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Abstract: Elevated understanding and respect for the relevance of the immune system in cancer development and therapy has led to increased development of immunotherapeutic regimens that target existing cancer cells and provide long-term immune surveillance and protection from cancer recurrence. This review discusses using particles as immune adjuvants to create vaccines and to augment the anticancer effects of conventional chemotherapeutics. Several particle prototypes are presented, including liposomes, polymer nanoparticles, and porous silicon microparticles, the latter existing as either single- or multiparticle platforms. The benefits of using particles include immune-cell targeting, codelivery of antigens and immunomodulatory agents, and sustained release of the therapeutic payload. Nanotherapeutic-based activation of the immune system is dependent on both intrinsic particle characteristics and on the immunomodulatory cargo, which may include danger signals known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns and cytokines for effector-cell activation.
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Introduction
Cancer remains a major cause of human morbidity and mortality, despite advances in our understanding of the etiological agents giving rise to cancer and mechanisms of oncogenesis. Cancer has many causes, and heterogeneity within cancer-cell populations makes targeting single pathways or cellular mutations unlikely to be fully curative. Further complicating therapeutic interventions are the realities that each cancer is unique in its pathophysiology and that cancer cells have the potential to adapt to survive. Our bodies normally safeguard us against cancer through immune surveillance and removal of abnormal cells as they arise. However, the combination of adaptation of cancer cells and immunosuppressive changes in the tumor microenvironment impairs normal immune functions. Boosting the immune response in the presence of appropriate cancer antigens is one approach to reactivating normal immune defense mechanisms. Nanoparticle platforms present a means to codeliver immune stimulants and either cancer antigens or agents that expose endogenous cancer antigens.

The role of the immune system in cancer detection and progression is complex, with immune surveillance providing monitoring for diseased or mutated cells, and a role for chronic inflammation in cancer initiation and progression. The role of inflammation in cancer initiation was first postulated in 1863 by Rudolph Virchow, who observed leukocyte infiltration in neoplastic tissues and proposed that malignant tumors arise at sites of chronic inflammation. Chronic inflammation and the presence of abundant inflammatory cells leads to oxidative damage and environmental changes that favor...
cellular transformation and proliferation. Infiltrating leukocytes and macrophages enhance angiogenesis and exert profibrogenic events. Despite the prevalence of immune cells, the tumor microenvironment is immunosuppressive. Negative immune regulators include T-regulatory (T<sub>reg</sub>) cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs; CD11b<sup>+</sup>, Gr-1<sup>+</sup>), and immunosuppressive cytokines (eg, interleukin [IL]-10) and growth factors (eg, transforming growth-factor [TGF]-β).

Negative regulatory receptors include programmed death (PD)-1, cluster of differentiation (CD)-25, and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4). An additional challenge in designing therapeutics is the dual role of some immune modulators, such as TGF-β, which can switch from tumor suppressor to tumor promoter.

Complement is another immune regulator with a wide range of functions, including pathogen opsonization, killing of bacteria through lysis, and clearance of immune complexes and apoptotic cells. It is thought to function as a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Complement is activated by sequential binding/recognition and cleavage events, with complement products, such as C3a, serving as inflammatory mediators and chemoattractants. Despite its positive immune regulation, complement has also been implicated in tumor progression, with pharmacological blockade of the C5a receptor slowing tumor growth and enhancing CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell antitumor responses. The C5a blockade is thought to disrupt recruitment of MDSCs and induce functional hindrance of existing immune cells. In addition, C5a regulates the production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species by MDSCs, contributing to immune suppression.

Galluzzi et al recently published a comprehensive review on the ability of conventional chemotherapeutics to stimulate the immune system against cancer. Mechanisms of action included: direct T-cell activation leading to the production of IL-2, interferon (IFN)-γ, and IL-17; maturation and activation of dendritic cells (DCs); inhibition or depletion of MDSCs and T<sub>reg</sub> cells; inhibition of signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 phosphorylation and PD ligand 2-mediated immunosuppression; enhanced permeability of tumor cells to granzyme; and altered expression of transcription factors, cytokines, and chemokines.

Certain chemotherapeutics, such as anthracyclines and platinum-based antineoplastic drugs, trigger the release of inflammatory danger signals. Example of these signals include adenosine triphosphate and high-mobility group box 1. These agents activate the inflammasome, leading to IL-1β secretion, and stimulate Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on DCs, respectively. Taxanes increase tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and increase levels of T helper 1 (Th1)-associated cytokines while decreasing negative inflammatory agents. Cyclophosphamide, an alkylating agent, depletes immunosuppressive T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Necrotic tumor cells, apoptotic bodies, and locally released tumor-associated antigens resulting from chemotherapy are then available for uptake by activated DCs, and the antigens are processed for presentation to lymphocytes.

