Pharmacology and clinical potential of guanylyl cyclase C agonists in the treatment of ulcerative colitis
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Abstract: Agonists of the transmembrane intestinal receptor guanylyl cyclase C (GCC) have recently attracted interest as promising human therapeutics. Peptide ligands that can specifically induce GCC signaling in the intestine include endogenous hormones guanylin and uroguanylin, diarrheagenic bacterial enterotoxins (ST), and synthetic drugs linaclotide, plecanatide, and SP-333. These agonists bind to GCC at intestinal epithelial surfaces and activate the receptor’s intracellular catalytic domain, an event initiating discrete biological responses upon conversion of guanosine-5′-triphosphate to cyclic guanosine monophosphate. A principal action of GCC agonists in the colon is the promotion of mucosal homeostasis and its dependent barrier function. Herein, GCC agonists are being developed as new medications to treat inflammatory bowel diseases, pathological conditions characterized by mucosal barrier hyperpermeability, abnormal immune reactions, and chronic local inflammation. This review will present important concepts underlying the pharmacology and therapeutic utility of GCC agonists for patients with ulcerative colitis, one of the most prevalent inflammatory bowel disease disorders.
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Introduction
Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a major clinical syndrome of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) and is characterized by chronic mucosal inflammation of the colon. Recurrent bloody diarrhea, tenesmus and rectal urgency are the most common symptoms for UC, but extraintestinal manifestations may also occur. Diagnosis of UC is mainly based on intestinal mucosa examination and tissue histopathology following colonoscopy and biopsy. The worldwide annual incidence of UC is 0.5–25 per 100,000 persons, with the highest rates in Western countries and the lowest in the developing world. UC distributes equally among men and women, with a typical onset between 15 and 40 years of age. Although the exact pathogenetic mechanism remains unclear, it is now apparent that both environmental and genetic factors play relevant etiological roles in UC, comprising heterogeneous, multifactorial combinations giving rise to almost identical clinical syndromes. Specifically, any perturbation of the delicate balance between commensal bacteria, epithelial barrier functions, and host innate and adaptive immunity may result in chronic colonic inflammation. In the US, about 500,000 persons are affected by UC, with an annual incidence of 2–7 per 100,000 persons, representing a major clinical challenge for the absence of curative pharmacological therapies.

Selective ligands for guanylyl cyclase C (GCC) (Figure 1) include pathological agents (heat-stable bacterial enterotoxins; herein referred to as ST), endogenous...
hormones (guanylin and uroguanylin) and, most importantly for this review, therapeutic drugs (linaclotide, plecanatide, and SP-333). Mostly short peptides of 14–19 amino acids, these GCC agonists share close structural and functional similarities, including intrachain disulfide bonds required for biological activity, pH stability, protease resistance, and poor systemic bioavailability when administered orally. ST and its artificial analog, linaclotide, contain three disulfide bonds and are considered super-agonists for GCC, as they maximally activate the receptor-dependent signal transduction machinery. Pleanacitide and SP-333, in turn, are synthetic analogs of the endogenous agonist uroguanylin, and together with guanylin, exhibit only two intrachain disulfide bonds. Recently, the utility of GCC agonists as IBD therapeutics has been proposed, and SP-333 is currently being developed for the treatment of UC. This review will discuss the pharmacological potential of GCC agonists as promising novel drugs for patients with UC.

Pharmacological agonists of GCC

Bacterial enterotoxins

The first GCC ligand identified was the heat-stable enterotoxin ST (Figure 1), a diarrheagenic agent produced by intestinal pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Klebsiella sp., and Yersinia enterocolitica. ST comprises a family of small peptides (ranging from 17 to 53 amino acids) sharing a conserved carboxyl terminal region of 13 residues, with six cysteines forming three disulfide bridges that define the tertiary structure and physicochemical properties of the toxin. ST causes secretory diarrhea in exposed individuals by overactivating the signaling pathway of the intestinal receptor GCC, the only confirmed molecular target for ST in humans. Accordingly, disruption of the gene encoding for GCC in mice resulted in resistance to ST-induced intestinal secretion and diarrhea. There is a general consensus that after colonizing the intestine of humans or animals for survival and growth, bacteria secrete ST to exploit the host GCC signaling and disseminate into the external environment. Infectious diarrhea caused by ST-producing bacteria is a major morbidity factor in areas of poor sanitation and crowded conditions, and remains a principal cause of travelers’ diarrhea and infant mortality in developing nations.

