Microincisional vitrectomy for retinal detachment in I-125 brachytherapy-treated patients with posterior uveal malignant melanoma

Marcela Lonngi¹
Samuel K Houston¹
Timothy G Murray¹⁻³
Robert A Sisk⁴
Christina L Decatur¹
Milena Cavalcante¹
Arnold M Markoe³

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA; ²Murray Ocular Oncology and Retina, Miami, FL, USA; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA; ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Cincinnati Eye Institute, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Purpose: To analyze functional and anatomical outcomes following 23/25⁺ gauge microincisional pars plana vitrectomy surgery (MIVS) in patients with radiation-related retinal detachment after successful 125-iodine (I-125) brachytherapy treatment for malignant uveal melanoma.

Patients and methods: Retrospective case series of 102 consecutive eyes of 102 patients with history of uveal melanoma treated with I-125 brachytherapy that underwent MIVS at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute. All cases were evaluated for surgical complications and local tumor control. Extended follow-up included Snellen's best-corrected visual acuity, intraocular pressure evaluation, quantitative echography, indirect ophthalmoscopy, and fundus imaging with optical coherence tomography/wide-field photography.

Results: All patients had radiation-related complications, including retinal detachment (102 eyes), vasculopathy (91 eyes), optic neuropathy (32 eyes), and/or vitreous hemorrhage (8 eyes). Sixty-seven patients had vitreoretinal traction. Average follow-up after MIVS was 19.5 months, and from plaque removal was 57.7 months. Interval from plaque to MIVS was 38.1 months. Initial visual acuity was 20/258, which improved to 20/101 at 1 month, 20/110 at 3 months, 20/116 at 6 months, and 20/113 at 12 months ($P < 0.05$). No eyes required enucleation. Melanoma-related mortality was 0.9% (1/102). There was no intra- or extraocular tumor dissemination, and no tumor recurrence.

Conclusion: MIVS was effective in improving visual function and anatomy in patients with radiation-related retinal detachment. Tumors decreased in size and there was no evidence of recurrence or tumor dissemination. This combined procedure addresses the modifiable causes of visual loss in patients with previously treated malignant uveal melanoma and has the potential to enhance their visual function.

Keywords: retinal detachment, vitrectomy, melanoma, radiation-related complications

Introduction

Uveal melanoma (UM) is the most common intraocular malignancy in adults, with an incidence of 5–6 cases per million per year.^{1,2} The incidence rate in nonwhites is extremely low; in the United States, it is less than one-eighth of the rate for whites.² It is usually diagnosed in the sixth decade of life, with a slight predominance of males.² Among all melanoma cases reported in the United States, approximately 5% arise from the ocular and adnexal structures; of these, 85% correspond to UM.³ It is the most common noncutaneous form of melanoma.^{1,2} Most patients with UM have no known family history of the disease, even though there are a few reports of sporadic familial cases.² Although heredity may not play a role in most cases, cytogenetic analyses of UM tissue have revealed that alterations in chromosomes 3 and 8 may be associated with increased metastasis-related mortality.²

Correspondence: Timothy G Murray
Murray Ocular Oncology & Retina,
6705 Red Road, Suite 412, South Miami,
FL 33143, USA
Tel +1 305 487 7470
Fax +1 786 567 4380
Email tmurray@med.miami.edu

The Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study found that eyes treated with I-125 brachytherapy compared to enucleation had no difference in mortality (43% and 41%, respectively), or in the development of metastasis (21% and 17%, respectively) at 12 years of follow-up.³ Consequences of radiation on the sensitive ocular structures involves apoptosis, cell migration, clotting cascades, increased vascular endothelial permeability, aneurysm formation, telangiectasia, and neovascularization.^{3,4} As a result, radiation-related complications include retinal detachment, cataract formation, radiation optic neuropathy, severe visual loss, neovascular glaucoma, nerve-fiber layer infarcts, retinal hemorrhages, and vitreous hemorrhage.^{3,4}

Posterior UM is commonly associated with retinal detachment.^{5,6} Exudative retinal detachments have an incidence of 50% to 100% prior to treatment.⁷ They tend to improve after treatment with brachytherapy, but may recur. Furthermore, secondary vitreous fibrosis and epiretinal membranes add a tractional component along the peripheral edges of treated tumors. Observation of chronic detachments leads to irreversible visual loss due to photoreceptor damage.^{5,6} Different approaches have been proposed, including surgical drainage, endolaser, cryotherapy, and antivascular endothelial growth factor.⁶

The aim of this study is to describe the microincisional surgical management of retinal detachment secondary to radiation-related complications, and to determine safety, visual function, and anatomic outcomes of eyes previously treated with I-125 brachytherapy for UM.