One goal for nanoparticle-based immunotherapy is to further antagonize the immunosuppressive environment to achieve effective immune responses. Immune adjuvants include agents that skew the tumor-microenvironment cytokine milieu. It has been demonstrated that patients with tumors that have strong Th1 cytotoxic T-lymphocyte (CTL) responses and high levels of infiltrating lymphocytes respond better to neoadjuvant chemotherapy than patients with tumors skewed towards Th2 responses. Depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> and not CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells or NK cells in mice abolishes antitumor immune effects induced by combination chemotherapy and antigen exposure. An alternative to adjuvant therapy, and more difficult to accomplish, is the creation of prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines that function without the need for radiation or chemotherapeutics.

Major nanotechnology concepts presented in this review are illustrated in Figure 1. Particle platforms and properties that enhance their ability to function as adjuvants and vaccines are presented in Figure 1A. The influence of inherent particle properties, such as size, on antigen-presenting cell (APC) uptake and migration to the draining lymph node is presented in Figure 1B, and the processing and presentation of particle-delivered antigens by DC are presented in Figure 1C.

**Cancer vaccines and retraining the immune microenvironment**

The goal of prophylactic vaccines is activation and clonal expansion of B cells that secrete cancer-specific antibodies for opsonization of cancer cells leading to recognition by NK cells, monocytes, and macrophages (ie, antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity). The goal of therapeutic vaccines is clonal expansion of cytolytic T cells that recognize cancer cells and induce cell death through the release of the perforin and granzyme. These cytoxins create pores in the target cell’s membrane and activate the caspase cascade, leading to apoptosis. The optimal cancer vaccine would activate both humoral and cellular arms of adaptive immunity, leading to cancer ablation and long-term immune surveillance through the establishment of tumor-specific effector and memory T, B, and plasma cells.
Cell mediators of sustained immune responses are APCs, with DCs being recognized as potent activators of adaptive immunity. DCs engulf foreign objects by fluid-phase pinocytosis, receptor-mediated endocytosis, and phagocytosis, and secrete proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Proteins are degraded into peptides and assembled with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and II molecules. The classical path of MHC class II loading is exogenous antigen processing and loading within the endosomal pathway, while class I endogenous antigen processing

Figure 1 (A–C) Schematic overview of particle-based vaccines. (A) Three particle prototypes used to create therapeutic vaccines include porous silicon microparticles, poly(lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles, and liposomes. Each type of particle has unique features that impact its function and loading capacity, but potential common attributes include danger signals, antigens, immunomodulatory agents, and targeting entities. (B) Particle vaccines are either preloaded into dendritic cells (DCs) ex vivo or administered as free particles for in vivo uptake by antigen presenting cells (APCs). The size of the particle impacts its mode of transport to the lymph node, with large particles relying on cell-based trafficking and smaller nanoparticles independently entering the lymphatics. (C) DCs process and present antigens delivered by particles to T cells by means of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I or II pathways.

Notes: Antigen processing and association with MHC II occurs within the endolysosomal pathway, while MHC I-dependent processing occurs within the proteasome and ER.

Abbreviations: TCR, T-cell receptor; CD, cluster of differentiation.
occurs within the proteasome, with transporters associated with antigen-processing (TAP) transporting antigens into the endoplasmic reticulum for loading of MHC class I molecules (Figure 1C). In addition to proteasomal degradation, models proposed to explain MHC class I loading of exogenous protein (ie, cross-presentation) include a unique intercellular compartment known as an ergosome, which is a fusion compartment involving the phagosome and the ER. It has also been proposed that DCs have evolved to possess a unique endocytic trafficking pathway that facilitates recycled MHC I access to antigens within endosomal compartments.

Successful engagement of a sustained anticancer immune response requires a complex set of interactions between activated DC and naive T and B cells. With respect to humoral immunity, DCs (1) induce naive B cells to differentiate into memory and plasma cells, (2) induce naive CD4+ T cells to differentiate into helper cells, (3) facilitate CD4+ T-cell enhancement of B-cell immunoglobulin (Ig)-M production and favor isotype switching towards IgG, IgE, and IgA. The second arm of adaptive immunity is cellular immunity. Under normal conditions, mature DCs are capable of activating T-lymphocyte populations endowed with high-tumor-antigen avidity, including (1) effector CD8+ T cells, (2) memory CD8+ T cells, and (3) CD4+ T-helper cells.

DCs present antigen to CD4+ T cells in association with MHC class II molecules and to CD8+ CTLs and effector CD4+ T cells in association with MHC class I molecules (Figure 1C). APCs present the antigen complex to naive T cells in association with co-stimulatory molecules, specifically CD80, CD86, and CD40, leading to activation of antigen-specific cytolytic functions and tumor eradication.