Despite improved mechanistic understanding, the frequency of these diarrheal diseases has not significantly changed during the past decades. Provocatively, the worldwide risk of travelers’ diarrhea inversely correlates with the incidence of colon cancer, and developing countries appear to be protected from colorectal transformation. This cancer resistance has been suggested to reflect, in part, longitudinal exposure of endemic populations to enterotoxigenic infections and the ability of ST to regulate the cell cycle transition, and suppress proliferation of intestinal epithelial cells. In this model, ST and the host GCC represent an evolutionary-conserved symbiotic system conferring mutual beneficial effects to microbes and mammals.

Endogenous hormones

After ST, two endogenous peptide ligands for GCC with primary amino acid sequences similar to the enterotoxin were identified. First, a substance from rat intestinal extracts that stimulated GCC signaling in human colon adenocarcinoma cells was isolated and named guanylin. Subsequently, a guanylin-like peptide was isolated from the urine and intestine of opossum and humans and named uroguanylin. Guanylin and uroguanylin are principally synthesized in the intestine, circulate in the bloodstream, are excreted in the urine, and induce diuresis, natriuresis, and kaliuresis, suggesting they act as endocrine hormones of an enteric-renal system regulating salt and water homeostasis. Importantly, these peptides, exhibiting 15 (guanylin) or 16 (uroguanylin) residues and two intrachain disulfide bridges (Figure 1), selectively bind and activate GCC in apical brush-border membranes of intestinal epithelial cells, although with less potency than ST. They are encoded by genes organized in a tail-to-tail configuration on human chromosome 1p, and secreted by intestinal mucosal cells as proforms, which are activated upon carboxyl terminus cleavage. Intriguingly,
ligand effects appear to depend on extracellular pH, and uroguanylin is 100-fold more potent than guanylin at acidic pH (5.0–5.5), while guanylin is fourfold more potent than uroguanylin at basic pH (8.0).29 Both endogenous GCC ligands exhibit a crypt-to-villus gradient of expression, which is maximal in small intestinal villi and superficial epithelial cells of the colon.28,30,31 However, uroguanylin is more abundant in the proximal tracts of the intestine, while guanylin is highly expressed in the colon and rectum.28,30,31 Also, guanylin and uroguanylin expression undergoes circadian rhythm (highest at night),32 is induced by osmotic stress33 and zinc deficiency,34 and is downregulated by low salt intake.35 These regulatory mechanisms and distribution patterns of expression suggest a complex, spatially distinct role for these peptides in the intestine. In this context, guanylin and uroguanylin are considered autocrine/paracrine hormones, which control local fluid balance and the homeostasis of the intestinal mucosa, including crypt renewal dynamics, cell differentiation and metabolism, and epithelial barrier function (Figure 2).17,36 Their crucial function as promoters of the normal intestinal epithelial cell phenotype is further underscored by the GCC ligandopenia characterizing early colorectal carcinogenesis,37,38 and the anticancer activity of oral replacement therapy with GCC agonists in the gut.22

**Therapeutic drugs**

As our understanding of the number of critical physiological functions played by the biological ligands grows significantly (Figure 2), it is becoming apparent that GCC agonists possess great translational potential for human intestinal diseases. Currently, three artificial GCC ligands are being exploited as oral therapeutics for chronic idiopathic constipation, constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome and IBD. The first synthetic GCC agonist entering the clinic has been linaclotide (Ironwood Pharmaceuticals Inc, Boston, MA, USA and Forest Laboratories Inc, New York, NY, USA), an ST analog cyclopeptide of 14 amino acids (Figure 1) which increases intestinal motility and fluid secretion, while decreasing visceral pain in preclinical models.9 Linaclotide is converted in vivo by carboxypeptidase A into the active 13mer metabolite MM-419447, which contributes to linaclotide’s pharmacodynamics.9 Recently, linaclotide has been approved in the US for the treatment of patients with chronic idiopathic constipation and irritable bowel syndrome with constipation, wherein this GCC agonist is behaving as a safe, reliable, and effective drug in improving disease-specific abdominal and bowel symptoms.39–42 In that context, a 26-week Phase III trial in 804 patients with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome demonstrated that linaclotide (N of treated patients, 401) significantly ameliorated constipation and disease severity (clinical responders: linaclotide group, 33.7% versus placebo group, 13.9%), while inducing significant, sustained improvement of worst abdominal pain and abdominal discomfort, fullness, cramping, and bloating.42 Of note, with the exception of diarrhea (mostly of mild/moderate intensity; linaclotide group, 19.7% versus placebo group, 2.5%), which is an expected extension of linaclotide pharmacology, the incidence of adverse events was not significantly different in linaclotide-treated patients compared with the placebo controls.42 After linaclotide, two additional artificial GCC agonists, plecanatide (a 16mer) (Figure 1) and SP-333 (Synergy Pharmaceuticals Inc, New York, NY, USA), have entered the drug developmental stage.18 Both synthetic analogs of uroguanylin, but of superior potency, plecanatide and SP-333 are exhibiting promises as gastrointestinal therapeutics.18 Plecanatide is in clinical development for the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation (Phase IIb/III studies ongoing) and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (Phase I study planned).10 In the completed Phase I–II clinical trials, plecanatide significantly ameliorated patients’ bowel movements and symptoms.10 In contrast, SP-333 is being investigated specifically for the treatment of IBD in patients with UC, and is currently in the preclinical stage of development.10 In studies employing animal models of IBD, SP-333 attenuated colitis-associated events through the downregulation of locally released autacoids mediating the inflammatory response.10
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**Figure 2** Physiological functions regulated by endogenous GCC agonists in the intestine.

