Antimicrobial activity of metal oxide nanoparticles against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria: a comparative study
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Background: Nanomaterials have unique properties compared to their bulk counterparts. For this reason, nanotechnology has attracted a great deal of attention from the scientific community. Metal oxide nanomaterials like ZnO and CuO have been used industrially for several purposes, including cosmetics, paints, plastics, and textiles. A common feature that these nanoparticles exhibit is their antimicrobial behavior against pathogenic bacteria. In this report, we demonstrate the antimicrobial activity of ZnO, CuO, and Fe2O3 nanoparticles against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

Methods and results: Nanosized particles of three metal oxides (ZnO, CuO, and Fe2O3) were synthesized by a sol–gel combustion route and characterized by X-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, and transmission electron microscopy techniques. X-ray diffraction results confirmed the single-phase formation of all three nanomaterials. The particle sizes were observed to be 18, 22, and 28 nm for ZnO, CuO, and Fe2O3, respectively. We used these nanomaterials to evaluate their antibacterial activity against both Gram-negative (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis) bacteria.

Conclusion: Among the three metal oxide nanomaterials, ZnO showed greatest antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria used in this study. It was observed that ZnO nanoparticles have excellent bactericidal potential, while Fe2O3 nanoparticles exhibited the least bactericidal activity. The order of antibacterial activity was demonstrated to be the following: ZnO > CuO > Fe2O3.
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Introduction

The emergence of infectious diseases in general poses a serious threat to public health worldwide, especially with the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains. Generally, both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains are thought to present a major public health problem. Over the years, antibiotics have been used to control infections resulting from both community and hospital environments. Current advances in the field of nanobiotechnology, particularly the ability to prepare metal oxide nanomaterials of specific size and shape, are likely to lead to the development of new antibacterial agents. The functional activities of nanoparticles are influenced largely by the particle size. Therefore, nanoparticles have received great attention due to their unique physical, chemical, and effective biological properties in various fields, including medicine. The properties of nanoparticles can easily be altered by reducing or changing their size, especially when the manipulations are done at the...
nanometer scale. Similarly, tailoring of materials at the atomic level in order to attain unique properties has been widely reported. Considering these unique properties, nanosized organic and inorganic particles are being generated for ultimate use in medical practices, such as metal oxides of zinc, copper, and iron in biomedical research. In addition, nanoparticles with smaller particle size have been reported to show good antimicrobial activity. Antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles has largely been studied with human pathogenic bacteria such as Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. Moreover, these microbes seem to be highly sensitive to ZnO and CuO nanoparticles. Bacterial activity of such nanoparticles in part depends on (1) size, (2) stability, and (3) concentration in the growth medium. While growing in medium amended with nanoparticles, the bacterial population growth can be inhibited by specific nanoparticle interactions. In general, bacterial cell size is in the micrometer range, while its outer cellular membranes have pores in the nanometer range. Since nanoparticles can be smaller in size than bacterial pores, they will have a unique ability of crossing the cell membrane. There lies a strong challenge in preparing metal oxide nanomaterials stable enough to restrict bacterial growth significantly while in nutrient medium.

In comparison to published reports on physical and chemical properties, very limited information is available on the antimicrobial properties of metal oxide nanoparticles. Realizing the potential antimicrobial applications of metal oxide nanoparticles, we designed experiments to synthesize ZnO, CuO, and Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles using a gel-combustion method and subsequently tested their antibacterial activities against both Gram-negative (S. aureus and P. aeruginosa) and Gram-positive (B. subtilis) bacteria. The antibacterial activity was done by modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. In brief, the pure cultures of organisms were subcultured in Müller-Hinton broth at 35°C ± 2°C on a rotary shaker at 160 rpm. For bacterial growth, a lawn of culture was prepared by spreading the 100 µL fresh culture having 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL of each test organism on nutrient agar plates with the help of a sterile glass-rod spreader. Plates were left standing for 10 minutes to let the culture get absorbed. Then 8 mm wells were punched into the nutrient agar plates for testing nanomaterial antimicrobial activity. Wells were sealed with one drop of molten agar (0.8% agar) to prevent leakage of nanomaterials from the bottom of the wells. Using a micropipette, 100 µL (50 µg) of the sample of nanoparticle suspension was poured onto each of five wells on all plates. After overnight incubation at 35°C ± 2°C, the different levels of zone of inhibition were measured. Solvent blank was used as negative control. Antibiotic tetracycline was used as a positive control.

