Management of postoperative pain: experience of the Niamey National Hospital, Niger
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Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the management of postoperative pain at the Niamey National Hospital.

Methods: A prospective study was conducted in the Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at the Niamey National Hospital from March to June, 2009. Data collected included age, sex, literacy, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification, type of anesthesia, type of surgery, postoperative analgesics used, and the cost of analgesics. Three types of pain assessment scale were used depending on the patient’s ability to describe his or her pain: the verbal rating scale (VRS), the numerical rating scale (NRS), or the visual analog scale (VAS). Patients were evaluated during the first 48 hours following surgery.

Results: The sample included 553 patients. The VRS was used for the evaluation of 72% of patients, the NRS for 14.4%, and the VAS for 13.6%. Of the VRS group, 33.9%, 8.3%, and 2.1% rated their pain as 3 or 4 out of 4 at 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively, respectively. For the NRS group, 33.8%, 8.8%, and 2.5% rated their pain as greater than 7 out of 10 at 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively, respectively. For the VAS group, 29.3%, 5.4%, and 0% rated their pain as greater than 7 out of 10 at 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively, respectively.

Conclusion: Postoperative pain assessment and management in developing countries has not been well described. Poverty, illiteracy, and inadequate training of physicians and other health personnel contribute to the underutilization of postoperative analgesia. Analysis of the results gathered at the Niamey National Hospital gives baseline data that can be the impetus to increase training in pain management and to establish standardized protocols.
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Introduction

The management of postoperative pain is a well-recognized problem for surgical teams. Interventions such as the use of multimodal analgesia, the preemptive use of analgesics and local anesthetics very early in surgery, and the standardization of procedures for pain management in postoperative units have been shown to improve pain management and surgical recovery. Despite this, deficiencies in pain management persist even in developed countries.1,2 Postoperative pain remains a major concern that has been shown to affect outcomes. Several methods for assessing pain have been identified, each with their advantages and disadvantages. The choice of method is based on the patient or unit protocol. Much research has focused upon discovering new analgesic drugs, developing more effective modes of drug administration, and the integration of multiple agents and techniques (multimodal analgesia) into patient care, but applying that research to practice has not always succeeded.3–5
In Niger, the management of postoperative pain remains problematic. The goal of this study was to evaluate the current methods of postoperative pain management at the Niamey National Hospital.

**Methods**

This prospective study was performed in the Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at the Niamey National Hospital. Data was gathered from March through June 2009. The following variables were analyzed: age, sex, type of surgery, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification, type of analgesia, and cost of analgesia. Patients were evaluated at intervals during the first 48 hours post-surgery with one of three scales. The scales were assigned depending upon the patient’s comprehension: the verbal rating scale (VRS), the numerical rating scale (NRS), or the visual analog scale (VAS).

The VRS was presented to the patient as a series of descriptions, ranked and numbered, ranging from no pain (0), mild pain (1), moderate pain (2), and intense pain (3), to extremely intense pain (4).

The VAS was presented as a graduated line 10 cm long with designations from no pain at the left edge to maximum pain at the right. The patient was asked to position a cursor in the position that best represented the intensity of his or her pain (1–10). For the NRS, the patient was asked to rank his pain from 0 to 10 or 0 to 100 according to its intensity, with 0 representing the absence of pain and the maximum figure the worst pain imaginable. Data were analyzed with Epi Info 6™ (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA).

**Results**

The study included 553 postoperative patients. Their average age was 39 years, ranging from 7 to 87 years. Males accounted for 53.2%, with a male-to-female ratio of 1.14:1. Fifty-nine point three percent of our patients were illiterate. Orthopedic surgery was performed in 30.2%, urogenital surgery in 30%, and abdominal surgery in 21.9% (Table 1).

For anesthesia risk, 83.72% of patients were classified as ASA class 1 while 9.22% and 7.06% of patients were classified as ASA classes 2 and 3, respectively. General anesthesia was performed in 50.63%, spinal anesthesia was used in 48.28% and a plexus block in 1.08% of cases (Figure 1).

The most frequently used analgesics were tramadol (76.5%), paracetamol (64.5%), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) (12.1%), nefopam (2.3%) and nalbuphine (0.7%) (Figure 2).

Multimodal analgesia was used in 53.32% of patients and 1% of patients received a regional block in addition to intravenous analgesia. The pain assessment was made by the VRS in 72% of patients (95% confidence interval [CI]: 68%–75.6%), by NRS in 14.4% (95% CI: 11.7%–17.7%), and by VAS in 13.6% (95% CI: 10.9%–16.8%) (Figure 3). We noticed an increase in reported pain from 6 hours until 24 hours and then a gradual decline. Between 12 and 24 hours, the pain score diminished from moderate to severe, and was measured as weak after 36 hours.