**Notes:** The diagram indicates the principal putative biological responses elicited by endogenous hormones guanylin and uroguanylin upon binding and activation of GCC on intestinal brush-border membranes.

**Abbreviation:** GCC, guanylyl cyclase C.
Signal transduction mechanisms
The receptor

The principal pharmacological target for ST, guanylin, uroguanylin, and their synthetic analogs is GCC. Discovered as the “heat stable enterotoxin receptor,” GCC is encoded by the gene GUCY2C on human chromosome 12p12. GUCY2C homologs are widely conserved across species including mammals, reptiles, and birds, suggesting a fundamental role for GCC in organismal biology.43–45 Beyond discrete neuronal cells in the central nervous system,46,47 in mammals, GCC is uniquely expressed at apical, brush-border membranes of intestinal epithelial cells from the duodenum to the rectum, uniformly distributed in crypts, villi, and mucosal surfaces.17 GCC, a member of membrane-bound guanylyl cyclases, is a homodimeric transmembrane enzyme exhibiting conserved functional domains (Figure 3A), including: (1) the extracellular domain for specific ligand binding; (2) a single transmembrane domain with an hydrophobic α-helix region; (3) a short juxtamembrane domain with a G-protein consensus sequence; (4) the kinase homology domain, which binds adenosine triphosphate and regulates ligand-receptor affinity; (5) a hinge region, probably mediating catalytic subunit dimerization; (6) the catalytic domain, which mediates the conversion of guanosine triphosphate to cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP); and (7) a carboxyl terminal tail with key regulatory functions, including modulation of cyclase activity, cytoskeletal anchoring, and receptor internalization.17 The extracellular domain of GCC possesses a unique amino acid sequence, and glycosylation and oligomerization sites, which affect the specificity, stability and efficacy of ligand-receptor binding.17 In this context, upon agonist binding to the extracellular domain of GCC, an intramolecular conformational change is induced and transmitted along the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains to the carboxyl terminal catalytic site, resulting in a manifold increase of intracellular cGMP concentration over the basal state.15,17

The downstream targets

Cyclic GMP represents the sole intracellular second messenger for GCC agonists. A variety of key cellular responses are mediated by cGMP,17 which regulates virtually all major cytoplasmic signaling networks.48 In intestinal epithelial cells, GCC is the principal source of cGMP, and ligand–GCC interactions in concert with distinct elimination mechanisms (phosphodiesterase-dependent hydrolysis; transporter-dependent efflux) define the type, intensity, and duration of cellular cGMP rises and effects. In this way, elegant spatio-terminal determinants regulate crucial physiological responses in intestine by imposing maximal cGMP signaling. Examples of these include the increased endogenous ligand expression at superficial epithelial compartments, which mediates maturation dynamics,28,31 and the GCC baso-apical expression gradient within cells, which ensures fluid regulation at luminal membrane borders.17

The functional consequences of GCC agonist-induced cGMP elevations in intestinal epithelial cells reflect the selective targeting of downstream molecular effectors (Figure 3B), exhibiting two evolutionarily distinct allosteric binding sites for cGMP. One cGMP binding site is present in cGMP- and cAMP-dependent protein kinases (protein kinase G [PKG] and protein kinase A [PKA], respectively) and in cyclic nucleotide gated (CNG) cation channels, while the other is expressed in cGMP-regulated phosphodiesterases (PDEs). Differential tissue expression and intracellular compartmentalization of these cGMP targets enable

Figure 3 GCC and its downstream targets. (A) The domain structure of GCC. (B) Key proximal effectors activated by GCC in intestinal epithelial cells upon catalytic conversion of GTP to cGMP.