Material and methods

Patients

After obtaining approval by the University of Miami Institutional Review Board, we reviewed the charts of 102 patients with history of malignant UM previously treated with I-125 brachytherapy within the Ocular Oncology Service at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute that underwent 23/25+ gauge microincisional pars plana vitrectomy (MIVS) between March 2005 and July 2011 by an ocular oncologist (TGM) and his vitreoretinal surgical fellows. Inclusion criteria included the presence of radiation-related retinal detachment, and minimum follow-up of 6 months after vitrectomy. Indications for surgery included retinal detachment, radiation retinopathy, and visually significant cataract.

Recorded data included patient age, sex, involved eye, systemic disease, dates of plaque therapy, pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), tumor location, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and tumor dimensions. Additional

information included intraocular pressure (IOP), ocular comorbidity, radiation complications at the time of the PPV, PPV complications, systemic complications after PPV, presence of malignancy on vitreous cytology, intra- and extraocular metastasis, and need for further surgery. Data were recorded at 1 week, at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, and at 2, 3, 4, and 5 years.

Surgical procedure

After informed consent was obtained, patients received local or general anesthesia. Betadine preparation and sterile draping was performed, and the 23/25+ gauge trochars were inserted in a beveled fashion 3.5 mm posterior to the limbus, then directed perpendicularly towards the optic nerve. The infusion cannula was secured into place, confirmed to be in the correct intraocular location by direct visualization, and turned on. The posterior hyaloid was removed, and vitrectomy was performed using the A.V.I. 130 wide-field viewing system (Advanced Visual Instruments, New York, NY, USA). In some patients, vitrectomy was combined with other procedures, including phacoemulsification and intraocular lens (IOL) insertion, air-fluid exchange, release of vitreoretinal traction, membrane peel, epiretinal membrane/internal limiting membrane removal, laser tumor ablation, sectoral panretinal photocoagulation, retinotomy, use of internal tamponade with C₃F₈ gas or silicone oil, synechiolysis, and conjunctival cyst or lid lesions removal. The vitreous cassette was sent to evaluate for vitreous seeding. All vitreous samples were cytopun, filtered, and the filter was stained. These cells were then evaluated by a highly experienced ocular pathologist for evidence of viable melanoma cells. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetate 4 mg/0.1 mL (Triesence®, Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) or bevacizumab 2.5 mg/0.1 mL (Avastin®, Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA) was injected into any eye not receiving tamponade following removal of the last cannula. Gentamicin 20 mg and dexamethasone 4 mg were administered away from the sclerotomies in the inferior subconjunctival space at the conclusion of the procedure in all eyes. Patients were observed after radioactive plaque treatment with serial fundoscopic examinations and ultrasonography to confirm tumor control before proceeding for surgery for visual rehabilitation. Postoperatively, patients were evaluated at 1 day, at 1 week, at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, and then every 6 weeks to 6 months according to their condition.

Analysis

Snellen's BCVA was converted to logMAR acuities for data analysis. Acuities unable to be assessed with Snellen charts

