### open Access Full Text Article

### REVIEW

# Biomarkers of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: impact on diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis

### Rita Coutinho John Gribben

Centre for Haemato-Onocology, Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary, University of London, London, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Rita Coutinho Centre for Haemato-Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute – a Cancer Research UK Centre of Excellence, Queen Mary, University of London, John Vane Science Centre, Charterhouse Square, London ECIM 6BQ, UK Tel +44 20 7882 3805 Fax +44 20 7882 3891 Email r.coutinho@qmul.ac.uk **Abstract:** Introduction of immunochemotherapy as frontline treatment for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) has significantly increased survival. However, patients refractory to rituximab-containing regimens have a very poor survival. These differences in clinical behavior might lie behind the biological heterogeneity well recognized in this disease. Advanced molecular research has helped us to define DLBCL subgroups which harbor distinct oncogenic events and response to immunochemotherapy. The field of biomarker discovery in DLBCL has become more complex over the last decade and a broad up-to-date review on this topic is lacking. The aim for this review was to offer the hematology community a comprehensive overview of clinical and biological markers which have a diagnostic and prognostic potential and that might be amenable to therapeutic targeting. Some well known markers are reassessed in light of recent findings. **Keywords:** DLBCL, immunochemotherapy, rituximab, biomarkers

### Introduction

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Immunochemotherapy has significantly increased complete remission (CR) rates,<sup>1-4</sup> leading to improved survival.<sup>5</sup> However, cure rates reach only around 60%.<sup>6</sup> Patients refractory to rituximab-containing regimens have a poor survival, even with subsequent high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation.<sup>7,8</sup> Primary refractory patients have a dismal outcome. Many new treatment strategies are being explored, some involving targeted molecules.

Genetic research has shown that DLBCL constitutes a heterogeneous group of lymphoid malignancies that could not be unraveled by morphology and immunophenotype. DLBCL molecular subgroups utilize mutually exclusive oncogenic pathways and exhibit distinct epigenetic profiles,<sup>9</sup> supporting their distinct pathogenesis. Most importantly, these distinct subgroups have a different outcome. Only now is molecular profiling being brought to the diagnostic and prognostic arena.

A myriad of biological parameters have been described to help identify poor-risk patients. However, this field is constantly becoming more complex, and few markers have been validated in independent studies and have moved to controlled clinical trials. Treatment stratification according to risk, which is the only definitive method to prove the prognostic impact of a particular marker, is only now becoming a reality in this disease.

The aim of this study was to comprehensively review the biomarkers in DLBCL that have been shown to provide diagnostic and prognostic impact, and potentially

© 2013 Coutinho and Gribben, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

offer a window for therapeutic intervention. The authors hope this data will provide lymphoma scientists and clinicians a broad perspective on the huge field of biomarker development in this disease.

### Methodology

A PubMed search was performed using the terms "diffuse large B-cell lymphoma" and "biomarkers," "prognosis," "outcome," and "survival." Priority was given to papers reporting results from larger studies, most of them treated in the R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone) era. Exceptions were made when the problem addressed was considered of major biological impact or when coherent validation had been performed.

Studies on specific subtypes of DLBCL such as CNS lymphoma or viral-associated DLBCL were excluded. Finally, since primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma has been demonstrated to represent a quite distinct lymphoid malignancy with a particular clinical presentation, molecular signature, and treatment approach, this is not covered in this review.

# Establishing the diagnosis of DLBCL in 2013

A tissue-based histopathology examination remains the standard to establish a diagnosis of DLBCL. However, the World Health Organization classification reviewed in 2008 highlighted that only an integrative analysis involving clinical data, morphology, and molecular studies is able to subdivide DLBCL into its different sub-entities.<sup>10</sup>

### Gene expression profiling (GEP) of DLBCL revolutionized diagnostic criteria and is the best prognostic tool for DLBCL

DNA microarrays have been used to explore the transcriptomic landscape of normal lymphocytes in a multitude of differentiation and activation states<sup>11</sup> and also of the different lymphoid malignancies. This technique allowed authors to abandon prognostic analysis of individual genes.

Through the use of the Lymphochip array, Alizadeh et al<sup>12</sup> were the first to devise the two molecularly distinct forms of DLBCL, which assume GEPs typical of distinct stages of B-cell differentiation: the germinal centre B-like (GCB)-DLBCL and the activated B-like (ABC)-DLBCL. GCB-DLBCLs exhibit immunoglobulin gene (Ig) ongoing somatic hypermutation.<sup>13</sup> ABC-DLBCLs have a

high mutation burden in the IgH genes,<sup>14</sup> but did not go through class-switch recombination. These lymphomas express genes characteristic of plasma cells,<sup>15</sup> but are blocked in their differentiation potential, due to *BLIMP1* inactivation.<sup>16</sup> The two entities are very distinct in their genetic changes,<sup>16–19</sup> signaling pathway deregulation, and subsequent phenotype, and studies are trying to pinpoint which facets explain outcome differences that could be used as markers for selected therapy.

Importantly, it has been shown that patients with GCB-DLBCLs have an improved overall survival (OS) compared with ABC-DLBCLs.<sup>15,20,21</sup> Shipp et al<sup>22</sup> were not able to reproduce this data, most likely due to technical reasons, but designed a model incorporating 13 genes implicated in B-cell-receptor (BCR) signaling and apoptosis, highlighting that many processes can be involved simultaneously in patient outcome differences. Overall, these data support that the molecular classification is the most robust prognostic tool for DLBCL.

# Immunohistochemistry is the widest technique used to predict the molecular stratification

Lossos et al<sup>23</sup> built a real-time polymerase chain reactionbased six-gene model for outcome prediction of DLBCL, which was robustly validated, is independent of clinical factors, and is valid in the rituximab era.<sup>24</sup> The depicted genes were selected from the previously defined GCB/ABC signatures. This translates an interest to identify simplified molecular methods to serve as surrogate for GEP results. Many groups have validated GEP data and prognostic impact from samples obtained from diagnostic formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue, which indicates that it will soon be possible to apply this stratification in the clinical setting.<sup>25–27</sup>

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was the first technique to be explored as a means to identify surrogate markers for the molecular classification in DLBCL. Hans and coworkers established the first IHC algorithm that incorporated CD10, BCL6, and MUM1/IRF4.<sup>28</sup> This method had high sensitivity for GEP classification and confirmed that GCB-DLBCLs exhibit a significantly better OS. Since then, many other authors have developed different IHC algorithms, trying to improve sensitivity and specificity for GEP, as well as survival discrimination.<sup>29–31</sup> High rates of concordance with GEP classification have been reported by all groups. The Hans algorithm is by far the widest used.<sup>32–47</sup> However, many investigators have questioned its clinical applicability

due to discrepant results. The present authors have applied all IHC algorithms published to an independent dataset of DLBCL patients and have demonstrated that the agreement in patient classification among the different algorithms was extremely low (unpublished). The present authors believe these differences rely on population selection biases as well as technical questions regarding IHC staining and interpretation, problems which have already been highlighted.<sup>48</sup> Taking their own data and the data of others into consideration, the present authors do not believe it is time to use IHC-based methods to stratify patients in clinical trials. Collaborative studies involving expert hematopathologists should be run to address these problems and propose a standardized IHC methodology for clinical application.

## Clinical prognostic markers in DLBCL

Although molecular studies provide robust prognostic data, they are still not available in all clinical settings, and in addition, new clinical factors continue to be identified that have prognostic significance in randomized controlled trials in DLBCL.

The International Prognostic Index (IPI), based on five prognostic factors reflecting patients' clinical and biological characteristics (age, Ann Arbor stage, serum lactate dehydrogenase, performance status, and number of extranodal sites) was originally developed as a prognostic model for intermediate-grade lymphoma.49 The IPI is the most widely used score to predict outcome in DLBCL and is still valid after the introduction of chemoimmunotherapy as first-line approach.<sup>50,51</sup> However, many authors acknowledge that patients belonging to the same prognostic groups can still exhibit clear differences in survival, which might reflect different biological backgrounds. Moreover, a number of papers reinforce that some biomarkers have an IPI-independent prognostic impact. This data reflects that although IPI should still be used as an outcome predictor model in DLBCL, there is opportunity to improve upon it with new biomarkers.

Other clinical parameters have also been stated as independent prognostic markers. Age continues to be a significant predictor of outcome, either because treatment with chemoimmunotherapy is suboptimally offered to old patients, or because aging is a determinant of lymphoma biology, as has recently been suggested by a large molecular study.<sup>52</sup>

Male sex has been associated with worse outcome in independent large studies in DLBCL.<sup>53</sup> It is suggested that males have faster rituximab clearance so that the standard dose used is suboptimal.<sup>54</sup>

The maximum tumor diameter has an adverse prognostic impact on event-free survival (EFS) in R-CHOP-treated patients,<sup>55</sup> and patients with bulky tumor have been shown to have worse prognosis in other studies.<sup>56</sup>

Bone marrow involvement is associated with a poor prognosis, independently of the IPI. Those patients who have DLBCL, rather than discordant low-grade bone marrow infiltration appear to have a particularly bad outcome, with reported 10% OS at 5 years.<sup>57–59</sup>

Primary involvement of the Waldeyer ring appears to confer a better outcome.<sup>59,60</sup> It was suggested that rituximab has no impact on CR rates in primary extranodal DLBCL.<sup>61</sup>

### Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET) scanning provides diagnostic and prognostic impact in DLBCL

FDG-PET scanning was introduced in the revised International Working Group response criteria for DLBCL<sup>62</sup> for staging at diagnosis and for response assessment after treatment completion. A negative PET at the end of treatment is an excellent predictor of good outcome. The role of interim FDG-PET scan in DLBCL is being actively explored. Recent reports show an increase in progression-free survival (PFS) (and OS in some studies) in patients with a negative PET-computed tomography (CT) after two or four cycles of R-CHOP.<sup>42,63,64</sup> However, other studies reported contradictory results,<sup>65,66</sup> which can be explained by the absence of strict scoring criteria or best standard scoring method<sup>67</sup> and a high inter-observer variability.<sup>68</sup> Using PET-CT to guide optimal treatment is still not a reality in DLBCL.

## Morphology and immunophenotype based prognostic clues

Although morphology has been disregarded as a method to obtain prognostic information, there are still suggestions that it is important to obtain a thorough pathological report at diagnosis. T-cell/histiocyte-rich B-cell lymphoma is an uncommon aggressive subtype of DLBCL with abundant T-cell infiltration and microenvironment inflammatory reaction.<sup>69</sup> Most cases carry a GEP characterized by a host immune response and have a very poor prognosis.<sup>70</sup>

A recent analysis from a large cohort of patients enrolled in the Ricover-60 trial showed that the immunoblastic morphology is an adverse prognostic factor at diagnosis.<sup>40</sup> A plasmablastic phenotype was associated with shorter survival in R-CHOP-treated patients.<sup>71</sup> DLBCL cells express CD5 in 10% of cases. These cases have a distinct genomic and transcriptomic profile and have a poorer outcome,<sup>72–74</sup> which could be improved with R-CHOP.<sup>75</sup> The intensity of CD20 expression is heterogeneous in patients with DLBCL. In cases with decreased CD20 expression, survival is decreased independently of the IPI.<sup>76,77</sup> However, antigen intensity was assessed by flow cytometry, and it is difficult to reproduce this by IHC.

# GCB/ABC-specific molecules as independent prognostic biomarkers

Since the publication of GEP results and the validation of the differentiation stage of the B-cell as a robust prognostic marker, many authors have been developing antibodies to identify the expression of several GCB and ABC-specific markers with an intent to develop simpler methods to assess outcome. However, careful analysis of these results should be taken due to the difficulties in the standardization as discussed above for IHC techniques.