Abbreviations: cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate; CaR, calcium-sensing receptor; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; cGMP, cyclic guanosine monophosphate; CNG, cyclic nucleotide gated channel; GCC, guanylyl cyclase C; GTP, guanosine-5′-triphosphate; PDE, phosphodiesterases; PKA, protein kinase A; PKG, protein kinase G; VASP, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein.
selectivity and accuracy of signal transmission and execution. PKG and PKA principally mediate regulation of intestinal fluid homeostasis and cytoskeletal organization by GCC ligands at membrane regions (Figure 3B). PKG is a Ser/Thr protein kinase comprising two subtypes, the soluble PKG I, with two isoforms Iα and Iβ, widely expressed in tissues, and the particulate PKG II, abundant in the intestine.49 PKA is a tetrameric kinase preferentially activated by cAMP.17 PKG II and PKA activation by GCC agonists mediate water and electrolyte secretion by inducing phosphorylation and opening of the cyclic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), with subsequent Cl− efflux into the intestinal lumen.50–52 In this way, endogenous GCC ligands act as “fluidity sensors” that provide optimal intestinal mucosa hydration through induction of net secretion of water, NaCl, and HCO3−.17 PKG I, in turn, mediates effects of GCC ligands on the cytoskeleton and contractile apparatus.17 A particularly crucial PKG target in these actions is the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP), an actin binding protein controlling cytoskeletal remodeling, cell shape, and adhesion contacts in intestinal epithelial cells.53 Herein, GCC agonists induce rapid PKG I-mediated phosphorylation of Ser239 in the carboxyl terminal VASP domain,54 thereby suppressing F-actin polymerization and membrane protrusion formation.53,55,56

Further, CNG channels represent a principal intracellular effector for inhibition of proliferation by GCC agonists in intestine (Figure 3B). CNG channels are heterotetrameric proteins of α- and β-subunits, which mediate cGMP-dependent Na+ and Ca2+ influx.57 GCC signaling through CNG channels slows intestinal cell cycle progression by inducing intracellular Ca2+ influx and cytosolic Ca2+ elevations.21 An important mechanism by which intracellular Ca2+ by GCC agonists suppresses proliferation in intestinal cells is the translocation of calcium-sensing receptors to membrane compartments.58 Calcium-sensing receptor is a key mediator of tumor inhibitory activities by luminal Ca2+, principally reflecting its role as a regulator of intestinal cell maturation dynamics. Thus, ligand–GCC signaling may act as a regulatory system promoting physiological actions by dietary Ca2+ in the gut, including cytostasis and the proliferation to differentiation transition along the crypt–villus axis.21,58 Finally, cGMP-regulated PDEs (eg, PDE2, PDE5, PDE6, and PDE10) are enzymes specialized in the cleavage of the cyclic nucleotide phosphodiester bond.17 In intestinal epithelial cells, these PDEs are principally involved in the modulation or termination of biological signaling by GCC agonists, and in the cross-talk between cGMP- and cAMP-dependent pathways (Figure 3B).52,59

