Ustekinumab in chronic immune-mediated diseases: a review of long term safety and patient improvement
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Abstract: Ustekinumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting the common p40 subunit shared by interleukin (IL)-12 and IL-23. Ustekinumab prevents the interaction of IL-12 and IL-23 with their cell surface receptors, and thus blocks T helper (Th)-1 IL-12 and Th-17 IL-23 inflammatory pathways. Ustekinumab has been evaluated in the treatment of various chronic immune-mediated diseases including, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn’s disease, and multiple sclerosis. It led to a rapid and durable improvement in psoriasis area and severity index in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis. Ustekinumab also improved joint symptoms of psoriatic arthritis. Results in Crohn’s disease were more mitigated, albeit with a symptomatic improvement in patients refractory to tumor necrosis factor-α inhibitors. Ustekinumab did not reduce the number of magnetic resonance imaging brain lesions in multiple sclerosis. The most common adverse events to have been observed during clinical trials are mild in intensity, and include respiratory tract infections, nasopharyngitis, headaches, and injection site reactions. A pooled analysis of clinical trial data indicated no specific patterns of infection or malignancy under long-term ustekinumab administration. Ustekinumab is easy to use, has a comfortable therapeutic regimen, improves quality of life in patients, and thus appears to be an attractive biological treatment that is adapted and accepted by patients with moderate to severe psoriasis.
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Introduction

Chronic inflammatory or immune-mediated diseases (IMDs) include different conditions that share common pathophysiological pathways, such as a genetic background, deregulation of the immune balance, and the involvement of a wide range of soluble mediators that drive inflammation, namely cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors. The most common IMDs include psoriasis, Crohn’s disease (CD), multiple sclerosis (MS), and chronic arthritis such as psoriatic arthritis (PsA). The treatment approach for these different conditions is now based on control of the mediator(s) that play a major role in the disease pathogenesis. In this regard, targeting circulating tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α has been shown to improve psoriasis, PsA,1 and CD.2

Interleukin (IL)-17 has emerged as a new and major contributor to different IMDs, especially rheumatoid arthritis,1 psoriasis and PsA, CD, and MS.4,5 IL-17 is produced by the specific T-cell subset T helper (Th)-17, which is under the influence of IL-23, a cytokine belonging to the IL-12 family.5,7 Ustekinumab is a monoclonal antibody developed in the treatment of autoimmune diseases and targeting the p40 subunit common to IL-12 and IL-23.5,8–10 It is currently available for the treatment of psoriasis and under development for the treatment of PsA and CD.10,11 This article will review...
the clinical efficacy and safety data on ustekinumab in the treatment of psoriasis, PsA, and CD. Results of the use of ustekinumab in the treatment of MS are also briefly discussed. This new biological agent administered for the long term in these chronic diseases opens new horizons in terms of quality of life for patients with chronic IMD.

**IL-12, IL-23, IL-17, and the IL-23/Th-17 pathway**

IL-23 is a member of the IL-12 family of cytokines that also includes IL-12, IL-22, IL-27, and IL-35. This cytokine family is associated with the generation of Th-1 cells and the production of IL-12, stimulating innate immunity as well as the development of adaptive immunity and production of interferon (IFN)-γ. IL-23 is a heterodimeric protein composed of a unique p19 subunit (IL-23p19) associated with a p40 subunit. p40 is also a component of IL-12 (IL-12p40), and this subunit is thus common to IL-12 and IL-23 (IL-12/23p40) (Figure 1). The formation of biologically active IL-23 requires the synthesis of both IL-23p19 and IL-23p40. IL-12 and IL-23 bind to the β1 receptor (IL-12Rβ1) of T cells and natural killer (NK) cells. The IL-12 receptor is composed of IL-12Rβ1 and IL-12Rβ2, while the receptor for IL-23 is formed by the association of IL-12Rβ1 with another subunit (IL-23R). IL-23 binds to IL-23R and IL-12Rβ1, but not to IL-12Rβ2. The major source of IL-23 is different types of antigen-presenting cells such as activated dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages. IL-12 is a heterodimer of IL-12p40 and IL-12p35, a subunit unique to IL-12. IL-12 induces the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into IFN-γ producing Th-1 cells. The major function of IL-12 is to induce the production of IFN-γ by T cells, to enhance the cytotoxicity of NK and cytotoxic T cells, and to induce the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into Th-1 cells, thus playing a role in cell-mediated immunity. On the other hand, IL-23 promotes the expansion and survival of Th-17 cells through its receptor and signaling pathway. It is considered that IL-23 acts as a proinflammatory mediator. This cytokine can induce chronic inflammation through the expansion of Th-17 cells and the secretion of Th-17 by non-T cells. Th-17 cells produce several proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-17, TNF-α, IL-17F, IL-6, IL-21, and IL-22. All these mediators are involved in chronic inflammatory responses in autoimmune diseases. In addition, IL-23 has a potential role with Th-17 effector cytokines in coordinating responses against bacteria.