CD10 is a marker for GC derivation detected in up to 30% of patients and was associated with improved OS in combination with IPI by many groups.<sup>28,39,41,78</sup> Biasoli et al<sup>79</sup> found that CD10 helps to segregate a group of low-IPI patients with a particularly better outcome. However, two papers have shown no prognostic impact for CD10 expression.<sup>40,80</sup>

IRF4/MUM1 was introduced in the first IHC algorithm for prediction of the molecular stratification as a post-GC marker. It was associated with worse OS<sup>28,81</sup> in some studies, whereas it showed no survival impact in others.<sup>39,40</sup>

FOXP1 is a transcription factor which has been detected at high levels in cases lacking GCB markers and expressing BCL6 and MUM1.<sup>82</sup> FOXP1-positive patients exhibit lower PFS, disease-specific survival, and OS, independently of the IPI.<sup>46,83,84</sup> *FOXP1* gains, found in 12% of cases, showed no correlation with protein levels and outcome.<sup>84</sup>

HGAL/GCET2 protein, a recently characterized GCBspecific marker, is an interleukin (IL)-4-induced gene involved in lymphoma cell motility.<sup>85</sup> GCET2 expression, either by mRNA or protein, is associated with a favorable outcome in patients with DLBCL, independently of the IPI.<sup>86,87</sup>

*LMO2* emerged as a strong prognostic marker in DLBCL in GEP.<sup>23</sup> LMO2 protein expression in lymph nodes is restricted to the nucleus of normal GCB-cells<sup>88</sup> and a subset of GCB-DLBCLs and proved to have a positive impact on patient survival,<sup>89</sup> even after the introduction of rituximab.

The *PKCB* gene was identified in GEP data as a robust prognostic marker in DLBCL, being expressed at higher

levels in ABC subtypes. DLBCLs expressing the protein kinase C- $\beta$  have an inferior outcome, either with chemo-therapy alone<sup>90-92</sup> or after addition of rituximab.<sup>93</sup>

### **Molecular prognostic markers** Single genetic aberrations rival with the GEP-based model for the prognostic scenario in DLBCL MYC

*MYC* rearrangements are detected in 5%–10% of DLBCL cases and are usually associated with complex kariotypes.<sup>94–96</sup> *MYC* is the target of somatic hypermutation in some cases. These genetic aberrations lead to MYC overexpression and activation of a proliferative phenotype.

In patients included in two prospective clinical trials, the presence of *MYC* aberrations was associated with poorer OS and EFS, independently of the IPI and the GCB/ABC classification.<sup>97</sup> Other studies confirmed this data, correlating *MYC* aberrations with poor clinical characteristics and worse survival.<sup>98–103</sup> The presence of MYC staining by IHC was correlated with *MYC* rearrangements in two independent studies.<sup>104,105</sup>

In a quarter of cases with *MYC* rearrangements, a second hit chromosomal aberration involving *BCL2* or *BCL6* can be found. Large studies in these double-hit lymphomas<sup>101,106,107</sup> suggest a poor prognosis which cannot be solely explained by the presence of a *MYC* breakpoint and hence suggest a synergism between these genetic events. An IHC double-hit score using BCL2 and MYC was validated recently.<sup>107</sup> Patients staining positively for both proteins had a significantly lower CR rate, OS, and PFS, independently of the IPI and molecular subgroup. This data is supported by others.<sup>105</sup>

### BCL2

*BCL2* is an oncogene commonly targeted in DLBCL, activating an anti-apoptotic program in the malignant cells. Forty-five percent of GCB-DLBCLs are associated with t(14;18) translocations and consequently have *BCL2* over-expression. This oncogenic event was divergently correlated with outcome.<sup>108–110</sup> *BCL2* mutations were described in GCB-DLBCLs and correlated with *BCL2* translocations.<sup>111</sup> No impact on survival was detected in these cases.

The majority of ABC-DLBCLs have *BCL2* overexpression, due to transcriptional deregulation.<sup>15,20</sup> Studies have reported a negative outcome impact in these cases.<sup>112,113</sup> BCL2 protein expression has been associated with poor prognosis,<sup>39,41,44,47,114,115</sup> mainly in ABC cases and in the pre-rituximab era.<sup>112</sup> Some researchers were however unable

to reproduce this data.<sup>40</sup> It was suggested that the negative prognostic impact of BCL2 expression is modulated by rituximab,<sup>116,117</sup> but Iqbal et al<sup>110</sup> suggested that the negative prognostic impact is kept for GCB-DLBCLs.

### BCL6

BCL6 is a transcriptional repressor molecule essential for the formation of the GC reaction. Most genetic aberrations involving *BCL6* lead to its overexpression. In consequence, B-cells cannot differentiate into plasma cells but continue to divide and proliferate.

GCB-DLBCLs harbor mutations within the *BCL6* autoregulatory domain,<sup>118,119</sup> whereas ABC-DLBCLs exhibit translocations deregulating *BCL6*.<sup>11,118</sup> *BCL6* rearrangements were associated with adverse clinical parameters and survival<sup>41,120</sup> by some groups but not by others.<sup>118,121</sup> The role of BCL6 protein expression as an independent prognostic variable is also controversial.<sup>28,39,115,118,122,123</sup> It was suggested that rituximab improved outcome only in BCL6 negative patients.<sup>124</sup>

### TP53

*TP53* encodes for the tumor-suppressor protein p53. Lossof-function mutations in *TP53* are common in cancer and impair regulation of many biological processes controlled by p53: cell cycle, apoptosis, cell differentiation, DNA repair, angiogenesis, and genomic stability.

Mutations in the *TP53* gene are found in up to 20% of DLBCLs, with no differences in incidence between ABC and GCB subsets. *TP53* mutations have been associated with worse CR rates and survival in DLBCL.<sup>125–131</sup> It was suggested that DNA-binding mutations have a higher impact on OS than other genetic changes, and this finding might help to stratify GCB patients into different prognostic subgroups.<sup>129,130</sup>

One study indicated a strong association of *TP53* deletions and plasmablastic morphology, poor response to chemotherapy and short survival.<sup>132</sup> Another recent study has associated *TP53* deletions with shorter survival in R-CHOP treated cases.<sup>133</sup>

The use of IHC to predict *TP53* mutations has been explored. In one study, p53(+)/p21(-) IHC results correlated with gene status and were associated with a lower survival rate when compared with a p53(-) or p53(+)/p21(+) phenotype.<sup>127</sup> However, others found no correlation between IHC results and outcome.

### CDKN2A

*CDKN2A* deletions are detected in up to 35% of patients with DLBCL. These cases show transcriptional deregulation

of both p14ARF and p16INK4a, tumor-suppressor proteins involved in cell cycle control. Jardin et al have comprehensively shown that deletions of *CDKN2A* have a direct negative impact on patient survival. Moreover, these cases could be identified by specific GEP within an ABC transcriptome.<sup>133</sup>

### C-REL

Sixteen percent of GCB-DLBCLs have amplification of the *C-REL* locus on chromosome  $2p^{20}$ , which encodes for the c-REL transcription factor. Positive c-REL nuclear expression is a surrogate marker for activation of the nuclear factor (NF)- $\kappa$ B pathway and was associated with better outcome in GCB-DLBCLs in a single study.<sup>45</sup>

### IgH/IRF4 translocations

By screening for novel translocations involving the immunoglobulin genes, Salaverria et al<sup>134</sup> identified a new recurrent chromosomal translocation involving *IRF4* and *IgH* in DLBCL. Patients were predominantly GCB-type but exhibited a specific GEP and presented a favorable outcome.

### TBLIXRIITP63 gene fusions

Using novel algorithms for analysis of RNA sequencing data, Scott et al discovered a recurrent somatic gene fusion, which is present in 5% of the cases.<sup>135</sup> Interestingly, this genetic aberration was always detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis and was restricted to GCB-derived cases.

### MicroRNAs (miRNAs) in DLBCL

In recent years, different groups have unraveled the miRNA repertoire in DLBCL. Some miRNAs appear to be restricted to either GCB or ABC-DLBCLs. In two large cohorts of DLBCL treated with chemoimmunotherapy, the expression of certain miRNA was associated with prognosis. Alencar et al<sup>136</sup> reported that the expression of miR-18a, miR-181a, and miR-222, together with the IPI and a molecular score, were predictors of survival. Montes-Moreno et al<sup>137</sup> built a predictor model that incorporated expression of nine miRNA (miR-221, miR-222, miR-331, miR-451, miR-28, miR-151, and miR-148a, miR-93, and miR-491), the IPI, and the molecular classification. This model identified a subset of high-risk patients.

# Other apoptosis and cell cycle-related molecules

Markovic et al<sup>138</sup> built an "apoptotic score" incorporating two members (Survivin and XIAP) of the inhibitor of apoptosis family of proteins and the death receptor CD95. They claim

that this score, together with the IPI, is an independent prognostic predictor for CR rate and OS. Positivity for survivin<sup>138,139</sup> and XIAP<sup>138,140</sup> was reported as an unfavorable feature by independent groups. Expression of cFLIP, a dual-function regulator for caspase-8 activation and apoptosis, on the other hand, was associated with better OS.<sup>141</sup>

The prognostic role of cyclin protein expression has been assessed by different groups. Saez et al<sup>142</sup> and others<sup>143</sup> reported that cyclin E overexpression constitutes a relevant adverse prognostic marker. A logistic regression model including cyclin E and other cell cycle regulators was able to divide patients into four prognostically distinct groups. p21 expression was reported as an independent predictor of good outcome after adjustment for IPI in R-CHOP-treated patients.<sup>144</sup> P14 ARF and CDKN2B inactivation were associated with poorer outcome in another study.<sup>145</sup>

Ki67 is a nuclear protein expressed by cells going through division. Ki67 positivity in tissues reflects the proportion of proliferating cells. Its expression was reported as an independent prognostic factor.<sup>56</sup> Using tissue microarrays from 1514 patients, Salles et al<sup>51</sup> built a prognostic model for rituximab-treated patients. Four risk groups were identified using BCL2, Ki67, and IPI, with improved discrimination of low-risk patients. However, results are contradictory,<sup>114,124,142</sup> and it is highly recognized that scoring Ki67 staining is subject to a very high inter-observer variability.

### Other prognostic markers

There are innumerous other potential prognostic markers published in the literature. Most, however, were explored by a single group and have not yet been properly validated.

The BACH2 transcriptional repressor plays important roles in coordinating transcription activation and repression by MAFK and BCL2 in cases with t(14;18). BACH2 expression levels in a large DLBCL cohort were associated with outcome, with patients with lower expression having a better OS and disease-specific survival.<sup>146</sup>

Sirtuin-1, a member of the intracellular regulatory proteins with mono-ADP-ribosyltransferase activity, has been studied by IHC. Its expression in non-GCB DLBCLs is associated with shorter OS.<sup>147</sup>

In the molecularly defined ABC-signature, the *BMI1* oncogene has been associated with a poor outcome, but BMI1 protein expression does not correlate with the ABC subtype as defined by IHC.<sup>148</sup>

Serum free-light chain (FLC) levels were tested in two US trials in DLBCL.<sup>149</sup> High FLC serum levels were the strongest parameter predicting worse outcome.

