Characterization and evolution of dermal filaments from patients with Morgellons disease
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Abstract: Morgellons disease is an emerging skin disease characterized by formation of dermal filaments associated with multisystemic symptoms and tick-borne illness. Some clinicians hypothesize that these often colorful dermal filaments are textile fibers, either self-implanted by patients or accidentally adhering to lesions, and conclude that patients with this disease have delusions of infestation. We present histological observations and electron microscopic imaging from representative Morgellons disease samples revealing that dermal filaments in these cases are keratin and collagen in composition and result from proliferation and activation of keratinocytes and fibroblasts in the epidermis. Spirochetes were detected in the dermatological specimens from our study patients, providing evidence that Morgellons disease is associated with an infectious process.
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Introduction

Morgellons disease (MD) is an emerging skin disease characterized by production of unusual filaments beneath unbroken skin or projecting from spontaneously appearing, slowly healing skin lesions in conjunction with often debilitating multisystemic symptoms.1,2 MD is clinically associated with positive serology to Borrelia burgdorferi and/or a Lyme disease diagnosis.3 There are many similarities between MD and bovine digital dermatitis (BDD), an emerging disease of dairy cattle.4,5 BDD skin lesions are frequently found above the heel bulb of hind feet,6 and chronic proliferative-stage BDD is characterized by abnormal filament formation. In this stage, lesions demonstrate parakeratotic hyperkeratosis, acanthosis, ulcerated dermal papillae tips, and elongation of keratinocytes evolving into long keratin filaments.7–11 Various Treponema spp have been associated with BDD.7–11

Like the filaments seen in BDD skin lesions, MD filaments are reported to be composed of keratin and the products of keratinocytes.4,5 Filaments associated with MD lesions exhibit physical and chemical properties consistent with keratin, and the keratin content of filaments was confirmed by immunohistochemical staining with keratin-specific monoclonal antibodies.4,5 MD filaments were reported to originate from both pavement epithelial tissue and hair follicles that are predominantly populated by keratinocytes.4,5

Some clinicians have attributed MD to delusions of parasitosis or delusional infestation, claiming that filaments are self-implanted textile fibers.12–16 The fact that MD filaments frequently exhibit brilliant coloration that is unfamiliar in mammalian tissue may
contribute to the misperception that the filaments are textile in nature. Coloration may include blue, red, green, and purple, although filaments may also be white or black.\textsuperscript{1,2,4} Filaments, regardless of coloration, have been shown to stem from follicular sheaths, and hyaline filaments have been shown to stem from the stratum basale.\textsuperscript{5} Some colored filaments have follicular bulbs and scaling consistent with the morphology of hairs, although others do not. This implies that at least some colored MD fibers and some hyaline fibers are abnormal hairs.\textsuperscript{5}

The basis of unusual color presentation of MD filaments is not yet fully understood, but was hypothesized to be structural coloration rather than pigmentation.\textsuperscript{4,5} Bluish coloration of skin has been described in patients with pinta, a tropical disease caused by the spirochete \textit{Treponema carateum}.\textsuperscript{17} This observation suggests that altered melanin expression could be responsible for the blue and red coloration of MD fibers.\textsuperscript{18}

To address unanswered questions about MD filaments, we undertook a histological and electron microscopic study of representative MD tissue samples in order to gain insight into the evolution and composition of these filaments.

**Materials and methods**

**Human and bovine samples**

Nonbiopsy dermatological specimens representative of MD lesions were collected from four MD subjects – two from the US (patients 1 and 2), and two from Canada (patients 3 and 4) – who met the key clinical criteria for MD. Patients 1 and 2 were previously presented as case studies.\textsuperscript{5} Patient 3 was not under the care of a physician at the time of this study, and patient 4 was referred to the authors of this study by a Canadian dermatologist. Fibers associated with these patients’ lesions were embedded under unbroken skin or projected from dermatological tissue. Calluses with attached filaments were collected from all four patients after informed consent was obtained.

Biopsies from cattle with BDD were kindly provided by Dr Dorte Dopfer, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA for comparison with MD dermatological specimens.