IL-17 (or IL-17A) belongs to the IL-17 cytokine family that also includes IL-17B to IL-17F. All these IL-17 family members show significant homology to IL-17A. The predominant cellular source of IL-17 is CD4+ Th-17 cells. Other cells that produce IL-17 include NK cells, mast cells, neutrophils, and γδ T cells. Th-17 cells are critical to adaptive immunity against bacterial and fungal infections and are involved in the pathogenesis of inflammatory/autoimmune diseases. Excessive IL-17 production or Th-17 activation leads to autoimmune and chronic inflammatory response. IL-17 may activate various cell types, such as macrophages, dendritic cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, chondrocytes, and osteoblasts to produce numerous products with proinflammatory and/or destructive effects. In particular, IL-17 induces the release of IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, chemokines (CXCL8, CXC chemokine ligand 1 and 10, CC chemokine ligand 20), growth factors, metalloproteinases, and receptor of nuclear factor kappa B ligand. Therefore, targeting the IL-23/Th-17 pathway is an attractive approach in the treatment of chronic IMD.

**Ustekinumab**

Ustekinumab (CNTO-1275, Stelara®, Jansen Biotech, Inc, Horsham, PA, USA) is a fully human IgG1κ monoclonal antibody that blocks the activity of p40, the subunit shared by IL-12 and IL-23. It interacts with the same epitope within the D1 domain of the p40 subunit of each cytokine. When ustekinumab is bound to p40, it prevents the association of both IL-12 and IL-23 to the IL-12Rβ1. This results in an attenuation of immune cell activation. Ustekinumab cannot bind to IL-12 or IL-23 when these are already bound to IL-12Rβ1. Thus, ustekinumab has no antibody- or complement-dependent cytotoxicity. It is given
subcutaneously at a dose of 45 mg (or 90 mg for patients with a bodyweight greater than 100 kg). Its half-life is around 3 weeks (range: 15–32 days).11

**Ustekinumab in the treatment of psoriasis**

**IL-23/Th-17 pathway in psoriasis**

Psoriasis is a common chronic immune-mediated epithelial inflammatory disease characterized by keratinocyte proliferation. A dense cellular infiltrate composed of memory T cells with high IFN-γ expression is observed in skin lesions. IL-12 is implicated in the pathogenesis of psoriasis as it induces a Th-1 response with production of IFN-γ and homing of T cells within the skin.19 IL-12 expression may induce proliferation and activation of CD4-naïve T cells into Th-1 cells and NK cells, to produce inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α. In parallel, it is now evident that IL-23 also plays a crucial role in psoriasis. IL-23 activates macrophages and maintains chronic inflammation via the induction of Th-17 cells. IL-23 expression is significantly increased in the skin of patients with psoriasis, especially in psoriatic lesions compared with biopsies of normal adjacent skin.19 Monocytes from patients with psoriasis produce high levels of IL-23. IL-23 induces the expansion of Th-17 cells that produce IL-17, which in turn stimulates keratinocyte proliferation.2 In parallel, studies on transgenic mice suggest that IL-23 rather than IL-12 plays a role in psoriasis. Indeed, IL-23 p40 transgenic mice constitutively produced IL-23 but not IL-12 in keratinocytes. Injections of IL-23 in nontransgenic mice induced an inflammatory skin disease similar to that of IL-23 p40 transgenic mice.

