months (Amsterdam et al 1997). These clinical results support experimental data suggesting that SSRI produce a delayed increase in brain serotonergic neurotransmission (Cowen and Sargent 1997; Porter et al 1999).

In the same study by Amsterdam et al, venlafaxine-treated patients showed no increase in prolactin concentration after two months (Amsterdam et al 1997).

Concerning fluvoxamine, mild hyperprolactinemia was reported in 30 depressed patients after both short (one week) and long term (four weeks) treatment (Price et al 1989) and in two out of eight healthy subjects treated with increasing dosage up to 200 mg/day for 4 weeks (Spigset and Mjorndal 1997).

Unlike other antidepressants, mirtazapine does not inhibit the reuptake of serotonin or norepinephrine but acts as an agonist at presynaptic and, presumably, postsynaptic alpha 2-receptors as well as an agonist of postsynaptic 5-HT2 and 5-HT3-receptors. Prolactin levels, measured before and after administration of mirtazapine i.v. did not show any significant difference from placebo (Laakmann et al 1999). Schule found significant lowering in plasma prolactin following acute oral administration of 15 mg mirtazapine in 12 healthy male subjects, as compared to placebo (Schule et al 2002).

Trazodone administration was found to increase significantly prolactin concentrations, when measured at baseline and after 12 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks treatment, the means ± S.D. of plasma prolactin concentrations increasing from 9.1 ± 5.6 ng/ml to a maximum of 15.3 +/– 8.5 ng/ml at one week (Otani et al 1995). These recent results are at variance with those of older studies that documented a decrease in prolactin concentration in ten healthy patients treated with trazodone (Rolandi et al 1981).

In summary, controversial data are available on antidepressant-induced hyperprolactinemia. Sustained and symptomatic hyperprolactinemia has been demonstrated with the heterocyclic antidepressants amitriptyline, desipramine, clomipramine and amoxapine. However, SSRI have been reported to be the most frequent cause of drug-induced hyperprolactinemia. Among those, sertraline appears to be the most frequent cause of sustained hyperprolactinemia, but also fluoxetine and paroxetine may induce pathologic and symptomatic increases in prolactin levels. Most of the other anti-depressants do not induce hyperprolactinemia or induce only transient or within normal range variations with no or little clinical relevance.

Other psychotropic drugs
Other psychotropic drugs such as lithium, valproic acid, buspirone, carbamazepine, and benzodiazepines rarely produce clinically relevant increases in prolactin concentrations (Marken et al 1992).

Buspirone is an anxiolytic drug with mixed dopamine agonist-antagonist and 5-HT1A agonist properties. Hyperprolactinemia after acute buspirone administration is reported in both depressive and healthy subjects, responses being significantly higher in women than in men (Meltzer and Maes 1994). Prolactin levels raised in a dose-dependent manner (up to 320% of basal value) after administration
of a dose of buspirone higher than that required for the anxiolytic effect (100 mg) (Seppala et al 1987). However, it has not been entirely clarified whether the serotoninergic or the dopaminergic system is especially accountable for the buspirone-induced prolactin secretive response (Maskall et al 1995) (Figure 2).

No published reports have so far documented hyperprolactinemia as a side effect of carbamazepine treatment. In a study by Bonuccelli et al (1985), on normal and epileptic subjects, no appreciable change in prolactin spontaneous secretion or in prolactin secretory circadian rhythm was observed, though a small increase in early sleep values was reported. These results were interpreted to indicate that prolactin changes induced by carbamazepine are mediated by serotoninergic activity.

Clonazepam (a central type benzodiazepine agonist) and diazepam (a mixed agonist) are reported to decrease prolactin levels, possibly through a direct action on the anterior pituitary gland (Jarvinen et al 1992) (Figure 2).