**Gross morphology**

The collected Morgellons samples were examined by bright-field microscopy at 40× and 100× magnification, illuminated either superior to the specimen to observe gross morphological characteristics or under a dissecting microscope at 8×. BDD biopsies were examined with a dissecting microscope at 8× magnification to observe gross morphological characteristics. Only specimens that had filaments attached to epithelial tissue were included in this study, in order to avoid accidental contamination with extraneous textile fibers. Unattached filaments were excluded.

**Histology**

Human and bovine samples were formalin-fixed and embedded in paraffin for histological studies. Representative sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded MD samples were stained for the detection of keratin, collagen, melanin, and spirochetes. Immunohistochemical detection of keratin using monoclonal antibodies CK AE1/AE3 (pan-cytokeratin 1/3) and CK AE5/AE6 (restricted to cytokeratin 5/6) was performed by both Interscope Pathology Medical Group, Canoga Park, CA, USA and McClain Laboratory, Smithtown, NY, USA. Fontana-Masson staining (to detect melanin) and Gömöri trichrome staining (to detect collagen and keratin) were performed by McClain Laboratories. Warthin–Starry staining, performed by Interscope Pathology Medical Group, and Dieterle staining, performed by McClain Laboratory, were used to detect the presence of spirochetes.

BDD samples were sent for processing to Prairie Diagnostic Services, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, and samples were stained for spirochetes using Warthin–Faulkner stain and for keratin using CK AE1/AE3 stain. The BDD samples had additional staining for keratin using CK AE5/AE6 by Calgary Laboratory Services, Calgary, AB, Canada.

**Electron microscopy**

Morgellons and BDD samples were fixed in buffered 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were performed by the Electron Microscopy Facility, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Clemson University, Anderson, SC, USA, according to the protocols below.

**SEM**

Glutaraldehyde-fixed samples for SEM were washed in buffer and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol concentrations. Samples were then immersed in hexamethyldisilazane for 5–15 minutes and air-dried at room temperature. Dried samples were mounted on Al mounts. Samples were not coated but placed into a Hitachi (Tokyo, Japan) TM3000 microscope and imaged in the variable pressure mode.

**TEM**

Glutaraldehyde-fixed samples were washed in buffer, followed by dehydration in a graded series of ethanol concentrations. Samples were then immersed in a 50:50 mixture of LR
White embedding resin and 100% ethanol for 30 minutes, followed by pure LR White resin until samples settled on the bottom of the vial. The resin-immersed samples were then placed into pure resin in beam capsules and put into a 60°C oven overnight for polymerization. Sections were cut on an Ultracut E (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) microtome to produce sections 60–90 nm thick, placed onto copper grids and stained in uranyl acetate for 20 minutes. Images were taken on a Hitachi 7600 microscope.

**Results**

### Clinical observations

The clinical features of our patients are shown in Table 1. All four patients were women, and their ages ranged from 49 to 73 years. Two patients resided in Texas, USA, and two patients were from Alberta, Canada. None of the patients had a history of psychosis or any evidence of delusional disease.

All four patients had negative rapid plasma reagin testing for syphilis, and all four had positive serological testing for *B. burgdorferi* (Table 1). A summary of the histopathological and electron microscopic results described below is shown in Table 2.

### Microscopic observations

#### Gross microscopic observations

**MD epithelial samples**

Calluses collected from patient 1 were carpeted in white or hyaline filaments attached to and projecting from one side of the callus (Figure 1A). Samples demonstrating floral or stellate structures with tentacle-like tips were also examined. Calluses removed from patients 2 and 3 demonstrated an external convex side (oriented to the external skin surface) and a concave side (oriented down towards the dermis). Colored (blue or red) or white filaments, 10–40 µm in diameter, were more frequently found projecting out from the convex external surface, but some filaments were distributed within and throughout the callus (Figure 1B and C). Filaments on the concave side of the callus were rarely present.