**Clinical studies of ustekinumab in psoriasis**

A large program of clinical development has investigated the use of ustekinumab in patients with psoriasis in Phase II and III studies.9,11,20 In a Phase II, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ustekinumab in 320 patients with moderate to severe psoriasis, the percentage of patients who reached the primary endpoint (a 75% reduction in the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index score [PASI75]) was higher in the two ustekinumab groups (subcutaneous [SC] ustekinumab 45 mg and 90 mg) compared with the placebo group (52%–81% for the ustekinumab groups, versus 2% in the placebo group; \( P < 0.001 \) for each).20

Two Phase III studies, PHOENIX 1 and PHOENIX 2, were then conducted to evaluate the clinical efficacy of ustekinumab at doses of 45 mg and 90 mg for the treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis.21,22 There were 3 phases in each study: a 12-week placebo-controlled phase, then a 28- or 40-week placebo crossover phase, and finally a randomized withdrawal phase (weeks 40–76) in PHOENIX 1, and a randomized dose-intensification phase (weeks 28–52) in PHOENIX 2. In the PHOENIX 1 trial, 766 patients were randomized to receive ustekinumab either 45 mg or 90 mg SC at weeks 0 and 4 and then at every 12 weeks, or a placebo in the placebo-controlled phase. A higher percentage of patients in the ustekinumab groups (45 mg and 90 mg respectively) reached the primary endpoint (PASI 75) at week 12 compared with the placebo arm: 67.1% and 66.4% versus 3.1% \( (P < 0.0001) \). The clinical efficacy was rapid and observed as early as week 2. During the randomized withdrawal phase, the median time to loss of response in patients who were withdrawn from treatment was around 15 weeks.21 In PHOENIX 2, which included 1230 patients with moderate to severe psoriasis, similar results were observed, with 66.7% and 75.7% of PASI-75 responders in the ustekinumab 45 mg and 90 mg groups respectively, compared with 3.7% in the placebo group \( (P < 0.0001) \).22 Again, the onset of improvement was rapid and observed in the second week after starting ustekinumab. Predictive factors for partial response to ustekinumab were identified in this trial and included high bodyweight, previous inadequate response to more than one biological agent, long duration of psoriasis, and history of PsA. These two trials demonstrated that ustekinumab 45 mg or 90 mg every 12 weeks is effective for the treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis.

In another Phase III trial, ustekinumab and etanercept were compared head-to-head in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis.23 In this study, 903 patients were randomized to receive SC ustekinumab 45 mg or 90 mg at week 0 and 4, or etanercept 50 mg twice weekly for 12 weeks. PASI-75 was achieved in 67.5% and 73.8% of patients receiving ustekinumab 45 mg or 90 mg, compared with 56.8% of patients with etanercept \( (P = 0.01 \) and \( P < 0.001 \), respectively). These results demonstrated the superiority of ustekinumab over etanercept in the treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis, as evaluated by PASI 75 over a 12-week period (Table 1).

