Effects of vildagliptin (Galvus®) therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus after heart transplantation
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Background: Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a common comorbidity in patients after heart transplantation (HTx) and is associated with adverse long-term outcomes. Methods: The retrospective study reported here analyzed the effects of vildagliptin therapy in stable patients post-HTx with T2DM and compared these with control patients for matched-pairs analysis. A total of 30 stable patients post-HTx with T2DM were included in the study. Fifteen patients (mean age 58.6 ± 6.0 years, mean time post-HTx 4.9 ± 5.3 years, twelve male and three female) were included in the vildagliptin group (VG) and 15 patients were included in the control group (CG) (mean age 61.2 ± 8.3 years, mean time post-HTx 7.2 ± 6.6 years, all male).

Results: Mean glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in the VG was 7.4% ± 0.7% before versus 6.8% ± 0.8% after 8 months of vildagliptin therapy (P = 0.002 vs baseline). In the CG, HbA1c was 7.0% ± 0.7% versus 7.3% ± 1.2% at follow-up (P = 0.21). Additionally, there was a significant reduction in mean blood glucose in the VG, from 165.0 ± 18.8 mg/dL to 147.9 ± 22.7 mg/dL (P = 0.002 vs baseline), whereas mean blood glucose increased slightly in the CG from 154.7 ± 19.7 mg/dL to 162.6 ± 35.0 mg/dL (P = 0.21). No statistically significant changes in body weight (from 83.3 ± 10.8 kg to 82.0 ± 10.9 kg, P = 0.20), total cholesterol (1.5%, P = 0.68), or triglyceride levels (8.0%, P = 0.65) were seen in the VG. No significant changes in immunosuppressive drug levels or dosages were observed in either group.

Conclusion: Vildagliptin therapy significantly reduced HbA1c and mean blood glucose levels in post-HTx patients in this study with T2DM and did not have any negative effects on lipid profile or body weight. Thus, vildagliptin therapy presented an interesting therapeutic approach for this selected patient cohort.
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Introduction

Several studies have shown that type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a common comorbidity in patients after heart transplantation (HTx), especially due to the immunosuppressive therapy (eg, steroids, tacrolimus), and is associated with adverse long-term outcomes.1-3 However, metformin is often contraindicated due to renal insufficiency, whereas other conventional antidiabetic drugs such as sulfonylureas and thiazolidinediones cause adverse effects like weight gain and hypoglycemia.4,5 A novel approach in the therapy of T2DM is targeting the incretin system.

The incretin hormone glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) has demonstrated antidiabetic effects6,7 and is rapidly degraded by the enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4).
Vildagliptin increases active levels of the incretin hormone GLP-1 by inhibiting DPP-4. It improves glucose-dependent functioning of pancreatic islet beta and alpha cells, addressing a central deficit in T2DM. Vildagliptin increases beta-cell sensitivity to glucose, causing an increased insulin secretory rate relative to glucose levels in both postprandial and fasting states. There is evidence that long-term vildagliptin treatment may slow underlying deterioration of beta-cell function in T2DM. This improvement of glycemic control is observed when vildagliptin is given as monotherapy, in combination with metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, or insulin.

Vildagliptin treatment has also been associated with beneficial extra-pancreatic effects, including improved peripheral insulin sensitivity and improved postprandial triglyceride-rich lipoprotein metabolism and absence of risk for weight gain. Additionally, vildagliptin does not inhibit, induce, or undergo metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes and does not exhibit drug–drug interactions with other commonly prescribed agents, an advantage in patients with T2DM after HTx, who are typically receiving multiple concomitant medications.

A search of the PubMed database using the keywords “vildagliptin,” “heart transplantation,” and “diabetes” did not reveal any study examining the effects of vildagliptin therapy in adult HTx patients; only one case report about sitagliptin was found.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of clinically indicated vildagliptin therapy in chronic stable patients after HTx with T2DM in comparison to a matched control group on conventional antidiabetic therapy consisting of metformin and/or insulin. The focus was on glucose metabolism and diabetes control (glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] and mean blood glucose [MBG]). Further, effects on concomitant laboratory values (eg, renal function, lipid profile), adverse events (eg, hypoglycemic episodes), and clinical parameters (eg, body weight) were analyzed.