A key player in the development of humoral immunity is IL-12. Systemic delivery of IL-12 to patients with head and neck cancer leads to activation of B cells in draining lymph nodes, as well as tumor infiltration and regression. Existing paradigms state that IL-12 causes naive T cells to differentiate along the Th1 pathway. Conversely, antigen presentation in the absence of IL-12 or in the presence of immunosuppressive cytokines induces T-cell anergy and lack of antitumor immunity. However, IL-12 causes significant dose-dependent morbidity, requiring a mechanism or delivery vehicle to spatially or temporally limit IL-12 limit expression. Localized tumor delivery of IL-12 with liposomes has been demonstrated, and mechanisms for spatial and temporal gene expression include tissue-specific and stimuli-triggered promoters (eg, heat-shock promoters combined with heat-generating nanoparticles and laser light exposure). The use of ultrasound-sensitive liposomes containing perfluoropropane gas for IL-12 gene delivery has also been demonstrated. Other cytokine candidates for immunomodulation, such as IL-2, enhance the cytotoxicity of effector immune cells, but also cause significant dose-dependent morbidity and act as positive regulators of Treg cells. Cytokine candidates for effector cell activation also include IL-21, IL-18, and type I IFNs (IFN-α and -β). IL-18 has been given to patients without dose-limiting toxicities. Stephan et al demonstrated that sustained pseudoautocrine stimulation of immune cells with IL-15, IL-15 receptor, and IL-21 elicited marked enhancement in tumor elimination in a model of adaptive T-cell therapy for cancer.

Encouraging clinical responses have been reported with combination therapy that includes inoculation with recombinant granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and IFN-γ. GM-CSF both stimulates differentiation of myeloid precursors towards the DC lineage and functions as a chemoattractant, luring DCs to either the tumor or the site of antigen deposition. Clinical use of GM-CSF has been shown to be safe and effective. GM-CSF gene therapy-based immunotherapy involves transducing cancer cells with vectors that express GM-CSF, such as GVAX therapy. The secreted GM-CSF both recruits DCs to the tumor site and stimulates DC antigen uptake and presentation. IFN-γ is an immunostimulatory cytokine originally called “macrophage-activating factor.” It is secreted by Th1 cells and increases lysosome activity, antigen presentation, and expression of MHC class I, as well as suppressing Th2 activity. IFN-γ is active on both B and T cells, and has been demonstrated to inhibit the growth of preneoplastic and neoplastic mammary cells. Additionally, IFN-γ antagonizes tumor growth by enhancing secretion of antiangiogenic chemokines. However, IFN-γ alters transcription of a large array of genes, making localized therapy, such as nanoparticle-based delivery, attractive.

### Vaccine adjuvants

Alum, the standard adjuvant used in the majority of immunization regimens, is a trivalent aluminum salt. It was first tested as an adjuvant in a tetanus toxin vaccine in 1926 by Glenny et al. Injection of alum causes macrophage and leukocyte migration to the injection site. Proposed mechanisms of action include entrapment/adsorption of antigens within mineral deposits for either sustained antigen release (depot effect) or enhanced antigen uptake by APCs. Alum is engulfed by macrophages, and is reported to persist inside the cell for several months. The depot effect of alum has been argued against based on continued adjuvant effects following excision of the injection site. Following uptake of alum by macrophages, it has controversially been suggested that alum
induces lysosomal membrane rupture, leading to nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich family pyrin-containing 3 gene activation.\textsuperscript{49} Also, while macrophages are able to internalize alum, it reportedly causes frustrated phagocytosis in DC, preventing uptake of the crystalline aggregates.\textsuperscript{50} Interaction of alum with lipids in the plasma membrane of DC is thought to be accompanied by delivery of soluble antigen across the cell membrane, leading predominately to Th2-directed immune responses. However, it is reported that the presence of the TLR-4 ligand monophosphoryl lipid (MPL)-A can redirect alum-mediated immune responses towards Th1.\textsuperscript{51,52} The use of MPL combined with aluminum (AS04) has been approved for clinical use in several viral vaccines.\textsuperscript{53}

Cellular uptake of microbes is accompanied by engagement of pattern-recognition receptors, further contributing to cellular activation. Pattern-recognition receptors are specific for conserved microbial structures known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), present on microbes or viruses.\textsuperscript{54,55} Activation of these receptors induces phagocytosis and expression of genes that cause maturation of the cell and activation of antimicrobial events, thereby inducing innate immunity. Particles carrying PAMPs similarly induce phagocytosis and elevate the activation of APCs. The scanning electron micrograph in Figure 2A shows a DC (blue), loaded with particles containing lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and antigen (ovalbumin [OVA]), being engaged by a T cell (red) expressing a transgenic TCR for recognition of the MHC I-presented antigen (image acquired using an FEI [Hillsboro, OR, USA] Nova NanoSEM by Victor Segura-Ibarra). Formation of an immunological synapse between a DC and T cell is shown in the transmission electron micrograph in Figure 2B (image acquired using a JEOL [Tokyo, Japan] 1210 TE microscope by Jim Barrish with cell study by Brenda Melendez). The T cells in the images were isolated from the spleen of C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb) mice. The transgenic T-cell receptors recognize the OVA SIINFEKL peptide in association with MHC class I molecules. The DCs were treated with particles (1 \( \mu \)m discoidal porous silicon [pSi], 20 particles per cell dose) carrying the peptide and a TLR-4 ligand (LPS) prior to incubation with the T cells (3 hours), as previously published.\textsuperscript{56}

