Effects of rosiglitazone pretreatment on blood glucose, lipid levels, urea, and microalbuminuria in rats undergoing 70% pancreatectomy
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Background: Partial pancreatectomy is one of the main causes of type II diabetes, which occurs because of insulin deficiency due to a decrease in the number of $\beta$-cells. Partial pancreatectomy is the treatment of choice particularly for pancreatic cysts, benign and malignant tumors of the pancreas, and pancreatic abscesses. Morbidity and mortality associated with pancreatectomy increases as a result of diabetes and its complications.

Purpose: This experimental study was designed to investigate the effects of rosiglitazone pretreatment on blood glucose, lipid, urea, and microalbuminuria levels in rats undergoing 70% pancreatectomy.

Material and methods: Twelve Wistar albino rats weighing 180–230 g were randomized into two groups. The study group (n = 6) received rosiglitazone (10 mg/kg/day) orally for 4 weeks before and after pancreatectomy. The control group (n = 6) received vehicle. At the end of the fourth week, all rats underwent pancreatectomy, which was performed by removing 70% of their pancreatic tissue. Blood samples were taken from the tail veins at postoperative day one, week two, and week four for analysis of blood glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, and urea levels. Urine was collected from the bladder for microalbuminuria analysis.

Results: Blood glucose levels in the study group were significantly lower than the control group at postoperative day one, week two, week four (P = 0.01, P = 0.004, and P = 0.005, respectively). Significant microalbuminuria was present at postoperative week four when compared to the control group (P < 0.05). Triglyceride, cholesterol, and urea levels were within normal limits.

Conclusion: This study showed that pretreatment of rosiglitazone increased the tolerance to diabetes in rats undergoing partial pancreatectomy.
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Introduction
Partial pancreatectomy, performed particularly for reasons such as pancreatic cysts, benign and malignant tumors of the pancreas, or pancreatic abscesses, may result in diabetes. Partial pancreatectomy is one of the main causes of type II diabetes.\textsuperscript{1} Many studies have tried increasing the activity of $\beta$-cells with drug pretreatments to reduce the complications of diabetes.\textsuperscript{2–4} It has been speculated that persistent insulin resistance accelerates $\beta$-cell failure. Earlier intervention correcting insulin resistance or protecting $\beta$-cells may prevent the development and progression of diabetes. A decrease in insulin resistance and hyperglycemia may delay $\beta$-cell failure in type II diabetes.\textsuperscript{5} Kwon et al used long-term fermented soybean derivatives in rats subjected to 90% pancreatectomy and it was revealed that hepatic insulin resistance can be reduced.\textsuperscript{2}
were measured daily at 4:00–5:00 PM. All animals received access to food and water ad libitum. In the second and fourth week after surgery, fasting plasma glucose concentrations were significantly lower in the study group compared to the control group (P = 0.004, and P = 0.005, respectively). Postoperative day one blood glucose levels of the study group were higher compared to week four levels (P = 0.028 and P = 0.027, respectively). Postoperative week two blood glucose levels of the study group were higher compared to postoperative week four levels (P = 0.028). Significant changes were observed in postoperative blood glucose levels of the control group between day one, week two, and week four (P = 0.03). Postoperative blood glucose levels of the control group on day one were significantly higher compared to week four levels (P = 0.043), but no significant difference was observed among other times (P > 0.05). Microalbuminuria levels were not different between groups at postoperative day one and week two. Microalbuminuria at postoperative week four was significantly lower in the study group (P < 0.05).

No significant difference was observed in postoperative blood triglyceride, urea, and cholesterol levels between the

Ex vivo measurements. Change values in percentage (Mann–Whitney U test) were used in the comparison of study and control groups. P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results
Fasting blood glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, urea, and microalbuminuria levels at postoperative day one, week two, and week four of the study and control groups are shown in Table 1. Postoperative blood glucose levels of the study group at day one, week two, and week four were significantly lower than the control group (P = 0.01, P = 0.004, and P = 0.005, respectively).

Statistically significant changes were observed in postoperative blood glucose levels of the study group between day one, week two, and week four (P = 0.002). Postoperative day one blood glucose levels of the study group were significantly higher compared to week two and week four levels (P = 0.028 and P = 0.027, respectively). Postoperative week two blood glucose levels of the study group were higher compared to postoperative week four levels (P = 0.028). Significant changes were observed in postoperative blood glucose levels of the control group between day one, week two, and week four (P = 0.03). Postoperative blood glucose levels of the control group on day one were significantly higher compared to week four levels (P = 0.043), but no significant difference was observed among other times (P > 0.05). Microalbuminuria levels were not different between groups at postoperative day one and week two. Microalbuminuria at postoperative week four was significantly lower in the study group (P < 0.05).

