Association of body composition with sarcopenic obesity in elderly women
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Abstract: The aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of sarcopenic obesity and its association with obesity and sarcopenia in elderly Brazilian women. Two hundred and seventy-two sedentary women with a mean age of 66.75 ± 5.38 years were recruited for participation in this study. Obesity was determined by both body mass index and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) evaluations. Sarcopenic obesity diagnosis was established from the ratio between fat-free mass and body surface area as obtained by DXA. There was no association of obesity with sarcopenic obesity (P = 0.424). In contrast, sarcopenia was significantly related to sarcopenic obesity (P < 0.001), although most of the elderly women with sarcopenia (n = 171) did not exhibit sarcopenic obesity. These results highlight the importance of diagnosing sarcopenic obesity as elderly women exhibiting sarcopenia could be either eutrophic or obese.
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Introduction

Aging of the population is a worldwide phenomenon that is accompanied by a series of modifications to several physiological parameters, such as a progressive increase in fat mass and a decrease in lean body mass.1 However, these alterations are not linear and must be constantly monitored.2–4 In elderly individuals, changes in body composition result in the prevalence of overweight and obesity combined with a loss of muscle mass and strength; this has recently been defined as sarcopenic obesity.5–7 Sarcopenic obesity is associated with functional limitations and increased mortality.8

Among the consequences of obesity in elderly individuals are increased risk of cardiometabolic complications, physical incapacity, sexual dysfunction, urinary incontinence, depression, type 2 diabetes, arthritis, decreased cognitive function, dementia, and compromised health-related quality of life.9–12 Apart from this, the loss of muscle mass associated with the aging process results in muscle weakness, increased fall risk, and fat infiltration in the skeletal muscle.13,14

In this sense sarcopenia is defined as a decline in muscle mass associated with spinal shortening and a decrease in muscle strength and functionality.5,15 These deleterious effects seem to result from a complex interaction of innervation disturbances, decreased hormonal levels, and increased inflammatory mediators during aging.1,6,16,17 The reduction in muscle mass and strength is responsible for the decrease in mobility, decreased functional capacity, and increase in dependency; there are also economic and social costs.5,6,14

The impact of sarcopenic obesity on the health of older individuals is poorly understood. Thus, the present study aimed to investigate the associations between body composition profile and sarcopenia prevalence in elderly sedentary Brazilian women. Our...
initial hypothesis was that a proper diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity would be independent of the nutritional state.

**Methods**

This transversal and analytical study consisted of 272 elderly women from a local community located in the Federal District, Brazil. Participants were not specifically representative of the Brazilian population and were recruited on a voluntary basis from the local community from posters and lectures about the study. Subjects’ characteristics are presented in Table 1. Individuals visited the laboratory on two occasions. On the first visit they completed an anamnesis form and physical activity questionnaire and were subjected to anthropometric measures. The following day they were subjected to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) analysis.

Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 60 years, sedentary females, and completion of all anthropometric testing. Sedentarism was determined by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Individuals with inflammatory, rheumatic, or autoimmune conditions or use of medications (beta blockers and metformin) that could modulate body composition were excluded. The local Ethics Committee for Human Research of the Catholic University of Brasilia approved the methodology of the present study, and all participants signed an informed consent document. The procedures were in accordance with guidelines for experimentation with human participants. Additionally, the study met the ethical standards proposed by the *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.18

**Body composition determination**

The determination of body composition was completed using DXA (General Electric-GE model 8548 BX1L, year 2005, Lunar DPX type, software Encore 2005; Rommelsdorf, Germany). The appendicular fat-free mass (AFFM) was determined by the sum of the fat-free mass from the lower and upper body.

**Sarcopenic obesity determination**

Sarcopenic obesity was determined by body composition measured by the DXA method according to Oliveira et al.19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Subjects’ characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66.75 ± 5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>152.20 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.56 ± 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>24.64 ± 7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>41.24 ± 4.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of the mean; n = 272.

Individuals with residual values ≥ 3.4 were classified as presenting an inadequate FFM in reference to the body surface. This condition is defined as sarcopenic obesity.

The identification of sarcopenia was based on the values proposed by Baumgartner et al.1 which define female individuals as sarcopenic with an AFFM < 5.45 kg/m². Relative AFFM is calculated by dividing the AFFM by the height squared.

Obesity was determined by DXA and body mass index (BMI). Body fat percentage values were distributed according to the recommendations of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases,20 assuming a cut-off point of 32% for women. Obesity levels determined by BMI (body mass/height²) followed the proposal of Lipschitz,21 assuming a cut-off point of 27 kg/m² for women. Based on differences between individuals aged 60 to 69 years and those above 70 years, the participants were divided into two age groups.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as absolute and relative frequency. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test and a homoscedasticity test (Mauchly) were used to test the normal distribution of the data. The associations between variables were verified by the χ² test for individuals, Mann–Whitney test for variables with two levels, and Kruskal–Wallis test for variables with more than two levels. The significance value adopted was P ≤ 0.05. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences ([SPSS] v.19; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) was used for analyses.