Prolactin levels are differentially influenced by GABA-ergic drugs, depending on their potency (ie, receptor affinity) and dose. The robust increase in prolactin levels found in response to the GABA agonist alprazolam is not consistent with previous data on traditional benzodiazepines: Zemishlany et al reported that plasma prolactin levels increased by 100% two to eight hours after a single dose (3 mg) of alprazolam (Zemishlany et al 1990).

Short-term GABA-mimetic valproate treatment in eight healthy male volunteers was found not to alter hypothalamic or pituitary 5-HT1A or dopamine receptor function (Delva et al 2002). In 1985, during studies on tuberoinfundibular-GABA (TI-GABA) system, 800 mg oral valproate was found to decrease prolactin concentrations in 20 healthy women, the lack of effect in schizophrenic patients supporting the hypothesis of a defect of the TI-GABA system in chronic schizophrenia (Monteleone et al 1985).

The effects of lithium on prolactin secretion are controversial. It had initially been proposed that lithium would increase prolactin, possibly through a decrease in dopamine receptor sensitivity (Meltzer et al 1982). A recent study showed that lithium affects variably prolactin secretion, in relation to duration of the therapy: a treatment of less than six months was found to increase prolactin levels as compared to controls, while bipolar patients on long term treatments (>six months) showed a decrease in prolactin levels (Basturk et al 2001). Studies that reported that lithium enhances significantly the hyperprolactinemic response after clomipramine but not after metoclopramide or haloperidol administration indicate that the lithium-mediated prolactin release may selectively involve serotoninergic pathways (Mc Cance et al 1989). However, lithium-prolactin interactions are probably even more complex and involve both dopamine and serotonin pathways (Basturk et al 2001).

Prokinetics

Two prokinetic drugs, that are commonly used in gastrointestinal disorders, induce hyperprolactinemia via a dopamine-antagonistic mechanism (Figure 2): metoclopramide, that blocks dopamine and, at higher doses, also serotonin receptors in chemoreceptor trigger zone of CNS, and domperidone, that does not cross the blood brain barrier and is therefore a selective peripheral (extra cerebral) dopamine antagonist. These drugs have been reported to cause symptomatic hyperprolactinemia (Tamagna et al 1979; Fujino et al 1980).

Metoclopramide, a prokinetic drug used in nausea, vomiting, diabetic gastric stasis and gastroesophageal reflux, is a potent stimulator of prolactin release. Endocrine and metabolic side effects, such as amenorrhea, galactorrhea, gynecomastia and impotence are reported with undefined frequency. Serum prolactin concentration measured soon after metoclopramide administration was found acutely increased in five patients (Tamagna et al 1979). It has been reported that prolactin concentration may acutely increase up to six-fold over baseline after a single oral administration of 10 mg metoclopramide (Mc Callum et al 1976) and return to normal range after 12 hours. In these and other studies (Mc Callum et al 1976; Tamagna et al 1979; Browers et al 1980) mean serum prolactin concentration was confirmed to persist significantly high during oral chronic treatment, up to 15-fold above baseline.

Domperidone is used for gastrointestinal motility disorders and for the prevention of gastrointestinal symptoms associated with dopaminergic treatment of Parkinson’s disease. Endocrinologic side effects are reported in less than 1% of domperidone-treated subjects and include galactorrhea, gynecomastia and menstrual irregularities. Domperidone 10 mg i.v. induced acute increase in prolactin concentration in healthy subjects (Sowers et al 1982), the increase occurring within the first 15 minutes after administration and being higher in women than in men (Fujino et al 1980). In contrast to metoclopramide, however, under chronic administration of domperidone, prolactin tends to decrease significantly, even if still above the normal range (Browers et al 1980). This observation is consistent with the pharmacodynamic differences showed by these two drugs, as mentioned above.
The effect on prolactin levels of the classical antipsychotic chlorpromazine, used in clinical practice for its anti-nausea properties, has been discussed above.

