Photoreceptor disruption in central serous chorioretinopathy treated by half-dose photodynamic therapy
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Background: To evaluate photoreceptor disruption in patients with central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) treated by half-dose photodynamic therapy (PDT).

Methods: A total of 29 patients with symptomatic CSC were recruited and underwent half-dose verteporfin PDT covering the leakage sites as observed via fundus fluorescein angiography. The primary outcome was the percentage of patients with the presence of photoreceptor disruption, and the secondary outcome was the correlation between photoreceptor disruption and visual results at the 1-year follow-up.

Results: Photoreceptor disruption was identified in 13 eyes (44.8%) 12 months after treatment. Twenty-seven patients experienced best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) improvement after PDT, while two patients showed stable BCVA. The mean BCVA in patients with photoreceptor disruption at the baseline and every follow-up visit was significantly lower than that of patients without photoreceptor disruption. However, there was no correlation between the presence or absence of photoreceptor disruption and the improvement of visual acuity because the BCVA gain at the last follow-up visit between the two groups was not significant ($P = 0.69$). No potential ocular complication was encountered in the study.

Conclusion: Photoreceptor disruption was found in about 45% of CSC patients treated by PDT, which ultimately resulted in poor visual outcomes. However, a half-dose PDT might not affect or modify the photoreceptor function because it gave the same pattern of visual recovery in patients with and without photoreceptor cell loss.
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Introduction

Central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) is an idiopathic condition characterized by the development of a well circumscribed, serous detachment of the neurosensory retina in the macula. This condition is common in healthy young adults between the ages of 20 and 50 years, especially in men with a type A personality, those under stress, or patients with elevated levels of corticosteroids from either corticosteroid administration or Cushing syndrome. Most patients are asymptomatic unless the central macula is affected. The patients may experience visual disturbances including micropsia, metamorphopsia, central scotoma, reduced visual acuity, loss of contrast sensitivity, and visual loss. The natural course of acute CSC is good in most cases (80%–90%) as it usually resolves spontaneously in 3–4 months. However, despite recovery of visual acuity, some patients may experience residual visual symptoms with a loss in contrast sensitivity and, in approximately 30%–50% of patients, recurrence may also take place within the first year.
CSC is postulated to occur as a result of a choriocapillaris leakage through the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). The fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA) of acute CSC typically shows focal leaks at the level of the RPE in two main patterns: “inkblot” or “smokestack.” The use of indocyanine green angiography in CSC patients has demonstrated that CSC primarily affects the choroidal circulation, resulting in multifocal areas of choroidal vascular hyperpermeability. Moreover, optical coherence tomography (OCT) is an excellent, noninvasive method for diagnosing and following the resolution of the subretinal fluid in CSC. High-resolution OCT can detect subtle fluid accumulation beneath the sensory retina, and not surprisingly, a thickening of the choroid.

The traditional management of acute CSC is usually observation. The laser photocoagulation of a fluorescein-detectable pigment epithelial leak can accelerate the resolution of the associated neurosensory detachment. Although laser may shorten the duration of symptoms, it does not have any impact on the final vision or the recurrent rate of CSC because it targets only the RPE leak without specifically treating the underlying primary choroidal congestion and hyperpermeability. When the leakage site is too close to the center of the fovea, photodynamic therapy (PDT) with verteporfin is another recent option for treating such CSC. Many studies have demonstrated beneficial visual outcomes in most CSC patients treated by PDT.

The mechanism of PDT for treating CSC involves short-term choriocapillaris hypoperfusion and long-term choroidal vascular remodeling, leading to a reduction in choroidal congestion, vascular hyperpermeability, and leakage. The potential complications such as RPE atrophy, choroidal ischemia, and secondary CNV can occur in the application of conventional PDT in CSC. By reducing the dose of verteporfin, and altering the timing of infusion and laser application, many studies have demonstrated that the potential retinal damage caused by PDT can be minimized while resulting in good treatment efficacy of chronic CSC. Nevertheless, during the half-dose PDT treatment, damages involving surrounding retinal structures such as RPE or photoreceptor cells might still occur. In this study, photoreceptor damage was measured by detecting photoreceptor disruption via spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT) in patients with CSC treated by half-dose PDT, and also assessed the correlation between photoreceptor disruption and visual results.

Methods
This study was a retrospective descriptive study conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology, Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand between March 2006 and February 2011. Patients with symptomatic acute CSC, undergoing PDT using a half-dose of verteporfin (Visudyne; Novartis AG, Bülach, Switzerland) were studied. The patients underwent fundus examinations and had their best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measured using the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR). CSC was diagnosed when there was focal retinal detachment with or without pigment epithelial detachment in the macular area and focal point leakage on FFA. The inclusion criteria included: (1) age more than 20 years, (2) typical CSC characteristics confirmed by FFA and OCT, (3) follow-up fundus examinations and OCT tests more than 12 months after the half-dose PDT, (4) single half-dose PDT treatment with no other accompanying treatment, and (5) no recurrent attack after half-dose PDT treatment within 1 year of follow-up. The exclusion criteria included: (1) complicated CSC presentation such as choroidal neovascular membrane, multiple retinal pigment epithelial detachment, large pigment epithelial detachment more than one disc diameter, and diffuse pigment epitheliopathy before the treatment; (2) treatment via focal laser or intravitreal injection before and after the half-dose PDT; and (3) patients with fluorescein and verteporfin allergy.