Genes in the glutathione (GSH) and ATP-dependent transporter (ABC) families were analyzed in two independent GEP datasets.<sup>150</sup> The glutathione peroxidase 1 gene has the most significant adverse effect on survival, after adjustment for the molecular subgroup and IPI. The expression of genes encoding for antioxidant defense enzymes and redox proteins were also explored.<sup>151</sup> DLBCLs with the worst prognosis have combined decrease in expression of catalase, glutathione peroxidase, manganese superoxide dismutase, and VDUP1.

# The microenvironment provides strong prognostic information in **DLBCL**

### GEP suggests that host inflammatory/ immune response plays a role in the biology of DLBCL

Using unsupervised GEP analysis, Alizadeh et al<sup>12</sup> demonstrated that the tumor transcriptome reflects not only the differentiation state and the rate of proliferation of the malignant B-cell, but also the host response to the tumor. A "lymph-node" (LN) signature enriched for markers of macrophages, natural-killer (NK) cells, and matrix remodeling genes was present in normal lymph nodes and in most DLBCLs, but not in other B-cell malignancies. Rosenwald et al depicted an outcome predictor model that incorporated 16 genes and the expression of the BMP6 gene and helped to score patients into divergent outcomes independently of the IPI and the molecular subgroup.<sup>20</sup> The LN genes introduced in the model were associated with a better outcome. Another GEP signature, the "MHC class-II" was also correlated with a good outcome. This supports that MHC class-II expression, known to be crucial for antigen presentation to the immune system has a role in treatment efficacy.

Monti et al<sup>70</sup> developed a GEP analysis method to segregate robust subsets of DLBCL, although this had no impact on survival. The "host response" cluster had high expression of components of the T-cell receptor, T/NK-cell activation, interferon-induced genes, cytokine receptors and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) ligands/receptors, as well as abundant macrophage, dendritic cell, and extracellular matrix components. This cluster was enriched for genes from the previously described LN signature, which adds strength to this perspective of a subset of patients having a strong immune response against the tumor, which might not be completely competent, but is partially explaining an improved outcome.

Lenz et al<sup>21</sup> used three diagnostic DLBCL lymph node samples for sorting malignant B-cells and remaining

non-malignant cells in order to perform GEP. Transcripts that had a differential signal value in either the CD19(+) or CD19(-) cells were used to build multivariate survival models that were validated in whole GEP data from almost 400 patients. In these survival models, a GCB-signature derived from the CD19(+) cell subset, together with two others derived from the CD19(-) cells were able to predict OS and PFS in the R-CHOP validation sets. Additionally, the IPI and the GEP-based model added to the predictive power of each other, suggesting a shared role for clinical and biological features contributing to patient outcome. The "stromal-1" signature was enriched for genes derived from macrophages and extra-cellular matrix components and was predictive of a good outcome. The "stromal-2" signature was enriched for genes involved in angiogenesis and conferred an adverse outcome. The relative expression ratio of each of the stromal signatures in an individual sample is what is most predictive of the length of survival. Finally, these authors have explored how previously described signatures performed in the rituximab treatment era. The LN signature, which shares a large amount of transcripts with the "stromal-1" signature, and the "proliferation" and GCB signatures remained survival predictors, whereas the "MHC class-II" signature lost prognostic impact.

The top 86 genes discriminating good and bad DLBCL anthracycline responders were enriched for transcripts from the microenvironment, especially involved in degradation and remodeling of the stromal matrix.<sup>152</sup> A French group developed a model comprising four genes of the GCB/ABC signature and two genes related to immune response (*APOBEC3G* and *RAB33A*), which showed to be predictive of outcome in patients receiving immunochemotherapy.<sup>153</sup>

Two of the genes included in the six-gene model of Lossos et al,<sup>23</sup> *SCYA3*, a chemokine, and *FN1* (fibronectine-1), reflect the tumor microenvironment. *FN1* has been shown to be expressed at very low levels by B-cells, supporting that the transcript is being translated from the LN accessory cells. It has been associated with a better outcome, which again highlights the good prognostic impact of a stromal response in DLBCL.

Alizadeh et al built a bivariate survival predictor incorporating *LMO2* and a second gene more highly expressed in nonmalignant cells, *TNFRSF9/CD137*.<sup>154</sup> This bivariate model synergizes with the IPI for predicting outcome in DLBCL. *TNFRSF9* expression was restricted to a minority of infiltrating T-cells. Using co-culture systems, the authors show that resting peripheral blood T-cells can start to express CD137 after contact with tumor cells, which could be potentiated by rituximab.<sup>155</sup> Overall a substantial body of work consistently highlights that a biological facet of DLBCL is derived from the stromal microenvironment. In contrast to what is generally found in solid tumors and other lymphoid malignancies, in DLBCL the expression of genes derived from cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system and extra-cellular matrix components of the malignant LNs confer an improved outcome.

### Polymorphisms in genes involved in immune and inflammatory responses have an impact on outcome

A large study showed that an *IL10* haplotype and single nucleotide polymorphisms in *IL8* receptor  $\beta$ , *IL1\alpha*, *TNF*, and *IL4* receptor were strong predictors of OS, independently of clinical factors.<sup>156</sup> Lech-Maranda et al<sup>157</sup> reported that the IL-10–1082 genotype influenced clinical outcome in patients with DLBCL, but other authors failed to demonstrate this.<sup>158</sup> Other studies provided survival correlations in DLBCL with *IL6*, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor,<sup>159</sup> *IL4* receptor,<sup>160</sup> and lymphotoxin  $\alpha$ <sup>161</sup> polymorphisms. Correlations between gene variants in immune function-related genes and outcome in lymphoma has never been properly explored functionally.

# Malignant DLBCL cells found mechanisms that allow them to escape T-cell immune surveillance

Loss of MHC expression is an attractive mechanism of evading T-cell recognition that appears to be utilized by a subset of poor prognostic DLBCL cases.<sup>25,37,162,163</sup> GEP data consistently showed that the overexpression of MHC class-II genes correlates with better survival. Rimsza et al<sup>164</sup> found that OS survival is higher proportional to the degree HLA-DRA expression in tumors. Moreover, these authors found that the number of CD8(+) T-cells was significantly higher in MHC class-II(+) cases, which suggests that loss of HLA expression is partially responsible for less effective T-cell recruitment to tumors. Additionally, a poor host tumor-infiltrating T-cell response is seen in HLA-I/II negative cases.<sup>164,165</sup>

Challa Malladi et al have shown that 30% of patients have inactivating mutations in the  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin (*B2M*) gene, which impair formation of the HLA class-I complex.<sup>166</sup> Analogous lesions were found in the *CD58* gene, which encodes a molecule involved in CD2 receptor ligation in T and NK cells. Overall, more than 60% of DLBCL exhibited aberrant expression of HLA-I and CD58.

### An inflammatory cytokine profile was associated with worse prognosis in DLBCL

Several groups have explored pre-treatment serum cytokine levels in patients with DLBCL and correlated it with outcome. Patients with detectable levels of IL-10 had a more aggressive presentation and worse survival.<sup>167,168</sup> In other series, high levels of IL-18<sup>169</sup> and IL-2 receptor<sup>170,171</sup> were associated with poorer PFS and OS in the rituximab era.

## Peripheral blood counts have impact on patients outcome

Many authors have implicated peripheral blood cell counts with outcome in DLBCL. A low absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) at diagnosis was correlated with adverse prognostic factors and strongly predicted response to R-CHOP.<sup>172</sup> A score incorporating the ALC and R-IPI was a better prognostic discriminator. A meta-analysis involving 1206 subjects has shown that the hazard ratios of low ALC for OS and EFS were 2.78 and 2.56 in the population that received R-CHOP.<sup>173</sup> Other authors suggested that a low absolute number of NK cells and not total lymphocytes relates to treatment response and EFS.<sup>174</sup>

Using large datasets, Porrata et al demonstrated that elevated monocyte counts and relative lymphopenia are adverse prognostic factors.<sup>175</sup> An absolute monocyte and lymphocyte count score predict PFS and OS in multivariate analysis,<sup>176</sup> together with the molecular classification and IPI. The prognostic impact of monocytosis was confirmed by another group.<sup>177</sup>

The number of regulatory T-cells (Tregs) is increased in the peripheral blood and tumors of patients with lymphoma, and this correlates with disease stage and serum LDH.<sup>178</sup>

## Immunohistochemistry studies exploring the immune microenvironment in DLBCL

Most authors have focused on the use of IHC to enumerate and functionally characterize the microenvironment in DLBCL. An extensive number of suitable antibodies are available, as well as fairly standardized IHC staining methods. This should allow IHC to be extended to clinical practice, but as discussed above, the use of standardized criteria and proper validation is required.

### Macrophages/stromal markers

Many authors have described macrophage activation states as a binary system, but this has clear limitations in characterizing the multitude of macrophage functions that can be achieved in different conditions.<sup>179</sup> Moreover, this model is based on mouse studies, and there are crucial interspecies differences in the macrophage gene transcriptional landscape between mice and humans.<sup>180</sup>

M1/"classically activated" macrophages, respond to interferon- $\gamma$  or lypopolysacharide by producing proinflammatory cytokines, upregulating MHC molecules, and increasing phagocytic capacity.<sup>181</sup> This cell behavior has been extensively validated in vivo in infection and tumor models.

M2/"alternatively activated" macrophages are specialized at resolving inflammation by tissue remodeling<sup>182,183</sup> and immunosuppression.<sup>184,185</sup> They have high levels of dead cell scavenging receptors.<sup>186</sup> This phenotype helps cancer progression. In-vitro stimulation with different cytokines,<sup>187–192</sup> immune-complexes, steroid hormones, Toll-like receptors, or IL-1 receptor agonists<sup>193–195</sup> can induce an M2 phenotype.

The extent of macrophage infiltration as measured by CD68 staining has been correlated with different outcomes in DLBCL.<sup>196–200</sup> Some authors used co-staining methods to characterize macrophages in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.<sup>199</sup> However, this is intrinsically difficult to standardize and analyze. The inconsistency of this data reflects the difficulties in scoring CD68 but also the complexity of macrophage functions that can hardly be mirrored using a low number of markers.

Macrophages in tumors are known to promote angiogenesis. Angiogenesis and related markers such as VEGF have been explored by several groups, with a suggestion that this is associated with a worse outcome.<sup>201–203</sup> The use of microvessel density as a marker of angiogenesis might bring conflicting results due to technical issues. Unexpectedly, Evens et al<sup>204</sup> reported that HIF-1 $\alpha$ , a transcription factor highly involved in angiogenesis triggering, is expressed in several DLBCL patients and correlates with significantly improved PFS and OS.

The secreted protein, acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) is a glycoprotein that is promiscuously expressed in tissues and is involved in matrix remodeling, integrin activity, adhesion, growth factor signaling, and apoptosis. Lenz et al<sup>21</sup> and Meyer et al<sup>205</sup> have demonstrated that a subset of macrophages express SPARC in DLBCL, which was linked to a favorable prognosis. A model incorporating the GCB/ABC classification, SPARC, and microvessel density was highly predictive of OS and EFS in multivariate analysis after adjusting for the IPI.<sup>206</sup>

### T-cells

The prognostic role of the total number of T-cells as well as CD4(+) and CD8(+) subsets as determined by IHC has never been properly explored. The impact of cytotoxic T-cells in

DLBCL is still unclear.<sup>163,207,208</sup> Some authors reported that a higher density of activated cytotoxic T-cells is a strong indicator for an unfavorable outcome. However, methodology and statistical approaches used were different, making comparisons difficult. On the contrary, Chang and colleagues reported that the presence of an intense infiltrate was associated with a favorable clinical outcome.<sup>163</sup> The clinical impact of forkhead box protein 3 (FOXP3)(+) Treg infiltration in DLBCL is still not clear, with different groups reporting different results.<sup>208-211</sup> Finally, the immunosuppressive marker PD-L1 was mainly expressed in the tumor-infiltrating T-cells, but was also found on the malignant cells in a subset of DLBCL.<sup>212</sup> PD-L1 might trigger PD-1 in T-cells, which could constitute a mechanism of immune escape. However functional data is lacking.