**Determination of antibacterial activity by well-diffusion method**

Antimicrobial activities of the synthesized metal oxide nanoparticles were performed against both Gram-negative (E. coli and P. aeruginosa) and Gram-positive (B. subtilis and S. aureus) bacteria. The antibacterial activity was done by modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. In brief, the pure cultures of organisms were subcultured in Müller-Hinton broth at 35°C ± 2°C on a rotary shaker at 160 rpm. For bacterial growth, a lawn of culture was prepared by spreading the 100 µL fresh culture having 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL of each test organism on nutrient agar plates with the help of a sterile glass-rod spreader. Plates were left standing for 10 minutes to let the culture get absorbed. Then 8 mm wells were punched into the nutrient agar plates for testing nanomaterial antimicrobial activity. Wells were sealed with one drop of molten agar (0.8% agar) to prevent leakage of nanomaterials from the bottom of the wells. Using a micropipette, 100 µL (50 µg) of the sample of nanoparticle suspension was poured onto each of five wells on all plates. After overnight incubation at 35°C ± 2°C, the different levels of zone of inhibition were measured. Solvent blank was used as negative control. Antibiotic tetracycline was used as a positive control.

**Determination of minimal bactericidal concentrations**

Bacterial minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) for metal oxide nanoparticles was determined by the broth-dilution method. In the present experiment, we used both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains. Control experiments were also carried out in the presence of known standard antibiotics (tetracycline). A 10 mL nutrient broth medium amended with metal oxide nanomaterials (10–100 µg/mL) was prepared separately. Each set was inoculated aseptically with 100 µL of respective bacterial suspension (10⁶ CFU/mL). The inoculated sets were incubated at 35°C ± 2°C for 24 hours. Viable bacterial colonies were counted and recorded by the naked eye determining the lowest concentration that locked bacteria growth, defining...
this as the MBC. The experiments were carried out in triplicate, and averages were reported.

Results
Morphological analysis
The typical XRD patterns of the ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles annealed at 400°C are shown in Figure 1. The peak positions of samples exhibit the hexagonal, monoclinic, and rhombohedral structures of ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$, which were confirmed from the International Centre for Diffraction Data card numbers 80-0075, 80-1916, and 85-0987, respectively. Furthermore, no impurity peaks were observed in the XRD patterns, as all of the three metal oxides showed single-phase sample formation. The crystallite size was calculated using the Scherrer formula,

$$D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$ (1)

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of X-ray radiation and $\beta$ is the full width at half maximum of the peaks at the diffracting angle $\theta$. Crystallite sizes were calculated to be 18 nm, 22 nm, and 26.1 nm for ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, respectively.

Figure 2 exhibits TEM images and histograms of particle-size distribution of ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles sintered at 400°C. Average particle sizes obtained from TEM images were found to be 19.89 ± 1.43 nm, 29.11 ± 1.61 nm, and 35.16 ± 1.47 nm for ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, respectively. The average particle sizes determined by TEM images were very close to the crystallite size calculated from XRD results. Thus, the TEM results correlate well with XRD results.

FTIR spectra were recorded in solid phase using the KBr pellet technique in the regions of 3500–400 cm$^{-1}$. FTIR spectra of ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles are shown in Figure 3. FTIR spectra of all three metal oxide (ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$) nanoparticles exhibited vibrations in the region 400–600 cm$^{-1}$, which can be attributed to the vibrations of M–O (M = Zn, Cu, and Fe) which confirms the formation of ZnO, CuO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. A weak band at around 2300 cm$^{-1}$ may be attributed to the vibrations of atmospheric CO$_2$. In the case of Fe$_2$O$_3$, the bands appearing at 1632 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the angular deformation of water $\delta$H–OH, while the band appearing at 3436 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to the O–H stretching of water. The present findings agree well with the values reported in the available literature.$^{17–21}$

Antimicrobial properties
Antibacterial activity results revealed that ZnO and CuO nanoparticles acted as excellent antibacterial agents against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria when
compared to Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. It is clear from the XRD and TEM results that ZnO nanoparticles are smaller in size compared to CuO and Fe$_2$O$_3$. Figure 4 shows the zone of inhibition produced by different metal oxide nanoparticles against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains. ZnO (19.89 ± 1.43 nm) nanoparticles exhibited maximum (25 mm) bacterial growth inhibition against *B. subtilis*, in the form of zone-of-inhibition studies, where diffusion of nanoparticles on nutrient agar plates inhibits growth. In contrast, CuO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ showed zones of inhibition of 21 and 15 mm, respectively, against *B. subtilis*. In the case of *E. coli* maximum growth, inhibition zones were found to be
the following: 19, 15, and 3 mm for ZnO, CuO, and Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively (Figure 5). Similar patterns were observed in the case of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, where the maximum zone of inhibition was exhibited by ZnO followed by CuO and Fe$_2$O$_3$. It appears that the antibacterial activity of the nanomaterials increased with increase in surface-to-volume ratio due to the decrease in size of nanoparticles.