Subjects and methods

Study design

This was a single-center, retrospective, nonrandomized, trial of an 8-month treatment of patients with vildagliptin (Galvus®, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Nuremberg, Germany) versus matched controls to analyze diabetes control in heart transplant recipients. Vildagliptin was given as co-medication with oral antidiabetics (OADs) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. All patients treated with metformin, thiazolidinediones, or insulin were given vildagliptin 50.0 mg twice daily whereas patients treated with sulfonylureas received vildagliptin 50.0 mg once daily, according to the manufacturer’s recommendation.

Inclusion criteria were stable chronic (>6 months) HTx patients with T2DM, age > 18.0 years, with HbA1c of at least 6.5%. Prior to study entry, all patients had to have been free of acute rejection or infection for at least 8 weeks. Additionally, all patients had to be followed locally at the Heidelberg HTx center. Patients with type 1 diabetes, diabetes resulting from pancreatic injury, or with secondary forms of diabetes and acute metabolic diabetic complications were excluded, as were those participating in any other study, receiving multiple solid organ transplants or who were pregnant. According to clinical routine, follow-up examinations were performed at baseline, 4, and 8 months after initiation of vildagliptin therapy.

Control subjects were matched according to the following clinical parameters: diabetes duration, diabetes therapy, HbA1c, indication for HTx, age, body weight, and time post-HTx.

Study population

The patient population consisted of 30 subjects. Fifteen patients were in the vildagliptin group (VG) and 15 were selected as control patients for matched-pairs analysis. The control patients were treated with conventional therapy including oral antidiabetics (OADs) and/or insulin. Standard diet recommendations were given to all patients (ie, Mediterranean diet) in both groups.

The primary outcome parameters were changes in HbA1c and MBG after 8 months of vildagliptin therapy. Additionally, vital signs, laboratory analyses, adverse events, body weight, acute rejections, and concomitant medication were documented during clinical routine assessments.

Immunosuppression and routine myocardial biopsies

Myocardial biopsies were performed on week 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 post-HTx, as well as on month 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12 post-HTx. Thereafter, biopsies were performed at biyearly intervals until year 5 (ie, at year 3 and 5 post-HTx).

All patients received a combination of a calcineurin inhibitor and mycophenolate mofetil as baseline immunosuppression (cyclosporine A target trough levels: month 1–2, 175–225 µg/L; month 3–6, 125–175 µg/L; month 7–12, 110–140 µg/L, month 13–24, 90–110 µg/L, month 24 and beyond, 70–90/50–70 µg/L [depending on rejection profile]. Tacrolimus target trough levels: month 1–2, 12–14 µg/L;
Antidiabetic therapy

Due to the matched-pairs analysis, both groups received comparable antidiabetic therapy. In the VG group, six patients (40.0%) were given an OAD (metformin) and nine patients (60.0%) insulin, which was comparable to the antidiabetic therapy received in the CG except for one patient