**Particles as vaccine-delivery vehicles**

Recent advances in nanotherapeutics for cancer applications have provided diverse groups of synthetic particles with defined cellular and biological functions. Lipid and polymeric particles, as well as virus and virus-like particles, have been used to facilitate antigen delivery, with concurrent delivery of antigens and adjuvant serving to enhance what would otherwise be limited and short-lived responses to subunit vaccines.\textsuperscript{57} Benefits of particle-based carriers include long-term release of antigens, oriented antigen and/or adjuvant presentation, multivalent presentation, and targeting. The potential for encapsulated and sustained release of antigen within cells has been proposed to increase antigen-presentation time by DCs,\textsuperscript{58} permitting time for migration of DCs to lymphatic tissue within the presentation time window. This is in contrast to bare antigens, in which
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the presentation time is reportedly limited to 12 hours. It is hoped that sustained release of antigen from particles can induce strong protection, eliminating the need for repeated doses of the vaccine (prime-boost).59

Shen et al58 examined antigen uptake and CD8+ T-cell activation in DCs treated with soluble antigen, or particles with surface-attached (latex or poly[lactide-co-glycolide] [PLGA] particles) or encapsulated antigen (PLGA particles). Antigen encapsulation resulted in increased cellular uptake of antigen and induced T-cell responses at 1000-fold lower antigen concentrations than free antigen, and tenfold lower concentrations than surface-attached antigen. While MHC class I presentation of particle-encapsulated antigen remained 80% effective at 96 hours postintroduction, there was no detectable presentation by cells treated with soluble or particle surface-coated antigens. The mechanism of antigen delivery was postulated to influence cross-presentation. Support for this mechanism is the finding that while macro-pinocytosis of soluble antigen leads to poor MHC class I presentation by APCs, phagocytosis of particle-packaged antigen enhances cross presentation, leading to potent CTL responses.60

With respect to the delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs, a major drawback of using synthetic carriers is entrapment of the particles within filtering organs, predominantly the liver and spleen. The major reason for particle accumulation within these organs is cellular uptake by phagocytic cells, predominately macrophages. While APC uptake of particles is a barrier to drug delivery, it facilitates immunotherapy, with particles thus offering a means for natural targeting of APCs and lymphatic tissue. In addition, while most vaccines require the addition of adjuvants (ie, danger signals) to induce successful immune responses,61 some particulate-based vaccines are able to induce immune responses without additional adjuvants,62 making particles attractive agents for vaccine development.

Advances in particle engineering make it possible to create devices that mislead the host into recognizing particles as pathogens.63 Fabrication of particles in geometries resembling pathogens and the ability to orient pathogen-relevant danger signals on the particle surface activate APCs and stimulate particle uptake. Multivalent presentation of PAMPs or antigens by particles mimics repetitive presentation by live pathogens,63,64 leading to enhanced antigenicity through receptor cross-linking and immune-cell activation. Moon et al65 demonstrated that particle-based antigen presentation achieved a tenfold reduction in the amount of antigen needed to achieve equivalent antibody responses to that presented by conventional adjuvants. Enhanced diversity in antibody production was also observed with particle-based antigen presentation, potentially due to activation of lower-avidity B cells that would not be achieved with lower antigen densities. While high-valence antigen arrays successfully stimulated antibody generation by B cells, low-valence antigen arrays did not.66

An example of a rationally designed carrier is a pSi microparticle.67,68 For example, particles in the shape of rods resemble bacteria with respect to size and aspect ratio. The rod-shaped particles shown in Figure 3 were made by the nanofabrication team at the Methodist Hospital Research Institute, led by Dr Xuewu Liu.69 In Figure 3A, bacterial cells are pseudocolored in blue, rod-shaped porous silicon microparticles
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in red, and the DC membrane in green. BALB/c-derived bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) were incubated with a mixture of bacteria and silicon microparticles (ten particles per cell) for 30 minutes, then fixed, dehydrated, and sputter-coated with platinum-palladium (80:20) for imaging using an FEI Nova NanoSEM (images by Dr Jianhua Gu). Cellular uptake of the silicon particles was increased by addition of PAMPs (LPS) to the particle surface. Figure 3B shows two rod-shaped pSi microparticles (1800 × 400 × 400 nm) on the DC membrane, with cellular pseudopodia beginning to wrap around the particles. My research team previously demonstrated that both LPS and its lipid component MPL-A enhance DC uptake of pSi microparticles, increasing cellular activation and migration of DC to the draining lymph node.\(^{56}\) Particle presentation of antigen and TLR4 ligand also enhanced IFN-\(\gamma\) production by CD8\(^{+}\) T cells.\(^{56}\) As a cautionary note, while the majority of studies show that TLR engagement leads to activation of innate and adaptive immune responses, enhancing the activity of cancer vaccines, some studies indicate that TLR4 agonists promote tumor-cell survival and growth in some cancer types.\(^{70}\)