Using the VRS assessment tool, 33.9%, 8.3%, and 2.10% had level 3 (intense) or 4 (extreme) pain at 12, 24, and 48 hours, respectively.

Among the patients assessed by the NRS, 33.8% reported a pain score greater than 7 out of 10 at 12 hours postoperatively. The number of patients reporting pain above 7 out of 10 decreased to 8.8% and 2.5% at 24 and 48 hours postoperation.

For the VAS group, 29.3%, 5.4%, and 0% of patients reported pain greater than 7 out of 10 at 12, 24, and 48 hours, respectively. The most frequently reported side effects of the use of analgesics were nausea and/or vomiting (36.62%).

**Table 1** Distribution of patients by the type of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urogenital</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear–nose–throat</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proctology</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In our series, orthopedic procedures were the most commonly performed surgeries and represented 30.2% of the sample. Urogenital surgery made up 30% while abdominal surgery was performed in 21.9% of cases. In a study in France, Esteve et al also reported a preponderance of orthopedic surgery with 64.76% orthopedic and 34.58% abdominal surgery. Regional anesthesia was performed in almost half of the patients. In poorly resourced health systems like those in most of Africa, regional anesthesia, specifically spinal anesthesia, has a certain advantage because it requires little equipment and less medication and is easy for the anesthetist to perform.

Only 1% of patients underwent a plexus block in spite of the fact that this technique offers several advantages including high patient satisfaction, reduced intraoperative blood loss, shortened length of stay, and lower incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Reasons for low utilization of plexus nerve blocks include the lack of trained personnel and necessary equipment. The most commonly prescribed analgesic drugs were tramadol, paracetamol, and NSAIDS because these analgesics were available at the hospital. Nefopam and nalbuphine were minimally used because they are difficult to find in Niamey. While morphine is commonly used in Nigeria, it is rarely used in Niamey even though it is widely available. Its use is avoided mainly because of the fear of side effects such as respiratory depression, vomiting, urinary retention, and pruritis. This should not be a limiting factor given the quality of analgesia provided by the use of opioids postoperatively. Their careful use with appropriate monitoring can reduce the incidence of side effects and also lower the cost of postoperative analgesia. Combinations of agents were used as part of multimodal analgesia. Drugs commonly used in combination were paracetamol, tramadol, and NSAIDS or nefopam along with NSAIDs. The most commonly prescribed analgesic Therapy Observational Survey in Europe shows use of multimodal analgesia improves local pain relief. The Postoperative Analgesic Therapy Observational Survey in Europe shows using multiple agents also allows for smaller doses of each, reducing the risk of adverse effects.

It is not common for practitioners in our hospital to use pain scales to assess postoperative pain. The intensity of postoperative pain is not noted in the postoperative patient record; this results in under appreciation of this sign and inadequate treatment by the medical and nursing staff.

In our study, we encountered many difficulties in using the VAS and NRS to assess pain in our patients who were, for the most part, uneducated. In some cases illiteracy and lack of medical knowledge combined to make understanding the concept of pain intensity a problem that forced...
us to use the VRS scale. Hence, the VRS assessment tool was used for 72%, the NRS for 14.4%, and theVAS for 13.6% of patients. In countries with higher literacy and education rates, other scales predominate in pain assessment studies. In a Senegalese review, the VRS was the least frequently used tool and a survey in France notes the use of tools in the descending order of NRS, VAS, and VRS.18,19 These results may be partly explained by the fact that patients received explanations of the pain assessment tools at the anesthetic preassessment interview in the French study.

All patients reported persistent pain in the postoperative period. Other studies have shown that pain management can be anticipated by the preoperative identification of patients and procedures prone to severe postoperative pain.20,21 Side effects of nausea and vomiting also caused discomfort to postoperative patients. This may be related to the high use of tramadol in our sample, which commonly causes nausea.21 Expenses incurred for analgesia ranged from US$3.66 to US$54.82. It should be noted that in the Republic of Niger, families must pay for all surgical supplies, which makes poverty a limiting factor in the quality of analgesia in a country where the majority of the population is poor and uninsured.21

Conclusion

This study assessed the management of postsurgical pain at the Niamey National Hospital. Results of our data collection from 553 postoperative patients indicate that pain is often not well controlled postoperatively. Our data highlights a number of findings including a general lack of assessment with scales in the postoperative period, the limited use of local analgesia and plexus blocks and the lack of use of morphine as a postoperative analgesic. Improving the management of analgesia for postoperative patients requires systematic staff training of the surgical team and the creation of a standardized framework for the assessment and management of postoperative pain.
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