### Other cells

Two groups have looked at the mast cell density in biopsies of DLBCL. A more dense infiltration of mast cells has been shown to improve outcome.<sup>213</sup>

### Therapeutic issues

One of the most robust prognostic factors in DLBCL is refractoriness to immunochemotherapy. Recognizing rituximab refractory patients at diagnosis is a priority. Also of crucial importance is to bring to clinical practice different treatment strategies with a low toxicity profile, which would be amenable to be offered to the majority of our patients.

At the present time, only the IPI, and genomic methods to recognize double-hit lymphomas, have been used to select high-risk patients for more aggressive regimens, most of them incorporating consolidation with autologous stem-cell transplantation. However, these markers do not take into account biological differences that might be behind disease aggressiveness.

Many authors have been trying to explore the mechanisms behind rituximab-refractoriness. Polymorphisms in the activator  $Fc\gamma RIIIa/CD16a$  have been correlated with rituximab response<sup>214,215</sup> and inhibitory Fc $\gamma$ RIIb on the B-cells and effector cells modulate rituximab activity.<sup>216,217</sup> Fc $\gamma$ RII expression levels between different B-cell malignancies correlates with sensitivity to rituximab. There is increasing interest in devising mechanism to increase the affinity of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAb) to the innate immune effector cell Fc $\gamma$ RIIIa. As a result, new anti-CD20 mAb with engineered Fc-receptor with increased Fc $\gamma$ RIII binding affinity are being investigated in ongoing clinical trials. Results from clinical trials using signaling inhibitors are becoming available. Very promising responses are being reported in refractory DLBCLs with ibrutinib, a selective Bruton's tyrosine kinase. It has exclusive in-vitro and in-vivo cytotoxicity against ABC-DLBCLs, and it has recently been suggested that it might have synergistic activity with lenalidomide in blocking NF- $\kappa$ B pathway in this subset of DLBCLs.<sup>218</sup> This highlights the importance of carefully recognizing these patients at diagnosis.

Incorporation of molecular stratification into clinical trials is ongoing to offer bortezomib for ABC-DLBCLs both in Europe and in the US. NF- $\kappa$ B constitutional activation is a hallmark for the ABC-DLBCLs, and bortezomib is known to block this pathway by avoiding degradation of I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ . It has been combined with chemotherapy and immunochemotherapy in Phase II trials. In accordance to its mechanism of action, bortezomib is more effective in ABC-DLBCLs, improving CR rates and OS.<sup>32,219,220</sup> Other methods of blocking the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway have been reported in pre-clinical models and have been shown to be more effective in ABC cell lines.

Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors and other molecules are particularly effective in cases with chronically active BCR signaling.<sup>221</sup> Preclinical studies with Fostamatinib, a SYK inhibitor, showed that it is able to inhibit BCR signaling and induce cell-cycle arrest in a subset of patients, which can be identified either by a particular molecular cluster<sup>222</sup> or by PLC $\gamma$ 2 and AKT levels.<sup>223</sup> PKC $\beta$  inhibitors have been effective in preclinical studies restricted to a proportion of ABC-DLBCL cell lines with *CD79A/B* mutations.<sup>224</sup> PI3K inhibitors also have a prominent activity in ABC-DLBCLs with *CD79B* mutations.<sup>225</sup> Some of these compounds have shown significant activity in DLBCL in Phase II trials.<sup>226,227</sup>

In ABC-DLBCLs with *MYD88* mutations, IL-6 and IL-10 cytokines activate a JAK-family kinase and lead to expression of a STAT3-dependent gene program. STAT3 also potentially activates NF- $\kappa$ B signaling, as has been demonstrated by experiments using combinations of a JAK kinase inhibitor and an IKK $\beta$  inhibitor in ABC cell lines. Targeting JAK2/STAT3 is hence a potential approach for ABC-DLBCLs. This can be achieved by the use of JAK2 inhibitors,<sup>228</sup> HDAC inhibitors,<sup>229</sup> or IL-21.<sup>230</sup>

Targeting transcription factors that are oncogenically deregulated is another therapeutic opportunity. Small-molecule BH3 mimetics bind to the proapoptotic BCL2 family members and promote apoptosis. These molecules have shown activity in clinical trials including patients with relapsed/refractory lymphoma.<sup>231</sup>

BCL6 targeting might also be useful in either ABC or GCB-DLBCLs. In-vitro studies have shown that *BCL6* inhibition dampened BCR signaling by repression of *SYK*.<sup>232</sup> It is suggested that combined targeting of these two genes is a rational approach for these cases. Also, tandem targeting of the overlapping BCL6 and p53 might provide an effective therapeutic approach to lymphoma therapy.<sup>233</sup>

BCL6 disruption can be achieved either by using an inhibitor of the chaperone HSP90 or by targeting the *BCL6* BTB domain. The molecular pathogenesis together with the new targeted therapies have been elegantly reviewed by Shaffer et al.<sup>234</sup>

Immunomodulatory drugs act on many aspects of immune cell function<sup>235–238</sup> and angiogenesis, and lenalidomide has been shown to be effective as a single agent in highly treated patients with DLBCL. Lenalidomide has been tested in patients with relapse/refractory DLBCL,<sup>239,240</sup> either alone or in combination with rituximab,<sup>241</sup> with good results. Patients with non-GCB phenotype appear to respond better.<sup>242</sup> A Phase III trial is underway to clarify the role of lenalidomide in ABC-DLBCLs.

The Hedgehog (HH) signaling pathway has recently been implicated in DLBCL pathogenesis. HH signaling inhibition induces cell-cycle arrest in GCB-DLBCLs and apoptosis in ABC-DLBCLs.<sup>243</sup> Authors suggested that targeting *ABCG2* 

	Markers	Comments
Clinical	IPI, Age, Sex	The role of the clinical parameters reviewed has been assessed in rituximab
	Maximum tumor diameter	treated patients.
	Concordant BM involvement	
	Extranodal (Waldeyer ring)	
Imaging	FDG-PET scanning	A negative PET at the end of treatment is an excellent predictor of good outcome. The role of PET-CT to guide optimal treatment is still unclear.
Pathology/	Immunoblastic morphology	Pathology results exhibit a high interobserver variability.
immunophenotype	CD5 expression	The assessment of CD20 expression by IHC is not reliable.
	CD20 expression intensity	
GCB/ABC	CD10, GCET2, LMO2, PKCB	IHC results are contradictory, most likely due to patient selection and the use
specific markers	MUM-1, FOXPI	of different methodology and analysis.
	IHC algorithms	
Genetic	MYC aberrations, Double-hit (MYC/BCL2)	MYC staining by IHC was correlated with MYC rearrangements.
abnormalities	BCL2 aberrations	An IHC double-hit score using BCL2 and MYC was validated recently.
	BCL6 aberrations	The role of single BCL2 and BCL6 aberrations is debated. BCL-2 protein
	TP53 deletions/mutations	overexpression might have a negative prognostic impact in GCB-DLBCLs.
	CDKN2A deletions	It is suggested that rituximab improved outcome only in BCL-6 (–) patients.
	IgH/IRF4 translocations	The use of IHC to predict TP53 mutations is not recommended.
	microRNA expression profile	Deletions of CDKN2A have a negative impact on survival. These cases can be
		identified by specific GEP within an ABC transcriptome.
		Patients with <i>IgH/IRF4</i> translocations have a favorable outcome.
		Some miRNAs appear to be restricted to either GCB or ABC-DLBCLs.
		Prognostic models incorporating miRNAs have been explored by two groups.
GEP-based	GCB/ABC	RNA profiling in DLBCL has shown that the tumor transcriptome reflects the
models	BCR/proliferation, Lymph node, MHC-II	differentiation state and the rate of proliferation of the malignant B-cell as well
	13-gene model	as the host response to the tumor.
	Stromal-1/stromal-2	More work has to be done on functional validation, independent validation of
	6-gene model by Lossos et al	the methodology, development of simplified methods for clinical application.
	6-gene model by Jais et al	
	LMO2/TNFRSF9	
Microenvironment	Loss of MHC class-II expression	A substantial body of work consistently highlights that a biological facet of
	Polymorphisms in immune-related genes	DLBCL is derived from the stromal microenvironment.
	Cytokine levels: IL-10, IL-6	DLBCL cells use mechanisms to evade T-cell immune surveillance.
	PB lymphocyte and monocyte counts	Correlations between gene variants in immune function related genes and
	Immune cells/stromal markers:	outcome in lymphoma has never been properly explored functionally.
	Macrophages, SPARC, microvessel density	PB counts is a simple and attractive method for prognostic assessment.
	cytotoxic T-cells, regulatory T-cells	The role of macrophages and stromal response in DLBCL is still unclear.

#### Table I Biomarkers with prognostic potential in DLBCL

**Note:** Only most important markers and relevant comments have been stated. Please see references in the body of the text.

IHC approaches are not adequate to develop a functional model.

and HH signaling may have therapeutic value in overcoming stroma-induced chemoresistance in DLBCL.<sup>244</sup>

YM155, a survivin suppressant, was used in early phase trials<sup>245</sup> in DLBCL. In-vitro studies suggest that there is synergism with rituximab. Targeting PIM kinases, which are markers of progressive disease in ABC-DLBCLs, has also been shown to be effective in preclinical studies.<sup>246</sup>

Preliminary results with these new compounds could be improved if patient selection was refined by biomarkers that identify specific populations with particular sensitivity. Moreover, the relapse/refractory setting might not be the best scenario to test targeted therapies. Finally these new treatments are able to be offered to older patients due to their low toxicity profile.

### Conclusion

Recent advances in genetic research have improved our knowledge of DLBCL pathogenesis. Recognizing patients with different molecular backgrounds, response to treatment, and survival is crucial for designing new drugs and clinical trials. However, at the present time, no simple and well standardized method is available for this purpose that can compete with the IPI. It is highly likely that a clinicobiological index will be more informative than single markers for prognostic prediction. This is, however, not yet available. Moreover, only now is molecular classification being used in clinical trials for treatment stratification.

Incorporation of biomarkers into clinical practice is not yet a reality. Most of the biomarkers reviewed here lack independent validation (see Table 1). Very few have been scrutinized in prospective studies. There is a lack of well standardized methodologies for pathology and molecular studies. It has been demonstrated that the use of different IHC techniques results in highly variable results and poor reproducibility. Again, only when specific biomarkers are incorporated into prospective studies will we be able to assess whether the methodology is sufficiently robust and reproducible for subsequent use in the clinic.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

### References

- Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, et al. CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(4):235–242.
- Sehn LH, Donaldson J, Chhanabhai M, et al. Introduction of combined CHOP plus rituximab therapy dramatically improved outcome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in British Columbia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(22): 5027–5033.