**Antigen uptake and presentation by APCs**

Obstacles encountered for DC-based vaccines include limited tumor regression based on lack of effective antigen uptake by DCs. Both macrophages and DCs are potent APCs capable of internalizing targets by endocytosis, phagocytosis, or phagocytosis. However, while both CD8\(^{+}\) and CD8\(^{-}\) DCs are highly efficient at capturing antigen (both free and particle-bound), only CD8\(^{-}\) DCs are highly efficient at presenting exogenous antigen in association with MHC class I molecules (ie, cross-presentation).\(^{71-73}\) CD8\(^{-}\) DCs are the main APC population in vivo capable of internalizing dead cells and presenting antigen to CD4\(^{+}\) and CD8\(^{+}\) cells. Interestingly, TLR ligands impair cross-presentation of dead cell antigens in mature CD8\(^{+}\) DC, but stimulate this action in newly derived (pre-CD8\(^{+}\)) DC when stimulated prior to antigen presentation.\(^{74}\)

DC uptake of nanoparticles occurs at all stages of cellular maturation; however, with respect to microparticles (2–3 \(\mu\)m diameter), it is reported that only immature DCs are able to engulf microparticles.\(^{23,75,76}\) In vivo, rapid uptake of larger particles by macrophages is reported to precede that of DCs. Also, antigen processing and cytokine responses are reported to differ for macrophages and DCs. For example, DCs are more efficient at cross-presentation than macrophages, increasing MHC class I presentation of antigens. In addition, activation of macrophages and DCs favors secretion of discrete cytokines, specifically TNF-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\gamma\).\(^{77}\)

Following cellular uptake, the large majority of nano- and microparticles traffic along the endolysosomal pathway. It has been demonstrated that antigen processing following particle uptake is inhibited by the presence of chloroquine, indicating that phagolysosomal enzymes are essential for antigen processing.\(^{78}\) Nano- and microparticles are reported to impact differentially the rate of endosomal degradation by favoring more (nano-) or less (micro-) acidic environments.\(^{79}\) Thus, particle size is an important determinant of cellular uptake, and it impacts both intracellular trafficking of particles and cargo, and antigen processing.

**Influence of particle physicochemical properties on cellular responses**

Size and aspect ratio of particles are important properties that impact particle biodistribution, cellular interactions, and cellular internalization.\(^{80-82}\) Using polystyrene particles, Fogel et al\(^{80}\) reported that the optimal particle size for uptake by human blood-derived DCs was 0.5 \(\mu\)m, with uptake predominantly occurring for particles 0.5 \(\mu\)m and smaller. DC uptake of polystyrene particles larger than 1 \(\mu\)m was, however, enhanced by modifying the particles to have a cationic surface charge. Particles that were too large for phagocytosis induced frustrated phagocytosis, with prolonged frustration leading to a persistent inflammatory response, including an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Particle size also has an impact on the APC population that engulfs the particle, based largely on particle-transport physics. Particles have been shown to traffic to the draining lymph node in a size-dependent manner, as illustrated in Figure 1B. Large particles (500–2000 nm) are engulfed by peripheral APCs at the site of injection, while small nanoparticles (20–200 nm) are internalized in DCs and macrophages residing in lymph nodes.\(^{83}\) Smaller nanoparticles were independently able to diffuse across the interstitium and enter the lymphatic system, while delayed transport of larger nanoparticles to lymph nodes supports a requirement for cell-based transport.\(^{83}\) Reddy et al demonstrated that lymphatic uptake of nanoparticles (poly[propylene sulfide]) was greater for 20 nm particles compared to 45 and 100 nm particles.\(^{84}\) Approximately half of lymph-node DCs contained intracellular 20 nm nanoparticles. In a separate study, carboxylated polystyrene nanoparticles of various sizes, normalized for equal doses of conjugated antigen, were used to study size-dependent immunogenicity.\(^{79}\) The optimal particle size for immune-cell stimulation was in the...
viral size range. Particles 40–50 nm in size elicited stronger T-cell responses compared to other particles ranging from 20 to 2000 nm. Greater lymph-node accumulation was observed for the 40 nm particles, with particles present for greater than 14 days. Immune responses occurred in the absence of adjuvant, indicating that the particles themselves were stimulatory. Of special interest was the finding that 40 nm particles were housed within DEC205+/CD40+ DC, a mature/activated DC subset, while 1000 nm particles were predominately located in F4/80 macrophage-like cells within the draining lymph node. Covalent conjugation of antigen (OVA, human papilloma virus peptide E7.1) to the nanoparticles supported antibody and CD8+ T-cell immunity and provided protective immunity upon animal challenge with EL4 cells. IFN-γ type I responses were greater for OVA presented on 40–50 nm particles compared to other sizes. In contrast, IL-4 Th2 responses were greatest when OVA was presented with particles 93–123 nm in diameter.