**Results**

Characterization of the nutritional state by BMI revealed that 65.1% (n = 177) were eutrophic, while all women were classified as obese by DXA (n = 272) with different degrees of severity; most of them exhibited morbid obesity (Table 2). The majority of women (61.76%) were classified as morbid obesity, while 34.2% (n = 93) presented sarcopenic obesity. Among this group, 63 were aged between 60 to 69 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Characterization of obesity severity in elderly sedentary women considering the body composition determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Degrees of obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild and moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69 years</td>
<td>5 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 years</td>
<td>8 (10.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13 (4.79%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Women between 60 to 69 years exhibited a higher body fat percentage ($P = 0.004$) and obesity determined by body composition ($P = 0.001$) measured by DXA as compared with women above 70 years, according to the classification of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.\textsuperscript{20} There was no statistically significant difference between age groups in the parameters determined by BMI ($P = 0.07$), sarcopenic obesity ($P = 0.40$), or sarcopenia ($P = 0.40$).

Thirty-nine percent of the eutrophic elderly women determined by BMI exhibited sarcopenic obesity, while 23.1\% of those classified with mild and moderate obesity by DXA presented sarcopenic obesity (Table 3). There was no association with sarcopenic obesity independent of obesity severity determined by DXA (Table 3). However, there was a negative association between sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity, hence most of the elderly women with sarcopenia did not present sarcopenic obesity (Table 3).

Independent of obesity or eutrophy, there was an association between sarcopenia and BMI (Table 4). Apart from this, there was an association between sarcopenia and obesity determined by DXA, and as obesity increased in severity the prevalence of sarcopenia increased (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The combination of sarcopenia and obesity (known as sarcopenic obesity) is an important public health problem that induces fragility in the elderly.\textsuperscript{22,23} The current incidence of sarcopenic obesity in elderly Brazilian women suggests it plays a role as an important negative factor that counteracts a successful aging process. In comparison, an elderly Mexican population showed a higher incidence of sarcopenic obesity (48\%)\textsuperscript{3} compared with our results. The reduction in muscle mass (sarcopenia) that accompanies the normal aging process is also associated with increments in fat mass. With the concurrent increment in elderly people as reported by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics\textsuperscript{33} and the prevalence of sarcopenic obesity in Brazilians, a higher fragility could be expected in this population.\textsuperscript{25,26} Our results suggest the necessity of proper diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity independent of the nutritional state, such as muscle cross-sectional area, because the eutrophic status or the different obesity levels do not influence the diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity.

Our study indicates that a sedentary lifestyle may be an additional risk factor for both overweight and obesity, highlighting the concurrent muscular force loss as previously suggested.\textsuperscript{27} This is in accordance with previous literature.\textsuperscript{28} The diagnosis for obesity requires the utilization of various methods because BMI has been suggested to be inappropriate for this purpose in the elderly.\textsuperscript{10} Moreover, the current results suggest some discrepancies between BMI and DXA methods regarding the nutritional status of participants. Thus, eutrophic elderly women as determined by BMI were classified as obese with various severity levels with the employment of DXA, confirming the previous observations of Pahor et al.\textsuperscript{29} In this regard, BMI has demonstrated some limitations because of the concurrent lean body mass loss and fat mass gain observed in the elderly.\textsuperscript{10} Furthermore, all participants of the present study exhibited various levels of obesity, thus confirming the findings of previous studies in Brazilian populations.\textsuperscript{30,31} Although BMI has been widely used in population studies, this method considers only height and body mass.

On the other hand, DXA is considered a “gold-standard” method to estimate body composition, despite the high cost. In this sense, a higher sensitivity of DXA to estimate body composition would be expected.

**Table 4** Associations of sarcopenia with obesity determined by BMI and DXA in sedentary elderly women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sarcopenia</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Obesity</th>
<th>Eutrophic</th>
<th>DXA</th>
<th>Mild and moderate obesity</th>
<th>Severe obesity</th>
<th>Morbid obesity</th>
<th>Relative AFFM kg/m$^2 &lt; 5.45$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophic</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild and moderate obesity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe obesity</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbid obesity</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AFFM, appendicular fat-free mass; BMI, body mass index; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.
Adipose tissue is currently recognized as an important metabolic and hormonal secretory organ with more than 50 product molecules identified. Subsequently, when there is an increase in adipose tissue, the impact of these molecules is also augmented, thus favoring the development of insulin resistance, type II diabetes, atherosclerosis, and metabolic syndrome and therefore impacting the health of older individuals.

With the current results it was possible to verify an overestimation of muscle mass as a consequence of not taking into account the true differences in water, bone mass, and FFM, as the elderly have demonstrated an excessive extracellular fluid accumulation. This syndrome is associated with a progressive loss of muscle force and mass with subsequent lower physical capacity and quality of life, and hence the current results should be considered for further interventions in this population.

Newman et al emphasized the need to consider fat mass when diagnosing sarcopenia. The premise is based on the fact that individuals with high fat mass also demonstrate a high FFM. Therefore, individuals with high fat mass and subsequently high FFM would not be diagnosed as sarcopenic, independently of its influence on total body mass or functional capacity. In order to adjust FFM with fat mass, Newman et al proposed a method based on the residuals of a regression equation that predicts AFFM from fat mass and height; this was helpful in the diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity in the current study.

The limitations of the present study are the reduced number of participants and lack of additional measures, such as calf circumference and other metabolic parameters, that would certainly be of interest.

Conclusion
In summary, the present study observed a critical relationship between sarcopenia and obesity in an elderly female population. The combination of sarcopenic obesity may have a dynamic negative impact on the aging process in the elderly, and thus the ability to correctly diagnose this condition becomes important. Further studies are needed for the diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity as well for understanding its etiology and clinical impact, specifically in the elderly population of developing countries where public health systems are not prepared for the demands of this population sector.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank the Graduate Department of the University of Brasilia for financial support and Daniel Boulosa, PhD, for revising the manuscript.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References