Gender difference in response predictors after 1-year exenatide therapy twice daily in type 2 diabetic patients: a real world experience
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Purpose: To investigate whether gender affects therapeutic response by exenatide twice a day (BID) in type 2 diabetes by using a database concerning patients monitored by five outpatient clinics in Tuscany, Italy.

Patients and methods: We considered a cohort of 315 (154 male/161 female) patients experiencing therapeutic failure while on oral therapy (metformin, or combination therapy metformin + sulphonylureas), who were given exenatide (10 μg/BID) and who fully completed 4 months, 8 months, and 12 months of follow-ups.

Results: Among patients stratified by gender and well matched for age, body mass index, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), it was found that the length of disease was longer in females than in males (12 ± 8 years versus 10 ± 7 years; P = 0.037), and the ratio of patients on metformin to those on combination therapy was higher in men (P = 0.018). Target glycemic response (1-year HbA1c ≤ 7%) was achieved in a significantly higher proportion of males than females (38% versus 27%; Χ2 = 4.66; P = 0.03). Target weight loss expressed as 1-year weight percent fall from baseline ≥ 75th percentile (8.5%) was significantly higher in females at 8 and 12 months (P < 0.05; for both). One-year glycemic target response was inversely related to baseline HbA1c levels and diabetes duration among males, while metformin therapy (compared to oral combination therapy) was a significant predictor of better glycemic targets among females. Homeostasis model assessment-B, measured in 117 patients, predicted hypoglycemic response only in women (P = 0.009). Target 1-year weight loss was predicted by longer diabetes duration among males and by lower baseline HbA1c among females. Finally, no significant difference between genders was noted as to gastrointestinal side effects after exenatide therapy.

Conclusion: According to this “real world” experience, predictors of glycemic control and body weight loss after 12 months of exenatide BID therapy are different between genders in type 2 diabetes.
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Introduction

Maintenance of strict glycemic control prevents or delays the risk of microangiopathy and, to a lesser degree, of macroangiopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes.1,2 The primary aim of therapy in diabetes is, therefore, to achieve target glycemic control, with a rate of hypoglycemic episodes or adverse events as reduced as possible.3,4

Besides diet and physical exercise, both metformin and β-cell secretagogues can be administered by an “add on” modality.5 In case of therapeutic failure, a further opportunity is given by glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, such as exenatide.6–8 GLP-1 receptor agonists exert their hypoglycemic effect through stimulation of glucose-dependent insulin release coupled with a reduction of glucagon
release from pancreatic α-cells, cumulatively leading to protective effects on β-cell mass. In addition, GLP-1 receptor agonists elicit satiety sensation and slow gastric emptying.

In conclusion, GLP-1 receptor agonists such as exenatide obtain their therapeutic effects by reducing the risk of hypoglycemic events and, at the same time, by leading to significant weight loss, especially in obese patients.

Many randomized controlled trials have, over the past years, confirmed the efficacy of exenatide either in monotherapy, or in an “add on” therapeutic strategy, while only few studies have been published about exenatide therapy in real world clinical settings; scarce data exist about gender differences concerning the use of exenatide in routine practice.

An additional interest also lies in the observation that in normal weight individuals, the release of endogenous GLP-1 elicited by fiber-rich nutrients is sexually dimorphic, being selectively potentiated in women.

Exenatide, which has been available in Italy since February 2008, is prescribed in our country as an adjunctive therapy to metformin, sulphonylureas, their combination, or thiazolidinediones and until 2010 its use, as well as its reimbursement, have been approved, only after recording patients into a specific web-assisted-computerized database. We have, therefore, utilized this database to investigate whether and possibly how the therapeutic response by exenatide in type 2 diabetes is different between genders.

Materials and methods

Patients

This multicentric, observational, retrospective study involved type 2 diabetic patients enrolled in five diabetes outpatient clinics in Tuscany, Italy (Livorno, Lucca, Massa, Pistoia, and Versilia) between February 2008 and August 2010. Patients were recruited at each center if they had experienced therapeutic failure despite maximum-tolerated oral treatment (metformin, or combination metformin + sulphonylureas), or had adverse events after conventional oral therapy. There was also a very exiguous number of patients who were in therapy with sulphonylureas (n = 8) or thiazolidinediones (n = 3) only and, consequently, for the purpose of this study, we considered only two therapeutic groups (those on metformin/thiazolidinediones and those on combination therapy/sulphonylureas).