With respect to PLGA-1-pyrenemethylamine (PMA):β-poly(lactic acid-poly(ethylene glycol)) (PEG) PLGA-PMA:PLA-PEG particles, lymph-node accumulation and retention was found to be greatest for 50 nm particles when compared with 100 and 200 nm particles. Both size and hydrophobicity were inversely related to lymph-node accumulation. Anionic PLGA particles (PLGA-PMA:PLGA-COOH) revealed a correlation between increasing negative charge density and faster rates of movement through the interstitium. Anionic PLGA particles with high charge density showed much greater accumulation within the lymph node than their PEGylated size equivalents.

Particle stability is a major determinant of drug-release rate. Both liposomes and polymers, such as the polylactides PLA and PLGA, are rapidly hydrolyzed in the body. When compared side-by-side at similar particle sizes, PLGA particles have slower antigen-release kinetics compared to liposomes. Ex vivo stimulated splenocytes from PLGA particle-vaccinated mice displayed higher IFN-γ responses compared to splenocytes from liposome-vaccinated mice. The slower release kinetics from PLGA compared to liposomes was thought to account for more effective in vivo CD8+ T-cell responses. An anticipated advantage of sustained release is single-inoculation therapy rather than treatment with prime and boost injections. The importance of understanding release and degradation kinetics, as well as metabolic products is also exemplified by the potential for particle-degradation to influence the immune response. As an example, hydrolyzed acidic metabolites (glycolic, lactic, silicic) have the potential to alter pH and cause inflammation.

The inherent adjuvanticity of diverse classes of nanoparticles is exemplified by cationic liposomes. Inclusion of the cationic lipid 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DOTAP) within nanoparticles leads to activation of mouse BMDCs. Yan and colleagues demonstrated that DOTAP, in a concentration-dependent manner, generated ROS in BMDCs, leading to ERK and p38 activation, cytokine/chemokine production, and expression of the B7 costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86. However, elevated levels of DOTAP also led to cellular labeling with annexin V and subsequent cell death. Within 2 hours, 8%, 68%, and 91% of cells treated with 50, 200, and 800 µM DOTAP were double-positive for annexin V and ROS. The optimal in vivo dose in mice for ROS production in the draining lymph node and anti-TC-1 tumor activity was 100 nmol DOTAP in the cationic lipid/E7 peptide formulation.

Influence of particles on the inflammasome

Inflammasomes are multiprotein complexes that function to activate caspase-1, leading to the proteolytic maturation of proinflammatory cytokines. Early signals stimulate expression of precursor cytokines, such as pro-IL-1β, while secondary signals activate the inflammasome, liberating active cytokines. Activation of the inflammasome is thought to be a multistep process, with particulates having the ability to prime cells for subsequent LPS-induced secretion of IL-1β from DCs. Phagocytosis of particulates, such as PLG and polystyrene, enhanced LPS induced secretion of IL-1β from DCs. Sharp et al tested four particle sizes and found that 0.43 and 1 µm particles were taken up by DCs, while larger particles, 10 and 32 µm, had limited uptake. Both the 0.43 and 1 µm particles were potent inducers of IL-1β secretion, while the larger particles were mildly stimulatory. Blockade of phagocytosis with cytochalasin B or D significantly reduced particulate enhanced IL-1β secretion.

Complement opsonization of particles

The immunogenicity of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) is reported to vary with structure and surface characteristics. For example, functionalized CNTs are more stimulatory than their nonfunctionalized counterparts. Following contact with blood, CNTs and other types of nanoparticles may trigger the complement system. For example, pristine CNTs are bound directly by C1q, leading to complement activation. Just as complement fragments prime the surface of microbes, they opsonize particles for recognition and clear-
ance by phagocytic cells. Optimization of particle-surface properties to drive opsonization is a potential mechanism for APC targeting.