**Anti-hypertensive drugs**

Alpha-methyldopa is an alpha-adrenergic inhibitor, which is likely to decrease dopamine synthesis acting as a false neurotransmitter and inhibits the enzymatic conversion of L-dopa to dopamine catalyzed by aromatic-L-aminoacid decarboxylase (Steiner 1976). The most common endocrinologic side effect reported is gynecomastia (<1%). A single dose of 750–1000 mg of alpha-methyldopa is reported to significantly increase prolactin, with a peak concentration occurring 4–6 hours after administration; long term treatment has been associated with three- to four-fold increases in basal prolactin levels compared to normal subjects (Steiner et al 1976).

The central monoamine reserpine, used in hypertension but also in psychosis, schizophrenia and tardive dyskinesia, acts by depletion of sympathetic biogenic amines (among which dopamine) inhibiting their hypothalamic storage in secretory granules (Lee et al 1976) (Figure 2). The occurrence of gynecomastia is reported in patients treated with reserpine, with undefined frequency. Serum prolactin levels are significantly higher among hypertensive patients receiving reserpine, compared to those found six weeks after discontinuation of the treatment; prolactin elevation is proportional to the duration of the use. Increased incidence of breast cancer has also been reported among patients on antihypertensive therapy reserpine (Lee et al 1976).

The antiarrhythmic agent class IV verapamil is a calcium channel blocker, indicated for the treatment of hypertension, angina, arrhythmias and is reported to induce galactorrhea in <1% of patients. In this case, the mechanism sustaining hyperprolactinemia is not clear, a hypothesis being a reduction in hypothalamic dopamine generation, possibly through N-type calcium channels (Kelley et al 1996). However, no other calcium-antagonist, such as diltiazem (Veldhuis et al 1985) or dihydropiridine (Kelley et al 1996) has ever been associated with prolactin increase. A study published in 1996 on 449 men receiving verapamil showed significantly higher prevalence of hyperprolactinemia in the treated group than in the control group (8.5% vs 3%); serum prolactin levels returned to normal in all patients that discontinued the therapy, while 93.3% of patients still on verapamil had persistent hyperprolactinemia, thus confirming the causal role of verapamil treatment in the development of hyperprolactinemia (Romeo et al 1996).

The antihypertensive beta-blocker labetalol has been described to increase prolactin levels when administered intravenously in hypertensive patients, but not when administered orally (100 or 200 mg). This effect seems to be related to a central action, because pre-treatment with L-DOPA and carbiDOPA, reported to blunt the prolactin responses to central stimuli, avoids prolactin response (Barbieri et al 1982).

**Opiates**

Consistently with the large body of evidence that endogenous opioid peptides participate in the regulation of pituitary secretion, though with unfocused physiological roles, morphine and related drugs have been found to exert endocrine effects through interactions with dopaminergic and serotonergic hypothalamic pathways and consequent modulation of pituitary hormone secretion (Van Vugt and Meites 1980).

Studies on both animals and humans demonstrated that endogenous opioids administered either intravenously or intraventricularly led to a rapid and dose-dependent plasma prolactin increase (Van Vugt and Meites 1980; Risch et al 1982). Opioids may indirectly stimulate prolactin release by inhibiting PIFs (ie, dopamine) release and/or stimulating PRFs production (Shin et al 1988) (Figure 2). Since it is prevented by a previous injection of the specific opiate antagonist naloxone, morphine- and methadone-induced prolactin secretion seems to be primarily mediated by µ receptor (Panerai et al 1985; Shin et al 1988). To demonstrate whether an alternative dopamine independent mechanism, possibly involving a PRF, has a role in morphine-induced prolactin release in animals, complete blockade of dopaminergic receptors was achieved by pre-treatment with pimozide, showing that morphine (10 mg/kg) was still able to stimulate prolactin release without any functional dopaminergic receptors. In conclusion, morphine stimulatory effect on prolactin release may act through a mechanism which is not depending on dopaminergic receptors (Shin et al 1988), though morphine, cocaine and beta-endorphine have been long reported to increase prolactin through dopaminergic interactions (Gold et al 1978). Long term methadone users have normal basal prolactin levels, even though a transient increase, beginning two-four hours after each daily dose, has been shown (Bart et al 2003).