were converted using the following convention: count fingers (CF) 20/2000; hand motions (HM) 20/20,000; and light perception (LP) 20/200,000. LogMAR acuities were compared with baseline values using the paired *t* test to preoperative versus postoperative differences. A *P* value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 102 eyes (50 right eyes and 52 left eyes) of 102 patients were evaluated. Sixty-three patients were female (63/102, 61.7%) and thirty-nine patients were male (39/102, 38.2%). The mean patient age at surgery was 67 years (range 41–102 years). Associated systemic disease comorbidities are presented in Table 1. Average follow-up after vitrectomy was 19.5 months (range 6.1–54.6), and total follow-up from plaque removal to last follow-up appointment was 57.7 months (range 12.0–219.9). Average time from plaque to PPV was 38.1 months (range 3.8–178.6). Fifty-nine patients (57.8%) had a choroidal melanoma, 37 patients (36.2%) had ciliochoroidal detachment, and six patients (5.8%) had a ciliary body tumor with posterior/anterior extension. All patients had radiation-related complications, including retinal detachment at the time of surgery in all eyes (100%), vasculopathy in 91 eyes (89.2%), optic neuropathy in 32 eyes (31.3%), and vitreous hemorrhage in eight eyes (7.8%) (Table 1).

Visual acuity outcomes

The mean baseline BCVA in the affected eye was 20/258. At 1-week follow-up, BCVA improved to 20/157, at 1 month to 20/101, at 3 months to 20/110, at 6 months to 20/116, and at 12 months to 20/113. Improvements in visual acuity (VA) were statistically significant from baseline to all follow-up intervals (*P* < 0.05) (Figure 1).

At 3 months, 37.6% gained two or more lines of vision compared with baseline while 8.6% lost two or more lines (Figure 2). At 6 months, 32.5% gained two or more lines of vision compared with baseline while 8.9% lost two or more lines (Figure 3). At 12 months, 36.7% gained two or more lines of vision compared with baseline while 19.1% lost two or more lines (Figure 4).

Final BCVA was better than 20/50 in 37 patients (36.2%), between 20/50 and 20/400 in 36 patients (35.2%), and between 20/400 and 5/200 in 19 patients (18.6%). Only 10 patients (9.8%) had a nonambulatory final VA (worse than 5/200) (Table 2). From the group of patients with final VA $\geq 20/50$ (37/102), 37.8% (14/37) had a final BCVA of 20/25, 21.6% (8/37) of 20/40, 13.5% (5/37) of 20/20, 13.5%

(5/37) of 20/30, and 13.5% (5/37) of 20/50 (Figure 5). The mean BCVA prior to surgery for this group of patients was 20/73, with a statistically significant improvement after the surgical procedure (*P* < 0.05) (Table 2).

Clinical findings

The current study did not find an increased incidence of complications related to the vitrectomy. There were no cases of endophthalmitis or suprachoroidal hemorrhage. Vitreous biopsy was positive in three patients. Six patients developed systemic metastasis (5.8%). Retinal toxicity from intravitreal or subconjunctival injections was not observed. Nine patients (8.8%) developed secondary neovascular glaucoma. No eyes required enucleation, but one patient died from metastatic disease (0.9%). Mean IOP did not present a statistically significant increase after the procedure (13 mmHg at baseline and 14 mmHg at the last follow-up; *P* > 0.05) (Table 1).

Anatomic outcomes

There was no intra- or extraocular tumor dissemination, and no cases of tumor recurrence. Average tumor size (length $\times$ width $\times$ height) showed a statistically significant decrease after I-125 plaque brachytherapy treatment (13.83 $\times$ 11.43 $\times$ 4.23 mm before brachytherapy versus 11.50 $\times$ 10.09 $\times$ 2.66 mm after brachytherapy), and, again, after MIVS (10.70 $\times$ 9.36 $\times$ 1.96 mm), with a *P* value < 0.05 (Figure 6). Seven patients required surgical reintervention (6.86%), and three patients had persistence of exudative retinal detachment at the last follow-up (2.94%).

Case report

A 59 year-old male with history of posterior UM in the left eye, macula located, measuring 12 $\times$ 8.5 $\times$ 2.6 mm, was treated with I-125 brachytherapy in August 2004. He presented for follow-up appointment 88 months after treatment with a decrease in VA in the left eye. He had history of hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. On ocular examination, BCVA was 20/25 OD and 20/60 OS, IOP 12 and 14, the iris was flat, without rubeosis or mass. He presented with a 2+ nuclear cataract in the left eye. Comprehensive ophthalmoscopy showed a small nevus in the right eye with no malignant transformation or vascular activity. Evaluation of the left eye showed a small cup-to-disc ratio, good rim coloration and perfusion, increasing vascular activity with radiation retinopathy, and a dome-shaped lesion with involution to a size of 9 $\times$ 8.5 $\times$ 1 mm, with focal exudative retinal detachment just superior to macula (Figure 7A).