- Pfreundschuh M, Trumper L, Osterborg A, et al. CHOP-like chemotherapy plus rituximab versus CHOP-like chemotherapy alone in young patients with good-prognosis diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma: a randomised controlled trial by the MabThera International Trial (MInT) Group. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006;7(5):379–391.
- Pfreundschuh M, Schubert J, Ziepert M, et al. Six versus eight cycles of bi-weekly CHOP-14 with or without rituximab in elderly patients with aggressive CD20+ B-cell lymphomas: a randomised controlled trial (RICOVER-60). *Lancet Oncol.* 2008;9(2):105–116.
- Coiffier B, Thieblemont C, Van Den Neste E, et al. Long-term outcome of patients in the LNH-98.5 trial, the first randomized study comparing rituximab-CHOP to standard CHOP chemotherapy in DLBCL patients: a study by the Groupe d'Etudes des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. *Blood*. 2010;116(12):2040–2045.
- Feugier P, Van Hoof A, Sebban C, et al. Long-term results of the R-CHOP study in the treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a study by the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(18):4117–4126.
- Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(27):4184–4190.
- Larouche JF, Berger F, Chassagne-Clement C, et al. Lymphoma recurrence 5 years or later following diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: clinical characteristics and outcome. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(12):2094–2100.
- Shaknovich R, Geng H, Johnson NA, et al. DNA methylation signatures define molecular subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2010;116(20):e81–e89.
- Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues, 4th ed. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2008.
- Shaffer AL, Wright G, Yang L, et al. A library of gene expression signatures to illuminate normal and pathological lymphoid biology. *Immunol Rev.* 2006;210:67–85.
- Alizadeh AA, Eisen MB, Davis RE, et al. Distinct types of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identified by gene expression profiling. *Nature*. 2000; 403(6769):503–511.
- Lossos IS, Alizadeh AA, Eisen MB, et al. Ongoing immunoglobulin somatic mutation in germinal center B cell-like but not in activated B cell-like diffuse large cell lymphomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA*. 2000; 97(18):10209–10213.
- Lenz G, Nagel I, Siebert R, et al. Aberrant immunoglobulin class switch recombination and switch translocations in activated B cell-like diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *J Exp Med*. 2007;204(3):633–643.
- Wright G, Tan B, Rosenwald A, Hurt EH, Wiestner A, Staudt LM. A gene expression-based method to diagnose clinically distinct subgroups of diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003; 100(17):9991–9996.
- Lenz G, Wright GW, Emre NC, et al. Molecular subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma arise by distinct genetic pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105(36):13520–13525.
- Pasqualucci L, Trifonov V, Fabbri G, et al. Analysis of the coding genome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43(9):830–837.
- Morin RD, Mendez-Lago M, Mungall AJ, et al. Frequent mutation of histone-modifying genes in non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nature*. 2011; 476(7360):298–303.
- Lohr JG, Stojanov P, Lawrence MS, et al. Discovery and prioritization of somatic mutations in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) by whole-exome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(10): 3879–3884.
- Rosenwald A, Wright G, Chan WC, et al. The use of molecular profiling to predict survival after chemotherapy for diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(25):1937–1947.
- Lenz G, Wright G, Dave SS, et al. Stromal gene signatures in large-B-cell lymphomas. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(22):2313–2323.
- 22. Shipp MA, Ross KN, Tamayo P, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma outcome prediction by gene-expression profiling and supervised machine learning. *Nat Med.* 2002;8(1):68–74.

- Lossos IS, Czerwinski DK, Alizadeh AA, et al. Prediction of survival in diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma based on the expression of six genes. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(18):1828–1837.
- Malumbres R, Chen J, Tibshirani R, et al. Paraffin-based 6-gene model predicts outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP. *Blood.* 2008;111(12):5509–5514.
- Rimsza LM, Leblanc ML, Unger JM, et al. Gene expression predicts overall survival in paraffin-embedded tissues of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. *Blood.* 2008;112(8):3425–3433.
- Williams PM, Li R, Johnson NA, Wright G, Heath JD, Gascoyne RD. A novel method of amplification of FFPET-derived RNA enables accurate disease classification with microarrays. *J Mol Diagn*. 2010;12(5): 680–686.
- Rimsza LM, Wright G, Schwartz M, et al. Accurate classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma into germinal center and activated B-cell subtypes using a nuclease protection assay on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(11):3727–3732.
- Hans CP, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. Confirmation of the molecular classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by immunohistochemistry using a tissue microarray. *Blood*. 2004;103(1):275–282.
- Choi WW, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. A new immunostain algorithm classifies diffuse large B-cell lymphoma into molecular subtypes with high accuracy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(17):5494–5502.
- Meyer PN, Fu K, Greiner TC, et al. Immunohistochemical methods for predicting cell of origin and survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(2): 200–207.
- 31. Visco C, Li Y, Xu-Monette ZY, et al. Comprehensive gene expression profiling and immunohistochemical studies support application of immunophenotypic algorithm for molecular subtype classification in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a report from the International DLBCL Rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program Study. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(9): 2103–2113.
- Dunleavy K, Pittaluga S, Czuczman MS, et al. Differential efficacy of bortezomib plus chemotherapy within molecular subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2009;113(24):6069–6076.
- Moskowitz CH, Zelenetz AD, Kewalramani T, et al. Cell of origin, germinal center versus nongerminal center, determined by immunohistochemistry on tissue microarray, does not correlate with outcome in patients with relapsed and refractory DLBCL. *Blood*. 2005;106(10): 3383–3385.
- 34. Gutierrez-Garcia G, Cardesa-Salzmann T, Climent F, et al. Gene-expression profiling and not immunophenotypic algorithms predicts prognosis in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with immunochemotherapy. *Blood.* 2011;117(18):4836–4843.
- 35. Fu K, Weisenburger DD, Choi WW, et al. Addition of rituximab to standard chemotherapy improves the survival of both the germinal center B-cell-like and non-germinal center B-cell-like subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(28): 4587–4594.
- Vose JM. Relapsed diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: clinical utility of cell of origin. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(31):4065–4066.
- Bernd HW, Ziepert M, Thorns C, et al. Loss of HLA-DR expression and immunoblastic morphology predict adverse outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma – analyses of cases from two prospective randomized clinical trials. *Haematologica*. 2009;94(11):1569–1580.
- Chang CC, McClintock S, Cleveland RP, et al. Immunohistochemical expression patterns of germinal center and activation B-cell markers correlate with prognosis in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2004;28(4):464–470.
- Berglund M, Thunberg U, Amini RM, et al. Evaluation of immunophenotype in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and its impact on prognosis. *Mod Pathol*. 2005;18(8):1113–1120.
- Ott G, Ziepert M, Klapper W, et al. Immunoblastic morphology but not the immunohistochemical GCB/nonGCB classifier predicts outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the RICOVER-60 trial of the DSHNHL. *Blood*. 2010;116(23):4916–4925.

- De Paepe P, Achten R, Verhoef G, et al. Large cleaved and immunoblastic lymphoma may represent two distinct clinicopathologic entities within the group of diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(28):7060–7068.
- 42. Dupuis J, Gaulard P, Hemery F, et al. Respective prognostic values of germinal center phenotype and early (18) fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography scanning in previously untreated patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Haematologica*. 2007;92(6): 778–783.
- 43. Gu K, Weisenburger DD, Fu K, et al. Cell of origin fails to predict survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Hematol Oncol.* 2012;30(3):143–149.
- 44. Barrans SL, Carter I, Owen RG, et al. Germinal center phenotype and bcl-2 expression combined with the International Prognostic Index improves patient risk stratification in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2002;99(4):1136–1143.
- Curry CV, Ewton AA, Olsen RJ, et al. Prognostic impact of C-REL expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Hematop*. 2009;2(1): 20–26.
- 46. Thieblemont C, Briere J, Mounier N, et al. The germinal center/activated B-cell subclassification has a prognostic impact for response to salvage therapy in relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a bio-CORAL study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(31):4079–4087.
- van Imhoff GW, Boerma EJ, van der Holt B, et al. Prognostic impact of germinal center-associated proteins and chromosomal breakpoints in poor-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(25): 4135–4142.
- 48. de Jong D, Rosenwald A, Chhanabhai M, et al. Immunohistochemical prognostic markers in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: validation of tissue microarray as a prerequisite for broad clinical applications – a study from the Lunenburg Lymphoma Biomarker Consortium. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(7):805–812.
- A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The International Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Prognostic Factors Project. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(14):987–994.
- 50. Sehn LH, Berry B, Chhanabhai M, et al. The revised International Prognostic Index (R-IPI) is a better predictor of outcome than the standard IPI for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. *Blood*. 2007;109(5):1857–1861.
- Salles G, de Jong D, Xie W, et al. Prognostic significance of immunohistochemical biomarkers in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a study from the Lunenburg Lymphoma Biomarker Consortium. *Blood*. 2011;117(26):7070–7078.
- Klapper W, Kreuz M, Kohler CW, et al. Patient age at diagnosis is associated with the molecular characteristics of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012;119(8):1882–1887.
- Ngo L, Hee SW, Lim LC, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma: Before and after the introduction of rituximab. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2008;49(3):462–469.
- 54. Muller C, Murawski N, Wiesen MH, et al. The role of sex and weight on rituximab clearance and serum elimination half-life in elderly patients with DLBCL. *Blood.* 2012;119(14):3276–3284.
- 55. Pfreundschuh M, Ho AD, Cavallin-Stahl E, et al. Prognostic significance of maximum tumour (bulk) diameter in young patients with goodprognosis diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP-like chemotherapy with or without rituximab: an exploratory analysis of the MabThera International Trial Group (MInT) study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2008;9(5):435–444.
- 56. Gaudio F, Giordano A, Perrone T, et al. High Ki67 index and bulky disease remain significant adverse prognostic factors in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma before and after the introduction of rituximab. *Acta Haematol.* 2011;126(1):44–51.
- 57. Chung R, Lai R, Wei P, et al. Concordant but not discordant bone marrow involvement in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma predicts a poor clinical outcome independent of the International Prognostic Index. *Blood.* 2007;110(4):1278–1282.

- Sehn LH, Scott DW, Chhanabhai M, et al. Impact of concordant and discordant bone marrow involvement on outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(11): 1452–1457.
- 59. Takahashi H, Tomita N, Yokoyama M, et al. Prognostic impact of extranodal involvement in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *Cancer*. 2012;118(17):4166–4172.
- Lopez-Guillermo A, Colomo L, Jimenez M, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: clinical and biological characterization and outcome according to the nodal or extranodal primary origin. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(12): 2797–2804.
- Gutierrez-Garcia G, Colomo L, Villamor N, et al. Clinico-biological characterization and outcome of primary nodal and extranodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2010;51(7):1225–1232.
- Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid ME, et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(5):579–586.
- Casasnovas RO, Meignan M, Berriolo-Riedinger A, et al. SUVmax reduction improves early prognosis value of interim positron emission tomography scans in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2011;118(1):37–43.
- 64. Safar V, Dupuis J, Itti E, et al. Interim [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography scan in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy plus rituximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(2):184–190.
- Pregno P, Chiappella A, Bello M, et al. Interim 18-FDG-PET/CT failed to predict the outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated at the diagnosis with rituximab-CHOP. *Blood.* 2012;119(9): 2066–2073.
- 66. Moskowitz CH, Schoder H, Teruya-Feldstein J, et al. Risk-adapted dose-dense immunochemotherapy determined by interim FDG-PET in Advanced-stage diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(11):1896–1903.
- 67. Casasnovas RO, Meignan M, Berriolo-Riedinger A, et al. Early Interim PET Scans in Diffuse Large B-Cell lymphoma: can there be consensus about standardized reporting, and can PET scans guide therapy choices? *Curr Hematol Malig Rep.* 2012;7(3):193–199.
- Horning SJ, Juweid ME, Schoder H, et al. Interim positron emission tomography scans in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: an independent expert nuclear medicine evaluation of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group E3404 study. *Blood*. 2010;115(4):775–777; quiz 918.
- Achten R, Verhoef G, Vanuytsel L, De Wolf-Peeters C. Histiocyte-rich, T-cell-rich B-cell lymphoma: a distinct diffuse large B-cell lymphoma subtype showing characteristic morphologic and immunophenotypic features. *Histopathology*. 2002;40(1):31–45.
- Monti S, Savage KJ, Kutok JL, et al. Molecular profiling of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identifies robust subtypes including one characterized by host inflammatory response. 2005;105(5):1851–1861.
- Montes-Moreno S, Gonzalez-Medina AR, Rodriguez-Pinilla SM, et al. Aggressive large B-cell lymphoma with plasma cell differentiation: immunohistochemical characterization of plasmablastic lymphoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with partial plasmablastic phenotype. *Haematologica*. 2010;95(8):1342–1349.
- Kobayashi T, Yamaguchi M, Kim S, et al. Microarray reveals differences in both tumors and vascular specific gene expression in de novo CD5+ and CD5- diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Cancer Res.* 2003;63(1):60–66.
- Tagawa H, Suguro M, Tsuzuki S, et al. Comparison of genome profiles for identification of distinct subgroups of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2005;106(5):1770–1777.
- 74. Ennishi D, Takeuchi K, Yokoyama M, et al. CD5 expression is potentially predictive of poor outcome among biomarkers in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma receiving rituximab plus CHOP therapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19(11):1921–1926.
- Miyazaki K, Yamaguchi M, Suzuki R, et al. CD5-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a retrospective study in 337 patients treated by chemotherapy with or without rituximab. *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22(7): 1601–1607.