Protruding keratin projections were observed on the concave, underside surface of calluses. Some of these were sharp at the tips of the projection, while others were blunt or ballooned (Figure 1D). Clear, ingrown hairs or hairlike structures, approximately 60 µm in diameter, were observed protruding from the tips of some keratin projections. Patient 1 presented dermatological tissue with attached white filaments. In contrast, patient 4 had only small lesions without significant callus formation and presented a single chunk of dermatological tissue approximately 1 mm in diameter embedded with filaments rather than calluses.

**BDD biopsies**

BDD biopsies demonstrated chronic, late-stage, off-white, or grey filamentous projections on the external surface. In some samples, ingrowth of keratin on the interior surface was apparent.

#### Histologic staining for keratin and collagen

**MD filaments**

Almost all the filaments from patients 1–4 that were cut longitudinally, obliquely, and in cross-section demonstrated a hollow medulla and surrounding cortex. The filaments were round, elliptical/elongated, bean-like, or curved. This morphology was consistently visible in histological sections (Figure 2A).

The presence of keratin was initially detected by CK AE1/AE3 staining. The irregular, patchy CK AE1/AE3 staining of filamental sections suggested that the filaments might have a collagen content in addition to keratin (Figure 2B). Filaments were then stained with Gomori trichrome to detect both keratin and collagen. The latter stains collagen green or bluish green and keratin red, thus allowing keratin and collagen to be differentiated.

Filaments stained in a similar manner regardless of original color, and no filaments were observed that did not take up stain. Filaments stained predominantly for collagen, and rarely stained exclusively for keratin. When positive keratin staining occurred, it was most often irregular or in patches (Figure 2C). Keratin staining was more pronounced when stained with CK AE1/AE3 or Gomori trichrome.

---

**Table 1** Summary of patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Area of residence</th>
<th>Delusional disease</th>
<th>RPR</th>
<th><em>Borrelia burgdorferi</em> serology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>San Antonio, TX, USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Hughes Springs, TX, USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Cardston, AB, Canada</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Calgary, AB, Canada</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** RPR, rapid plasma reagin.
Table 2. Summary of histopathological and electron microscopic findings in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin of samples</th>
<th>Filament morphological morphology</th>
<th>SEM morphology</th>
<th>TEM morphology</th>
<th>Collagen staining</th>
<th>Keratin immunostaining</th>
<th>Melanin staining</th>
<th>Silver nitrate staining</th>
<th>TEM morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments detected</td>
<td>Positive and negative filaments</td>
<td>White filaments negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments detected</td>
<td>Positive and negative filaments</td>
<td>Blue filaments positive, red filaments negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments detected</td>
<td>Positive and negative filaments</td>
<td>Blue filaments positive, white and red filaments negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Cortex and medulla</td>
<td>Long wrinkled filaments detected</td>
<td>Positive and negative filaments</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>Long filaments with flaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDD</td>
<td>Keratinized tissue</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Keratinized tissue</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
<td>Positive filaments</td>
<td>Positive filaments</td>
<td>Positive filaments</td>
<td>No distinctive morphology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SEM, scanning electron microscopy; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; BDD, bovine digital dermatitis.

CK AE5/AE6 staining was negligible. Many filaments demonstrated retained nuclei (Figure 2D). Sectioned specimens of samples from patient 1 that revealed floral-like or stellate structures when examined for gross morphology demonstrated tentacle-like filaments likewise stemming from basal epithelial cells. These tentacle-like filaments also demonstrated retained nuclei (Figure 2E).

**MD calluses and other dermatological tissue**

Dermatological tissue from patients 1–4 demonstrated hyperplasia and parakeratotic hyperkeratosis. All dermatological specimens demonstrated strongly positive CK AE1/AE3 staining for keratin and stained weakly with CK AE5/AE6. Keratin staining was more pronounced in the stratum corneum. Calluses seen on sections from patient 1 demonstrated all the epidermal layers from the stratum corneum to the stratum basale. Filaments from this patient clearly stemmed from the stratum basale, with evolution inward towards the dermis (Figure 2F).

