Inspiratory drive is related to dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation in COPD patients
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Background: Baseline high neuromuscular drive is present in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In moderate-to-very severe COPD patients, both static and/or dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation have been demonstrated at rest.

Aim: To assess the influence of dynamic hyperinflation on neuromuscular drive at rest.

Methods: We recruited 22 patients with severe-to-very severe COPD showing resting dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation, as assessed by the baseline reduction of inspiratory capacity (IC) (<80% of predicted). IC, occlusion pressure (P0.1), maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP), and their ratio were measured at end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) before and after acute inhalation of 400 mcg of albuterol (metered-dose inhaler plus spacer). In these patients the bronchodilator response was assessed also as lung volume changes.

Results: Only in COPD patients with a marked increase in IC (>12% of baseline and at least 200 mL) after bronchodilator, resting P0.1 showed a clinically significant decrease, despite the EELV diminution (P < 0.001). MIP was augmented following EELV reduction and therefore the P0.1/MIP ratio was markedly decreased (P < 0.001). In contrast, no changes in these indices were found after bronchodilator in COPD patients with insignificant variations of IC. Breathing pattern parameters did not vary in both sub-groups after albuterol.

Conclusion: Following bronchodilator, significant P0.1 decrease, MIP increase, and reduction of the P0.1/MIP ratio were found only in COPD patients with a marked IC increase and these changes were closely related. These findings suggest that bronchodilators, by decreasing dynamic hyperinflation, may control exertional and/or chronic dyspnea partly through a reduction of central neuromuscular drive.
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Introduction

In patients with moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), parameters reflecting static and dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation (DH) such as end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) or inspiratory capacity (IC) correlate better than forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) with chronic dyspnea,1 and progressive DH is thought to be the main limiting factor of their exercise capacity because of related intolerable breathlessness.2,3 In COPD patients, the occurrence of DH, either at rest or during exercise, is thought to induce dyspnea mainly by causing neuromechanical (or neuroventilatory) dissociation.3 However, a high inspiratory drive that is widely documented in severe-to-very severe COPD5 might also contribute to increased dyspnea in these patients.
By increasing the expiratory flow reserve at low lung volumes, bronchodilators can reduce chronic and exertional dyspnea essentially by decreasing baseline EELV (or increasing IC) in moderate-to-severe COPD patients with a marked increase of residual volume (MIP) at EELV during a Muller maneuver (Resp Mech 12%, and 200 mL of baseline: group 2).

All spirometric parameters were analyzed as percent of predicted values. The IC predicted values were those proposed by Tantucci et al. Predicted values of IC for those patients aged less than 65 were obtained by extrapolating the reference equations. The patients were recruited and tested if able to correctly perform the pulmonary function tests according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital “Spedali Civili” of Brescia and each patient signed an informed consent for collection and treatment of data.

Statistical analysis

Differences between groups were assessed according to an unpaired nonparametric test (Mann-Whitney test) while comparisons of functional parameters before and after albuterol within groups were performed by a paired nonparametric test (Wilcoxon test). The Pearson’s linear correlations were used to establish association between the variables of interest and the determination coefficients were also given. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The calculations were made using the SPSS 14.0 statistical package (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Results

Twenty-two COPD patients (18 male) with a mean age of 72 ± 6 years and FEV₁ equal to 0.78 ± 0.26 L (33% ± 11% predicted) were studied. Their anthropometric and functional characteristics are shown in Table 1. At baseline, a severe reduction of FEV₁ with a marked increase of residual volume and functional residual capacity and reduction of IC were observed in these patients who exhibited, as expected, high values of $P_{0.1}$.

No significant differences, however, were found at rest for spirometric parameters, lung volumes, neuromuscular drive, and maximal isometric force of inspiratory muscles between volume non-responders (group 1: increase of IC < 12% and 200 mL of baseline) and volume responders (increase of IC ≥ 12% and 200 mL of baseline: group 2).