Table 1 Patient demographics and characteristics (n = 102 eyes)

Mean age	67 years (range 41–102 years)	
Sex	Male 38.23% (n = 39)	Female 61.76% (n = 63)
Eye	Right 49.01% (n = 50)	Left 50.98% (n = 52)
Systemic disease	Hypertension	51.96% (n = 53)
	Hypercholesterolemia	24.50% (n = 26)
	Nonocular malignancy	19.60% (n = 20)
	Diabetes mellitus	11.76% (n = 12)
	Thyroid disease	9.80% (n = 10)
	Other	57.84% (n = 59)
	None	15.68% (n = 16)
Comorbidity	Hypertensive retinopathy	47.06% (n = 48)
	Age-related macular degeneration	16.67% (n = 17)
	Glaucoma	15.69% (n = 16)
	Epiretinal membrane	9.80% (n = 10)
	Diabetic retinopathy	3.92% (n = 4)
	Other	28.43% (n = 29)
	None	7.14% (n = 7)
Tumor location	Choroidal	57.84% (n = 59)
	Ciliochoroidal	36.27% (n = 37)
	Ciliary body	5.88% (n = 6)
Average time plaque–PPV	38.1 months (range 3.8–178.6 months)	
Radiation-related complications (pre-PPV)	Vasculopathy	89.22% (n = 91)
	Optic neuropathy	31.37% (n = 32)
	Vitreous hemorrhage	7.84% (n = 8)
	Other	0.98% (n = 1)
	None	0% (n = 0)
Average follow-up from PPV	19.5 months (range 6.1–54.6 months)	
Average follow-up from plaque	57.7 months (range 12.0–219.9 months)	
Positive vitreous biopsy	2.94% (n = 3/76)	
Metastasis	5.88% (n = 6)	
Clinical findings	Secondary glaucoma	8.82% (n = 9)
	Surgical re-intervention	6.86% (n = 7)
	Persistence of retinal detachment	2.94% (n = 3)
	Mortality	0.98% (n = 1)
	Intraocular tumor dissemination	0% (n = 0)
	Extraocular tumor dissemination	0% (n = 0)
	Tumor recurrence	0% (n = 0)
	Enucleation	0% (n = 0)
Mean IOP	Initial	13.98
	Last follow-up	14.06
	P value	0.45

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; PPV, pars plana vitrectomy.

After the risks, benefits and alternatives were discussed, informed consent was obtained and the patient underwent 23G MIVS combined with phacoemulsification, IOL implantation, membrane peeling, endolaser tumor ablation, vitreous biopsy, and intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection to the left eye (Figure 8). At 1 month, postoperative VA improved to 20/50 with improvement, but persistence of vascular activity secondary to radiation maculopathy. IOP was 14. The tumor was stable, and the retina was attached with no proliferative vitreoretinopathy formation. Vitreous biopsy result was negative for malignancy.

Bevacizumab 1.25 mg/0.05 mL was injected OS. At 3 months follow-up, BCVA improved to 20/25, IOP was 13, and the primary tumor was stable, with laser ablation and intratumoral focal hemorrhage (Figure 7B).

Discussion

Retinal detachment is a common complication in patients with a history of posterior uveal melanoma (UM), which may lead to permanent photoreceptor damage and visual loss.^{5,7} Detachments can be either exudative, tractional, rhegmatogenous, or combined. Initially, retinal detachment is related

Figure 1 Logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) mean visual acuity before pars plana vitrectomy (initial), at 1 week, and at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery.

Note: *There was a statistically significant improvement in visual acuity after the procedure ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 2 Scatter plot of pre- versus postoperative logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) visual acuities at 3 months.

Figure 3 Scatter plot of pre- versus postoperative logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) visual acuities at 6 months.