No significant difference was observed in postoperative blood triglyceride, urea, and cholesterol levels between the
Table 1 Comparisons of blood fasting glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, urea, and microalbuminuria levels in study and control group on postoperative day one, week two, and week four

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td>144.5 (140.25–152)</td>
<td>192 (157.25–292.75)</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week two</td>
<td>113 (103.25–123.75)</td>
<td>186 (146–264)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week four</td>
<td>105.5 (100.5–113)</td>
<td>183.5 (130.25–229.25)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triglyceride</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td>100.5 (66–130.75)</td>
<td>121.5 (88–132.25)</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week two</td>
<td>103 (71–128)</td>
<td>87 (72.75–137.5)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week four</td>
<td>97 (77.5–134.25)</td>
<td>85.5 (73.25–99.25)</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td>62 (58.75–69.25)</td>
<td>68.5 (54.25–73)</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week two</td>
<td>47 (37.5–62)</td>
<td>64 (54.25–70.75)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week four</td>
<td>52 (45–65.25)</td>
<td>46.8 (37.38–63.83)</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td>38.85 (35.12–43.65)</td>
<td>37.3 (35.6–47.7)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week two</td>
<td>36.9 (32.07–42.2)</td>
<td>41.1 (35.05–45.5)</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week four</td>
<td>38.15 (35.5–46.65)</td>
<td>46.8 (37.38–63.83)</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microalbuminuria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week two</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0–8.0)</td>
<td>17.5 (0–42.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week four</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0–8.0)</td>
<td>26.0 (0.0–38.0)</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Indicates significance at 0.05.

The potential side effects of thiazolidinediones include edema and hemodilution. It is possible that lowering hemocrit is a secondary response to rosiglitazone-induced vasodilatation, which in turn activates the renin–angiotensin system, with subsequent hemodilution as a consequence of sodium and water retention. The beneficial effect of rosiglitazone on vascular reactivity is mediated by activation of endothelial PPAR-γ receptors, leading to increased nitric oxide synthesis. This production leads partly to a decrease in blood pressure in both human and animal models.12

According to the results of the current study, treatment with rosiglitazone before 70% pancreatectomy has significant effects on reducing the blood glucose levels. There were no changes in blood lipid levels of the two groups according to the data obtained at postoperative day one, week two, and week four. Microalbuminuria levels were observed to be lower in the study group than the control group at postoperative week four. The emergence of microalbuminuria is an important indicator of damage to renal endothelial cells caused by diabetes. Imano et al investigated the effect of troglitazone therapy for 12 weeks on microalbuminuria in patients with incipient diabetes, demonstrating that troglitazone decreased microalbuminuria significantly.13 Another study on patients with type II diabetes, examining the effects of rosiglitazone on albumin excretion in urine, showed that this drug has positive effects in decreasing renal and vascular complications of diabetes.14 Agrawal et al used rosiglitazone in renal insufficient patients who were taking sulfonlurea and reported that the patients tolerated this therapy well.15

Pistrosch et al showed in patients with type II diabetes that insulin resistance and microalbuminuria are in direct relationship, and emphasized that rosiglitazone fixes renal endothelial dysfunction, improves glomerular hyperfiltration, and decreases microalbuminuria.16 Heyliger et al emphasized that vanadium controls high blood glucose levels and prevents a decline in cardiac performance in rats.17 Tozzo et al and Harrity et al studied with db/db mice and revealed that muraglitazar, a PPAR-α/γ activator, prevents the natural progression of diabetes by correcting insulin resistance and preserving islet mass and β-cell function.5,17 Drugs in this category have been shown to prevent diabetes or decrease its adverse effects in recent studies, by PPAR-γ activation or by directly protecting β-cells.5,10,18 PPAR-γ activation shows its effect by stimulating adiponectin levels, helping to preserve β-cell function, prevent insulin resistance and β-cell apoptosis, and the need for insulin secretion.19–21 Rosiglitazone can act directly on β-cells, and indirectly improve insulin sensitivity. Han et al showed that rosiglitazone can inhibit the early stage of glucolipotoxicity-induced β-cell apoptosis.22 Kim et al showed that rosiglitazone increased glucose transporter-2, glucokinase, and BETA2/NeuroD gene expression.23 Similarly, in the current study, rosiglitazone may have demonstrated its effect...
by stimulating insulin secretion. Yilmaz et al found that significant improvement was observed in hemoglobin A1c in the insulin plus rosiglitazone (2.4%) group when compared to the insulin group. Daily total insulin dose was 12.7 units/day in the insulin group, which was decreased to 4.7 units/day in the insulin plus rosiglitazone group. No serious adverse events were observed in either group.24

Recent reports have highlighted the significant increase in cardiac morbidity and mortality in humans with type II diabetes mellitus. However, there are conflicting reports on the importance of rosiglitazone as a cardioprotective agent in postmyocardial infarction.25 Therefore, it is speculated that multifactorial factors take place in the effect of rosiglitazone on cardiovascular function, and therefore its use should be tailored for each individual patient at risk for cardiac failure.

Conclusion
In conclusion, treatment with rosiglitazone monotherapy, when initiated before the onset of diabetes, prevents the emergence of diabetes and may attenuate subsequent characteristics of diabetes in rats undergoing partial pancreatectomy.
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