All patients began treatment with exenatide 5 µg twice daily (BID), injected subcutaneously 30–40 minutes before principal meals, for 4 weeks; if treatment was well tolerated, the dosing was increased to 10 µg twice daily. Background therapy was generally kept at prestudy dosage unless patients had experienced hypoglycemic episodes. According to scheduled follow-ups, each patient was reevaluated after 4 months, 8 months, and 12 months. At each visit, body weight, waist circumference, fasting plasma glucose, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) and adverse events were recorded.

In a subset of 117 (61 male/56 female) patients, fasting plasma C-peptide was measured and homeostasis model assessment-B (HOMA-B) was calculated as:

\[
20 \times \text{plasma C-peptide (ng/mL)} / \text{fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)} - 3.5.
\]

With these premises, the purpose of this study was to retrospectively identify predictors of target glycemic response (HbA1c ≤ 7%), and of weight loss in males as compared to females, adding further information about the rates of drop-outs and of side effects in our population.

Plasma glucose, HbA1c, and plasma C-peptide were measured in all centers by means of standardized methods, with periodical quality control checks. In each center, use of information contained in the database was approved by the local ethics committee.

Statistics

Differences between means were evaluated according to both parametric (Student’s t-test) and nonparametric (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) methods, when appropriate. The Chi-square test was used to compare frequencies. Predictors of main outcomes at 1 year (HbA1c, or body weight loss at target) were identified in both genders, calculating the odds ratio from multiple logistic models including sets of continuous or categorical independent variables. For continuously distributed independent variables, odds ratios measured variations in risk according to either the increase or decrease is proportional to the consensual change of the unity of measure of the independent variable. Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation, or as median values (interquartile range), and a \( P \)-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

All analyses were performed with SAS software, version 8.2 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The group of patients under study was composed of 154 males and 161 females, who were well matched for age, baseline body mass index (BMI), and HbA1c (Table 1). Among females, menopause was present in 143 (89%) individuals.
Baseline mean fasting plasma glucose was higher among males, while females were characterized by a longer duration of diabetes as well as by a higher prevalence of patients treated with combination therapy (sulphonylureas + metformin) (Table 1).

No differences in mean values of mean plasma glucose, HbA1c, or BMI at baseline, at 4 months, 8 months, and 12 months were observed between genders (Table 1 and Figure 1). The percentage of patients who achieved the target glycemic value (HbA1c ≤ 7%) was significantly higher among males than females after 4 months (41% versus 28%; χ² = 6.21; P = 0.01), as well as after 12 months (38% versus 27%; χ² = 4.66; P = 0.03; Figure 1B).

BMI was, on average, greater (even if not significantly) in females than in males throughout the whole period (Table 1, and Figure 1A). Target weight loss, arbitrarily expressed as 1-year percent loss ≥ 75th percentile in the whole population (8.5%), was more often achieved among females at 8 months (28% versus 15%; χ² = 8.04; P = 0.004) and 12 months (33% versus 17%; χ² = 10.98; P = 0.0009) (Figure 1B). After substituting body weight with BMI, the results did not change (data not shown).

Figure 1 Mean values of HbA1c and body weight at baseline, and at 4 months, 8 months, and 12 months in males and females. Mean values of HbA1c (left) and body weight (right) at baseline, and at 4 months, 8 months, and 12 months in males and females (A). The percentage of both male and female patients who achieved target glycemic control (HbA1c ≤ 7%) (left) and body weight reduction targeted at ≥8.5% (75th percentile of 1-year percent loss from the baseline weight value) over the observation period in males and females (B). *HbA1c ≤ 7%; #P = 0.01; ##P = 0.03 versus males (A); ^weight loss of ≥75th percentile of 1-year percent loss from the baseline weight value; *P = 0.004; **P = 0.0009 versus males (B).

Abbreviation: HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.
Predictors of target metabolic response at 1 year, (HbA1c ≤ 7%), was evaluated by using a multiple logistic model where the dependent variable (y) was the positive target outcome attained (yes = 1; no = 0), and age, duration of diabetes, baseline HbA1c, BMI, and background antidiabetic therapy (metformin/thiazolidinediones or combination therapy/sulphonylureas, respectively categorized as 0 and 1), were independent variables.

