Abstract: A woman’s age at menarche (first menstrual period) and her age at menopause are the alpha and omega of her reproductive years. The timing of these milestones is critical for a woman’s health trajectory over her lifespan, as they are indicators of ovarian function and aging. Both early and late timing of either event are associated with risk for adverse health and psychosocial outcomes. Thus, the search for a relationship between age at menarche and menopause has consequences for chronic disease prevention and implications for public health.

This article is a review of evidence from the fields of developmental biology, epidemiology, nutrition, demography, sociology, and psychology that examine the menarche–menopause connection. Trends in ages at menarche and menopause worldwide and in subpopulations are presented; however, challenges exist in constructing trends. Among 36 studies that examine the association between the two sentinel events, ten reported a significant direct association, two an inverse association, and the remainder had null findings. Multiple factors, including hormonal and environmental exposures, socioeconomic status, and stress throughout the life course are hypothesized to influence the tempo of growth, including body size and height, development, menarche, menopause, and the aging process in women. The complexity of these factors and the pathways related to their effects on each sentinel event complicate evaluation of the relationship between menarche and menopause. Limitations of past investigations are discussed, including lack of comparability of socioeconomic status indicators and biomarker use across studies, while minority group differences have received scant attention. Suggestions for future directions are proposed. As research across endocrinology, epidemiology, and the social sciences becomes more integrated, the confluence of perspectives will yield a richer understanding of the influences on the tempo of a woman’s reproductive life cycle as well as accelerate progress toward more sophisticated preventive strategies for chronic disease.
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Introduction

In 2010, 62 million women of childbearing age (ie, 15–44 years) were reported in the United States.¹ An estimated 6000 American women aged 40 to 59 years reach menopause daily with a minimum of another 20 years of life expectancy.² The age at menopause marks the final step in ovarian aging, while the age at menarche indicates the onset of the female reproductive cycle; however, the life course of oocytes begins in utero.³ The interval between the age at menarche and age at menopause is the length of a woman’s reproductive years and this is associated with risk for chronic disease and life expectancy as well as having implications for population structure and dynamics. Understanding whether there is a relationship between age at menarche and age at menopause, the magnitude and direction of this relationship, and the factors influencing...
the relationship might lead to preventive strategies for chronic disease and improvement in quality of life.

Menarche is the event signaling the onset of the female reproductive cycle. Mounting evidence has established the significance of menarche as both a footprint for chronic disease risk and compass for health and developmental trajectory. Adverse effects from an earlier age at menarche include risk for premature death, breast, and endometrial cancers (Table 1).4–7 The risk for these cancers is partially a function of the number of ovulatory cycles, the length of each cycle, and person-years of menstrual cycles that contribute to the cumulative exposure of breast cells to endogenous steroid hormones like estrogens over the life course.7 Earlier age at menarche is associated with risk for depression, cardiovascular disease (CVD) and metabolic syndrome (including overweight/obesity), insulin resistance, and polycystic ovarian syndrome. Poorer school performance and health risk behaviors such as early age of smoking initiation, early sexual activity and teenage pregnancy have been linked with earlier menarche.8,9,13 A recent meta-analysis indicated a small increased risk for endometriosis with early menarche.10 Within the context of the family, early age at menarche is associated with familial conflict, alterations in family structure, stressful home circumstances, and paternal absence in childhood.10–13 Experiencing abuse in early life may have consequences for the timing of menarche. Sexual abuse has a consistent, but physical abuse has an inconsistent, association with early menarche.14–16 In contrast, late age at menarche is associated with depression and lower bone mineral density (BMD).17–20

| Table 1 Behavioral and health risks attributed to early or late timing of reproductive events |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| **Menarche** | **Early** | **Late** |
| • Premature death6 | • Depression12 |
| • Breast and endometrial cancers6–7 | • Lower bone mineral density11–13 |
| • Depression6,11 | | |
| • Cardiovascular disease6–9 | | |
| • Obesity and metabolic syndrome6,10 | | |
| • Early initiation of smoking6 | | |
| • Early first sexual intercourse6,11 | | |
| • Teenage pregnancy12 | • Breast and endometrial cancers14,15 |
| | • Lower BMD, osteoporosis11,12 |
| **Menopause** | | • Longer life expectancy14,15 |
| • Cardiovascular and coronary diseases | | |
| • Lower bone density11,12,41,42 | | |
| • Osteoporosis11,12 | | |
| • Premature death14,15 | | |

Conditions has been associated with late menarche.24,25 Socioeconomic factors and psychosocial stressors have been linked to timing of menarche, but the nature of these associations differs.14,18,19,36,37 Poverty in early childhood is related to a decline in the age at menarche among non-Hispanic white (NHW), but not non-Hispanic black (NHB), girls.38,39 The reasons for an earlier age at menarche in NHB versus NHW girls are the subject of intense investigation into the complex interplay between obesity, biological-genetic, and epigenetic plus endocrine processes and cumulative exposures to disadvantageous environments.38

“Menopause” is the permanent cessation of ovulation and menses – that is, the final menstrual period confirmed by the subsequent 12 consecutive months without a menstrual period.39 It signifies the loss of reproductive capacity and the exact age of menopause is a marker for aging and health. Early age at menopause is associated with increased risk for CVD and coronary disease, lower BMD, and osteoporosis.40–43 In a meta-analysis of menopause and CVD, early menopause (ie, at younger than 50 years) was associated with a 25% increased risk of CVD after adjustment for smoking in eleven studies and for age at menarche in two of a total of twelve studies.43 Yet, the timing of menarche is essential because a woman who experiences early menarche is at higher risk for early initiation of smoking, which is in turn a risk factor for early menopause. For women with this chronological profile, smoking would be on the causal pathway between menarche, menopause, and CVD whereas women without this profile would not have smoking classified as an intermediate variable. This thus illustrates how the chronology of events determines the treatment of covariates in models. Early menopause plus a short number of reproductive years (ie, the interval between the two events) are associated with risk for low BMD.40,41 Cigarette smoking is related to early menopause, shorter reproductive years, and low BMD, yet few studies have addressed these covariates in the same models. Late age at “natural” menopause (ie, self-reported absence of menses for 12 consecutive months not due to radiation, hysterectomy, or drug use) is associated with risk for breast and endometrial cancers but a greater life expectancy and reduced all-cause mortality.43–45 The inverse association between age at menopause and all-cause mortality confers only a 1.6% reduction in risk per 3-year increase in age at menopause after adjustment for age at menarche, body mass index (BMI), and parity.1 In addition to health effects from the age at each sentinel event, the interval between the two events – the number of reproductive years – has implications for health; notably, a short interval due to late menarche and early menopause is associated with risks for CVD, metabolic.
disorders, low BMD, and fractures, while a long interval due to an early menarche and late menopause is related to risk for hormonal cancers (Table 2).46,47

Menopause occurs naturally in most women; however, it may result from a bilateral oophorectomy, referred to as “surgical menopause,” or be chemically induced by cancer treatment. Under either condition, the age at induced menopause has implications for risk of chronic disease (other than the cancer associated with chemical treatments), aging, and quality of life. Hormone therapy can also alter the course of and disease risk associated with age at menopause. Further, women who undergo natural menopause within a period that closely matches the tendency of their age cohort may misreport their age at menopause (ie, introduce recall bias), which may lead to error in the generation of the “true” statistical presence of this event.48 Thus, it is challenging to identify trends in and the percentage of women who experience natural menopause in a modern population.

