A giant prostatic hyperplasia treated by open surgery
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Abstract: We report a rare case of giant prostatic hyperplasia treated by open surgery. A 70-year-old man was suffering from macrohematuria. Computed tomography revealed a markedly enlarged prostate measuring 580 mL. The serum prostate-specific antigen level was 9.430 ng/mL. Prostatic biopsy showed benign prostatic hyperplasia. We performed retropubic open prostatectomy, since macrohematuria continued and he was also suffering from lower urinary tract symptoms. The adenoma was completely enucleated in one piece. The removed specimen was 13 × 11 × 6 cm in size and weighed 475 g. Histological examination also demonstrated prostatic fibromuscular hyperplasia. This is the 15th-heaviest adenoma ever reported in English-language journals. Transurethral surgical techniques or other minimally invasive approaches are performed for patients with small to medium-sized prostates. However, open surgery is recommended for markedly enlarged prostatic hyperplasia.
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Introduction

Minimally invasive surgeries for benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) have been developed. However, simple open prostatectomy is a recommended method for extremely enlarged prostatic hyperplasia. Here, we report a case with a 475 g adenoma that was enucleated by retropubic open prostatectomy.

Case report

A 70-year-old man was admitted to our hospital with a complaint of asymptomatic macrohematuria. Urinalysis showed 50–99 red blood cells/high-power field and 0–1 white blood cell/high-power field. The result of his urinary cytology was class I. On digital rectal examination, the prostate was found to be grossly enlarged. The serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level was 9.430 ng/mL (normal range 0–4 ng/mL). Cystourethroscopy demonstrated bleeding from the prostatic urethra. A computed tomography scan of his abdomen and pelvis confirmed a considerably heterogeneous enlarged prostate measuring 580 mL. On T\(_1\)- and T\(_2\)-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a heterogeneous prostate with sharply margined nodules was found. The urinary bladder was superiorly pushed (Figure 1). Drip-infusion pyelography showed a normal upper urinary tract with a huge shadow defect of the urinary bladder (Figure 2). A prolonged and narrow prostatic urethra was observed by retrograde vesicourethrography (Figure 3). Total international prostate symptom
score (IPSS) was 14 points, and quality-of-life index was 4. Uroflowmetry (UFM) demonstrated maximum urinary flow rate and average urinary flow rate to be 12.6 mL/second and 4.8 mL/second, respectively, with 50 mL of residual urine volume. Histological examination using transrectal biopsies showed stromal hyperplasia without evidence of malignancy. Thus, he was diagnosed as having giant prostatic hyperplasia and underwent retropubic open prostatectomy through a lower abdominal midline incision. As expected, a markedly enlarged prostate with smooth surface could be seen in the pelvic cavity. The urinary bladder was superiorly displaced. The large adenoma was completely enucleated in one piece. The removed specimen was 13 × 11 × 6 cm in size and weighed 475 g (Figure 4). The operation time was 70 minutes, and blood loss during surgery was 1758 mL including urine. He did not need a blood transfusion. There was no apparent operative complication. Histological examination demonstrated prostatic fibromuscular hyperplasia. Vesicourethrography at postoperative day 7 showed that the prostatic urethra was obviously wider than before operation. At a month after surgery, improvement was noted in IPSS and UFM. IPSS was 11 points and quality-of-life score was 1. The results of the UFM were found to be maximum urinary flow rate of 29.2 mL/second, average urinary flow rate of 13.1 mL/second, and residual urine of 20 mL. The serum PSA level decreased to 1.520 ng/mL. Macrohемaturia disappeared about 2 weeks after operation.

**Discussion**

BPH is a pathologic process that can cause lower urinary tract symptoms. Etiology of prostatic growth demonstrates that prostate size increases slowly and steadily with aging. However, cause and effect links have not been established. The term “giant prostatic hyperplasia” was defined by Fishman and Merrill as exceeding 500 g. In this case, preoperative MRI revealed a 580 mL prostate, and actual weight was 475 g.

Although we thought that the increment in the PSA level was probably due to the enlarged prostate adenoma, we performed a biopsy (ten specimens) to rule out prostatic cancer. The result showed no malignant cells.

Surgical treatment for men with BPH is reserved for those who do not respond well to medical therapy or who have complications such as urinary retention. In this case, we considered that there was a surgical indication since macrohемaturia continued and he was suffering from lower urinary tract symptoms.

Transurethral resection of the prostate, the gold-standard surgery, is usually applicable to a BPH of up to moderate size (≤50–80 mL). On the other hand, the surgical treatment options for BPH have dramatically changed over the past two decades with the development of minimally invasive
therapies. They include holmium laser enucleation of the prostate, transurethral electrovaporization of the prostate, transurethral microwave thermotherapy, and others. However, these techniques are also performed for patients with slightly to moderately enlarged prostates. Rocco et al stated that 100 g is regarded as the limit of weight for those minimally invasive procedures.

European Association of Urology guidelines also show that open prostatectomy is the treatment of choice for large prostatic glands more than 80–100 mL in size. Since 2002, new minimally invasive simple prostatectomy techniques have been reported. McCullough et al published the data that laparoscopic simple prostatectomy for patients with BPH was less invasive compared to open prostatectomy. They stated that men who underwent laparoscopic prostatectomy had less postoperative catheter time and a shorter hospital stay, although a longer operation time. As for the prostate size, the average preoperative prostatic volume was 111.3 ± 35.3 cm³ in the laparoscopic group. It was thought that patients with severely enlarged adenoma were not treated with laparoscopic surgery. In 2008, robotic simple prostatectomy was first reported by Sotelo et al. Furthermore, Vora et al summarized the studies of robotic simple prostatectomy. In summary, robotic surgery provides all the merits of laparoscopy with a potentially shorter learning curve. Urinary flow rate, postvoid residual urine, and IPSS scores were also improved by robotic prostatectomy. Operation time and estimated blood loss were similar to those seen in the laparoscopic group. However, Sutherland and colleagues reported that they could not successfully perform robot-assisted simple prostatectomy for a man with severe prostatomegaly (260 g), although a maximal limit was not placed on prostatic adenoma size during the preoperative evaluation.

These two papers indicated that both laparoscopic and robotic simple prostatectomy would not be feasible for severely enlarged prostate.

Thus, we considered that simple prostatectomy was the recommended treatment for men with enlarged prostate, including giant hyperplasia. In this case, as the estimated prostate volume based on MRI was 580 mL, we performed retropubic open prostatectomy. Operation time was short, and no major complication occurred.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the 15th-heaviest adenoma ever reported in the English-language literature. Simple open prostatectomy was performed in all 15 cases. We believe that open surgeries are recommended for giant prostatic hyperplasia.
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