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Vildagliptin group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (n)</td>
<td>12 (80.0%)</td>
<td>15 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.6 ± 6.0</td>
<td>61.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>83.3 ± 10.8</td>
<td>88.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-HTx (years)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>7.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic time (min)</td>
<td>228.5 ± 82.2</td>
<td>221.7 ± 65.3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes pre-HTx (n)</td>
<td>10 (66.7%)</td>
<td>7 (46.6%)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin therapy (n)</td>
<td>6 (40.0%)</td>
<td>7 (46.7%)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin therapy (n)</td>
<td>9 (60.0%)</td>
<td>9 (60.0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>135.1 ± 31.2</td>
<td>145.9 ± 47.4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>165.0 ± 18.8</td>
<td>154.7 ± 19.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/dL)</td>
<td>54.7 ± 25.7</td>
<td>60.4 ± 25.7</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>216.0 ± 94.0</td>
<td>211.1 ± 125.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>177.5 ± 25.7</td>
<td>186.5 ± 73.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>42.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>39.3 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>93.7 ± 22.7</td>
<td>93.6 ± 38.3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (n/µL)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (n/µL)</td>
<td>259.4 ± 50.9</td>
<td>224.9 ± 55.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>104.0 ± 37.1</td>
<td>127.4 ± 76.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (U/L)</td>
<td>227.5 ± 77.8</td>
<td>249.7 ± 86.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOT (U/L)</td>
<td>18.6 ± 7.7</td>
<td>24.8 ± 8.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT (U/L)</td>
<td>19.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td>29.7 ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (U/L)</td>
<td>89.1 ± 37.2</td>
<td>90.7 ± 23.6</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γGT (U/L)</td>
<td>61.4 ± 63.7</td>
<td>106.6 ± 93.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (ng/L)</td>
<td>762.7 ± 1063.8</td>
<td>1277.8 ± 2572.0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-TNT (pg/mL)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 14.2</td>
<td>13.9 ± 16.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data shown are the mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Abbreviations: AP, alkaline phosphatase; CK, creatine kinase; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GOT, glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; GPT, glutamic pyruvic transaminase; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; hs-TNT, high-sensitivity troponin T; HTx, heart transplantation; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MBG, mean blood glucose; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; WBC, white blood cells; γGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase.
who received both metformin and insulin. In the VG, eight patients (53.3%) received intensive insulin therapy (IIT). In the CG, seven patients (46.7%) were treated with IIT. This basal-bolus therapy, which consists of a combination of rapid-acting and long-acting insulin, requires four to five injections per day and is considered the most physiologic way to substitute insulin. Further details regarding patients’ insulin therapy are given in Table 2.

Diabetes control
During the follow-up time of 8 months, HbA1c levels in the VG decreased significantly from 7.4% ± 0.7% at baseline to 6.8% ± 0.8% at follow-up (P = 0.002, Figure 1). In the CG, HbA1c levels were 7.0% ± 0.7% at baseline and 7.3% ± 1.2% at follow-up (P = 0.21, Figure 1). Moreover, a significant reduction in MBG levels was found in the VG (165.0 ± 18.8 mg/dL at baseline vs 147.9 ± 22.7 mg/dL after 8 months [P = 0.002, Figure 1]) whereas, in the CG, no statistically significant changes in MBG levels were seen (from 154.7 ± 19.7 mg/dL at baseline to 162.6 ± 35.0 mg/dL at follow-up [P = 0.21, Figure 2]). No significant reduction in fasting plasma glucose was observed (all P = ns). No hypoglycemic event occurred in either group.

Body weight
In the VG, a trend toward a reduction in body weight was seen, from 83.3 ± 10.8 kg at baseline to 82.0 ± 10.9 kg after 8 months (P = 0.20). In contrast, in the CG, body weight was 88.3 ± 13.6 kg at baseline and 89.0 ± 12.9 kg at follow-up (P = 0.14).

Lipid profiles
There was a small reduction in triglyceride and in total cholesterol levels in the VG (triglyceride level 216.0 ± 94.0 mg/dL at baseline and 198.5 ± 122.8 mg/dL after 8 months [P = 0.65], total cholesterol 177.5 ± 25.7 mg/dL at baseline and 174.9 ± 23.7 mg/dL at follow-up [P = 0.68]) and a slow rise in high-density lipoprotein levels from 42.5 ± 7.3 mg/dL to 43.4 ± 10.3 mg/dL after 8 months in the VG (P = 0.59), but statistical significance was not reached. In the CG, there was a trend toward increased triglyceride levels (211.1 ± 125.1 mg/dL at baseline vs 295.3 ± 250.2 mg/dL after 8 months [P = 0.16]).

Other laboratory parameters
There were no significant changes in any of the remaining laboratory parameters, except for in hemoglobin levels, which increased significantly in the VG (12.4 ± 1.2 g/dL at baseline vs 13.1 ± 1.2 g/dL at follow-up [P = 0.02]).

Immunosuppression
In the VG, combination tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil therapy was most common (nine patients [60.0% of subgroup]) followed by an immunosuppressive regimen consisting of tacrolimus and everolimus (two patients, 13.3%). Four patients (26.7%) were treated with steroids.