**Ustekinumab in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis**

**IL-23/Th-17 pathway in psoriatic arthritis**

A substantial proportion of patients with psoriasis also show joint manifestations, a condition known as PsA. Patients with PsA have increased serum levels of the p40 subunit, suggesting potential therapeutic benefits for ustekinumab in
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Table I Clinical studies of ustekinumab in psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn’s disease, and multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Immune-mediated disease</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krueger et al</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Phase II randomized placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>PASI75 at week 12</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 52%–81%, Placebo: 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonardi et al</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Phase III, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>PASI75 at week 12</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 67%, Placebo: 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papp et al</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>PASI75 at week 12</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 67%–76%, Placebo: 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffiths et al</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Phase III head-to-head, comparative study</td>
<td>PASI75 at week 12</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 68%–74%, Etanercept: 57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gottlieb et al</td>
<td>Psoriatic arthritis</td>
<td>Phase II randomized, placebo crossover study</td>
<td>ACR20 at week 12</td>
<td>Group 1 (ustekinumab then placebo) versus group 2 (placebo then ustekinumab) 42% versus 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandborn et al</td>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>Phase II randomized, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>Clinical response according to CDAI at week 8</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 49%, Placebo: 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandborn et al</td>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>Phase III randomized, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>Clinical response according to CDAI at week 6</td>
<td>Ustekinumab: 36%–39%, Placebo: 23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segal et al</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Phase II randomized, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>New gadolinium enhancing T1-weighted brain MRI lesions at week 23</td>
<td>No difference between any ustekinumab groups versus placebo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACR20, American College of Rheumatology 20% improvement criteria; CDAI, Crohn’s Disease Activity Index; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PASI75, a 75% reduction in the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index score.

the treatment of PsA.\(^24\) In animal models of chronic arthritis, IL-12 and IL-23 have been shown to mediate collagen-induced arthritis. Conversely, mice deficient in IL-12 and IL-23 or IL-23 alone are protected from arthritis when immunized with collagen. IL-23 induced IL-17 production, which plays a role in bone destruction mechanisms of arthritis. Thus, it is believed that IL-23 is an important contributor to joint inflammation in PsA.\(^3,9\)

Clinical efficacy of ustekinumab in psoriatic arthritis

Ustekinumab was evaluated in a 36-week randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial.\(^25\) In this study, 146 patients with active PsA received SC ustekinumab (90 mg or 63 mg) every week for 4 weeks, and then a placebo at weeks 12 and 16; or placebo for the first 4 weeks and then ustekinumab at weeks 12 and 16. The primary endpoint, namely the percentage of American College of Rheumatology 20% improvement criteria (ACR20) responders at week 12, was achieved by 42% in the ustekinumab group and 14% in the placebo group (\(P = 0.0002\)). In addition, PASI75 was achieved by 52% and 5% in the ustekinumab and placebo groups respectively (Table 1). Two recent studies have confirmed these results with ustekinumab in PsA, namely the PSUMMIT1\(^26\) and PSUMMIT2\(^27\) studies. In these two large randomized, placebo-controlled Phase III trials, 615 patients (in PSUMMIT1) and 312 patients (in PSUMMIT2) were randomized to receive ustekinumab (45 or 90 mg SC) at weeks 0 and 4, and every 12 weeks, or a placebo. Patients were required to have active disease despite traditional treatments in PSUMMIT1, and despite traditional treatments or anti-TNF-α agents in PSUMMIT2. The primary endpoint was the rate of ACR responders at week 16 (PSUMMIT1) or week 24 (PSUMMIT2). The results showed a higher proportion of responders in the ustekinumab arms in both studies compared with placebo (ustekinumab 45 mg versus 90 mg versus placebo: PSUMMIT1, 42.4% versus 49.5% versus 22.8%; PSUMMIT2, 43.7% versus 43.8% versus 20.2%; all \(P < 0.001\)).

Ustekinumab in the treatment of CD

IL-23/Th-17 pathway in CD

CD is a chronic IMD characterized by inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract. CD is characterized by a deregulation of Th-1 cytokine production, with IL-12 playing a role. However, a wide range of other cytokines is found in the intestines of patients with CD, including IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6.\(^28\) IL-23 and IL-17 are also overexpressed in the gut of patients with CD. IL-23 mRNA is highly expressed in biopsy specimens of CD patients, and serum levels of IL-17 are elevated in patients with active disease compared with those with inactive disease.\(^29\) In animal models of colitis, treatment with ustekinumab improved intestinal inflammation.\(^30\) In addition,
an association between the IL-23R gene polymorphism and CD has been described.\textsuperscript{5,15}