**Discussion**

We demonstrated that the order of antibacterial activities of nanomaterials was ZnO (19.89 ± 1.43 nm) > CuO (29.11 ± 1.61 nm) > Fe$_2$O$_3$ (35.16 ± 1.47 nm), which indicates the size of the nanoparticles might also play a role in the antibacterial activity of each sample. Similar activity observations have been made for nanoparticles composed of a single metal oxide. However, it should also be noticed that Gram-negative bacterial strains of E. coli and P. aeruginosa had inhibition-zone sizes that were 24% and 16% lower than Gram-positive bacterial strains of B. subtilis and S. aureus in the case of ZnO nanoparticles. And in the case of CuO nanoparticles, same Gram-negative bacterial strains of E. coli and P. aeruginosa had zone sizes that were 28% and 33% lower than Gram-positive B. subtilis and S. aureus bacterial strains, respectively. This observation could also be indicative of higher Gram-negative strain resistance/tolerance against such nanomaterials over Gram-positive bacterial strains. Our finding is in agreement with Premanathan et al, who reported that the ZnO nanoparticle effect is more pronounced against Gram-positive bacterial strains than Gram-negative bacterial strains.

Furthermore, previous studies have shown that the smaller the ZnO particle size, the greater the efficacy in inhibiting the growth of bacteria, involving both the production of reactive oxygen species and the accumulation of nanoparticles. However, nanoparticles of ZnO were previously reported to act both as bactericidal agents and bacteriostatic agents, perhaps thereby limiting their biomedical use.

In another experiment, we analyzed the MBC of different metal oxide nanoparticles against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial strains (Table 1). ZnO nanoparticles were also found to be most bactericidal compared to Fe$_2$O$_3$ and CuO nanoparticles. In our MBC study of ZnO nanoparticles, ZnO was 72%, 80%, 88%, and 84% more effective than Fe$_2$O$_3$, while 28%, 31%, 27%, 50%, and 40% more bactericidal than CuO against against E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and B. subtilis, respectively. The bactericidal pattern of our synthesized nanomaterials against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial strains was again ZnO > CuO > Fe$_2$O$_3$. Our results are supported by Baek and An, who reported that ZnO was the most toxic nanomaterial among ten other nanomaterials. As previously observed with zone-of-inhibition studies, ZnO nanoparticles had 11% and 12% more bactericidal activity against Gram-positive S. aureus and B. subtilis than Gram-negative E. coli and P. aeruginosa, respectively. CuO nanoparticles were 12% and 21% more active against Gram-positive S. aureus and B. subtilis than Gram-negative E. coli and P. aeruginosa, respectively. Overall, our observations are that Gram-positive bacterial strains are more sensitive in comparison to Gram-negative strains against the nanomaterials tested.

Thus, in this report, ZnO nanoparticles have shown the best antibacterial behavior compared to CuO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. However, it is important to identify the key physicochemical properties of nanometal oxides that govern antibacterial activity and cytotoxicity to mammalian cells,
as has been previously initiated. It is essential to assess the contribution of the size, shape, morphology, and electronic properties on cytotoxicity if these particles are to have wide biomedical applications.\textsuperscript{28,29} Our expectation is first to see such nanomaterials applied as surface disinfectants, as their stability would allow long-term storage and prolonged activity.

**Conclusion**

The nanosized particles of pure ZnO, CuO, and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} were synthesized by the sol–gel combustion method. XRD and TEM results showed that ZnO nanoparticles were smallest (18 nm) in size compared to CuO (22 nm) and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (26 nm). Furthermore, the antibacterial activity of all the three synthesized nanomaterials was compared and varied considerably. Antimicrobial activity increased with increase in surface-to-volume ratio due to a decrease in particle size of nanoparticles. Here, ZnO nanoparticles showed excellent bactericidal potential, while iron oxide nanoparticles had the least bactericidal activity. Our results indicate that nanomaterials were most effective against Gram-positive bacterial strains compared to Gram-negative bacterial strains.
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**Table 1** Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of different metal oxide nanoparticles against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
<th><em>Escherichia coli</em></th>
<th><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></th>
<th><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></th>
<th><em>Bacillus subtilis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>18 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14 ± 0.6</td>
<td>16 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>25 ± 0.3</td>
<td>28 ± 0.5</td>
<td>22 ± 0.5</td>
<td>20 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>65 ± 1.5</td>
<td>120 ± 2.3</td>
<td>80 ± 1.5</td>
<td>78 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline*</td>
<td>30 ± 0.4</td>
<td>30 ± 1.2</td>
<td>28 ± 1.2</td>
<td>25 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are mean of three replicates; *standard antibiotic.