Filaments associated with the calluses collected from patients 2 and 3 commonly originated from collections of enlarged and/or elongated fibroblasts developing upwards toward the stratum corneum and projecting from the external surface (Figure 2G). Callus sections from patient 2 and to a lesser extent from patient 3 displayed pronounced conical to papillary shaped projections on the internal surface of the callus, and both CK AE1/AE3 and Gömöri trichrome staining demonstrated that these projections were keratin in composition. Masses of proliferative fibroblasts were contained within some of the keratin projections and stained positively for collagen (Figure 2H). Some keratin projections appeared to have ruptured due to the pressure from fibroblast proliferation, and this occurred both towards the internal and external surfaces, but more frequently towards the external surface (Figure 2I). Filaments staining positively for collagen, both in longitudinal and cross-section, could be seen close to and within the collagen-positive staining fibroblast collections, suggesting fibroblast activation. Filament formation occurred mainly on the external side (Figure 2J).

**BDD sections**

BDD histological sections stained strongly with CK AE1/AE3 (Figure 2K) and weakly with CK AE5/AE6 (Figure 2L) in a manner comparable to that of MD dermatological sections. The keratin staining of BDD filaments strongly resembled some of the hyperkeratotic, filamentous growth seen in MD histological sections.
Melanin detection by Fontana-Masson staining
MD filaments
Argentaffin and melanin granules are stained a blackish color with Fontana-Masson staining. Patient 1 had only hyaline filaments, and melanin staining of filament sections from this patient was negative. Patient 2 had some calluses with only blue filaments and some with only red filaments. Sections of blue filaments demonstrated positive melanin staining, while sections of red filaments lacked visible melanin staining. Patient 3 had calluses with red, white, and blue filaments; some of the filament sections from this patient were positive for melanin, while others were negative (Figure 3).

Silver nitrate-based staining for spirochetes
MD dermatological sections
Warthin–Starry staining of MD dermatological sections from patients 1 and 2 revealed bacteria consistent with various morphological forms of *Borrelia* spp among epidermal cells (Figure 4A–C). These spiral or curved structures ranged from 0.1 µm to 0.5 µm in diameter and up to

Figure 1 (Continued)
**Figure 1** (A) Callus collected from patient 1. Note hyaline filaments projecting from callus. 100× magnification. (B) Callus removed from patient 2. Note blue and hyaline filaments projecting from callus surface. 40× magnification. (C) Gross microscopic view of callus removed from patient 3. Note blue filaments embedded in callus external surface. 8× magnification. (D) Callus from patient 2 demonstrating protruding keratin projections on internal surface. Note clear ingrown hair (arrow). 100× magnification.
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Figure 2 (Continued)
30 µm long. They were present only in the interior areas of the sections and not in the stratum corneum. Numerous cocci were observed in the outermost layers of the stratum corneum of patient 2. Dieterle silver nitrate-based staining revealed bacteria consistent in size and shape with spirochetes in interior areas of histological sections in patients 1–4 (Figure 4D and E).

BDD samples
For comparison with MD samples, Warthin–Faulkner silver nitrate-based staining of proliferative-stage BDD samples was performed. Numerous spirochetes consistent in size with treponemes were detected among enlarged and ballooning keratinocytes (Figure 4F).

Scanning electron microscopy
MD filaments
Under SEM, MD filaments from patients 1–4 varied from round and relatively smooth to tape-like, with an irregular, wrinkled, and frayed surface. Flatter specimens tended to be more wrinkled and frayed in appearance than rounder filaments. Some round filaments were slightly dimpled on the surface (Figure 5A). No hair-like scaling of the cortex was observed. Filaments from patient 1 were attached to an epithelial matrix and covering it in a carpet-like manner. Some filaments were tapered at the distal ends. Samples from patient 1 demonstrated a small amount of contamination with plant material that likely adhered to the specimen accidentally. Specimens collected from patients 2–4 revealed...
filaments that were round to flattened, some of which lay underneath dermatological tissue and others protruding out of dermatological tissue.

MD epithelial tissue
A sample from patient 2 provided an image of a spirochete lying under epithelial tissue (Figure 5B). Other morphological forms of Borrelia spp could be seen with SEM (Figure 5C).