Clinical efficacy of ustekinumab in CD

Ustekinumab was evaluated for the treatment of moderate to severe CD in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial that included 104 patients.\textsuperscript{31} In this study, ustekinumab was given subcutaneously (90 mg) or intravenously (IV) (4 or 5 mg/kg). There were 2 CD populations: the first comprised patients who had previously received conventional or biologic therapy (population 1), and the second comprised patients who did not respond to infliximab (population 2). In the first population, patients were randomized to receive SC placebo at weeks 0, 1, 2, and 3, followed by ustekinumab 90 mg at weeks 8, 9, 10, and 11; or SC ustekinumab at weeks 0, 1, 2, and 3 followed by placebo at weeks 8, 9, 10, and 11; or IV placebo at week 0 followed by ustekinumab 4.5 mg/kg at week 8; or IV ustekinumab 4.5 mg/kg at week 0 followed by placebo at week 8. In this first population, compared with placebo, ustekinumab groups showed a higher rate of clinical response at week 4 as evaluated by the Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI) (ustekinumab versus placebo: 52.9\% versus 30.2\%, respectively; \( P = 0.02 \)). However, at week 8, the percentage of responders was similar in the ustekinumab and placebo groups (49\% versus 39.6\%; \( P = 0.34 \)). In a subgroup analysis of 49 patients from population 1 who had previously received infliximab, clinical response was obtained by 43\% (SC ustekinumab) and 54\% (IV ustekinumab) of patients in the open-label study (population 2), which was similar to those observed in the first population. The authors concluded that the ustekinumab response was more evident in patients who had previously received infliximab.\textsuperscript{31}

Another trial evaluated the clinical efficacy of ustekinumab in patients with CD resistant to TNF-\( \alpha \) inhibitors.\textsuperscript{32} In an induction phase, patients were randomized to receive IV ustekinumab at a dose of 1, 3, or 6 mg/kg or placebo at week 0. In the maintenance phase, patients responding to ustekinumab at week 8 underwent second randomization to receive SC ustekinumab 90 mg or placebo at weeks 8 and 16. The clinical response as evaluated by the CDAI was better in the ustekinumab group (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg) compared with placebo: 36.6\%, 34.1\%, and 39.7\% versus 23.5\%, but results were only significant at the 6 mg/kg dose (\( P = 0.005 \)). Maintenance therapy resulted in a significantly increased rate of clinical remission and response in the ustekinumab group compared with placebo. These results demonstrated the clinical efficacy of ustekinumab as induction therapy in CD resistant to TNF-\( \alpha \) inhibitors. Furthermore, patients who initially responded to ustekinumab also had significantly increased rates of response to this treatment when given as maintenance therapy (Table 1).

Ustekinumab in the treatment of multiple sclerosis

IL-23/Th-17 pathway in MS

MS is a chronic IMD that affects the central nervous system. Chronic inflammation in the brain results in destruction of myelin sheaths, leading to clinical manifestation. It has been demonstrated that the IL-23-Th-17 pathway is involved in the animal model of MS, ie, experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE).\textsuperscript{7,15} It was first demonstrated that IL-23 was a key cytokine in EAE, which was considered to be a Th-1-related disease, with IL-12 and IFN-\( \gamma \) playing a major role. However, mice lacking the IL-12p35 chain may develop EAE, whereas mice lacking the IL-23p19 subunit do not, demonstrating the direct effect of IL-23 in inducing EAE.\textsuperscript{33} In addition, levels of IL-17 correlate with disease severity in EAE. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells from patients with MS produced more IL-23 than healthy controls, and a higher percentage of peripheral blood or cerebrospinal fluid monocytes of MS patients were positive for IL-17 mRNA compared with healthy controls. Stimulated CD4\( ^{+} \) T cells from MS patients produced more IL-23 than with healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{34} However, both Th-1 and Th-17 subsets contribute to the disease, and it is difficult to differentiate the respective role of each pathway.