- Johnson NA, Boyle M, Bashashati A, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: reduced CD20 expression is associated with an inferior survival. *Blood*. 2009;113(16):3773–3780.
- Suzuki Y, Yoshida T, Wang G, et al. Association of CD20 levels with clinicopathological parameters and its prognostic significance for patients with DLBCL. *Ann Hematol.* 2012;91(7):997–1005.
- Ohshima K, Kawasaki C, Muta H, et al. CD10 and Bcl10 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: CD10 is a marker of improved prognosis. *Histopathology*. 2001;39(2):156–162.
- Biasoli I, Morais JC, Scheliga A, et al. CD10 and Bcl-2 expression combined with the International Prognostic Index can identify subgroups of patients with diffuse large-cell lymphoma with very good or very poor prognoses. *Histopathology*. 2005;46(3):328–333.
- Fabiani B, Delmer A, Lepage E, et al. CD10 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas does not influence survival. *Virchows Arch.* 2004; 445(6):545–551.
- Muris JJ, Meijer CJ, Vos W, et al. Immunohistochemical profiling based on Bcl-2, CD10 and MUM1 expression improves risk stratification in patients with primary nodal diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *J Pathol.* 2006;208(5):714–723.
- Barrans SL, Fenton JA, Banham A, Owen RG, Jack AS. Strong expression of FOXP1 identifies a distinct subset of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) patients with poor outcome. *Blood*. 2004;104(9): 2933–2935.
- Banham AH, Connors JM, Brown PJ, et al. Expression of the FOXP1 transcription factor is strongly associated with inferior survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005; 11(3):1065–1072.
- 84. Hoeller S, Schneider A, Haralambieva E, Dirnhofer S, Tzankov A. FOXP1 protein overexpression is associated with inferior outcome in nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphomas with non-germinal centre phenotype, independent of gains and structural aberrations at 3p14.1. *Histopathology*. 2010;57(1):73–80.
- Jiang X, Lu X, McNamara G, et al. HGAL, a germinal center specific protein, decreases lymphoma cell motility by modulation of the RhoA signaling pathway. *Blood*. 2010;116(24):5217–5227.
- Lossos IS, Alizadeh AA, Rajapaksa R, Tibshirani R, Levy R. HGAL is a novel interleukin-4-inducible gene that strongly predicts survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2003;101(2):433–440.
- Natkunam Y, Lossos IS, Taidi B, et al. Expression of the human germinal center-associated lymphoma (HGAL) protein, a new marker of germinal center B-cell derivation. *Blood*. 2005;105(10):3979–3986.
- Natkunam Y, Zhao S, Mason DY, et al. The oncoprotein LMO2 is expressed in normal germinal-center B cells and in human B-cell lymphomas. *Blood*. 2007;109(4):1636–1642.
- Natkunam Y, Farinha P, Hsi ED, et al. LMO2 protein expression predicts survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy with and without rituximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(3):447–454.
- Hans CP, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. Expression of PKCbeta or cyclin D2 predicts for inferior survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2005;18(10):1377–1384.
- Schaffel R, Morais JC, Biasoli I, et al. PKC-beta II expression has prognostic impact in nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2007;20(3):326–330.
- Espinosa I, Briones J, Bordes R, et al. Membrane PKC-beta 2 protein expression predicts for poor response to chemotherapy and survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Ann Hematol*. 2006;85(9): 597–603.
- Chaiwatanatorn K, Stamaratis G, Opeskin K, Firkin F, Nandurkar H. Protein kinase C-beta II expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma predicts for inferior outcome of anthracycline-based chemotherapy with and without rituximab. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2009;50(10): 1666–1675.
- Chang CC, Liu YC, Cleveland RP, Perkins SL. Expression of c-Myc and p53 correlates with clinical outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2000;113(4):512–518.

- Hummel M, Bentink S, Berger H, et al. A biologic definition of Burkitt's lymphoma from transcriptional and genomic profiling. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354(23):2419–2430.
- Salaverria I, Siebert R. The gray zone between Burkitt's lymphoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma from a genetics perspective. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(14):1835–1843.
- 97. Klapper W, Stoecklein H, Zeynalova S, et al. Structural aberrations affecting the MYC locus indicate a poor prognosis independent of clinical risk factors in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas treated within randomized trials of the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Study Group (DSHNHL). *Leukemia*. 2008;22(12):2226–2229.
- Savage KJ, Johnson NA, Ben-Neriah S, et al. MYC gene rearrangements are associated with a poor prognosis in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP chemotherapy. *Blood*. 2009; 114(17):3533–3537.
- Johnson NA, Savage KJ, Ludkovski O, et al. Lymphomas with concurrent BCL2 and MYC translocations: the critical factors associated with survival. *Blood*. 2009;114(11):2273–2279.
- 100. Obermann EC, Csato M, Dirnhofer S, Tzankov A. Aberrations of the MYC gene in unselected cases of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma are rare and unpredictable by morphological or immunohistochemical assessment. J Clin Pathol. 2009;62(8):754–756.
- 101. Barrans S, Crouch S, Smith A, et al. Rearrangement of MYC is associated with poor prognosis in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated in the era of rituximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(20): 3360–3365.
- 102. Akyurek N, Uner A, Benekli M, Barista I. Prognostic significance of MYC, BCL2, and BCL6 rearrangements in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone plus rituximab. *Cancer*. 2012;118(17): 4173–4183.
- Cuccuini W, Briere J, Mounier N, et al. MYC+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma is not salvaged by classical R-ICE or R-DHAP followed by BEAM plus autologous stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2012;119(20): 4619–4624.
- 104. Green TM, Nielsen O, de Stricker K, Xu-Monette ZY, Young KH, Moller MB. High levels of nuclear MYC protein predict the presence of MYC rearrangement in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2012;36(4):612–619.
- 105. Johnson NA, Slack GW, Savage KJ, et al. Concurrent expression of MYC and BCL2 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(28):3452–3459.
- 106. Niitsu N, Okamoto M, Miura I, Hirano M. Clinical features and prognosis of de novo diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with t(14;18) and 8q24/c-MYC translocations. *Leukemia*. 2009;23(4):777–783.
- 107. Green TM, Young KH, Visco C, et al. Immunohistochemical double-hit score is a strong predictor of outcome in patients with diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma treated with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(28): 3460–3467.
- Barrans SL, Evans PA, O'Connor SJ, et al. The t(14;18) is associated with germinal center-derived diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and is a strong predictor of outcome. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9(6):2133–2139.
- 109. Iqbal J, Sanger WG, Horsman DE, et al. BCL2 translocation defines a unique tumor subset within the germinal center B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am J Pathol*. 2004;165(1):159–166.
- Iqbal J, Meyer PN, Smith LM, et al. BCL2 predicts survival in germinal center B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP-like therapy and rituximab. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(24): 7785–7795.
- Schuetz JM, Johnson NA, Morin RD, et al. BCL2 mutations in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(6):1383–1390.
- 112. Iqbal J, Neppalli VT, Wright G, et al. BCL2 expression is a prognostic marker for the activated B-cell-like type of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(6):961–968.

- 113. Obermann EC, Csato M, Dirnhofer S, Tzankov A. BCL2 gene aberration as an IPI-independent marker for poor outcome in non-germinal-centre diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *J Clin Pathol.* 2009;62(10):903–907.
- 114. Colomo L, Lopez-Guillermo A, Perales M, et al. Clinical impact of the differentiation profile assessed by immunophenotyping in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2003;101(1):78–84.
- 115. Maeshima AM, Taniguchi H, Fukuhara S, et al. Bcl-2, Bcl-6, and the International Prognostic Index are prognostic indicators in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab-containing chemotherapy. *Cancer Sci.* 2012;103(10):1898–1904.
- 116. Mounier N, Briere J, Gisselbrecht C, et al. Rituximab plus CHOP (R-CHOP) overcomes bcl-2--associated resistance to chemotherapy in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). *Blood.* 2003;101(11):4279–4284.
- 117. Wilson KS, Sehn LH, Berry B, et al. CHOP-R therapy overcomes the adverse prognostic influence of BCL-2 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2007;48(6):1102–1109.
- Iqbal J, Greiner TC, Patel K, et al. Distinctive patterns of BCL6 molecular alterations and their functional consequences in different subgroups of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leukemia*. 2007;21(11): 2332–2343.
- 119. Pasqualucci L, Migliazza A, Basso K, Houldsworth J, Chaganti RS, Dalla-Favera R. Mutations of the BCL6 proto-oncogene disrupt its negative autoregulation in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2003;101(8):2914–2923.
- 120. Barrans SL, O'Connor SJ, Evans PA, et al. Rearrangement of the BCL6 locus at 3q27 is an independent poor prognostic factor in nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;117(2):322–332.
- 121. Jerkeman M, Aman P, Cavallin-Stahl E, et al. Prognostic implications of BCL6 rearrangement in uniformly treated patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma – a Nordic Lymphoma Group study. *Int J Oncol.* 2002;20(1):161–165.
- 122. Seki R, Ohshima K, Fujisaki T, et al. Prognostic impact of immunohistochemical biomarkers in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *Cancer Sci.* 2009;100(10):1842–1847.
- 123. Uccella S, Placidi C, Marchet S, et al. Bcl-6 protein expression, and not the germinal centre immunophenotype, predicts favourable prognosis in a series of primary nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphomas: a single centre experience. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2008;49(7):1321–1328.
- 124. Winter JN, Weller EA, Horning SJ, et al. Prognostic significance of Bcl-6 protein expression in DLBCL treated with CHOP or R-CHOP: a prospective correlative study. *Blood*. 2006;107(11):4207–4213.
- Ichikawa A, Kinoshita T, Watanabe T, et al. Mutations of the p53 gene as a prognostic factor in aggressive B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337(8):529–534.
- 126. Wilson WH, Teruya-Feldstein J, Fest T, et al. Relationship of p53, bcl-2, and tumor proliferation to clinical drug resistance in non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Blood*. 1997;89(2):601–609.
- 127. Leroy K, Haioun C, Lepage E, et al. p53 gene mutations are associated with poor survival in low and low-intermediate risk diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Ann Oncol.* 2002;13(7):1108–1115.
- 128. Young KH, Weisenburger DD, Dave BJ, et al. Mutations in the DNA-binding codons of TP53, which are associated with decreased expression of TRAILreceptor-2, predict for poor survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2007;110(13):4396–4405.
- 129. Young KH, Leroy K, Moller MB, et al. Structural profiles of TP53 gene mutations predict clinical outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: an international collaborative study. *Blood.* 2008;112(8): 3088–3098.
- Zainuddin N, Berglund M, Wanders A, et al. TP53 mutations predict for poor survival in de novo diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of germinal center subtype. *Leuk Res.* 2009;33(1):60–66.
- Stefancikova L, Moulis M, Fabian P, et al. Prognostic impact of p53 aberrations for R-CHOP-treated patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Int J Oncol.* 2011;39(6):1413–1420.