Duration of the disease was inversely related with the probability of achieving 1-year target glycemic outcomes, and in the whole population, each increase in 1-year diabetes duration reduced the probability of achieving the glycemic target by about the 6%. Likewise, baseline HbA1c was inversely related with 1-year target glycemic response. Each 1% increase of HbA1c reduced the probability of achieving the glycemic target at 12 months by about 35% (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Longer disease duration and higher basal HbA1c levels were significantly negative predictors of target metabolic response in men, while in women negative achievement of the 1-year glycemic target was associated with baseline treatment with sulphonylureas or a combination oral therapy, with baseline therapy with metformin being related to a positive outcome at 1 year (OR: 0.321 [95% CI: 0.148–0.696]) (Table 2).

Increase in baseline HOMA-B was significantly related to 1-year positive metabolic response only in females (Table 2).

A longer duration of diabetes was a significant predictor of a target weight loss response in the overall population; also, in this case there was a difference between genders since lower HbA1c levels at baseline was a significant 1-year response predictor in females, while longer diabetes duration was a significant 1-year response predictor in males (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the types of side effects and reasons for drop-outs; there was no clear gender difference with regards to the prevalence of the most common gastrointestinal side effects.

Discussion

In this observational study, exenatide was used at the standard dose as an add-on strategy in diabetic patients experiencing therapeutic failure.

As expected, the duration of diabetes and the baseline HbA1c levels were among the main predictors of therapeutic glycemic response. In fact, a longer duration of diabetes and increasingly elevated HbA1c levels are both significant predictors of β-cell dysfunction,24–27 and are thus responsible for impairing the therapeutic effect of antidiabetic drugs including GLP-1 receptor agonists.

The therapeutic glycemic effect was similar to what has been reported by previous intervention trials,10,18,20 and the new data of the present study illustrates the observed gender difference in the attainment of metabolic targets achieved by a significantly higher proportion of males (38%) than females (27%).

Currently, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports about the influence of gender on hypoglycemic responses in diabetic patients treated with exenatide, since previously published studies refer to randomized controlled trials, which are often of short duration and they usually compare exenatide with other active drugs.15 Furthermore, in many trials, gender distribution was unbalanced with the percentage of males (in most cases) being above 50%,18 suggesting that the final results could have been influenced by gender mismatching. Very recently, a retrospective post hoc meta-analysis of Pencek et al28 regarding 16 studies and 2067 diabetic patients treated with exenatide BID did not reveal any gender difference as to the glycemic outcome. This may be due to the fact that the main characteristics of patients analyzed by Pencek et al28 were quite different from those of our patients with regards to age, matching of sex, background therapy, duration of trial, and race. It is interesting, however,

### Table 2 Predictors of the glycemic target (HbA1c ≤ 7%) in the overall population, as well as in males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Males (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Females (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Overall (OR [95% CI])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration</td>
<td>0.864 (0.800–0.932)*</td>
<td>0.998 (0.947–1.051)</td>
<td>0.942 (0.904–0.982)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BMI</td>
<td>1.049 (0.977–1.1126)</td>
<td>1.003 (0.946–1.063)</td>
<td>1.013 (0.970–1.057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HbA1c</td>
<td>0.520 (0.354–0.764)*</td>
<td>0.691 (0.462–1.033)</td>
<td>0.646 (0.498–0.839)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy*</td>
<td>1.458 (0.656–3.240)</td>
<td>0.321 (0.148–0.696)*</td>
<td>0.596 (0.352–1.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-B††</td>
<td>0.799 (0.529–1.208)</td>
<td>1.668 (1.071–2.599)*</td>
<td>1.679 (0.993–2.838)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Results are expressed as OR (95% CI) after a logistics regression model where the dependent variable was target outcome “y” (yes = 1; no = 0), and age, duration of diabetes, baseline HbA1c, background antidiabetic therapy, and baseline BMI were the independent variables. *Therapy was categorized as metformin = 0; sulphonylureas or associations = 1; ††carried out in 117 individuals (61 males/56 females). †statistically significant.