Following inhalation of albuterol, FEV₁ increased by 50 ± 50 mL (from 0.87 ± 0.25 L to 0.92 ± 0.22 L) in group 1 and by 130 ± 70 mL (from 0.74 ± 0.27 L to 0.87 ± 0.28 L) in group 2. $P_{0.1}$, MIP, and their ratio ($P_{0.1}$/MIP%) are displayed in Table 2, before and after albuterol for all patients and those

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>IC Predicted</th>
<th>FEV₁ Predicted</th>
<th>RV Predicted</th>
<th>FRC Predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>30% ± 10%</td>
<td>78% ± 26%</td>
<td>120% ± 20%</td>
<td>85% ± 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>40% ± 15%</td>
<td>68% ± 18%</td>
<td>100% ± 10%</td>
<td>75% ± 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Table 1 Anthropometric and functional characteristics observed in all patients and two groups of them, divided according to the absence (n = 7) or presence (n = 15) of significant change of IC (>12% from baseline and 200 mL) after acute bronchodilator at rest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Smoke exposure (pack years)</th>
<th>SVC (% pred)</th>
<th>FEV1 (% pred)</th>
<th>FVC (% pred)</th>
<th>FEV1/FVC %</th>
<th>IC (% pred)</th>
<th>RV (% pred)</th>
<th>TLC (% pred)</th>
<th>P01 (cm H2O)</th>
<th>MIP (cm H2O)</th>
<th>PaO2 (mmHg)</th>
<th>PaCO2O2 (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Br</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68 ± 8</td>
<td>18/4</td>
<td>45 ± 22</td>
<td>78 ± 20</td>
<td>33 ± 10</td>
<td>74 ± 19</td>
<td>35 ± 9</td>
<td>55 ± 18</td>
<td>190 ± 63</td>
<td>121 ± 24</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>69 ± 19</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
<td>44 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Br</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71 ± 6</td>
<td>7/0</td>
<td>43 ± 20</td>
<td>77 ± 23</td>
<td>33 ± 9</td>
<td>73 ± 20</td>
<td>36 ± 12</td>
<td>59 ± 27</td>
<td>183 ± 60</td>
<td>115 ± 23</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>78 ± 20</td>
<td>64 ± 7</td>
<td>42 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are mean ± SD.

Abbreviations: IC, inspiratory capacity; SVC, slow vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity; P01, mouth pressure 100 milliseconds after the beginning of quiet inspiration during airways occlusion; MIP, maximal inspiratory pressure; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 Resting values of IC and P01, MIP, and their ratio before (Pre-Br) and after (Post-Br) acute administration of bronchodilator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ΔIC &lt; 12% bas</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>ΔIC &gt; 12% bas</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Br</td>
<td>Post-Br</td>
<td>Pre-Br</td>
<td>Post-Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC (L)</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔIC (% bas)</td>
<td>6 ± 4</td>
<td>6 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P01 (cm H2O)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP (cm H2O)</td>
<td>78 ± 20</td>
<td>79 ± 20</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P01/MIP%</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Clinically significant improvement of P01 and MIP and P01/MIP ratio were observed only in COPD patients with significant increase in IC. Data are mean ± SD.

Abbreviations: IC, inspiratory capacity; P01, mouth pressure 100 milliseconds after the beginning of quiet inspiration during airways occlusion; MIP, maximal inspiratory pressure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Pre-Br, pre-bronchodilator; Post-Br, post-bronchodilator; bas, baseline; SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that in stable COPD patients at rest the neuromuscular output to inspiratory muscles is related to the degree of pulmonary hyperinflation and the reduction of DH possibly achieved by bronchodilator induces a significant decrease of inspiratory drive. Since bronchodilators have been shown to limit exertional and chronic dyspnea in COPD mainly by decreasing DH, our findings suggest that this may occur partly because of reduction on central motor output to inspiratory muscles.

It has been demonstrated that COPD patients have a high neural drive even at rest, as reflected by the increased baseline P01.13 Many factors have been implicated to explain this elevated motor command to inspiratory muscles. Included among them are increased airflow resistance, abnormal gas exchange, weak respiratory muscles, and high ventilatory requirements.13