Clinical efficacy of ustekinumab in MS

Ustekinumab has been evaluated in patients with relapsing-remitting MS in a randomized Phase 2 clinical trial.\textsuperscript{35} In total, 249 patients were assigned to placebo or four different doses of ustekinumab (27–180 mg). Drug-treated groups received SC ustekinumab at a dose of 27, 90, or 180 mg every week between weeks 0 and 3, then every four weeks, while the last group received 90 mg every week between weeks 0 and 3, then every eight weeks until week 19. Efficacy was evaluated by the number of contrast-enhancing cranial MRI lesions. The results showed no difference between the ustekinumab and placebo groups. One potential explanation for these negative results is that the patients included in the study had chronic disease of long duration, and the biological agent under study may not have crossed the blood–brain barrier (Table 1).
Safety data on ustekinumab

Safety data concerning ustekinumab came from Phase II and III studies in the different chronic IMDs.\textsuperscript{11,28} All trials were designed to have a placebo-controlled phase, but some were followed by long-term therapy through 5 years (PHOENIX 1).\textsuperscript{21} The safety of ustekinumab has thus been evaluated in more than 3000 patients overall. Safety findings were largely documented in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis and available through week 36 (Phase II trial),\textsuperscript{20} week 52 (PHOENIX 2),\textsuperscript{25} week 64 (ACCEPT),\textsuperscript{23} and week 76 (PHOENIX 1).\textsuperscript{21} In patients with PsA, CD, or MS, safety findings were limited to follow-up periods ranging from 12 to 37 weeks.\textsuperscript{29,31,32} During the placebo-controlled period in the psoriasis trials, adverse events were reported in 50.4\% of patients in the placebo group and between 51.6\% and 57.6\% of patients in the ustekinumab group (at both doses, ie, 90 and 45 mg).\textsuperscript{11} Most adverse events were mild and did not require treatment withdrawal. The most frequent adverse events were respiratory tract infections including nasopharyngitis. Other frequently reported adverse events were dizziness, myalgia, injection-site reaction, diarrhea, and pharyngeal pain.\textsuperscript{11} Injection site reactions were more commonly observed with ustekinumab 45 mg (3.4\%) or 90 mg (4\%) than placebo (1.1\%). Infections were reported in 23.2\% of placebo-treated patients compared with 27\% of patients in the ustekinumab 45 mg group and 24.1\% of patients in the 90 mg group.\textsuperscript{11,28} Serious adverse events were observed in similar proportions in each patient group (1.4\%, 1.6\%, and 1.4\% of patients in the placebo, ustekinumab 45 mg and 90 mg groups respectively). Cardiovascular events were observed, with an incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events of 0.61 per 100 patient-years for ustekinumab-treated patients versus 0.55 for placebo-treated patients; the difference was not significant. Injection site reactions were reported by 1\%–2\% of patients. There was no increased risk of infection, especially tuberculosis. The rate of malignancies was comparable between ustekinumab and placebo-treated patients. The risk/safety profile of ustekinumab in psoriasis thus seems favorable.\textsuperscript{3,11,28}

In the PsA trials, ustekinumab was well tolerated. Infections remained the most common adverse event. No cases of tuberculosis or opportunistic infections were observed.\textsuperscript{25–27}

In the study of ustekinumab in CD, 6\% of patients experienced one or more serious adverse event in population 1, and one disseminated histoplasmosis occurred in population 2 of this trial.\textsuperscript{24} In the induction and maintenance therapy of ustekinumab in refractory CD, serious infection occurred in seven patients (including six under ustekinumab) during induction and in eleven (including four under ustekinumab) during the maintenance phase.\textsuperscript{32}

Ustekinumab treatment was well tolerated by patients with MS. Again, the most frequent adverse event was infection, including upper respiratory tract infection and nasopharyngitis. Other common adverse events were infection site reactions, headache, and fatigue.\textsuperscript{35}