### GCC signaling in colonic mucosal integrity

During the last decade, the appreciation of the biological significance of GCC and its ligands for intestinal mucosa homeostasis is increased substantially (Figure 2), and from a mere fluidity sensor mechanism, the agonist/GCC pathway may now be considered a fundamental promoter of the intestinal mucosa integrity and its dependent barrier function. In this regard, the columnar epithelial cell monolayer covering the inner surface of the colorectum provides a complex chemical and physical barrier protecting the host from its harmful external environment, including pathogen, toxins, and foreign bioproducts.60 This monolayer is sealed by tight junctions restricting barrier permeability and comprises three cell lineages arising from self-perpetuating stem cells located at colonic crypt bases, including absorptive colonocytes, mucus-producing goblet cells, and hormone-secreting enteroendocrine cells.61 Maintenance of the balance between proliferation, migration, and differentiation along the colonic crypt-surface axis is central in preserving an intact epithelial barrier function, and alterations in those cell homeostatic dynamics compromise the bowel mucosal integrity, thereby favoring inflammatory responses and IBD by unchecked exposure of the sterile host compartment to dangerous luminal antigens.62 Elimination of GCC signaling disrupts the epithelial lineage crypt-surface balance in the mouse colon, reflected by hyperplastic proliferative compartments with fast-cycling and fast-migrating progenitor cells,63,64 and poorly developed differentiated compartments, characterized by incomplete phenotypic and metabolic maturation and self-repair programs.63,64,65 In particular, loss of GCC resulted in a fewer number of colonic goblet cells, with reduced production of mucin and intestinal trefoil factor.64 This is significant because UC patients exhibit fewer, malfunctioning goblet cells whose pathological recapitulation in mouse models results in loss of mucosal integrity, inflammation, and spontaneous colitis.65 Moreover, mucin and intestinal trefoil factor are key components of the gut-coating mucus layer mediating epithelial barrier protection and post-injury restitution, and their defective production or activity in mice causes increased intestinal permeability and susceptibility to chronic colonic inflammation.68 The contribution to optimal mucus barrier function is further underscored by the ability of ligand-dependent GCC signaling through CFTR to...
induce secretion of NaCl and HCO$_3^-$, critical electrolytes controlling the rheological properties of the mucus layer and its proper interaction with the adjacent colonic microbiota. Importantly, secretion of these electrolytes at colonic surfaces is often dysregulated in UC patients.

Beyond effects on lineage- and mucus-dependent functions, GCC signaling protects intestinal barrier integrity by promoting tight junction-mediated epithelial cell sealing. Elimination of GCC or uroguanylin in mice increased intestinal permeability and inflammation through myosin light chain kinase activation and tight junction disassembly, with reduced claudin-2 and JAM-A levels. Accordingly, induction of GCC signaling with GCC agonists enhanced tight junction assembly, reduced intestinal barrier permeability and protected mice from chemical-induced colitis. A key mechanism mediating regulation of epithelial cell tight junctions by GCC is the inhibition of v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1 (AKT-1) activity, coupled with increased expression of junctional proteins occludin and claudin-4. In this context, it is reasonable to speculate that the likely candidate as the proximal molecular effector of GCC-mediated intestinal barrier functions is PKG. In support of this notion, PKG I-mediated VASP phosphorylation by inducing PKG-dependent VASP phosphorylation. Moreover, ligand-mediated GCC signaling through CFTR, which controls electrolyte secretion and intestinal barrier maintenance by the mucus layer, is a PKG II-dependent phenomenon. Together, these observations underscore the central role of the GCC pathway in support of intestinal barrier integrity, including its protection from or its restituting following injury. In one model, similarly to other intestinal regulatory peptides, GCC endogenous ligands guanylin and uroguanylin are to be considered “mucosal barrier guardians,” whose signal transduction dysregulation may contribute to inflammation and IBD. Conversely, iatrogenic induction of colonic GCC signaling with administration of specific agonists as therapeutics may hold great promise for the prevention of further damage, or mucosal barrier restitution in patients with UC (Figure 4).