BDD filaments
Images of BDD samples revealed the keratin projections to be composed of long, stringy bundles of filamentous material or scaling, flaking material (Figure 5D).

Transmission electron microscopy
MD epithelial tissue
Images of sections from patient 1 revealed numerous cocci, some of which displayed different stages of binary fission. Samples from patients 1 and 2 provided images consistent with different morphological forms of Borrelia in longitudinal and cross-section (data not shown).

MD filaments
Images of sections from patients 1, 2, and 4 revealed longitudinal and cross-sections of filaments (Figure 6A). Cross-sections showed a cortex surrounding a hollow medulla that branched throughout the cortex. In longitudinal section, filaments were comprised of long filament bundles around a central medulla.

BDD filaments
Images of BDD filaments revealed spirochetes in longitudinal and cross-section (Figure 6B).

Discussion
We undertook this study to further characterize MD filaments and associated pathology. Initial histological studies done on calluses from MD patients revealed striking blue and red coloration in filaments projecting from these calluses (Figure 1A–D). These results are similar to the findings previously described in MD patients and demonstrate the consistency in MD pathology.4,5

Histological sectioning and electron microscopy revealed that MD filaments have a hollow medulla surrounded by a cortex, somewhat like a hair (Figures 2A–E and 6A). Association with hair follicles, the presence of follicular-like bulbs, and morphology such as scaling consistent with hairs has been reported in previous studies.4,5 Furthermore, preliminary SEM studies demonstrated that some colored filaments were small hairs.5 Hair-like morphology and keratin
Figure 4 (Continued)
Figure 4 (Continued)
Figure 4 (A) Warthin–Starry-stained section of a Morgellons disease callus, patient 2, demonstrating a spirochete (arrow). 1000× magnification. (B) Dieterle stain, spirochete from patient 1 (arrow). 1000× magnification. (C) Dieterle stain, spirochetes from patient 2. 1000× magnification. (D) Dieterle stain, spirochetes from patient 3. 1000× magnification. (E) Dieterle stain, spirochetes from patient 4. 1000× magnification. (F) Warthin–Faulkner-stained bovine digital dermatitis section, showing numerous spirochetes among keratinocytes. 1000× magnification.
Figure 5 (Continued)
Figure 5 (A) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), demonstrating typical appearance of filament projecting from skin surface, patient 2. Scale bar is shown at bottom of SEM. (B) SEM demonstrating the typical helical-shape outline of a spirochete under the skin surface, one-third down from top of right side (arrow). Scale bar is shown at bottom of SEM. (C) SEM of same specimen also demonstrating bacterial forms consistent with morphological variations of Borrelia spp. Scale bar is shown at bottom of SEM. (D) SEM of bovine digital dermatitis filaments, demonstrating filamentous and scaling surfaces. Scale bar is shown at bottom of SEM.
composition of some filaments is supported by the fact that a minor portion of filaments in this study were composed exclusively of keratin. The possibility that some filaments of keratin composition are hairs is supported by our observation of normal-sized blue hairs with intact follicular bulbs in some MD specimens.\(^4\,\textit{5}\)

Previous studies confirmed the presence of keratin in MD filamentous tissue.\(^6\) However, CK AE1/AE3 keratin staining of filaments associated with MD in this study was most often inconsistent and patchy, and many filaments did not demonstrate hair-like scaling, even under SEM (Figure 2A–F and 5D). Filaments ranged from round and relatively smooth to flattened with wrinkled, fraying, or eroded surfaces, and this morphology is more consistent with collagen composition than with keratin composition. This finding led us to investigate the possibility of collagen composition of filaments by histochemistry and electron microscopy. Gömöri trichrome staining revealed that the MD filaments observed in this study have a collagen component (Figure 2G–J). Filaments in cross-section and longitudinal section were also found adjacent to collagen-positive staining areas of epithelial tissue. Ingrown keratin projections often contained collections of proliferating fibroblasts that were associated with filament formation (Figure 2G–J). Retention of nuclei within filaments was frequently observed in filaments of both keratin and collagen composition. These findings provide evidence that filaments are cellular in origin, resulting from activation, proliferation, and elongation of both keratinocytes and fibroblasts.