The FFA was performed on all patients before the half-dose PDT using Spectralis Heidelberg retinal angiography (HRA-2, Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany). The SD-OCT was also performed using a Cirrus-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc, Dublin, CA) before and at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after PDT. From OCT, the macular thickness analysis program of 6 × 6 mm² and 9-subfields analysis was used to identify central macular thickness, subretinal fluid, and any abnormality area.

A reduced dose of verteporfin (3 mg/m²) was infused over 8 seconds, followed by 689-nm laser delivery at 10 minutes after the commencement of infusion to target the area of leakage points. A total light energy of 50 J/cm² over 83 seconds was delivered to the area of angiographic leakage sites shown in FFA.

Evaluation of photoreceptor disruption
At baseline, OCT was performed before PDT (during the active stage or detached retina), but it could not identify the photoreceptor line in all cases because of low signal and/or disorganized retinal structures. Therefore, the presence of photoreceptor disruption was only diagnosed 12 months after the half-dose PDT. The diagnosis of the disruption was based on either of the two OCT-characteristic patterns: (1) discontinuity of the inner segment/outer segment (IS/OS)
line from SD-OCT (Figure 1) and (2) the total absence of the IS/OS line (Figure 2). These SD-OCT findings were evaluated by three authors (MR, PB, and PJ); two out of the three consensus opinions were necessary to make the decision on whether the photoreceptor disruption was present.

The data obtained included age at first visit, gender, laterality of the eyes, BCVA, duration of symptoms, and the presence of photoreceptor disruption at 12 months follow-up. The percentage of patients with the presence of photoreceptor disruption was considered as a primary outcome, and the secondary outcome comprised the correlation between photoreceptor disruption and visual results.

**Statistical analysis**

The descriptive analysis was used for reporting and explaining the presence of photoreceptor disruption. The correlation of visual results and the presence of photoreceptor disruption were analyzed using the independent samples *t*-test.

**Results**

A total of 29 eyes from 29 patients (27 males and 2 females) were reviewed. The mean age was 46.2 years (range 33–62 years), and the mean duration of symptoms before treatment was 192 days (range 13–1097 days) (Tables 1 and 2). The mean durations of the disease in patients with and without photoreceptor disruption were 205 and 182 days (*P* = 0.8) (Table 2). The mean logMAR BCVA scores of all the patients at baseline and 12 months were 0.34 (standard deviation [SD] ± 0.30) and 0.14 (SD ± 0.27), respectively.

Twenty-seven patients experienced a BCVA improvement after PDT, whereas two patients showed stable BCVA. Regarding the primary outcome, photoreceptor disruption at the fovea was observed in 13 eyes (44.8%) 12 months after treatment.

Photodynamic therapy with verteporfin resulted in a rapid resolution of subretinal fluid in all patients as demonstrated by OCT. The difference of central macular thickness between the patients with or without photoreceptor disruption was not significant (Table 2). However, the mean BCVA in patients with the presence of photoreceptor disruption at baseline and 12 months after treatment was lower than that of patients with intact photoreceptor cells (Table 2 and Figure 3). The difference in BCVA at baseline and every visit (1, 3, 6, and 12 months) between the two groups was statistically significant (Table 2 and Figure 3) but the visual acuity gain at the last follow-up visit between the two groups was not significant (0.19 and 0.22, *P* = 0.69) (Table 2). At 12 months post treatment, the mean BCVA of the patients without photoreceptor disruption further improved to 0.01 (SD ± 0.03), whereas that in the patients with photoreceptor disruption was only 0.31 (SD ± 0.34). All patients without photoreceptor disruption resulted in visual acuity improvement, whereas only eleven patients (84.6%) with photoreceptor disruption showed visual acuity improvement.

In respect to the secondary outcome, there was no correlation

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** The optical coherence tomography of a patient with photoreceptor disruption demonstrated the discontinuity of the inner segment/outer segment line (arrows).

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** The optical coherence tomography of a patient with photoreceptor disruption demonstrated total absence of inner segment/outer segment line (arrow).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographic data</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years ± SD)</td>
<td>46.24 ± 7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27 (93.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BCVA (patients, %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved BCVA</td>
<td>27 (93.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable BCVA</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of photoreceptor disruption at 12 months (patients, %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>13 (44.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>16 (55.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: SD, standard deviation; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity.
between the presence or absence of photoreceptor disruption and visual acuity improvement.