**GCC agonists as UC therapeutics**

Current pharmacological remedies for UC include 5-aminosalicylic acid, corticosteroids, cyclosporine, and infliximab, a monoclonal antibody blocking pro-inflammatory tumor necrosis factor-α. Although these drugs may induce symptom remission and successfully prevent disease progression, ~30% of patients with severe UC are resistant to pharmacological therapy and require colectomy. The global risk of colectomy for UC patients is ~9% over 10 years. Common curative surgery consists of total proctocolectomy with ileal J-pouch anal anastomosis. However, this procedure is associated with high rates (~20%) of postoperative complications, including abscesses, sepsis, fistulas, infertility, and sexual dysfunction. Thus, innovative drug development programs for UC are warranted. In that context, GCC agonists could be exploited as a unique class of therapeutics for IBD and UC in particular. In the “leaky gut” hypothesis, intestinal hyper-permeability resulting from disruption of mucosal barrier integrity is a principal pathogenetic event underlying abnormal immune responses to luminal antigen exposure and IBD (Figure 4, left panel). Reestablishment of epithelial barrier function, in turn, could arrest pathogenetic processes of inflammation thereby preventing or treating IBD. As GCC signaling protects lineage-dependent homeostasis along the colonic crypt-surface axis, activation of that pathway by its specific ligands could restore normal environment-immune interactions regulated by the epithelial monolayer (Figure 4, right panel). Moreover, the ability of GCC agonists to specifically reinforce the colonic barrier through the regulation of the superficial mucus layer and epithelial tight junctions may predict their utility as novel drugs for the chemoprevention and treatment of patients with barrier-dependent intestinal inflammation (Figure 4).
Additionally, expression of endogenous GCC ligands guanylin and uroguanylin is significantly reduced in affected UC tissues compared with healthy subjects, supporting the notion that restoration of the dormant GCC pathway with exogenous administration of GCC agonists may be an effective strategy to tissue restitution in UC patients. In striking contrast with the above considerations, a nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphism in GUCY2C conferred a dominant phenotype on affected members of a Norwegian family, characterized by ligand-dependent hyperactivation of GCC signaling, chronic diarrhea, and susceptibility to intestinal inflammation and IBD. Accordingly, -10% of travelers experiencing acute diarrhea from exposure to Escherichia coli producing the GCC super-agonist ST develop post-infectious chronic intestinal symptoms and irritable bowel syndrome. Further, the artificial ST analog linaclotide compared poorly with other drugs in protecting isolated pig jejunum from ischemia-induced intestinal barrier disruption, and mice deficient in GUCY2C exhibited reduced mucosal damage and inflammation following chemical-induced colitis. Beyond confounding variability in experimental models, apparent conflicting observations on the role of GCC in inflammatory gut disorders could be reconciled if one assumes that only physiological levels of ligand-dependent GCC activation mediate intestinal mucosa protection. In this model, activation of the wild-type GCC receptor with the endogenous ligands ensures beneficial GCC signaling underlying normal intestinal biology. In contrast, both dormancy and hyperactivation of the GCC pathway would disrupt mucosal homeostasis and result in bowel disease susceptibility. Thus, administration of adequate dosages of GCC agonists should mimic homeostatic functions of endogenous ligands and oppose intestinal barrier disruption, prevent inflammatory responses and treat IBD-associated pathological events. Of significance, SP-333, the GCC agonist currently under therapeutic development for the treatment of patients with UC, is an artificial analog of the endogenous GCC ligand uroguanylin. SP-333 is behaving as a highly effective drug in preclinical mouse models of UC, wherein it is reducing colonic inflammatory damage induced with various chemicals, in part, through the downregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines including interleukins 4, 5, and 23, and tumor necrosis factor. Finally, prolonged stimulation of GCC with its ligands produces cellular refractoriness to biological effects of GCC agonists, pointing toward selective temporal administration schedules as yet another critical variable, apart from drug concentrations, to consider for optimal translation of GCC-targeted strategies into UC patients’ therapeutics.

Conclusion

In UC, disruption of homeostatic balance between intestinal microflora, epithelial barrier permeability and host immune responses underlies colitis and tissue damage. It is suggested that unsupervised exposure of the sterile subepithelial compartment to luminal antigens promotes chronic inflammatory processes and recurrent symptoms. There is a need for innovative drug development programs in UC, wherein -9% of patients undergo colectomy as a result of severe disease that is resistant to current pharmacological therapy. GCC agonists are attractive novel UC therapeutics because of their unique mechanism of action, which would enable restoration of homeostatic signaling circuits promoting colonic mucosa integrity. In this way, GCC agonists will fill an unmet need in the pharmacological anti-UC armamentarium by targeting one causal mechanism of chronic colonic inflammation, abnormal mucosal permeability.

Beyond inflammation in the gut, intestinal barrier protection by GCC and its agonists also reduces local and systemic DNA damage and tumorigenesis. Not surprisingly, UC patients exhibit a higher incidence of colorectal cancer. An inverse epidemiological association exists between colon cancer and the risk for enterotoxigenic infections, and UC is less common in geographic areas where those infections are endemic. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that intermittent longitudinal exposure to the exogenous GCC agonist ST may contribute to protect resident populations from both UC and colorectal cancer. These observations also suggest that GCC agonists might have a broad therapeutic utility, from local inflammation and tumorigenesis chemoprevention to systemic protective actions against genotoxicity and transformation. Of relevance, oral administration of GCC agonists is a safe, effective, and well tolerated medication in patients with chronic constipation, underscoring the clinical utility for these agents as human therapeutics. Together, these considerations support the great significance and translational potential of GCC agonists for the management of UC patients, reflecting the emergent role of this new class of drugs as promoters and protectors of the mucosal barrier integrity in the colon.
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