The long-term safety profile of ustekinumab was recently studied in a pooled analysis of psoriasis trials (including the Phase II, PHOENIX and ACCEPT studies).\textsuperscript{36,37} In these trials, a total of 1852 patients were exposed to ustekinumab for at least 1 year 1247 patients for at least 2 years, and 157 patients for at least 3 years. The results showed that common adverse events observed with long-term use were similar to those observed during the placebo-controlled period, ie, nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory tract infections, and headache. There was no dose-response for rates of adverse events including infections, atopic diseases, or serious adverse events. Adverse events remained stable over time or tended to decrease.\textsuperscript{36} The most common serious adverse events with long-term use included serious infections (estimated at 0.6 and 1.4 events per 100 patient-years in the ustekinumab 45 and 90 mg groups, respectively). However, when compared with a US psoriasis population treated with conventional systemic agents, the rates of serious infections in the long-term (45 or 90 mg) ustekinumab exposure groups were not increased.\textsuperscript{37} Cardiac disorders were the second most frequent serious adverse event with a rate of 0.8 and 1.3 events per 100 patient-years (in the ustekinumab 45 and 90 mg groups, respectively). Malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancers) were observed at a rate of 0.7 and 0.5 events per 100 patient-years in the ustekinumab 45 and 90 mg groups, respectively. The incidence of malignancies other than non-melanoma skin cancer up to 3 years of follow up was comparable between ustekinumab-exposed psoriatic patients and the general US population, with a standardized incidence ratio of 1.05 (95\% confidence interval [CI] 0.69–1.53).\textsuperscript{37} Interestingly, no cases of tuberculosis were reported. One case of reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome was reported during the PHOENIX 2 trial.\textsuperscript{22} No changes in routine laboratory parameters during ustekinumab treatment were observed and rates of ustekinumab antibodies across the psoriasis Phase III studies were low.

A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials evaluated the specific risk for major cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident, or cardiovascular death) in patients with psoriasis receiving ustekinumab (or briakinumab, another anti-IL-12/23p40 antibody).\textsuperscript{38} The
results of this analysis suggest the possible existence of a higher risk of major cardiovascular events in patients treated by anti IL-12/23p40 antibody compared with placebo (odds ratio [OR] 4.23; 95% CI 1.07–16.75; \( P = 0.04 \)).

Finally, exceptional events have been reported, such as paradoxical flare of psoriasis reported in one patient receiving ustekinumab for PsA, or alternatively, development of inflammatory arthritis in two patients following ustekinumab treatment for psoriasis.

**Ustekinumab, quality of life, and patient acceptability**

The effect of ustekinumab on health-related quality of life (HR-QOL) was examined as a secondary endpoint in certain psoriasis studies. The effects of psoriatic treatment on HR-QOL was evaluated in the two PHOENIX trials using the change from baseline in Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI). General HR-QOL was also evaluated by means of medical outcomes on the study short form-36 (SF-36) in the PHOENIX 1 study. Sexual difficulties associated with psoriasis based on DLQI questionnaires were also evaluated in a pooled analysis of both PHOENIX trials. The hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) was used for the evaluation of change in psychiatric symptoms under ustekinumab in PHOENIX 2. Finally, work productivity was also examined by patients using a work limitation questionnaire (WLQ) in this trial.

The effect of ustekinumab on health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) and DLQI was also examined during the clinical trials in PsA. Conversely, there was no specific assessment of these secondary endpoints in the studies evaluating the efficacy of ustekinumab in patients with CD or MS.

Ustekinumab improved significantly HR-QOL as assessed by DLQI at 12 weeks in the PHOENIX trials. Similarly SF-36 scores were improved in the ustekinumab 45 and 90 mg groups compared with placebo in the PHOENIX 1 study, notably the physical and mental health dimensions. Patient-reported symptoms of anxiety and depression were also improved under ustekinumab in PHOENIX 2: patients achieved greater improvements from baseline in both HADS-anxiety and HADS-depression scores following 12 weeks treatment with ustekinumab than with placebo. Work productivity and the number of work days missed were also improved with ustekinumab treatment in the PHOENIX 2 trial. Lastly, ustekinumab was also shown to be effective in improving sexual difficulties in the PHOENIX trials.