An understanding of the relationship between the ages at menarche and menopause may lead to an exploration of underlying mechanisms related to follicular atresia, fertility, and disease across the life course. Yet, the area under the curve for all girls who experience menarche for the first time in a year does not predict which of them will experience a natural, chemical, or surgical menopause from among all women of reproductive age.

This paper is written from the perspective of our two fields—life-course epidemiology and demography. The objectives of this article are to (1) describe follicular development and aging and trends in the age at menarche and menopause; (2) review the state of the science of the association between the two sentinel events, the factors linking ages at menarche, and menopause; and (3) offer recommendations for future research.

What do we know?

Ovarian development in utero and the maintenance of primordial oocytes throughout childhood are essential for ovulation and reproduction. During fetal development, there are 6–7 million primordial follicles, most of which undergo apoptosis (cell death) that peaks in the midtrimester of pregnancy before the female baby is delivered.49 After birth, follicles must remain in the primordial state for several years with support from the surrounding environment. Many do not become or remain arrested but initiate growth or undergo apoptosis in prepubertal life, so fewer survive until menarche. Importantly, the surviving oocytes constitute a nonrenewable pool that partially determines the reproductive capacity of the woman.49,50 With increasing reproductive years, decreasing numbers of follicles coincide with diminished oocyte quality and dictate gradual changes in menstrual cycle regularity and monthly fecundity (number of children born per woman). The rate of oocyte decline accelerates from approximately 38 years onward and the rate of follicle loss increases as women age. The estimated numbers of oocytes are: 1–2 million at birth, 300,000–400,000 by menarche, ≥400 during reproductive years that reach the ovulatory stage, and <1000 at menopause.50,51 The factors influencing selective survival of oocytes over the life course are unclear and are hypothesized to include: genetic variants in, for example, Bcl-2 and Bax; epigenetic alterations, including DNA methylation and histone modifications; accumulated damage to oocytes over the life course from smoking and other exogenous factors; parity; chronic inflammatory states like obesity; and age-related changes in the quality of the granulosa cells surrounding the follicles and in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis.49,52,53 In summary, the rate of follicular atresia over the life course differs by developmental stage, but the factors influencing follicular cell death or, conversely, evolutionary conservation of follicles require further exploration.

Menarche is the event that signifies the onset of oocyte functioning, and its variability in timing is evident from the range in age at menarche across and within populations.54,55 It is now known that the menstrual cycle is under genetic and epigenetic regulation in tandem with the HPA axis.52,53 Meta-analyses of large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified about 50 candidate genes for the trait, some of which differ by ethnicity. Most GWAS, however, are based on research in NHW.56,57 With each menstrual cycle, the endometrium undergoes cyclic changes in morphology and function involving growth, differentiation, and regression.58 Communication between the HPA and hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axes, the cyclic epigenetic alterations and expression of genes, and biosystemic conditions such as obesity and metabolic disease determine female

Table 2 Duration of reproductive years associated with health risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short interval</th>
<th>Long interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premature death*</td>
<td>Breast and endometrial cancers*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity and metabolic syndrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bone mineral density</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers provided as estimates.
fecundity, influence ovarian aging, and have implications for long-term survival.51,59 Maternal age at menarche and daughters’ age at menarche are positively correlated, but the magnitude of the correlation has decreased over time.60,61 The decline in the mother–daughter correlation points to the growing importance of environmental factors or gene–environment interactions.62,63 Ethnic-group variation in the declining age at menarche is another marker for the potential effect of environmental influences.64,65 Thus, a myriad set of factors including genes, epigenetic alterations, and endogenous and exogenous environmental exposures interact cumulatively over the life course and have implications for trends in the age at menarche.

Menopause is the final step in the process of ovarian aging. Biomarkers of ovarian aging include age-related levels of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), anti-Müllerian hormone, and inhibins A and B. Cycle irregularity is an indicator of the menopausal transition, as illustrated by frequency of lengthened cycles or missed periods, while the perimenopause includes the year following the final menstrual bleed.51 The menopausal transition occurs on average at 46 years with a range from 34–54 years, while the average age of menopause is 51 years with a range from 40–60 years.51 The large variation in menopausal age represents the variability in ovarian aging.51 Yet, underlying causes of subfertility do not predispose a woman to an earlier age at menopause.66 Thus, the life course of follicles begins in utero, with unknown survival mechanisms to menarche, followed by repetitive cyclic follicle recruitment, single dominant follicle selection, ovulation, the formation of a corpus luteum, leading either to fertilization and implantation or regression, endometrial shedding, and menses, and ends with age-related increase in follicular atresia until menopause.

Maternal age at menopause and daughters’ age at menopause are correlated, but the magnitude is not as high as the correlation for menarche. Secular trends in use of hormonal preparations for menopausal symptoms that delay or limit the ability to detect the age at menopause might reduce the true correlation. Other covariates that might affect the relationship include: the obesity epidemic and cohort-specific exposures to cigarette smoking by the woman and in her environment. Estimates of the heritability factor range from 44%–72% for menarche and 44%–63% for menopause.67–70 Recent GWAS of age at menarche and at natural menopause have been conducted primarily in NHW women, with a replication of the NHW loci in Hispanic women; several genes are associated with the length of reproductive years.71,72 All results so far explain a small proportion of the variation in the age at menarche and at menopause. Future explorations may require large sample sizes to examine gene–environment interactions such as the interaction between alcohol, smoking, parity, and length of breast-feeding with variants of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene on the timing of menarche and menopause.73

**Trends in age at menarche**

For decades, the average age at menarche has declined in populations across Europe, North America, and other economically wealthy regions, but recent evidence indicates the trend has begun to stabilize for certain, not all, ethnic groups.74 The research has largely been conducted among NHW girls, with more recent data from Hispanic and NHB populations.64,65,75,76 Most trends are based on cross-sectional surveys in the USA, Canada, and Britain. Based on data from the US National Health Interview Survey of 1966 and National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys of 1988–1994 and 1999–2002, the mean age at menarche declined from 12.80 to 12.57 to 12.52 and from 12.90 to 12.09 to 12.06 years in NHWs and NHBs, respectively (Figure 1).