**Abbreviations:** HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HOMA-B, homeostasis model assessment-B.
to note that in Pencek et al’s analysis, the final weight loss observed in patients was significantly higher in females than in males, which is in agreement with our data.

Why glycemic response was better in men is not clear from our data; one possible explanation could be that women had a much longer duration of diabetes and were more frequently on therapy with metformin + sulphonylureas, suggesting a more preserved baseline β-cell function among men, which is also indirectly suggested by their higher HOMA-B median value. This hypothesis is validated by two findings: the first is the prediction that there is a negative effect on achievement of the glycemic target exerted by background baseline combination therapy; and the second is the positive relation linking basal HOMA-B and 1-year metabolic response, both of which were observed uniquely among females.

In agreement with previous experiences, weight loss after 1-year of exenatide therapy was about 5 kg, on average, in both sexes; however, females achieved weight loss targets more frequently than males after 8 months and 12 months of exenatide therapy. The reason for this divergent response between genders – which was interestingly also reported in

**Figure 2** Relation linking diabetes duration and HbA₁c levels with predicted probability of target 1-year metabolic response (HbA₁c ≤ 7%) after taking into account age, sex, BMI, and background therapy (metformin, sulphonylureas, or their combination). Diabetes duration (A) and baseline HbA₁c levels (B) with predicted probability of target 1-year metabolic response.

**Abbreviations:** HbA₁c, hemoglobin A₁c; BMI, body mass index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors of weight loss targets in the overall population, as well as in males and females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HbA₁c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Results are expressed as the 1-year percent loss value from the baseline weight ≥ 75th percentile (≥8.5%). In addition, the results are also expressed as OR (95% CI) after a logistics regression model where the dependent variable was target outcome “y” (yes = 1; no = 0); age, duration of diabetes, baseline HbA₁c, background antidiabetic therapy, and baseline BMI were the independent variables. *Therapy was categorized as metformin = 0; sulphonylureas or associations = 1. **Statistically significant.

**Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HbA₁c, hemoglobin A₁c.
a previous “real world” study, as well as in Pencek et al’s meta-analysis – is not clear. The slightly higher mean BMI observed in women, even if nonsignificant, may have contributed to a greater weight loss after exenatide.

Additional results of this study were the relationship between the duration of diabetes and weight loss, which was significant only in men, as well as and the inverse association between higher basal HbA1c levels and the achievement of significant weight loss only in women. This latter finding among the females in our study was confirmed by the evidence that there was a lower mean baseline HbA1c concentration in “responders” compared to “non-responders” (8.49% ± 0.88% versus 8.88% ± 1.06%; P = 0.02). Since previous studies reported that weight is an important risk factor for diabetes in women, the idea that plasma glucose is selectively related to body weight in obese women, and that women are more obese than men at the time of diagnosis of diabetes, it is possible to speculate that all these gender-specific “traits” may suggest that females carry an overall greater sensitivity to losing weight after exenatide treatment, especially in the presence of poor baseline metabolic control. Why there was a significant link between longer duration of diabetes and significant weight loss in males, as well as in the overall population, is unknown.

The prevalence of adverse reactions and drop-outs was quite similar between genders, which was in agreement with previously published trials. No relation was found between weight loss and gastrointestinal side effects in both males and females.

This study has an evident main limitation since patients were chosen with a “post hoc” design; but, nevertheless, we believe that the study holds the advantage of being a “real world” experience. To our knowledge, there are few studies that have been performed in clinical settings that have been especially aimed at investigating gender difference with regards to therapy with GLP-1 receptor agonists.

**Table 4** Side effects and reasons for drop-outs at 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n)</th>
<th>Females (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failure of therapy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to other therapy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of compliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: n, number.

A second aspect coming from the data of the present study is that males seem to have a more efficacious response after exenatide therapy, probably due to their reduced duration of disease as well as to a less exhausted β-cell mass at baseline, as indirectly suggested by the lower rate of males on combination therapy. In addition, gender seems to have a different impact on weight-lowering effects exerted by exenatide, with women being seemingly more sensitive than men.

The findings of this study support the hypothesis that dissimilar baseline diabetes-related characteristics between genders are mostly responsible for the different therapeutic outcomes after exenatide therapy. However, due to the observational retrospective feature of this study, all suggested gender differences need further confirmation by trials specifically designed to assess these factors.
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