- 132. Simonitsch-Klupp I, Hauser I, Ott G, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphomas with plasmablastic/plasmacytoid features are associated with TP53 deletions and poor clinical outcome. *Leukemia*. 2004;18(1): 146–155.
- 133. Jardin F, Jais JP, Molina TJ, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphomas with CDKN2A deletion have a distinct gene expression signature and a poor prognosis under R-CHOP treatment: a GELA study. *Blood*. 2010;116(7):1092–1104.
- 134. Salaverria I, Philipp C, Oschlies I, et al. Translocations activating IRF4 identify a subtype of germinal center-derived B-cell lymphoma affecting predominantly children and young adults. *Blood.* 2011;118(1): 139–147.
- Scott DW, Mungall KL, Ben-Neriah S, et al. TBL1XR1/TP63: a novel recurrent gene fusion in B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012; 119(21):4949–4952.
- 136. Alencar AJ, Malumbres R, Kozloski GA, et al. MicroRNAs are independent predictors of outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(12): 4125–4135.
- 137. Montes-Moreno S, Martinez N, Sanchez-Espiridion B, et al. MicroRNA expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with chemoimmunotherapy. *Blood.* 2011;118(4):1034–1040.
- Markovic O, Marisavljevic D, Cemerikic V, et al. Clinical and prognostic significance of apoptotic profile in patients with newly diagnosed nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). *Eur J Haematol*. 2011; 86(3):246–255.
- 139. Mainou-Fowler T, Overman LM, Dignum H, et al. A new subtypespecific monoclonal antibody for IAP-survivin identifies high-risk patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and improves the prognostic value of bcl-2. *Int J Oncol.* 2008;32(1):59–68.
- 140. Hussain AR, Uddin S, Ahmed M, et al. Prognostic significance of XIAP expression in DLBCL and effect of its inhibition on AKT signalling. *J Pathol.* 2010;222(2):180–190.
- 141. Muris JJ, Ylstra B, Cillessen SA, et al. Profiling of apoptosis genes allows for clinical stratification of primary nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;136(1):38–47.
- 142. Saez AI, Saez AJ, Artiga MJ, et al. Building an outcome predictor model for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am J Pathol*. 2004;164(2): 613–622.
- 143. Tzankov A, Gschwendtner A, Augustin F, et al. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with overexpression of cyclin e substantiates poor standard treatment response and inferior outcome. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(7 Pt 1):2125–2132.
- 144. Winter JN, Li S, Aurora V, et al. Expression of p21 protein predicts clinical outcome in DLBCL patients older than 60 years treated with R-CHOP but not CHOP: a prospective ECOG and Southwest Oncology Group correlative study on E4494. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(8): 2435–2442.
- 145. Guney S, Jardin F, Bertrand P, et al. Several mechanisms lead to the inactivation of the CDKN2A (P16), P14 ARF, or CDKN2B (P15) genes in the GCB and ABC molecular DLBCL subtypes. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2012;51(9):858–867.
- 146. Sakane-Ishikawa E, Nakatsuka S, Tomita Y, et al. Prognostic significance of BACH2 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a study of the Osaka Lymphoma Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(31): 8012–8017.
- 147. Jang KY, Hwang SH, Kwon KS, et al. SIRT1 expression is associated with poor prognosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2008;32(10):1523–1531.
- van Galen JC, Muris JJ, Oudejans JJ, et al. Expression of the polycombgroup gene BMI1 is related to an unfavourable prognosis in primary nodal DLBCL. J Clin Pathol. 2007;60(2):167–172.
- 149. Maurer MJ, Micallef IN, Cerhan JR, et al. Elevated serum free light chains are associated with event-free and overall survival in two independent cohorts of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(12):1620–1626.

- 150. Andreadis C, Gimotty PA, Wahl P, et al. Members of the glutathione and ABC-transporter families are associated with clinical outcome in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2007;109(8): 3409–3416.
- 151. Tome ME, Johnson DB, Rimsza LM, et al. A redox signature score identifies diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients with a poor prognosis. *Blood.* 2005;106(10):3594–3601.
- 152. Linderoth J, Eden P, Ehinger M, et al. Genes associated with the tumour microenvironment are differentially expressed in cured versus primary chemotherapy-refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;141(4):423–432.
- 153. Jais JP, Haioun C, Molina TJ, et al. The expression of 16 genes related to the cell of origin and immune response predicts survival in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP and rituximab. *Leukemia*. 2008;22(10):1917–1924.
- Alizadeh AA, Gentles AJ, Alencar AJ, et al. Prediction of survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma based on the expression of 2 genes reflecting tumor and microenvironment. *Blood*. 2011;118(5):1350–1358.
- 155. Kohrt HE, Houot R, Goldstein MJ, et al. CD137 stimulation enhances the antilymphoma activity of anti-CD20 antibodies. *Blood*. 2011;117(8):2423–2432.
- 156. Habermann TM, Wang SS, Maurer MJ, et al. Host immune gene polymorphisms in combination with clinical and demographic factors predict late survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients in the pre-rituximab era. *Blood*. 2008;112(7):2694–2702.
- 157. Lech-Maranda E, Baseggio L, Charlot C, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in the proximal IL-10 promoter and susceptibility to non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2007;48(11):2235–2238.
- 158. Berglund M, Thunberg U, Roos G, Rosenquist R, Enblad G. The interleukin-10 gene promoter polymorphism (-1082) does not correlate with clinical outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. 2005;105(12):4894–4895; author reply 4895.
- 159. Kim MK, Suh C, Chi HS, et al. VEGFA and VEGFR2 genetic polymorphisms and survival in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2012;103(3):497–503.
- 160. Schoof N, von Bonin F, Zeynalova S, et al. Favorable impact of the interleukin-4 receptor allelic variant 175 on the survival of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients demonstrated in a large prospective clinical trial. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(9):1548–1554.
- 161. Chae YS, Kim JG, Sohn SK, et al. Lymphotoxin alfa and receptorinteracting protein kinase 1 gene polymorphisms may correlate with prognosis in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2010;65(3):571–577.
- 162. Miller TP, Lippman SM, Spier CM, Slymen DJ, Grogan TM. HLA-DR (Ia) immune phenotype predicts outcome for patients with diffuse large cell lymphoma. *J Clin Invest*. 1988;82(1):370–372.
- 163. Chang KC, Huang GC, Jones D, Lin YH. Distribution patterns of dendritic cells and T cells in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas correlate with prognoses. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(22 Pt 1):6666–6672.
- 164. Rimsza LM, Roberts RA, Miller TP, et al. Loss of MHC class II gene and protein expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma is related to decreased tumor immunosurveillance and poor patient survival regardless of other prognostic factors: a follow-up study from the Leukemia and Lymphoma Molecular Profiling Project. *Blood.* 2004;103(11): 4251–4258.
- 165. Stopeck AT, Gessner A, Miller TP, et al. Loss of B7.2 (CD86) and intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (CD54) expression is associated with decreased tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes in diffuse B-cell large-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000;6(10):3904–3909.
- 166. Challa-Malladi M, Lieu YK, Califano O, et al. Combined genetic inactivation of beta2-Microglobulin and CD58 reveals frequent escape from immune recognition in diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;20(6):728–740.
- 167. Lech-Maranda E, Bienvenu J, Michallet AS, et al. Elevated IL-10 plasma levels correlate with poor prognosis in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Eur Cytokine Netw.* 2006;17(1):60–66.

- 168. Lech-Maranda E, Bienvenu J, Broussais-Guillaumot F, et al. Plasma TNF-alpha and IL-10 level-based prognostic model predicts outcome of patients with diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma in different risk groups defined by the International Prognostic Index. *Arch Immunol Ther Exp* (*Warsz*). 2010;58(2):131–141.
- 169. Goto N, Tsurumi H, Kasahara S, et al. Serum interleukin-18 level is associated with the outcome of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP or R-CHOP regimens. *Eur J Haematol.* 2011;87(3):217–227.
- Ennishi D, Yokoyama M, Terui Y, et al. Soluble interleukin-2 receptor retains prognostic value in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma receiving rituximab plus CHOP (RCHOP) therapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2009; 20(3):526–533.
- 171. Goto N, Tsurumi H, Goto H, et al. Serum soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R) level is associated with the outcome of patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP regimens. *Ann Hematol.* 2012;91(5):705–714.
- 172. Cox MC, Nofroni I, Laverde G, et al. Absolute lymphocyte count is a prognostic factor in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;141(2):265–268.
- 173. Feng J, Wang Z, Guo X, Chen Y, Cheng Y, Tang Y. Prognostic significance of absolute lymphocyte count at diagnosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a meta-analysis. *Int J Hematol.* 2012;95(2):143–148.
- 174. Plonquet A, Haioun C, Jais JP, et al. Peripheral blood natural killer cell count is associated with clinical outcome in patients with aaIPI 2–3 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18(7):1209–1215.
- 175. Porrata LF, Ristow K, Habermann TM, et al. Absolute monocyte/ lymphocyte count prognostic score is independent of immunohistochemically determined cell of origin in predicting survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2012;53(11):2159–2165.
- 176. Wilcox RA, Ristow K, Habermann TM, et al. The absolute monocyte and lymphocyte prognostic score predicts survival and identifies highrisk patients in diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(9): 1502–1509.
- 177. Tadmor T, Fell R, Polliack A, Attias D. Absolute monocytosis at diagnosis correlates with survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphomapossible link with monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Hematol Oncol.* Epub June 20, 2012.
- Mittal S, Marshall NA, Duncan L, Culligan DJ, Barker RN, Vickers MA. Local and systemic induction of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell population by non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2008;111(11): 5359–5370.
- 179. Gordon S, Martinez FO. Alternative activation of macrophages: mechanism and functions. *Immunity*. 2010;32(5):593–604.
- Martinez FO, Gordon S, Locati M, Mantovani A. Transcriptional profiling of the human monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and polarization: new molecules and patterns of gene expression. *J Immunol.* 2006;177(10):7303–7311.
- Gordon S, Taylor PR. Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2005;5(12):953–964.
- Murdoch C, Muthana M, Coffelt SB, Lewis CE. The role of myeloid cells in the promotion of tumour angiogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008;8(8):618–631.
- 183. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. *Nature*. 2008;454(7203):436–444.
- Ojalvo LS, King W, Cox D, Pollard JW. High-density gene expression analysis of tumor-associated macrophages from mouse mammary tumors. *Am J Pathol.* 2009;174(3):1048–1064.
- Mantovani A, Sozzani S, Locati M, Allavena P, Sica A. Macrophage polarization: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes. *Trends Immunol.* 2002;23(11):549–555.
- Aderem A, Underhill DM. Mechanisms of phagocytosis in macrophages. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 1999;17:593–623.
- 187. Becker S, Daniel EG. Antagonistic and additive effects of IL-4 and interferon-gamma on human monocytes and macrophages: effects on Fc receptors, HLA-D antigens, and superoxide production. *Cell Immunol.* 1990;129(2):351–362.