Figure 4 Scatter plot of pre- versus postoperative logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) visual acuities at 12 months.

to intrinsic tumor vascular activity, but after brachytherapy treatment, it can be a consequence of radiation vasculopathy.⁵ In addition, following plaque brachytherapy, we have observed significant vitreoretinal interface changes along the peripheral tumor edges. With these vitreoretinal adhesions causing tractional changes, tractional or rhegmatogenous detachments may result. When fluid poses a threat to the macula, retinal detachment management may prevent long-term visual loss.⁷

Vitrectomy has undergone continuous advancements in instrumentation, design, and utility.⁷ Indications for patients with a history of treated UM vary from tumor-related complications, such as exudative detachments, to radiation-related complications including radiation retinopathy, vitreous hemorrhage, tractional, and rhegmatogenous retinal detachments.⁷ Before proceeding with surgery, observation is essential in order to rule out tumor activity, which is determined by a decrease in the tumor vascularity, volume, and exudative retinal detachment. Some ocular oncologists suggest at least 6 months of observation following tumor treatment to ensure tumor stability.⁷

Several studies have established the safety of PPV in eyes containing a treated melanoma. Foster et al⁸ conducted

a retrospective study of nine patients treated with I-125 brachytherapy or transpupillary thermotherapy. One patient with vitreous hemorrhage established before tumor treatment, developed intraocular tumor dissemination 56 months after vitrectomy. He had melanoma cells in the vitreous aspirate. In our series, eight of 102 patients had vitreous hemorrhage that presented after radiation therapy. One of them had a positive vitreous biopsy, and none developed intra- or extraocular tumor dissemination.

One of our previous studies⁹ retrospectively evaluated 114 eyes of 111 patients who underwent combined phacoemulsification and 23-gauge vitrectomy for retinal pathology; 31 eyes had primary malignant UM. Patients were observed for a minimum of 6 months with serial exams documenting tumor stability. Following PPV, adverse effects such as intraocular tumor dissemination, endophthalmitis, and hypotony have been described.^{5,9–15} In the current study, there were no cases of intra- or extraocular dissemination, tumor recurrence, endophthalmitis, or suprachoroidal hemorrhage.

An interventional study by Gibran and Kapoor⁵ evaluated six patients with exudative retinal detachment associated with UM. Treatment included proton-beam radiation or brachytherapy, tumor biopsy, and surgical treatment of exudative retinal detachment, including vitrectomy and drainage of subretinal fluid at the time of irradiation. The patients had significant restoration of vision, regression of tumor thickness, no extrascleral extension or metastasis, and no recurrence of exudative retinal detachment.

Table 2 Visual acuity

≥20/50	36.27% (n = 37)
20/50–20/400	35.29% (n = 36)
20/400–5/200	18.62% (n = 19)
<5/200	9.80% (n = 10)

Figure 5 Final best-corrected visual acuity in patients with visual acuity $\geq 20/50$ group ($n = 37/102$).

Average tumor size in mm			
	Length	Width	Height
Pre-plaque	13.83	11.43	4.23
Pre-PPV	11.50	10.09	2.66
Last follow-up	10.70	9.36	1.96

Figure 6 Average tumor size ($P < 0.05$).

Note: *statistically significant, $P < 0.05$.

Abbreviation: PPV, pars plana vitrectomy.

Figure 7 (A) Preoperative fundus photograph. (B) Three months postoperative fundus photograph.

Figure 8 Combined microincisional vitrectomy and phacoemulsification. (A) Phacomulsification with intraocular lens implantation. (B) 23-gauge pars plana vitrectomy, and membrane peel. (C) Laser tumor ablation.

Exudative retinal detachments prior to radiation treatment are present in 50% to 100% of the cases.^{7,12,15–18} They tend to improve over time, with a mean of 5.6 months and 90% resolution at 1 year.^{7,19–21} Even though early management of exudative retinal detachment may prevent photoreceptor damage, we consider that tumors should be inactive before proceeding with intraocular surgery to avoid tumor dissemination. Additionally, in the present study we obtained a statistically significant improvement of VA after microincisional vitrectomy, indicating that visual function can be enhanced in these patients.