These trends for NHWs and NHBs reflect a decline followed by a leveling off of the average age at menarche. In contrast, the average age at menarche in Hispanics declined from 12.20 to 12.09 years from 1988–1994 to 1999–2002.64,77 The New York Collaborative Perinatal Project (CPP) of girls born in 1959–1966 did not detect a difference in the ethnic group-specific mean age at menarche, whereas, a more recent National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute cohort study of girls born 1977–1979 reported more NHB girls had reached menarche by age 12 than NHW.36,37 A higher BMI was consistently associated with earlier age at menarche in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys of 1988–1994 to 1999–2002 across ethnicities.77,78 Analysis of data from a largely NHW Canadian population indicated the mean age of menarche declined from 13.2 years for those born before 1933 to 12.6 years for those born 1986–1990.79 In the same survey, a 1-year increase in age at menarche was associated with a decrease in mean BMI of approximately 0.5 kg/m². The average age at menarche in a large British cohort declined from 13.5 years among NHW women born 1908–1919 to 12.6 years among women born 1945–1949.80

**Trends in age at menopause**

Beginning with the work of Sanes in 1918, researchers have reported trends in the age at menopause at international, national, and local levels but consistently dwell on caveats that limit the ability to detect a trend.81–83 For example, the World
Health Organization reported an average age at menopause in the 1990s of 51 years in industrialized countries. Based on interviews from 1979–1988 in eleven countries, the median age of 2949 of 18,997 women who experienced natural menopause ranged from 49 to 51 years, with a lower limit of 40 years. Of note, participants were hospitalized for non-gynecologic or non-obstetric reasons and were controls compared with cases in a study of female cancers.

The study of a 1946 British birth cohort revealed that the cohort had a mean age of 50.5 years and a median of 50 years and 11 months but only 695 of 2547 women experienced natural menopause according to the data analysis. Among 444 American women born 1908–1922 who reported menstrual histories from the 1930s to the 1970s, the median age at menopause was 50.5 years, with 75% reaching menopause by 52.4 years and 95% by 54.7 years.

Timing of menopause among racial and/or ethnic groups has not been well documented. One of the largest sources is the Multiethnic Cohort Study of 95,000 women aged 45–74 years in 1993–1996, who are being followed to date. Race/ethnicity was strongly associated with age at natural menopause independent of smoking, age at menarche, parity, and BMI. Notably, compared to NHWs, natural menopause occurred earlier in both US- and non-US-born Latinas, later among Japanese-Americans, but did not differ among NHBs and NHWs. In an analysis of factors influencing entry into stages of the menopausal transition, NHB women entered the transition earlier than NHW women, but race was not associated with entry into late transition stages.

Also, women with higher estradiol levels entered the transition earlier than those with lower levels. This mimics the cyclic fluctuation of estradiol according to race, whereby NHBs have higher estradiol levels than NHWs.

Trends in the age at menopause were reported in a pooled analysis of female controls aged \( \geq 60 \) born 1910–1969 from three population-based case-control studies in the USA. The average age at natural menopause for those born 1910–1914 was 49.9 years. In subsequent 5-year birth cohorts (1915–1919, 1920–1924, 1925–1929, 1930–1934, and 1935–1939), the mean age at menopause was 49.1, 49.5, 50.1, and 50.5 years. A 17-month decline in age at menarche, by ethnicity.

**Abbreviations:** NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys; NHB, non-Hispanic black; NHES, National Health Examination Survey (1963–1970); NHW, non-Hispanic white.
Increase was observed in the mean age for those born 1915–1939 (mean 49.9 vs 50.5 years \(P = 0.0001\)) after adjustment for state of residence, smoking, education, parity, age at last birth, height, and BMI. \(^9^0\) For women in this study born between 1911–1925, women in the 1911–1915 cohort had earlier ages at menopause than women born in later years within that time span \(P = 0.002\). \(^9^1\) These women were participants in a breast cancer screening project, but the menopause study was restricted to women who never took oral contraceptives, were married, had at least one child, and were screened in 1984. The kappa for reliability for age at natural menopause was reported in two studies to be 0.82 and 0.88. \(^9^0,9^2\) In a 1989 cross-sectional study of Finnish women, the mean age of menopause was 51.7 years, while earlier Finnish data revealed means of 47.9 in 1897, 48.4 in 1915, 48.5 in 1921, and 49.8 in 1961. These data were not tested for significance in trend. \(^9^2\) A highly significant positive trend in age at natural menopause was reported in Swedish women born 1908–1930 with interviews in 2000–2002. \(^9^3\) Based on two prospective cohort studies in nine European countries, data were collected on age at menopause (ie, the date of the final menstruation); FSH, luteinizing hormone, and estradiol concentrations confirmed self-reported menopausal status in a sub-cohort. The age at natural menopause increased with increasing birth year, yet, there was significant heterogeneity across the countries, indicating country-specific factors influencing the differences in age at menopause. \(^9^4\) Illustrative population-based studies that did not detect a trend in the age at menopause include Kaczmarek’\'s in Poland, \(^9^5\) Burch and Gunz\'s in New Zealand, \(^9^6\) and Stadel and Weiss’ in the USA. \(^9^7\)

Establishing a trend in the age at menopause is challenging due to small sample sizes, a selective or nonrepresentative population sources, and inconsistent definitions for menopause across studies that range from self-definition to use of biomarkers plus reported absence of menses for 12 consecutive months. Interestingly, two studies that examined whether inclusion of women with surgical menopause altered the age-specific trend in menopause did not find any effect from their inclusion in the trend analysis. \(^9^8,9^9\) Other issues include: limited use of biomarkers such as FSH levels to confirm menopausal status and use of censored data when subsets of a cohort, but not all members, had experienced menopause. Data may be biased if recalled by elderly women or by women hospitalized under unfavorable circumstances. Moreover, the test of trend period ranged from a few years to over 100 years. \(^9^6\)

**Association of age at menarche with age at menopause**

In a review of studies with a sample size of \(\geq 100\) participants, no consistent association between age at menarche and age at menopause was observed (Figure 2 and Table 3). Only 12 of 36 studies reported a significant association, with six of these cross-sectional surveys and six cohort studies. \(^8^7,9^3–9^5,10^0–10^7\) All six cohort studies reported a direct association between the age at menarche and menopause. \(^8^7,9^3,9^4,10^2,10^3,10^6\) Of note, only two had prospectively collected data, although one of these \(^10^3\) analyzed age at regular, not first, menses. \(^9^3,10^3\) Of the other four cohort studies, three had censored data sets \(^8^7,9^4,10^2\), and three analyzed baseline data. \(^8^7,9^4,10^6\) Only those who would have experienced an early menopause, and therefore the direct correlation of early menarche and early menopause would more likely appear. \(^10^2\) In a study of Chinese women born 1930–1960, the direct association appeared between late menarche (ie, mean age of menarche of 15.2 years,
Table 3 Association between the age at menarche and age at natural menopause