**Targeted nanoparticles**

Targeting of specific cell populations with particles has been described as passive or active.\(^{82,95}\) Passive targeting is influenced by intrinsic particle properties, including size, charge, and rigidity. Physiological factors influencing particle trafficking and tissue-specific accumulation include lymphatic and hemodynamic forces, diffusive mechanisms, and epithelial/endothelial permeability.\(^{96}\) Active targeting involves the addition of ligands or surface coating to the particle exterior to direct cellular interactions (Figure 1A).

As stated previously, the presence of microbial surface antigens on particles can facilitate cellular uptake by DCs through recognition and activation of surface receptors. Examples include C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) for sugar moieties (eg, mannose) and TLR for PAMPs. Engagement of both CLRs and TLRs can lead to receptor-mediated endocytosis.\(^{56,97}\) Receptor binding (CLRs, TLRs, and cytokine) may also induce DC maturation, achieving both uptake and immune-cell activation. Other receptor targets include integrins, CD40, and CD11c, which have been targeted using specific antibodies.\(^{98}\) Cellular DC lectin targets include CD205 (DEC205), mannose receptor, DC-SIGN (SIGNR mouse homologues) and CD1a.\(^{99}\)

The impact of ligand–receptor interactions on cellular function should also be taken into consideration. For example, targeting TLR with ligands results in DC maturation, and has been shown to favor Th1 responses. LPS, pathogen-derived lipopeptides, and flagellin all interact with cell-surface TLRs. The TLR engagement causes the production of type I IFN and proinflammatory cytokines, as well as enhanced surface expression of costimulatory molecules. Clinical studies using TLR agonists have demonstrated some success in basal cell carcinoma patients treated with imiquimod.\(^{100}\) Other ligands, including CCR2, recruit DC precursors (Ly6C\(^{\text{high}}\) cells) to inflammatory sites and favor DC differentiation.\(^{101}\) TLR2 ligation, on the other hand, favors induction of \(T_{\text{reg}}\) cells and should be avoided.\(^{102}\) DC-SIGN engagement activates a Raf-1-dependent pathway that alters nuclear factor kappa-\(\beta\) activation.\(^{103}\) Surface engagement of specific lectins, including dectin-1, lectin-type oxidized low-density lipoprotein receptor 1, and DC-SIGN initiate intracellular signaling, leading to DC activation.\(^{104}\) In short, it is critical to match molecular targets with desired immune outcomes.

**DNA and viral vaccine components**

DNA has inherent traits that contribute to immunogenicity, such as CpG motifs and its double-stranded structure. CpG motifs are comprised of unmethylated C followed by G and certain flanking regions. Despite CpG motif recognition by TLR9, its immunogenicity in human trials has been low. TLR9 is located in the endoplasmic reticulum in resting DCs and macrophages. It translocates to the endosome when cells are stimulated with CpG DNA.\(^{105}\) Thus, endocytosis of CpG-bound particles is likely to enhance antigen immunogenicity through sustained TLR9 stimulation. Conversely, the structure of double-stranded DNA stimulates a proinflammatory type I IFN response, independent of TLRs.\(^{106,107}\)

The delivery of therapeutic DNA is advantageous for cancer vaccines because it causes endogenous protein expression and processing along the proteasomal Th1 pathway.

Genetically modified plant and animal viruses, bacteriophages, and Archaea viruses are being explored as nanotechnology gene-delivery vehicles.\(^{108}\) The genetically engineered virus-vector vaccines express antigenic proteins, and potential expression of epitopes within the surface capsid provides multivalent presentation of antigens, adjuvant, and/or targeting ligands. For example, cowpea mosaic virus is an example of a plant pathogen that has been used successfully to induce neutralizing antibodies against infectious agents in animals.\(^{109}\) Unfortunately, despite successful safety and efficacy testing in animals, some human trials have resulted in unwanted side effects and species-dependent differences in biological responses.

Oncogenic viruses induce malignant transformation in epithelial cells by inserting active oncoproteins into the host genome. These viruses include human papilloma,\(^{110}\) hepatitis B,\(^{111}\) and Epstein–Barr\(^{112}\) viruses. The role of the hepatitis virus in the etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma is under investigation, and the role of the Epstein–Barr virus in the etiology of cervical cancer has led to the US FDA approving vaccines to protect against Epstein–Barr virus infection. Despite the negative role of viral agents in cancer, there is support for the use of nonpathogenic viruses as gene-delivery agents for cancer therapeutics. An example of a regulatory approved (Philippines), nanosized, anticancer agent is Rexin-G.\(^{113}\) Rexin-G is a replication-incompetent retroviral vector encoding an N-terminal deletion mutant of cyclin G\(_{\text{1}}\). The vector specifically targets tumor tissue based on recognition of collagenous proteins associated with angiogenesis and collagen-matrix exposure due to insertion of a collagen-binding motif in the vector’s envelope protein. Phase I/II clinical studies in pancreatic cancer show that Rexin-G
administration is associated with significant tumor regression and prolonged progression-free survival.\textsuperscript{114}