Likewise, in the CG, six patients (40.0%) were treated with tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil combination therapy, followed by cyclosporine A and mycophenolate mofetil in two patients (13.3%), and everolimus and mycophenolate mofetil in two patients (13.3%). Three patients (20.0%) were treated with steroids (Table 3). In the VG and CG, there were no statistically significant differences regarding immunosuppressive regimens or steroids (all P = ns).

Adverse events and safety
In the current study, no adverse events were observed under vildagliptin therapy; of particular note, no hypoglycemia (blood glucose < 70 mg/dL) occurred. No significant changes in immunosuppressive drug levels or dosages were found in either group (all P = ns). Additionally, no rejection episodes requiring therapy were observed during the study period.

Discussion
T2DM is a serious comorbidity in patients after HTx – especially due to the immunosuppressive therapy (eg, steroids, tacrolimus) these patients require and the increasing number of older patients with pre-existing diabetes prior to transplantation – and is associated with adverse long-term outcomes.1–3 Previously published studies have shown that vildagliptin increases active levels of the incretin hormone GLP-1 by inhibiting the DPP-4 enzyme.8–10 Moreover, it improves glucose-dependent functioning of pancreatic islet beta and alpha cells, addressing a central deficit in T2DM.10,11 However, as far as the authors are aware, there are no existent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Antidiabetic therapy in vildagliptin and control patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antidiabetic therapy</td>
<td>Vildagliptin group, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only metformin</td>
<td>6 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>9 (60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIT</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only long-acting insulin</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only rapid-acting insulin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAD plus basal insulin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; IIT, intensive insulin therapy; OAD, oral antidiabetic.
data on the effects of vildagliptin in adult heart transplant recipients.

In the present study, in stable HTx patients during a follow-up period of 8 months, vildagliptin reduced HbA1c and MBG levels significantly ($P = 0.002$), in line with previous studies.\textsuperscript{15,18,32} Additionally, as already indicated, no hypoglycemic events occurred during the course of our study. Also in line with previously published studies, no negative effects on body weight\textsuperscript{27,28} or lipid profile\textsuperscript{8,26} were observed in our population.

Almost all patients received a combination of two immunosuppressive agents in addition to a multitude of co-medications. It has been demonstrated previously that vildagliptin does not inhibit, induce, or undergo metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes and does not exhibit drug–drug interactions with other commonly prescribed agents.\textsuperscript{29,33} As expected, no significant
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\caption{Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels in vildagliptin and control groups from baseline to follow-up at 8 months in stable heart transplant recipients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.}
\textbf{Abbreviations:} BL, baseline; CG, control group; mo, months; VG, vildagliptin group.
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Mean blood glucose (MBG) levels of vildagliptin and control groups from baseline to follow-up at 8 months in stable heart transplant recipients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.}
\textbf{Abbreviations:} BL, baseline; CG, control group; mo, months; VG, vildagliptin group.
\end{figure}
changes in immunosuppressive drug levels or dosages were observed. Generally, vildagliptin was well tolerated, as no adverse events or acute rejection episodes requiring therapy were observed during the study period.

As such, our study has demonstrated for the first time that vildagliptin can reduce HbA1c and MBG levels significantly in the selected population of stable heart transplant recipients with T2DM without negative effects on body weight.

Limitations
The results of this single-center pilot study are promising. However, future blinded large multicenter studies are required to confirm these findings and to evaluate the long-term effects in terms of survival. In this pilot study, only patients having had HTx were evaluated, but insufficient diabetes control is a general problem after solid organ transplantation and similar results may be anticipated in different patient populations.

Conclusion
The present study shows that vildagliptin is effective and safe in reducing HbA1c and MBG levels in adult heart transplant recipients with T2DM. Moreover, it has advantageous extra-pancreatic effects regarding body weight and lipid profile. Thus, in HTx patients with T2DM, the use of vildagliptin should be considered, particularly due to the absence of CYP3A4 interaction and the lack of negative effects on body weight in this special patient population.
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