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, study, country</th>
<th>Population (N)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Menarche: definition/ categories (y)</th>
<th>Menopause: definition</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin (1960), South Africa</td>
<td>NHW, clinical (1000)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Early: &lt;13</td>
<td>12 m post menses</td>
<td>Frequencies (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaszmann et al (1969), The Netherlands (Ede borough)</td>
<td>42–62 y, residents, 71905–1925 (3548)</td>
<td>3, mail questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Probit analysis (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinlay et al (1972), England</td>
<td>45–54 y, clinical (736)</td>
<td>3, mail questionnaire</td>
<td>Early: &lt;13</td>
<td>LMP if post menses &gt; 3 m before study</td>
<td>Frequencies (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magurský et al (1975), Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>38–58 y, residents (6877)</td>
<td>3, interview</td>
<td>&lt;10, 10–12, 12–14, 14–16, 16–18, &gt;18</td>
<td>&gt;1 y post menses</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient between median ages (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand and Lehert (1978), The Netherlands (Ede borough)</td>
<td>40–60 y, residents (3777)</td>
<td>3, mail questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>AID segmentation analysis (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Keep et al (1979), Second report on same borough as Jaszmann et al and Brand and Lehert, The Netherlands (Ede borough)</td>
<td>40–60 y, residents (1196)</td>
<td>3, mail questionnaire</td>
<td>Early: &lt;12</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Inverse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neri et al (1982), Israel</td>
<td>&gt;40 y, Europeans, Africans, Israelis, Arabs (1197)</td>
<td>3, doctor-administered questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>“Last bleeding”</td>
<td>ANOVA – adj for ethnicity</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford et al (1987), BCDPP, USA</td>
<td>NHW control group (3497)</td>
<td>3, interview</td>
<td>&lt;11, 12, 13, &gt;14</td>
<td>LMP if post menses &gt; 3 months before study</td>
<td>Mantel–Cox test (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okonofua et al (1990), Nigeria</td>
<td>44–87 y, Yoruba residents, clinical sample (563)</td>
<td>3, questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>LMP if post menses &gt; 3 months before study</td>
<td>Regression (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whelan et al (1990), Trelca’s study, USA</td>
<td>Multiple age and generation cohorts (561)</td>
<td>1, with &gt;19 y of records</td>
<td>&lt;12, 12–14, &gt;15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parazzini et al (1992), Italy</td>
<td>55–74 y, control group from hospitals (863)</td>
<td>3, interview</td>
<td>≤11, 12–14, &gt;15</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>Univariate trend analysis (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chompootweep et al (1993), Thailand</td>
<td>45–59 y, health-center clients (1327)</td>
<td>3, interview</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bejer et al (1994), Turkey</td>
<td>40–54 y, population based (2074)</td>
<td>3, home interview</td>
<td>≤15, &gt;15</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Difference in means test (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulet et al (1994), Southeast Asia</td>
<td>40–60 y, clinical and resident volunteers in seven countries (2181)</td>
<td>3, questionnaire by interview in six to seven countries</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses Perimenopausal if cycle irregular in last 12 m</td>
<td>LR – adj for parity and median cycle length at age 20–35 y</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torgerson et al (1994), CHI, UK</td>
<td>NHW, 45–49 y, population based (2074)</td>
<td>3, mail questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Univariate trend analysis (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cramer et al (1995), USA</td>
<td>45–54 y, residents, 344 cases and 344 controls (688)</td>
<td>3, home interview</td>
<td>Early: ≤11, 12–13, &gt;14</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses “Early”/bottom vs “late”/top tertiles</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Noord et al (1997), DOM, The Netherlands</td>
<td>Cohort subsample, b1911–1925 (4686)</td>
<td>1, fifth-round questionnaire</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>t-test (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, study, country</th>
<th>Population (N)</th>
<th>Designa</th>
<th>Menarche: definition/ categories (y)</th>
<th>Menopause: definition</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Findingsb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk et al (1998), United Arab Emirates120</td>
<td>&gt;40 y, population based (742)</td>
<td>3, staff-administered questionnaire</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>6 m post menses</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snieder et al (1998), UK69</td>
<td>256 MZ and 269 DZ twin pair volunteers (1050)</td>
<td>3, nurse-administered questionnaire</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>Age at LMP</td>
<td>Pearson r-statistic (no adj)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kato et al (1998), NYU</td>
<td>Subsample of 34–65 y (4694)</td>
<td>1, baseline and follow-up data</td>
<td>≤11, 12, 13, ≥14</td>
<td>6 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Health Study, USA121</td>
<td>Born in 1 week in March 1946, mail questionnaires (1060)</td>
<td>1, five rounds (censored data)</td>
<td>≥11, 12–14, &quot;Late&quot;: ≥15</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for parity, OC use, oophorectomy</td>
<td>Direct (for perimenopause)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardy and Kuh (1999), 1946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC birth cohort, UK102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagata et al (2000), Resident volunteers (1130)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤12, 13–14, 15–16</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takayama Study, Japan103</td>
<td>≥55 y, clinical (4300)</td>
<td>1. baseline data</td>
<td>≥12, 13, 14, ≥15</td>
<td>≥12 m post menses</td>
<td>ANCOVA – adj for BMI, smoking, parity</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meschia et al (2000), ICARUS, Italy122</td>
<td>35–49 y, with natural menopause (1696)</td>
<td>3, interview, questionnaire</td>
<td>8–10, 11–13, 14–15, 16–19</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age, smoking, oophorectomy</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds and Onermeyer (2001), Lebanon124</td>
<td>Cohort members (17,070)</td>
<td>1, mailed questionnaires at two time points</td>
<td>&lt;11, 11, 12–13, 14, ≥15</td>
<td>Cessation of periods (self-report)</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for smoking, parity, OC, education, BMI, oophorectomy, physical activity</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer et al (2003), Black Women’s Health Study, USA125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rödström et al (2003), Gothenburg study, Sweden93</td>
<td>Population based, five birth cohorts (1017)</td>
<td>1, examinations/ questionnaires at five time points</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>12 m post menses</td>
<td>MLR – adj for birth cohort, smoking, parity, BMI, social class, education</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozdemir and Cöl (2004), Turkey104</td>
<td>50–65 y, residents in catchment area (204)</td>
<td>3, in-person interview</td>
<td>Early: ≤13 Late: ≥14</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagel et al (2005), EPIC-Heidelberg, Germany126</td>
<td>Subsample of 35–65 y (5110)</td>
<td>1, questionnaires at two time points</td>
<td>≤11, 12, 13, 14, ≥15</td>
<td>≥12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parazzini (2007), Italy105</td>
<td>&gt;55 y, clinical (31,834)</td>
<td>3, clinical exam, interview</td>
<td>≥11, 12, 13, 14, ≥15</td>
<td>≥12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaczmarek (2007), Cross-national study of health and quality of life in middle-aged women (WOMID), Poland95</td>
<td>35–65 y, volunteers (7183)</td>
<td>3, questionnaire</td>
<td>Early: ≤14 Late: ≥14</td>
<td>≥12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson et al (2008), Multiethnic Cohort Study, USA67</td>
<td>Subsample of 45–74 y, NHHW, NHB, Latinas, Japanese-Americans, Hawaiians (95,704)</td>
<td>1, baseline (censored data)</td>
<td>≤11, 11–12, 13–14, 15–16, ≥17</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for education, usual cycle length, OC, parity, smoking, health status</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Age at Menopause</th>
<th>Menarche Status</th>
<th>Other Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorjgochoo et al (2008), Shanghai Women’s Health Study, China</td>
<td>40–70 y, residents (33,054)</td>
<td>1. baseline data</td>
<td>≤11, 12–13, 14–15, ≥16</td>
<td>LMP if 12 m post menses</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for age, education, occupation, income, marital status, employment, energy intake, weight gain, smoking, physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dratva et al (2009), ECRHS and SAPALDIA, Europe</td>
<td>Random sample of adult populations (5288)</td>
<td>1. questionnaire (censored data)</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>12 m post menses</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>MLR – adj for countries, cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammel et al (2009), Penn Ovarian Aging Studies, USA</td>
<td>35–47 y, population-based (436)</td>
<td>1. questionnaires at ten points</td>
<td>Continuous variable</td>
<td>Five stages of menopausal transition</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Markov transition models (no adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otero et al (2010), Pró-Saúde Study, Brazil</td>
<td>&gt;35 y, university employees (1462)</td>
<td>1. questionnaire</td>
<td>≤11, ≥12</td>
<td>&gt;12 m post menses</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Cox PHM – adj for parity, education, smoking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** 1. cohort; 2. case-control; 3. cross-sectional. “Inverse” indicates early menarche associated with late menopause and/or late menarche associated with early menopause; “direct” indicates early menarche associated with early menopause and/or late menarche associated with late menopause. 