- Stein M, Keshav S, Harris N, Gordon S. Interleukin 4 potently enhances murine macrophage mannose receptor activity: a marker of alternative immunologic macrophage activation. *J Exp Med.* 1992;176(1): 287–292.
- Sinha P, Clements VK, Miller S, Ostrand-Rosenberg S. Tumor immunity: a balancing act between T cell activation, macrophage activation and tumor-induced immune suppression. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2005;54(11):1137–1142.
- Flavell RA, Sanjabi S, Wrzesinski SH, Licona-Limon P. The polarization of immune cells in the tumour environment by TGFbeta. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2010;10(8):554–567.
- 191. Sica A, Saccani A, Bottazzi B, et al. Autocrine production of IL-10 mediates defective IL-12 production and NF-kappa B activation in tumor-associated macrophages. *J Immunol.* 2000;164(2):762–767.
- Wong SC, Puaux AL, Chittezhath M, et al. Macrophage polarization to a unique phenotype driven by B cells. *Eur J Immunol*. 2010;40(8): 2296–2307.
- 193. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol.* 2004;25(12):677–686.
- 194. Martinez FO, Sica A, Mantovani A, Locati M. Macrophage activation and polarization. *Front Biosci*. 2008;13:453–461.
- Biswas SK, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and interaction with lymphocyte subsets: cancer as a paradigm. *Nat Immunol*. 2010;11(10): 889–896.
- 196. Vacca A, Ribatti D, Ruco L, et al. Angiogenesis extent and macrophage density increase simultaneously with pathological progression in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Br J Cancer*. 1999;79(5–6): 965–970.
- 197. Hasselblom S, Hansson U, Sigurdardottir M, Nilsson-Ehle H, Ridell B, Andersson PO. Expression of CD68+ tumor-associated macrophages in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and its relation to prognosis. *Pathol Int.* 2008;58(8):529–532.
- 198. Cai QC, Liao H, Lin SX, et al. High expression of tumor-infiltrating macrophages correlates with poor prognosis in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Med Oncol.* 2012;29(4):2317–2322.
- 199. Wada N, Zaki MA, Hori Y, et al. Tumour-associated macrophages in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a study of the Osaka Lymphoma Study Group. *Histopathology*. 2012;60(2):313–319.
- 200. Ruan J, Hyjek E, Kermani P, et al. Magnitude of stromal hemangiogenesis correlates with histologic subtype of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(19):5622–5631.
- 201. Tzankov A, Heiss S, Ebner S, et al. Angiogenesis in nodal B cell lymphomas: a high throughput study. J Clin Pathol. 2007;60(5): 476–482.
- 202. Gratzinger D, Zhao S, Marinelli RJ, et al. Microvessel density and expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma subtypes. *Am J Pathol.* 2007;170(4): 1362–1369.
- 203. Cardesa-Salzmann TM, Colomo L, Gutierrez G, et al. High microvessel density determines a poor outcome in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab plus chemotherapy. *Haematologica*. 2011;96(7):996–1001.
- 204. Evens AM, Sehn LH, Farinha P, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 {alpha} expression predicts superior survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(6):1017–1024.
- 205. Meyer PN, Fu K, Greiner T, et al. The stromal cell marker SPARC predicts for survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2011;135(1):54–61.
- Perry AM, Cardesa-Salzmann TM, Meyer PN, et al. A new biologic prognostic model based on immunohistochemistry predicts survival in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012;120(11): 2290–2296.
- 207. Muris JJ, Meijer CJ, Cillessen SA, et al. Prognostic significance of activated cytotoxic T-lymphocytes in primary nodal diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Leukemia*. 2004;18(3):589–596.

- 208. Hasselblom S, Sigurdadottir M, Hansson U, Nilsson-Ehle H, Ridell B, Andersson PO. The number of tumour-infiltrating TIA-1+ cytotoxic T cells but not FOXP3+ regulatory T cells predicts outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;137(4):364–373.
- 209. Tzankov A, Meier C, Hirschmann P, Went P, Pileri SA, Dirnhofer S. Correlation of high numbers of intratumoral FOXP3+ regulatory T cells with improved survival in germinal center-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma and classical Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Haematologica*. 2008;93(2):193–200.
- 210. Saez AI, Garcia-Cosio M, Saez AJ, et al. Identification of biological markers of sensitivity to high-clinical-risk-adapted therapy for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2009;50(4): 571–581.
- 211. Lee NR, Song EK, Jang KY, et al. Prognostic impact of tumor infiltrating FOXP3 positive regulatory T cells in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma at diagnosis. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2008;49(2):247–256.
- 212. Andorsky DJ, Yamada RE, Said J, Pinkus GS, Betting DJ, Timmerman JM. Programmed death ligand 1 is expressed by nonhodgkin lymphomas and inhibits the activity of tumor-associated T cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(13):4232–4244.
- Hedstrom G, Berglund M, Molin D, et al. Mast cell infiltration is a favourable prognostic factor in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2007;138(1):68–71.
- Cartron G, Dacheux L, Salles G, et al. Therapeutic activity of humanized anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody and polymorphism in IgG Fc receptor FcgammaRIIIa gene. *Blood*. 2002;99(3):754–758.
- 215. Mossner E, Brunker P, Moser S, et al. Increasing the efficacy of CD20 antibody therapy through the engineering of a new type II anti-CD20 antibody with enhanced direct and immune effector cell-mediated B-cell cytotoxicity. *Blood.* 2010;115(22):4393–4402.
- Lim SH, Vaughan AT, Ashton-Key M, et al. Fc gamma receptor IIb on target B cells promotes rituximab internalization and reduces clinical efficacy. *Blood*. 2011;118(9):2530–2540.
- Beers SA, French RR, Chan HT, et al. Antigenic modulation limits the efficacy of anti-CD20 antibodies: implications for antibody selection. *Blood.* 2010;115(25):5191–5201.
- Yang Y, Shaffer AL 3rd, Emre NC, et al. Exploiting synthetic lethality for the therapy of ABC diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2012;21(6):723–737.
- Ruan J, Martin P, Furman RR, et al. Bortezomib plus CHOP-rituximab for previously untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(6):690–697.
- 220. Ribrag V, Gisselbrecht C, Haioun C, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of 2 schedules of frontline rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone plus bortezomib in patients with B-cell lymphoma: a randomized Phase 2 trial from the French Adult Lymphoma Study Group (GELA). *Cancer*. 2009;115(19):4540–4546.
- 221. Davis RE, Ngo VN, Lenz G, et al. Chronic active B-cell-receptor signalling in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Nature*. 2010;463(7277): 88–92.
- 222. Chen L, Monti S, Juszczynski P, et al. SYK-dependent tonic B-cell receptor signaling is a rational treatment target in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2008;111(4):2230–2237.
- Cheng S, Coffey G, Zhang XH, et al. SYK inhibition and response prediction in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2011;118(24): 6342–6352.
- 224. Naylor TL, Tang H, Ratsch BA, et al. Protein kinase C inhibitor sotrastaurin selectively inhibits the growth of CD79 mutant diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(7):2643–2653.
- 225. Kloo B, Nagel D, Pfeifer M, et al. Critical role of PI3K signaling for NF-kappaB-dependent survival in a subset of activated B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011; 108(1):272–277.
- 226. Friedberg JW, Sharman J, Sweetenham J, et al. Inhibition of Syk with fostamatinib disodium has significant clinical activity in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2010;115(13): 2578–2585.

- 227. Robertson MJ, Kahl BS, Vose JM, et al. Phase II study of enzastaurin, a protein kinase C beta inhibitor, in patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(13): 1741–1746.
- 228. Gupta M, Han JJ, Stenson M, et al. Elevated serum IL-10 levels in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a mechanism of aberrant JAK2 activation. *Blood*. 2012;119(12):2844–2853.
- 229. Gupta M, Han JJ, Stenson M, Wellik L, Witzig TE. Regulation of STAT3 by histone deacetylase-3 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: implications for therapy. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(6):1356–1364.
- Liu Y, Deng J, Wang L, et al. S1PR1 is an effective target to block STAT3 signaling in activated B cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012;120(7):1458–1465.
- 231. Pro B, Leber B, Smith M, et al. Phase II multicenter study of oblimersen sodium, a Bcl-2 antisense oligonucleotide, in combination with rituximab in patients with recurrent B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;143(3):355–360.
- 232. Juszczynski P, Chen L, O'Donnell E, et al. BCL6 modulates tonic BCR signaling in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas by repressing the SYK phosphatase, PTPROt. *Blood*. 2009;114(26):5315–5321.
- Cerchietti LC, Polo JM, Da Silva GF, et al. Sequential transcription factor targeting for diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(9):3361–3369.
- 234. Shaffer AL 3rd, Young RM, Staudt LM. Pathogenesis of human B cell lymphomas. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2012;30:565–610.
- 235. Galustian C, Meyer B, Labarthe MC, et al. The anti-cancer agents lenalidomide and pomalidomide inhibit the proliferation and function of T regulatory cells. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2009;58(7): 1033–1045.
- 236. Ramsay AG, Clear AJ, Kelly G, et al. Follicular lymphoma cells induce T-cell immunologic synapse dysfunction that can be repaired with lenalidomide: implications for the tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy. *Blood.* 2009;114(21):4713–4720.
- 237. Wu L, Adams M, Carter T, et al. Lenalidomide enhances natural killer cell and monocyte-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity of rituximab-treated CD20+ tumor cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(14):4650–4657.
- Breitkreutz I, Raab MS, Vallet S, et al. Lenalidomide inhibits osteoclastogenesis, survival factors and bone-remodeling markers in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. 2008;22(10):1925–1932.
- Wiernik PH, Lossos IS, Tuscano JM, et al. Lenalidomide monotherapy in relapsed or refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(30):4952–4957.
- Witzig TE, Vose JM, Zinzani PL, et al. An international Phase II trial of single-agent lenalidomide for relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22(7):1622–1627.
- 241. Zinzani PL, Pellegrini C, Gandolfi L, et al. Combination of lenalidomide and rituximab in elderly patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a Phase 2 trial. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2011;11(6):462–466.
- 242. Hernandez-Ilizaliturri FJ, Deeb G, Zinzani PL, et al. Higher response to lenalidomide in relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in nongerminal center B-cell-like than in germinal center B-cell-like phenotype. *Cancer*. 2011;117(22):5058–5066.
- 243. Singh RR, Kim JE, Davuluri Y, et al. Hedgehog signaling pathway is activated in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and contributes to tumor cell survival and proliferation. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(5):1025–1036.
- Singh RR, Kunkalla K, Qu C, et al. ABCG2 is a direct transcriptional target of hedgehog signaling and involved in stroma-induced drug tolerance in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Oncogene*. 2011;30(49): 4874–4886.
- 245. Cheson BD, Bartlett NL, Vose JM, et al. A Phase II study of the survivin suppressant YM155 in patients with refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Cancer*. 2012;118(12):3128–3134.
- Gomez-Abad C, Pisonero H, Blanco-Aparicio C, et al. PIM2 inhibition as a rational therapeutic approach in B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2011; 118(20):5517–5527.

### **Current Biomarker Findings**

### Publish your work in this journal

Current Biomarker Findings is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal publishing original research, reports, reviews and commentaries on all areas of biomarker research. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/current-biomarker-findings-journal

34

peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.