Much evidence has been collected showing that distressing breathlessness in moderate-to-severe COPD patients is mostly linked to the occurrence of DH.3 The imbalance between the volume displacement and the muscular effort required to achieve it, known as neuromechanical or neuroventilatory dissociation, is thought to be the main mechanism by which DH causes chronic and exertional dyspnea in COPD.3 Other mechanisms, however, have been invoked in COPD patients as able to generate dyspnea and

neuromuscular drive at rest (r2 = 0.59; P < 0.01). A similar relationship was found between changes in IC (as a percentage of baseline) and P01/MIP% ratio, as shown in Figure 1, panel B (r2 = 0.56; P < 0.01). No variations of the breathing pattern parameters such as tidal volume (VT), respiratory rate (RR), inspiratory (Ti) and expiratory time (Te), mean inspiratory flow (VT/Ti), and duty cycle (Ti/Ttot) were observed before and after albuterol administration in both groups of COPD patients.
particularly an increased sense of work/effort following stimuli such as increased ventilatory requirements, elevated EELV, and a related increase in elastic inspiratory threshold load due to intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure. A high inspiratory neural drive, especially in the presence of functionally or intrinsically weakened inspiratory muscles is in fact associated with a greater respiratory effort.

The neural pathways underlying the sense of work/effort include corollary discharge from motor cortical and bulbar centers and possibly multiple afferences from mechanical and metabolic receptors of respiratory and skeletal muscles to the sensory cortex that are believed to contribute to the dyspnea sensation.

In our work we showed a clear link between neuromuscular output level and severity of DH at rest in stable COPD patients and the possibility of significantly reducing it when an effective desufflation is achievable, in this case after acute bronchodilator inhalation, as indicated by a marked IC increase.

The effect on the reduction of respiratory drive observed in COPD patients who significantly increase IC after bronchodilator is likely even greater than we have shown, given the corresponding reduction in EELV that per se should increase, not decrease, P$_{0.1}$ because of better force-length relationship of the inspiratory muscles. In fact, although we did not measure directly EELV, with the reasonable assumption that total lung capacity remains unchanged after acute inhalation of albuterol, the IC variation specularly reflects the EELV change.

Therefore, the decrease of neuromuscular output at rest in COPD patients after bronchodilating drugs may reflect an effective desufflation in the absence of important FEV$_1$ change also when the lung volumes and IC measurements cannot be adequately performed.

Drugs or non-pharmacological interventions that are effective in decreasing DH may diminish both the degree of neuromuscular uncoupling and the amount of neuromuscular drive. It is conceivable that either mechanism can contribute to reduce chronic and exertional dyspnea in COPD.

Finally, our results could be useful to explain the wide range of resting values of P$_{0.1}$ observed in COPD patients with apparently similar severity of airflow obstruction, as measured by spirometry, taking into account the possible effect of different degrees of pulmonary hyperinflation.

Some limits of the study need to be addressed. The amount of neural drive is indirectly assessed by the P$_{0.1}$ measurement at the mouth. The dynamically hyperinflated COPD patients have some intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure. Thus, changes of esophageal P$_{0.1}$ (that truly reflect the neuromuscular output) occur before those of mouth P$_{0.1}$ and the two measurements correspond only when the initial pressure decay is linear, as it usually is. The inspiratory muscles in COPD can be intrinsically weak (myopathy, sarcopenia, etc) and P$_{0.1}$ could be influenced by the force developed at the beginning of inspiration, without carefully reflecting the central neural drive. However, this seems unlikely because early (the first 100 milliseconds) contraction of inspiratory muscles is not impaired under these circumstances, as found in several neuromuscular diseases.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

Figure 1 Relationship between changes in IC and P$_{0.1}$ (A) and P$_{0.1}$/MIP% (B), following bronchodilator in groups of stable severe-to-very severe COPD patients at rest. 

Note: The vertical lines indicate the threshold of a significant increase in IC.

Abbreviations: IC, inspiratory capacity; P$_{0.1}$, mouth pressure 100 milliseconds after the beginning of quiet inspiration during airways occlusion; MIP, maximal inspiratory pressure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
Although baseline parameters were not significantly different between volume and non-volume responders, the first group tends to be younger with more females, showing slightly higher $P_{a1}$ and lower MIP. Since the sample size is small, we cannot exclude that these differences could be relevant when larger cohorts are examined.

In conclusion, a large $P_{a1}$ decrease, MIP increase, and reduction of the $P_{a1}/$MIP ratio were found after bronchodilator only in COPD patients with a marked IC increase. More interestingly, the improvement of DH and the decrease in neuromuscular drive were closely related. These findings indicate that decreasing DH by bronchodilators is associated with a reduction of the central neuromuscular drive and effort/work related sensation, suggesting that corollary discharge linked to an augmented central inspiratory output is an adjunctive mechanism promoting dyspnea in COPD patients with dynamic hyperinflation.
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