**Abbreviations:** adj, adjusted; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; ANOVA, analysis of variance; b, born; BCDDP, Human Breast Cancer Detection Demonstration Project; BMI, body mass index; CHI, Community Health Index; Cox PHM, Cox proportional hazard model; DOM, Doorlopend Onderzoek Morbidity/Mortality Study; DZ, dizygotic; ECRHS, European Respiratory Health Survey; ICARUS, Italian Climacteric Research Group Study; LMP, last menstrual period; LR, logistic regression; m, month/s; MLR, multivariate linear regression; MZ, monozygotic; Nd, not described; NHB, non-Hispanic black; NHW, non-Hispanic white; no adj, no adjustment in analyses/models; NS, not significant/no association; OC, oral contraceptive; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; SAPALDIA, Swiss Air Pollution and Lung Disease in Adults Cohort; y, year/s.

Life-course origins: menarche and menopause. With 70% reaching menarche at ≥14 years and late menopause (ie, mean age of 49.2 years). This Chinese cohort had a unique environmental exposures and cultural practices that influenced their short number of reproductive years. The six cross-sectional studies did not identify whether menarche and menopause occurred early or late. The search for the association between the ages of menarche and menopause is complex. It is important to recognize that age at menarche is “fairly consistently” not associated with age at menopause, especially after adjustment for other reproductive variables. **References:** 1-18.
puberty, in fecundity, and menopause; exposure to environmental endocrine disruptors; and ethnic variation in pubertal development and in the cyclical fluctuation of hormones that arise from interactions between environmental, genetic, and epigenetic phenomena. All of these factors as well as unknown factors underlying the biological process of follicular atresia over the life course may hamper the detection of an association.

**Exposures across the life course influencing menarche and menopause**

**Hormonal exposures**

Over the life course, exposures to environmental and hormonal factors during the prenatal, postnatal, and adolescent periods have the potential to initiate, promote, and/or alter mammary and ovarian changes that may affect both age at menarche and natural menopause. The effects of in utero exposures to environmental endocrine disruptors in pregnancy and maternal factors during pregnancy have been reported in several studies. During the critical phases of fetal development, these exposures, as illustrated following, can affect the hormonal milieu, triggering changes in the programming of the HPA axis that could result in adverse birth outcomes and subsequent changes in reproductive development. For instance, fetal growth restriction, preterm birth, and low birth weight are associated with lower levels of leptin and adiponectin at birth.

These hormones play key roles in regulating reproductive functions throughout the life cycle. From childhood until the onset of pubertal development, levels of leptin gradually increase with a concurrent decrease in adiponectin. It is believed that leptin’s role in the initiation of reproductive development is permissive: a critical level of leptin may be needed to activate the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis and subsequently maintain reproductive function. The regulating roles of leptin and adiponectin are somewhat complementary; however, faster weight gain in infancy as well as obesity and/or other metabolic disturbances during adolescence and adulthood can disrupt this regulation process.

Women exposed to DES in utero have a slightly increased risk of earlier age at menarche than those unexposed; a dose–response effect has been observed. Similar findings have been reported for age at natural menopause: women exposed to DES were more likely to start menopause almost a year before the unexposed. These findings are consistent with early programming of biological factors controlling age at menarche and menopause.

**Weight gain in pregnancy, birth weight, and growth**

During pregnancy, greater maternal weight gain, lack of physical activity, and gestational diabetes are associated with earlier menarche in girls who are the offspring of these pregnancies and limited studies have reported a slightly earlier menopause among women exposed to similar conditions in utero. Birth weight, length at birth, and Ponderal Index (a measure of obesity in newborns) have been inconsistently associated with timing of menarche and menopause. Several studies have reported an inverse relationship between birth weight and age at, or hormonal markers of, menarche, while others found little to no association. Other findings suggest that low birth weight followed by accelerated growth has a greater impact on age at menarche than birth weight alone; however, the effects of the timing of postnatal growth on the relationship between birth weight and age at menarche are not consistent across studies. Ong et al have reported that accelerated growth during the first 2 years of life plays a crucial role in subsequent weight gain and pubertal development, while others suggest that weight gain or BMI above the fiftieth percentile at different stages in childhood, rather than infancy, has the greatest impact on age at menarche. Most studies have reported little to no association between birth weight and age at menopause except for Tom et al, who found a U-shaped association, with women of low (<2 kg) or high (≥4 kg) birth weight experiencing an earlier natural menopause than those weighing 3.00–3.49 kg at birth. Findings for postnatal growth and age at menopause remain scarce; one study reported a slight inverse association with weight at 2 years, while another reported an earlier menopause among women with low weight at 1 year.