Conclusion

Microincisional pars plana vitrectomy was safe and effective in improving visual function and anatomy in patients with complex radiation-related retinal detachment after I-125 brachytherapy treatment for UM. Tumors decreased in size and there was no evidence of tumor dissemination or recurrence. Direct endolaser tumor ablation, and intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide or bevacizumab injection at the time of surgery may reduce rates of ongoing radiation-related complications including radiation vasculopathy.

This procedure approach addresses the modifiable causes of visual loss in patients with previously treated malignant UM and has the potential to improve visual function for this complex patient series.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Singh AD, Turell ME, Topham AK. Uveal melanoma: trends in incidence, treatment, and survival. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118:1881–1885.
2. Ryan SJ. Choroidal melanoma and related issues. In: Ryan SJ, editor. *Retina*. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2006.
3. Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study Group. The COMS randomized trial of iodine 125 brachytherapy for choroidal melanoma. V. Twelve-year mortality rates and prognostic factors: COMS report no 28. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2006;124:1684–1693.
4. Jampol LM, Moy CS, Murray TG, et al. The COMS randomized trial of iodine 125 brachytherapy for choroidal melanoma: IV. Local treatment failure and enucleation in the first 5 years after brachytherapy. COMS report no 19. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109:2197–2206.
5. Gibran SK, Kapoor KG. Management of exudative retinal detachment in choroidal melanoma. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2009;37:654–659.
6. Newman H, Finger P, Chin KJ, Pavlick AC. Systemic bevacizumab (Avastin) for exudative retinal detachment secondary to choroidal melanoma. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2011;21:796–801.
7. Houston SK, Lonngi M, Markoe A, Murray TG. When to consider vitrectomy in eyes with posterior uveal melanoma. *Retinal Physician*. 2012;9:24–28.
8. Foster WJ, Harbour JW, Holekamp NM, Shah GK, Thomas MA. Pars plana vitrectomy in eyes containing a treated posterior uveal melanoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2003;136:471–476.
9. Sisk RA, Murray TG. Combined phacoemulsification and sutureless 23-gauge pars plana vitrectomy for complex vitreoretinal diseases. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2010;94:1028–1032.
10. Harbour JW, Ahmad S, El-Bash M. Rate of resolution of exudative retinal detachment after plaque radiotherapy for uveal melanoma. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2002;120:1463–1469.
11. Cebulla CM, Alegret AM, Feuer WJ, Shi W, Scheffer AC, Murray TG. Tumor volume reduction using combined phacoemulsification and intravitreal triamcinolone injection for the management of cataract with treated uveal melanoma and atypical nevi. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34:1669–1673.
12. Haimovici R, Mukai S, Schachat AP, et al. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in eyes with uveal melanoma. *Retina*. 1996;16:488–496.
13. Diener-West M, Reynolds SM, Agugliaro DJ, et al. Development of metastatic disease after enrollment in the COMS trials for treatment of choroidal melanoma: Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study Group report no 26. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2005;123:1639–1643.
14. Newman H, Chin KJ, Finger PT. Subfoveal choroidal melanoma: pretreatment characteristics and response to plaque radiation therapy. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011;129:892–898.
15. Hui JJ, Murray TG. Radioactive plaque therapy. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. 2006;46:51–68.
16. Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study Group. Comparison of clinical, echographic, and histopathological measurements from eyes with medium-sized choroidal melanoma in the collaborative ocular melanoma study: COMS report no 21. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2003;121:1163–1171.
17. Shah NV, Houston SK, Murray TG, Markoe AM. Evaluation of the surgical learning curve for I-125 episcleral plaque placement for the treatment of posterior uveal melanoma: a two decade review. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2012;6:447–452.

18. Radtke N, Augsburger J, Schmitt T. Management of exudative retinal detachment after plaque therapy for intraocular melanoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1991;112:92–94.
19. Shields CL, Cater J, Shields JA, et al. Combined plaque radiotherapy and transpupillary thermotherapy for choroidal melanoma. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2002;120:933–940.
20. Shields CL, Shields JA, Cater J, et al. Plaque radiotherapy for uveal melanoma. Long-term visual outcome in 1106 patients. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2000;118:1219–1228.
21. Laqua H, Volcker H. Pars plana vitrectomy in eyes with malignant melanoma. *Graefes Arch Exp Clin Ophthalmol*. 1983;220:279–284.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.