At 12 months post treatment, no potential ocular complications, such as secondary choroidal neovascularization and RPE tear, were found in any of the patients. Finally, there was no recurrence of CSC during the 1-year follow-up period.

**Discussion**

Various medical treatments have been used to treat CSC without success. Laser treatment is a standard modality in CSC management, which involves the debridement of RPE and permitting the ingrowth of surrounding healthy RPE and an induced rapid resolution of CSC. However, the leaks are often numerous and might be too close to the fovea to allow safe laser treatment. It may, therefore, lead to complications such as accidental photocoagulation of macula, foveal distortion, scotomas, significant loss of contrast sensitivity, and choroidal neovascularization. More recently, a standard dose of verteporfin in photodynamic therapy has proven effective for the treatment of longstanding CSC and CSC with leakage at the macula, as it has resulted in subretinal fluid disappearance and complete resolution of all symptoms. However, potential adverse events like choroidal ischemia, retinal pigment epithelium atrophy

**Table 2** Study results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Photoreceptor disruption</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of symptoms (days ± SD)</td>
<td>205.00 ± 197.3</td>
<td>182.63 ± 260.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BCVA (logMAR ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 month</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 3 months</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 months</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 12 months</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BCVA improvement (logMAR ± SD)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean central macular thickness from OCT (micron ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>333.50 ± 74.25</td>
<td>439.00 ± 106.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 month</td>
<td>196.00 ± 30.61</td>
<td>228.00 ± 19.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 3 months</td>
<td>174.25 ± 42.72</td>
<td>225.00 ± 18.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 months</td>
<td>187.00 ± 49.19</td>
<td>224.70 ± 22.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 12 months</td>
<td>192.25 ± 62.02</td>
<td>233.71 ± 15.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean central macular thickness improvement from OCT (micron ± SD)</td>
<td>135.00 ± 38.18</td>
<td>160.00 ± 118.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation, BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity, logMAR, logarithm of minimum angle of resolution; OCT, optical coherence tomography.
and secondary choroidal neovascularization may develop after such treatment. To enhance the efficacy of PDT in treating CSC, while minimizing its side effects, the dosage of verteporfin was reduced and the interval between infusion and laser application shortened. After the treatments, 27 patients (93.1%) demonstrated BCVA improvement and mean logMAR BCVA gain in all patients was about 0.2 (Tables 1 and 2). These results were comparable with previous studies.

The present study found photoreceptor disruption at the fovea in 44.8% of CSC cases treated by half-dose PDT at 12 months. The disruption might be due to PDT, the resolution process, or even the disease chronicity itself. However, it was very difficult to identify the disruption caused by OCT before the treatment or in the presence of active subretinal fluid because either the retinal signal was low or the retinal structures were disorganized and led to difficulties related to IS/OS line identification using OCT. Ojima et al have reported the same difficulties involving active CSC cases. They found that the photoreceptor layer reappeared after reattachment of the retina even where no back reflection from the IS/OS line was seen during the active stage. This finding suggests that it is almost impossible to accurately identify the photoreceptor status during an active or detached CSC retina. In the present study, the line was able to be identified only after the treatment (after resolution of fluid) and a disrupted line was found in 44.8% of the eyes, as described. However, regardless of the duration of symptoms, the baseline visual acuity of patients with a IS/OS disrupted line was significantly lower than that of patients with an intact line (0.52 and 0.20, \(P = 0.006\)) (Table 2 and Figure 3). These findings suggested that the photoreceptor cell loss might occur before any treatment, not related to PDT, and lead to lower visual outcomes in every visit when compared with the other group.

Concerning the visual recovery and final visual outcomes, the visual acuity at 1 year of patients with photoreceptor disruption was significantly lower than that of the intact group (0.31 and 0.01, \(P = 0.008\)) (Table 2 and Figure 3). However, there was no correlation between the presence or absence of photoreceptor disruption and the improvement of visual acuity because the BCVA gain at the last follow-up between the two groups was not significant (0.22 and 0.19, \(P = 0.69\)). This means that the pattern of visual recovery in both groups demonstrated an improvement in the same direction (Figure 3). Moreover, these findings also suggest that either PDT did not seem to affect photoreceptor cell function, or that photoreceptor cell loss might happen before PDT and the treatment did not affect their integrity. Thus, the lower final visual outcomes may be attributed to pre-PDT lower photoreceptor function or cell loss.

The limitations of this study involve its small sample size and the lack of comparison between patients with and without PDT. As described above, the unreliability related to IS/OS line identification during the active stage is another limitation. Furthermore, the assumption of the effects of PDT on photoreceptor cells only depended on the pattern of visual recovery and the final visual outcomes at 1 year.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, photoreceptor disruption was observed in 44.8% of CSC patients treated by PDT, which ultimately resulted in poor visual outcomes. Nevertheless, the half-dose PDT treatment might not affect or modify photoreceptor function, and it yields the same pattern of visual recovery in patients with and without photoreceptor cell loss.
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