The relationship between prenatal exposure, birth weight, and age at menarche is thought to be related to altered functioning of the HPA axis, while lower age at menopause may be due to reduction in the initial size of the follicular cohort or follicular atresia. Ibáñez et al reported that women who experienced growth restriction in utero or were born with an extremely low birth weight had a reduction in ovarian and uterine size in neonatal life and in adolescence, but no data have been reported for age at menopause.

Few studies are adequately powered to assess the moderating effect of postnatal nutrition and subsequent growth on the relationship between birth weight and age at menarche or
natural menopause. Nevertheless, the predictive adaptive response paradigm presents a framework that explains the interplay of a nutrient-restricted environment followed by a nutrient-rich environment. This paradigm stipulates that a fetus is able to predict the quality of the postnatal environment based on the environment in utero. When the predicted and actual exposures do not match, the child will exhibit adverse phenotypes that may result in increased risk of subsequent disease. For instance, growth-restricted infants born into a nutrient-rich environment tend to experience a faster rate of growth during infancy. Catch-up growth in early infancy is associated with increased risk of childhood obesity, which may be responsible for triggering early pubertal development and subsequent age at menarche.  

Breast-feeding  
Findings suggest that the tempo of growth during infancy can potentially be mitigated by breast-feeding, which is thought to prevent or delay the tempo of growth during this period. Two recent studies have reported evidence suggesting a positive association between breast-feeding and age at menarche, while others have not. Al-Sahab et al reported a 6% reduction in age at menarche for each additional month of exclusive breast-feeding in a Filipino population, while Morris et al found a significant increase in age at menarche among British girls who were breast-fed compared with those who were formula-fed. Although a few studies have reported an earlier age at menopause among women who were ever breast-fed or breast-fed for >7 months, the long-term protective effect of breast-feeding remains largely unknown. Methodological challenges from differential assessment of breast-feeding across studies, temporal ordering of the exposure–outcome relationship, and adjustment of time-varying covariates during the life course make it difficult to assess the long-term protection conferred by breast-feeding.  

Body mass/obesity  
A 2005–2006 World Health Organization cross-national health behavior survey across 29 countries had a standardized questionnaire administered to 15,783 15-year-old girls about age at menarche, weight, height, and socioeconomic status (SES), the latter of which used a validated summary index based on the number of cars and computers owned, family holidays per year, and whether one’s bedroom was shared. Age at menarche was inversely associated with BMI and directly associated with individual-level family affluence after adjustment for a cluster sampling design and country prevalence of overweight. In the USA, however, the relationship between SES and overweight for girls is race specific: in NHWs there are similar SES gradients in overweight and age at menarche, but, in NHW girls, there is an inverse social gradient in overweight but no relationship between SES and menarche. Across multiple studies, obesity or overweight is positively associated with age at menopause, with comparable cut-offs for BMI. These studies largely rely on BMI measurements proximal to the endpoint rather than on reported data. Two studies reported null findings: found no association between BMI and entry into menopausal transition, while reported no association with age at natural menopause.  

Cigarette smoking and secondhand smoke exposure  
Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke prenatally and during early childhood and timing of menarche are not consistently associated due to bias from maternal recall, the data source (ie, data abstracted from prenatal records compared with questionnaire-based information), and competing birth cohort exposures to environmental contaminants like DDT. For example, reports from the CPP study of women pregnant in 1959–1966 consistently demonstrate a direct association between exposure to tobacco smoke in utero and in childhood and later age at menarche. In contrast, women in the California Child Health and Development Study (CHDS) who smoked during pregnancy (1959–1966) had daughters at higher risk for early menarche. All pregnant women in the CHDS were exposed to DDT but those exposed before 14 years of age were at higher risk for breast cancer and their daughters may be at potential risk for early menarche from environmental endocrine exposures. Note that the CPP data were abstracted from prenatal records or based on maternal recall whereas the CHDS imputed childhood smoke exposure of the daughter from maternal reporting of prenatal smoke exposure. In utero exposure to cigarette smoking was associated with early age at menopause only among female offspring who never smoked, while the inverse association between adult cigarette smoking and menopause has been consistently demonstrated.  

SES, menarche, and menopause  
Socioeconomic development is consistently pointed to for its role in the decline in age of menarche over the twentieth century. It is noteworthy that the decline in age of menarche has paralleled long-term increases in adult height and childhood body size, but recent evidence indicates the uncoupling
of the age at menarche and adult height as obesity has become an important determinant of menarche. Together, these patterns of early life growth and development point to the increased control individuals gained over their bodies as well as over their environment through much of the twentieth century.

In modern populations, an individual’s control over her body and her environment is rooted in her access to resources that garner health advantage. Individuals’ access to these resources stems from their relations with each other and their position in a society’s economic and social structures, described here as “socioeconomic status.” Traditional markers of SES include education, occupation, income and wealth, as well as markers that are sensitive to an individual’s resources that may differ over the life course (eg, the SES characteristics of one’s parents during childhood compared with one’s own SES as an adult). Although the associations between SES and health outcomes are often remarkably stable over time and across societies, causal mechanisms can be highly variable, as stratification systems, public policies, available technologies and the like shape the specific pathways by which resources are translated into health advantages. Thus, although consistency might be expected in the associations between SES and the timing of menarche and menopause – say in the USA and European countries – it is difficult to infer this given societal differences in childhood health, obesity, smoking, and environmental exposures to contaminants related to menarche and/or menopause.

Research by life-course epidemiologists highlights the complex ways in which SES resources over the life course potentially influence the association between the timing of menarche and menopause. Although an exhaustive review of this general approach is beyond the scope of this paper, several key points are highly pertinent for understanding this association. First, SES early in life may set in motion the pace of a biological process that determines the timing of menopause. Third, the timing of socioeconomic advantages and disadvantages in the life course may play a very important role in understanding the association between menarche and menopause. For example, a plausible hypothesis is that early life SES alters the timing of menarche, which then sets in motion the pace of a biological process that determines the timing of menopause. All of these issues highlight the critical importance of a fully fleshed conceptual model that articulates how exposures and pathways potentially connect the timing of menarche and menopause.