**H2-receptor antagonists**

Although histamine H2-receptor competitive antagonists cimetidine and ranitidine, used in active ulcers, ulcer prophylaxis and gastric hypersecretory conditions, have stimulatory effect on prolactin secretion (Perret et al 1986; Knigge 1990),
hyperprolactinemia has never been systematically reported, except for isolated case reports published shortly after the approval of the drugs (Petrillo et al 1977). The neurobehavioral syndrome, that appears with the withdrawal of H2 receptors blockers and subsides with the restoration of the treatment or with the administration of a potent prolactin inducing drug as domperidone, should be related to a prompt reduction of prolactin levels (Rampello et al 1997).

In accordance with a histamine-dependent prolactin regulatory pattern, the histamine H2-receptor competitive antagonist cimetidine can have an inhibitory (following central administration) or stimulatory (following systemic administration) effect on prolactin secretion (Knigge 1990), the latter being the result of a decreased dopamine release from hypothalamus to pituitary gland (Morosini et al 1979).

However, high doses of cimetidine possess an additional prolactin stimulatory action, not exerted by the other H2-receptor antagonist ranitidine, that does not seem to be related to blockade of H2-receptors (Knigge 1990) nor to interaction with the dopaminergic system (Knigge et al 1987). The observation that systemic administration of specific histamine-H2 agonist (improprimidine) is not able to avoid the cimetidine-induced prolactin release, while pre-treatment with benzodiazepines or GABA respectively suppressed or reduced prolactin response, suggested that cimetidine does not induce prolactin hypersecretion through an action on histamine H2 receptors, but through the interaction with other neurotransmitters, such as the pituitary GABA-ergic system (Sibilia et al 1985) (Figure 1).

**Estrogens and anti-androgens**

Estrogen-induced hyperprolactinemia is directly dependent on the degree of estrogenization. Physiologic amounts of estrogen in women cause a minimal increase in basal serum prolactin (Frantz 1978), but may explain the greater prolactin response of women to physiologic and pharmacologic stimuli. Greater amounts of estrogens, as in pregnancy, increase basal prolactin concentration. It is uncertain whether the amount of estrogens in hormonal contraceptives is able to induce hyperprolactinemia: heterogeneous data concerning oral estroprogestin anti-contraceptive therapy are reported. Studies on women taking low-dose-estrogen compounds (less than 50 micrograms of estradiol) did not show a significant elevation response as compared to pretreatment levels (De Leo et al 1991) or control patients with intrauterine devices (Hwang et al 1986). In the studies documenting hyperprolactinemia, the incidence among women on oral contraceptives is variously reported, from 12% (Luciano et al 1985) to 30% (Reyniak et al 1980). In the study by Luciano et al, hyperprolactinemia, assessed by multiple blood sampling, appeared transient and resolved spontaneously in about 50% of cases (Luciano et al 1985). In some of these studies, no correlation was found between the dosage of estrogenic component of the combined oral contraceptives, or duration of their use, and prolactin levels (Reyniak et al 1980; Davis et al 1984). Little is known, however, about dose-dependency of estrogen effects on plasma hormone levels. Hormone replacement therapy is usually prescribed as medium- to high-dose formulations. In surgically or naturally menopausal women receiving different kinds of hormone replacement therapies, over 2 years and 6 months, no significant differences in serum prolactin levels were found during subsequent treatment cycles, serum prolactin showing varying levels always within normal range (Foth and Romer 1997).