In patients with PsA, improvements in HR-QOL also favored ustekinumab treatment. At week 12, there was a significantly greater percentage improvement from baseline in HAQ score with ustekinumab than in the placebo group. Similarly, the improvement in DLQI observed in patients with an affected body surface area greater than 3% was also significantly greater with ustekinumab than in patients receiving placebo.

To date, there is no specific data available regarding patient preferences and acceptability of ustekinumab treatment. Ustekinumab is currently licensed in the USA for the treatment of adult patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis who are candidates for phototherapy or systemic treatment. In Europe, it is indicated for patients who failed to respond or have a contraindication to, or are intolerant of, other systemic therapies, including cyclosporin, methotrexate, or psoralen plus phototherapy. The treatment is given as a SC injection at a dose of 45 mg for patients weighing \( \leq 100 \) kg and 90 mg for those weighing \( > 100 \) kg. The therapeutic regimen is one injection at week 0 and week 4, and then every 12 weeks.

Ustekinumab is an expensive drug, costing around €3200 (US$4000) per vial. The annual cost for six injections is therefore around €20,000 (US$25,000) per patient.

When administered at recommended doses, patient acceptability of ustekinumab is high. In fact, the discontinuation rate during Phase II and III trials in psoriasis was very low, with rates of only 1.1% and 1.4% of patients receiving ustekinumab 45 and 90 mg respectively, compared with a 1.9% discontinuation rate under placebo. These discontinuations were related to an adverse event, and did not stem from patient discomfort induced by injection site reactions, for instance.

Overall, ustekinumab is effective and improves clinical symptoms of psoriasis as evaluated by PASI75. It is also effective in improving quality of life outcomes and has a good safety profile. Its clinical efficacy is maintained for up to 3 years, and no specific cause for alarm has been observed with its long-term use. For all these reasons, ustekinumab acceptability may be considered high in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. Alternative biological therapies for psoriasis include TNF-\( \alpha \) antagonists. Ustekinumab was more effective than etanercept in improving the symptoms of moderate to severe psoriasis in the ACCLAIM trial. Similarly, the maintenance therapy regimen of ustekinumab compares favorably with other biological agents in that one SC injection is required every 12 weeks, compared with etanercept, which is given once weekly. This suggests that patients with psoriasis may presumably prefer ustekinumab to etanercept. The place of ustekinumab in the management of moderate to severe psoriasis remains debated, and requires additional
head-to-head clinical trials comparing the efficacy of the various biological agents in the management of this chronic IMD. International guidelines are still under development, and certain professional dermatology societies (eg, American Academy of Dermatology or Canadian Dermatology Association) consider that all biological agents may be appropriate as first-line therapy, whereas others (ie, British Association of Dermatologists) recommend the use of biological agents in patients who have failed to respond to, or are intolerant of, or have a contraindication to phototherapy or conventional systemic drugs.\(^\text{11}\) There are no available data for patient preferences to one biological agent (ustekinumab or TNF-\(\alpha\) antagonists) in the treatment of PsA.

**Conclusion**

Subcutaneous ustekinumab 45 or 90 mg has been shown to be effective in, and is currently licensed for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. It is also effective in patients with active PsA who did not respond to conventional drugs or TNF-\(\alpha\) antagonists and in patients with refractory CD. In psoriasis, ustekinumab may benefit patients who do not respond to, or are intolerant of, other conventional therapies. Ustekinumab appears to be well tolerated and easy to use, suggesting high patient acceptability. Additional data are necessary for its long-term administration in psoriasis, especially regarding the cardiovascular safety profile. Head-to-head trials comparing ustekinumab to biological agents other than etanercept are required to better define its position among the therapeutic armamentarium in the treatment of psoriasis. Similarly, the place of ustekinumab in the treatment of CD also requires further investigation.
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