At the outset, it is important to note that few studies in the USA or Europe have explicitly examined how the timing of menarche and menopause are simultaneously associated with SES. Typically, studies examine one or the other endpoint of a woman’s reproductive stage as a consequence of SES, although a few studies examining menopause control for age of menarche when assessing earlier life influences.

Recent research in the USA suggests that the age of menarche is postponed, on average, for persons who are socio economically advantaged, although these effects appear to be sensitive both to the measurement of SES and the consistency of effects across race/ethnic groups. Braithwaite et al, for example, reported for three geographically diverse clinic-based samples (the National Growth and Health Study) that NHW girls in families with low household income (measured at age 9) reported earlier ages of menarche, although the opposite association was reported for NHB girls. No relationship was found between parental education and the timing of menarche, suggesting that the association is sensitive to the SES marker. In 2010, James-Todd et al drew on the New York site of the CPP to assess how an SES index was associated with the timing of menarche. In contrast to the study by Braithwaite et al, James-Todd et al measured SES at birth and again at age 7, allowing them to assess how changes in SES, as well as SES at different ages, were associated with menarche. Their results suggest that the SES at age 7 has a stronger effect on menarche than the SES at birth when adjusted for race/ethnicity, mother’s age at menarche, mother’s place of birth, and father’s absence from the home at birth. In addition, they reported that a decline in SES between birth and age 7 accelerated the onset of menses.

These studies, although offering some intriguing results, are beset with limitations that challenge our understanding of the association between SES and the timing of menarche. Neither study, for example, used a population-based, random sample that would yield a nationally representative sample.
This sample-design problem is shared by other studies on this topic that are based on data from other countries. Sample-design issues present a serious challenge to extrapolating to both the US population and to race/ethnic groups. In addition, it is evident that significant attention is needed in understanding how alternative metrics of SES are associated with menarche, how different measures might operate differentially across major demographic groups, and how SES at different points in a person’s life – as well as changes in SES – may alter the timing of menarche. A key point based on these studies is that it is unclear how SES is associated with menarche at the population level, which challenges our ability to assess how SES is related to the link between the timing of menarche and menopause.

Studies of the association between SES and the timing of menopause have generally found that menopausal age increases with SES.91–93,95,99,113,197–199 A number of studies examining the effects of adult SES on age of menopause have taken into account age of menarche.92,93,197 These studies have typically reported that the association between age of menopause and adult SES is robust when controlling for age of menarche and confounders such as BMI. Associations between specific markers of SES and age of menopause appear to be sensitive to controls for other markers of SES as well as the population where the study was conducted. For example, Luoto et al observed in a representative sample of Finnish women aged 45–64 years that both education and adult occupational status were associated with a later age of menopause independent of each other.92 Rödström et al observed a similar pattern in a prospective population study of Swedish women, although education’s net association with age of menopause was not significant when occupation was controlled.93 It is unclear whether the variability in the effects of SES on age of menopause reflects differences in measurement approaches or differences in the health resources conferred by education and occupation across populations.

Life-course epidemiological studies have examined the associations between SES and menopause, although these studies typically have not controlled for the age of menarche.188,195,196 The general theoretical motivation is that early life and later-life conditions may alter the structure and function of reproductive capacity that ultimately influences the age of menopause. Lawlor et al documented that women more advantaged socioeconomically in early and adult life experienced a later age of menopause.196 Both early and late-life socioeconomic positions exerted independent effects, and socioeconomic resources combined throughout the life course in an additive fashion to influence the timing of menopause. The effects of early life conditions were somewhat attenuated by leg length, pointing to developmental growth as a possible mechanism linking early life with menopause. Adult BMI and smoking played an important role in explaining the association of adult SES with age of menopause.

Otero et al discovered similar relationships between early and late-life SES (measured by eleven and five indicators, respectively) and age at menopause in a prospective cohort study of Brazilian university employees. Women with a shorter trunk length or less schooling had small, but significant, risk of early menopause. However, statistical analyses did not control for early life SES on later-life socioeconomic variables, nor was an analytical construct created to represent the effect of additive socioeconomic factors on menopause outcome.188

In contrast to Lawlor et al’s study, Hardy and Kuh did not find evidence that early and adult resources combined additively to influence menopause.195 Instead, they showed that the effects of early life socioeconomic resources exerted the strongest influence on menopause relative to adult resources and that early life SES effects were robust to controls for later-life SES and behavioral risk factors associated with menopause (eg, BMI and smoking). This pattern is consistent with the idea that early life resources alter the rate of follicular atresia, although whether this occurs only in early life or across the entire life course is unclear.

The answer to this question is important for understanding the role that socioeconomic resources play in linking the age of menarche with the age of menopause. Moreover, because socioeconomic resources typically confer more proximate resources, risks, and rewards such as those concerning smoking, psychological stressors, growth, and BMI, SES may operate through a variety of pathways over the life course. As yet, these pathways remain largely ambiguous.

**Psychosocial factors, menarche, and menopause**

A number of researchers have posited that the timing of menarche and/or menopause is sensitive to psychological stress. In the case of menarche, psychological stress has been hypothesized to accelerate the onset of menarche as a reproductive “strategy.”200–202 High levels of risk or uncertainty in childhood are thought to accelerate reproductive development (ie, menarche) toward a first birth. With regard to menopause, childhood stress has been hypothesized to accelerate the age of menopause because of a higher rate of follicular atresia.85,197 It is unclear whether follicular atresia occurs relatively close to the time of childhood stress or...
whether childhood stress potentially alters later-life stress responses that may then influence atresia. Another possible pathway between stress experienced in adulthood and follicular atresia is the modulation of the rate of cellular aging by stressors.\textsuperscript{201} It should be noted that considerable ambiguity surrounds the specific biological mechanisms involved in the associations between childhood and adult psychological stress and the timing of menopause.