Figure 6 (Continued)
Prior to this study, there was speculation that MD filament coloration could be structural in nature rather than produced by pigmentation. This initial hypothesis was based on the assumption that the filaments were keratin in composition. However, because bluish coloration of skin has been described in patients with pinta due to *T. carateum* infection, we speculated that altered melanin expression could be responsible for the blue and red coloration. Fontana-Masson histological staining confirmed that the blue color seen in filaments was caused, at least in part, by melanin pigmentation (Figure 3). Fontana-Masson melanin staining was negative in red filaments, and therefore the underlying cause of this coloration remains to be elucidated. It is possible that red coloring of filaments could involve pigmentation with a heme-containing compound or some other erythroid substance.

In our investigation, spirochetes were detected in MD dermatological specimens using Warthin–Starry and/or Dieterle silver nitrate-based histological staining (Figure 4A–E) and electron microscopic techniques (Figure 5B and C). A similar animal dermopathy, BDD, is also associated with unusual keratin filament production and the presence of spirochetes, as confirmed in our study (Figures 4F and 6B). In the case of BDD, detected spirochetes belong to various treponemal species. The genetic identification of MD spirochetes remains to be determined, but the fact that patients with MD have a high rate of tick-borne illness suggests that the detected spirochetes are from the genus *Borrelia*, and recent molecular studies provide evidence that MD cases are associated with *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto. The current findings suggest that in MD,
the presence of spirochetes not only stimulates and alters keratin expression by keratinocytes in the epidermis but also causes increased collagen expression by proliferative activated fibroblasts. Although our study was too small to draw definitive conclusions about a spirochetal etiology of MD, the histopathological results are provocative, and further testing in larger numbers of MD patients is warranted.

Various pathogens are known to induce hyperproliferative dermopathy. Hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis and keratin filament production is characteristic of hairy leukoplakia associated with Epstein–Barr virus infection, while over-expression of collagen (amianthoid) fibers in inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors has been associated with Epstein–Barr virus and Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpes virus infection. MD lesions are histopathologically distinct, however, from both hairy leukoplakia and myofibroblastic tumors. Increased keratin expression caused by upregulation of keratinocyte growth factor/fibroblast growth factor-7 has been reported in cholesteatoma, a hyperproliferative epithelial disease. As a consequence of B. burgdorferi infection and an inflammatory environment, dermal fibroblasts may become activated, modulating epithelial growth and differentiation through increased keratinocyte growth factor/fibroblast growth factor-7 expression. More importantly, B. burgdorferi has been shown to attach to and invade human fibroblasts, and viable B. burgdorferi spirochetes have been isolated from lysates of fibroblast monolayers after antibiotic therapy. This suggests that the spirochetes associated with MD may act similarly, causing unusual collagen and keratin filament production. It also suggests that persistent infection despite antibiotic treatment could be problematic in this subgroup of patients with Lyme-like illness.

A recent study from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) concluded that most of the filaments collected from MD dermatological lesions were cellulose, likely of cotton origin. In contradiction to their own conclusion, however, the authors of the CDC study reported that material collected from MD patients was over 83% protein in composition and subsequently that collected material was “likely superficial skin or cellulose consistent with cotton fibers.” This sample composition is consistent with keratin or other proteins rather than cellulose. The conclusions of the CDC study are contradictory, because cellulose is a carbohydrate rather than a protein and if 83% of samples were composed of protein, then only a minor percentage of samples (17% at most) could exclusively be composed of cellulose. The protein findings can be better explained by the possibility that the major proportion of patient samples included keratin and collagen fibers, while a minor portion of the samples included nonprotein debris that accidentally adhered to open wounds.

In summary, histological observations and electron microscopic imaging from representative MD samples suggest that dermal filaments are composed of keratin and collagen and result from proliferation and activation of keratinocytes and fibroblasts in the epidermis. We have also confirmed the presence of spirochetes in these samples. Although the number of patients in our detailed histopathological study is too small to draw definitive conclusions about the etiology of MD in general, the interplay of MD filaments and spirochetal infection merits further study.
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