No increase in basal prolactin levels is reported during therapy with estrogen plus antiandrogen cyproterone acetate alone (Acien et al 1997) or with additional spironolactone (Kelestimur and Sahin 1998), nor during hirsutism treatment with flutamide (Muderris and Bayram 1999; Taner et al 2002). A significant decrease in prolactin levels has been reported in patients treated with flutamide alone (Akaza et al 1993) or with flutamide and an oral contraceptive formulation with ethinyl estradiol and cyproterone acetate (Taner et al 2002). However, in 60 postmenopausal women receiving replacement therapy with estrogen plus anti-androgen cyproterone acetate, a significant increase in hyperprolactinemic response to dopaminergic drug sulpiride was observed (Paoletti et al 2001), though normal basal prolactin levels were confirmed.

**Other drugs**

Fenfluramine is a sympathomimetic amine used as an appetite suppressant. It causes hyperprolactinemia by promoting the release of serotonin and blocking its neuronal uptake, that leads to an increased serotoninergic activity and postsynaptic stimulation of 5HT2A (Figure 2). In healthy subjects, prolactin release by fenfluramine is dose-dependent, negatively correlated with age and increased in young females, as compared to males (Newman 1998).

One case report documented hyperprolactinemia during chronic anticolvulsivant therapy with fenitoin and phenobarbital after the addition of oral fluoroasone 750 mg daily. The pathogenetic mechanism is unclear (Rossi et al 1983).

Hyperprolactinemia in four patients on protease inhibitors presenting with galactorrhea likely resulted from the enhancement of dopamine antagonistic effects of other simultaneously
administered drugs (prokinetics and fluoxetine) through inhibitory action on cytochrome P 450 system (Hutchinson et al 2000).

Cholinomimetic drugs with central effect, such as physostigmine, have been differently reported either to have no effect, to increase, or to inhibit prolactin release, though physostigmine may produce significant increases in plasma prolactin concentrations in humans after intravenous infusion. In three separate studies, reported by Risch et al 1982, conducted collaboratively by the National Institute of Mental Health and the University of California at San Diego, prolactin rise following physostigmine and arecoline administration correlated with plasma concentrations of beta-endorphin immunoreactivity, cholinergic stimulation of hypothalamic beta-endorphin possibly representing an interesting example of peptidergic modulation of hypothalamic-pituitary hormonal regulation (Risch et al 1982).

Controversial data are available on the effect of chemotherapy and immunosuppression on prolactin levels. In a study of 20 women with breast cancer who underwent high-dose chemotherapy and autologous blood stem-cell transplantation, plasma prolactin levels increased significantly during conditioning and within 30 days after transplant, remaining however within the normal age- and sex-adjusted range in all cases (Hinterberger-Fischer M et al 2000). In the same study (Hinterberger-Fischer MM et al 2000), the use of antiemetic drugs induced further increase in prolactin levels. However, this increase did not affect disease-free survival after transplant. These results are in line with those of previous studies that analyzed the long-term effect of allogeneic bone marrow transplantation on pituitary, gonad, thyroid and adrenal function in adult patients (Kauppila M et al 1998). Disturbances in GH, adrenal and prolactin were documented, but appeared to occur less frequently than functional impairment of hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad/thyroid axis after intensive treatment and bone marrow transplantation (Kauppila M et al 1998a). Thus, it is very well known that chemotherapy induces frequently hypogonadism in both men and women by a direct toxic action on the gonad (Fairley KF et al 1972; Shamberger RC et al 1981), but significant prolactin increases are not frequent and are normally mild (Kauppila M et al 1998b). Hyperprolactinemia has been documented in the majority of patients treated with irradiation because of CNS malignancies (Conchine LS et al 1987). In patients with CNS tumor, the risk to develop iatrogenic hyperprolactinemia is mostly dependent on the radiation dose, with the majority of subjects who receive more than 55 Gy experiencing this endocrine disturbance (Conshine LS et al 1987), but is also dependent on the concomitant use of chemotherapy which increases the probability to develop both prolactin and thyroid abnormalities (Conshine LS et al 1987).
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