The origin of psychological stress in childhood can be conceptualized within the framework of parental socio-emotional involvement with children. Draper and Harpending, for example, argued that girls born into matrifocal households are more interested in and engage in sex at younger ages and are less interested in finding a male who will invest in her and her offspring compared with girls reared in homes with the father present.\textsuperscript{200} From an evolutionary perspective, girls reared under these conditions are responding to a stressful environment by engaging in behaviors and developing reproductively at a faster rate to maximize reproductive success.\textsuperscript{200}

Belsky et al expanded on this idea, arguing that girls raised in households with marital conflict, absent fathers, and economic insecurity face stressors that undercut strong attachments with their parents and accelerate reproductive strategies and development.\textsuperscript{201} In contrast, childrearing strategies and patterns that enhance enduring attachment between parents and children provide the context for girls to mature later, engage in sex at later ages, and seek a partner for long-term investments.\textsuperscript{201} Empirical research generally supports the idea that household stressors such as paternal absence are associated with earlier menarche.\textsuperscript{17,204-209} Most of these studies were based on small and/or purposive samples. The exception is Belsky et al’s analysis of National Institute of Child Health and Human Development’s Study of Early Child and Youth Development, which showed that having an insecure attachment during infancy almost doubled a girl’s odds of completing puberty before 13.5 years, net of factors such as maternal age of menarche.\textsuperscript{207} In another study of a population-based cohort of Mexican-origin residents in Houston Texas, paternal absence was associated with earlier menarche after adjustment for SES, maternal age at menarche, and the girl’s BMI.\textsuperscript{208} The drawbacks of existing studies are inadequate characterization of the family and household (eg, no distinction between father absence and presence of stepfather) and the aforementioned lack of power due to small sample size.\textsuperscript{209,210}

Research on the age of first sexual intercourse and teenage pregnancy in children raised with or without the biological father provides support for these socio-emotional attachment theories: an earlier age at first sexual intercourse and increased rates of teenage pregnancy are observed in children from households lacking the biological father.\textsuperscript{211,212} However, age at menarche was not assessed as either a covariate or an outcome in these studies, which limits the applicability of the evidence from behavioral research to the search for biological pathways between father absence and early menarche. Of note, one investigation found that gene–environment interactions complicated the association between father absence and earlier instance of first sexual intercourse; yet, age at menarche was not included in analyses.\textsuperscript{210} Furthermore, it is unclear whether factors unrelated to socio-emotional attachment influence the association between father absence and early menarche. Learned behavior from a parent who models sexual attitudes and activity may facilitate earlier involvement in sexual activity for girls and this could coincide with an underlying genetic factor that conveys heritability of age at menarche and predisposes to earlier age of sexual intercourse.\textsuperscript{60,210,213,214} Lastly, the endocrinological profile associated with early pubertal development in girls may interact with the neurological and physical changes of adolescence to affect behavior or sensitivity to the environment, leading to the observed association between early menarche and early initiation of sexual behavior.\textsuperscript{15,20,215,216} The methodological limitations cited curtail the ability to draw robust conclusions about the effect of socio-emotional attachment on girls’ tempo of development, though the trend is promising for future investigations.

Although the influence of psychological stress on the timing of menopause is thought to work through cellular aging, few studies have examined this issue and most of the evidence is indirect. For example, Epel et al examined how cellular aging – indexed by oxidative stress, telomerase activity, and telomere length – was associated with perceived stress and chronic stress among healthy premenopausal women.\textsuperscript{203} The highest levels of perceived and chronic stress, determined by a questionnaire and subjects’ caregiver status, respectively, were associated with shortened telomeres and higher oxidative stress. This study is unique in its assessment of these associations in vivo. Evidence from other studies that used either telomere length or telomerase activity as a marker of chronic disease risk supports a link between stress related to obesity and/or SES.\textsuperscript{217,218} A recent study provides additional support for the effect of adult stress on ovarian reserve, and therefore timing of menopause. Antral follicle count, a marker of ovarian reserve that normally decreases with age, was accelerated in women who scored lower on the positive
affect subscale of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale, indicating low positive emotion. Lack of positive emotion is observed frequently in depression and is directly related to poor disease prognosis and risk of all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{219} Taken together, an association between depression and ovarian aging presents the potential for psychological stress to play a role in the timing of menopause.\textsuperscript{219,220} Work on this topic is still in its infancy. Translation of the research from clinically depressed populations described here to women categorized by Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale scores is one challenging aspect of this work.\textsuperscript{221} Furthermore, age at menarche is inconsistently evaluated as a confounding factor in studies of psychological stress exposures that may hasten menopausal onset.\textsuperscript{219,220}

Life-course studies support the idea that psychological stress has important consequences for menopause. Divorce disrupts family life and structure and is viewed as a strong risk factor for stress in children of the divorcing parties.\textsuperscript{222} Indeed, children of divorced parents have impaired psychological, social, and academic functioning compared with their peers in intact families.\textsuperscript{223} Divorce had an even stronger association with longevity prediction than childhood socioeconomic position in one study.\textsuperscript{224} Women from a 1946 British birth cohort study whose parents divorced during the girls’ early childhood (eg, when they were <5 years old) had earlier menopause than those from intact families.\textsuperscript{195} However, caution must be taken not to overlook the effect on children’s stress level of marital conflict between parties that remain married. Divorce, if it results in a more positive atmosphere and reduces children’s exposure to marital conflict, may be less harmful to children’s health than a fractious marriage.\textsuperscript{225–227} Investigation into putative biological and social pathways that underlie the association between divorce-related stress and menopause in particular, and childhood stress and health outcomes in general, is warranted.

**Future directions**

A paradox appears in the observation of no relationship between age at menarche and menopause in the presence of associations of SES, BMI, and race/ethnicity with each event. SES and BMI are dynamic characteristics of the life course but little has been done to create comparability in assessment and depiction of their trajectory across studies. Race/Ethnicity is a multi-pronged marker of genetic, epigenetic, and environmental forces that appear to potentially modulate SES and BMI effects. Thoughtful examination of exposures are essential to an understanding of potential critical periods or windows of susceptibility as one size will not necessarily fit all. Attention has been directed to genetic variants associated with menarche, as reflected in over 40 papers on the subject since 2003. Likewise, a similar pattern appears in GWAS in menopause and in genes in common to menarche and menopause. Yet, the time trend in age at menarche demonstrates dramatic variation by ethnicity over brief periods, with SES, breast-feeding, and obesity as potential explanations for the disparities.

While methodological limitations to research will forever plague the field, relatively recent developments in endocrinology and population science may lead the way. Biro et al’s landmark research in pathways to puberty underscores the assessment of age at entry into pubarche and thelarche as being independent of each other and in tandem with diverse hormonal constellations in girls who enter one stage earlier than the other.\textsuperscript{228–230} At the other end of the spectrum, the workshop on stages of menopausal transition concomitant with an evaluation of hormonal biomarkers of each stage has the potential to direct research to earlier ages in women before cessation of menses.\textsuperscript{231,232} Indeed, it appears that women with regular menstrual cycles already experience hormone changes that lead to menopause. Thus, the events of menarche and menopause are no longer the pinnacles and we must turn to landmarks currently less distinct that require research to identify sensitive biomarkers to multiple pathways to ovarian aging.

In summary, to understand the constellation of factors related to ages at menarche and menopause, an approach is needed that is generated by birth cohort-dependent life-course pathways related to SES and other environmental exposures interacting with a woman’s physiology.
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