A human parvovirus, the adenoassociated virus (AAV), is non-pathogenic, lacks toxicity, and can sustain long-term gene expression.\textsuperscript{115} AAV are currently in clinical trials for Parkinson’s disease, muscular dystrophy, ocular diseases and hemophilia B. Recombinant AAV vectors are void of all virus-encoded genes, resulting in low intrinsic immunogenicity. Various AAV serotypes exist, and they differ with respect to cellular uptake by various cell populations. The ability to modify surface-exposed amino acids on their capsid can be used to enhance cellular targeting. Thus, multiple viral options, with tunable cargo and surface attributes, exist for gene delivery. Synthetic particles may be beneficial for encapsulating viral nanoparticles, reducing potential host reactions.

Peptide and protein cargo
Ex vivo loading of DCs with antigen has been used to create vaccines with limited success.\textsuperscript{116} Examples of immunotherapy include therapeutic vaccination with synthetic peptides, proteins, cell lysates, RNA, and necrotic or apoptotic tumor cells.\textsuperscript{117} T cells derived from vaccination with synthetic or modified peptides have thus far resulted in T cells with poor cytolytic function, however the delivery of protein involving endogenous antigen processing and epitope selection results in superior antigen presentation and improved immune responses. Unfortunately, a large number of immunotherapeutic approaches use single antigens or peptides to elicit antigen-specific immune responses. This is counterproductive based on immunodominance (loss of target-antigen expression by cancer cells) and the finding that endogenous processing and presentation of antigen for presentation is far more efficient than presentation of free peptides. Apoptotic cell presentation of whole proteins was shown to be 10,000–50,000 times more efficient than that with free peptide.\textsuperscript{118}

An example of a protein-based vaccine is FDA approved (2010) Provenge, by Dendreon Corporation (Seattle, WA, USA). Provenge is an autologous vaccine in which prostate cancer patients are treated with cyclophosphamide or CT-011 (anti-PD-1) in combination with three cycles of the vaccine.

The first clinical trial of Provenge (sipuleucel-T) resulted in a 4.5-month increase in overall survival at the 36-month follow-up.\textsuperscript{120} Currently, a phase II clinical trial is ongoing in which prostate cancer patients are treated with cyclophosphamide or CT-011 (anti-PD-1) in combination with three cycles of the vaccine.

Hybrid-particle constructs
Advantages of using microparticles for immunotherapy include rapid phagocytosis by immune cells and the ability to create hybrid platforms that achieve diverse functions. An example is a pSi microparticle capable of carrying a payload of secondary nanoparticles or a complex cargo of antigens, immune modulators (eg, cytokines, small interfering RNA), and danger signals (Figure 1A).\textsuperscript{56,121} pSi microparticles can carry a payload of diverse or same-type nanoparticles, with each formulation loaded with a distinct therapeutic cargo. The microparticles are rapidly internalized by both macrophages and DCs.\textsuperscript{56,121,122} A hybrid-particle platform comprised of iron oxide nanoparticles loaded within a discoidal pSi microparticle is shown in the scanning electron microscope image in Figure 4A.\textsuperscript{121,123} The nanoparticles are loaded into the microparticle by capillary action, with retention by electrostatic interactions. The nanoparticle-loaded pores are shown at higher magnifications in Figure 4B and C. We recently demonstrated that this platform has the potential for dual-site intracellular targeting, with particles reaching both the endosome and the cytosol.\textsuperscript{123} We also demonstrated that labeling of the pSi microparticle with danger signals (LPS and MPL) enhances migration of carrier DCs to the lymph node and stimulates expression of costimulatory molecules and cytokines. Hybrid vectors may thus be advantageous over single particle constructs, based on (1) codelivered combination therapy, (2) shielded delivery of secondary nanoparticles, and (3) the potential for dual-site intracellular targeting and subsequent antigen processing by both the MHC class I and II pathways.

Conclusions
Benefits of using particles for immunotherapy include uptake by APC, targeting, shielded trafficking of cargo, codelivery of immunomodulatory agents and antigen, and sustained release of antigen eliminating the need for repeated doses of the vaccine. The tunability of particles makes it possible to make particles in discrete sizes and geometries. Surface functionalization makes it possible to orient pathogen-relevant danger signals on the particle surface and enables multivalent...
presentation of PAMPs or antigens, mimicking repetitive presentation by live pathogens and leading to enhanced antigenicity through receptor cross-linking and immune-cell activation. The development of hybrid-particle platforms may be advantageous over single-particle constructs based on codelivery of multiple therapeutic agents to the same target cell, shielded delivery of secondary nanoparticles, and the potential for dual-site intracellular targeting and subsequent antigen processing by both the MHC class I and II pathways.
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