Value of routine chest radiography in the diagnostic work-up of ill returned travelers
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Background: Respiratory tract infections frequently occur in ill returned travelers, a minority of whom present with pneumonia. The most accurate and cost-effective diagnostic work-up remains an area of uncertainty. In this retrospective cohort study, the utility of routine chest radiography was evaluated.

Methods: This study was performed at the Institute for Tropical Diseases in Rotterdam and included all returned travelers in the period between 2007 and 2009 that were ill with symptoms lasting less than 1 month and had chest radiography on admission. Travelers’ demographic (including travel history), clinical, and laboratory data were collected on admission and evaluated for their diagnostic power to predict radiographic evidence of a pulmonary infiltrate.

Results: Fifty-three (7%) of 750 ill returned travelers had radiographic evidence of a pulmonary infiltrate. Presentation with cough (odds ratio [OR] 2.80, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.46–5.38), or elevated C-reactive protein values (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.09–1.17), and white blood cell count (OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.05–1.17) strongly correlated with the presence of a pulmonary infiltrate. Recursive partitioning analysis identified a subset of 384 patients presenting with both cough and fever, or C-reactive protein values in excess of 23 mg/L that would optimally benefit from chest radiography.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicate that a more judicious use of chest radiography in the routine work-up of ill returned travelers is warranted.
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Introduction

It is estimated that over 50 million people from industrialized countries travel to developing countries annually, and as many as 22%–64% of those travelers experience adverse health events related to their trip. Importantly, 8% of them are ill enough to seek medical advice.1–3 Respiratory tract infections occur in 10%–30% of those who become ill,1,4–6 a small minority of whom present with pneumonia.7 At the Institute for Tropical Diseases in Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ill returned travelers are evaluated following a standardized diagnostic protocol, which includes chest and sinus radiography. In this retrospective cohort study, the utility of routine chest radiography in the diagnostic work-up of ill returned travelers was evaluated. Analyses were done in the same cohort in whom the use of routine sinus radiography was evaluated.8

Methods

The Institute for Tropical diseases, a unit of the 161-bed Harbor Hospital located in Rotterdam, The Netherlands, serves as a national referral center for tropical diseases.
All ill returned travelers presenting with acute symptoms (defined as lasting less than 1 month) who had chest radiography on presentation were included in this retrospective cohort study. For each traveler, demographic (including travel history), clinical, and laboratory data were collected, as well as chest radiography findings. After database entry, research data could not be traced back to individual patients. Chest radiography was performed in two directions: the posterior anterior view and the lateral view.

**Statistical analysis**

All values are presented as median (range). Comparison between groups was made with the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test. Contingency tables were analyzed with Fisher’s exact test. Presentations with fever, cough, a feeling of malaise, symptoms of a common cold, and the inflammation parameters (C-reactive protein [CRP], erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR], and white blood cell [WBC] count) were evaluated in univariate and multivariate (forward stepwise) binary logistic regression. Due to their large ranges in comparison with WBC count, we transformed the variables ESR by dividing the values by three, and CRP by dividing the values by ten, respectively, for the logistic regression analyses. Odds ratios (OR) are given as the mean (95% confidence interval [CI]). Finally, a predictive algorithm to distinguish between patients at a high or lower risk for pulmonary infiltrate was constructed using recursive partitioning analysis, with the same variables used in the logistic regression analysis.

Recursive partitioning analysis belongs to a family of machine learning nonparametric techniques and can be used to explore relationships between predictive variables and outcomes in complex data. Classification and regression tree (CRT) analysis, which was the routine implemented in this study, aims to identify cohorts in populations that are as homogenous as possible with regard to the dependent variable. On each recursion, CRT seeks the variable and, if continuous, its cut-off value, that optimally identifies discrete subgroups until a stopping criterion, such as a minimum improvement in homogeneity or a minimum subgroup size of less than a predefined number (50 in this study), is reached.6 In our analysis, unequal misclassification costs were specified, so that misclassification as “no pulmonary infiltrate” was associated with a three times higher cost. Results are presented as a decision tree, which is intuitive and resembles clinical practice of diagnostic decisions. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics software for Windows, version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

**Results**

A total of 1024 patients who visited the Institute for Tropical Diseases from January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009, were screened to identify those eligible for inclusion. Overall, 750 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria, while 259 patients were excluded from analysis for not having a recent travel history. An additional 15 patients were excluded because of incomplete data. General patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age was 39 years, ranging from 11 to 75 years. More males than females were included in our study, in an approximate 4:3 ratio. Moreover, 53 patients (7%) had chest radiograph findings compatible with pulmonary infiltrate. No significant differences in age, gender, travel destination, and travel duration were seen between ill returned travelers with radiographic abnormalities and those without.

Fever and cough were found to be present more frequently in travelers with radiographic findings that were compatible with pulmonary infiltrate, while the presence of “common cold” and malaise was evenly distributed between the groups. Median ESR, CRP, and WBC count values were significantly higher in travelers with radiographic abnormalities than in those without, with median CRP values of 150 mg/L and 15 mg/L in the respective groups. Adjusted in multivariate logistic regression (the results of which are presented in Table 2), cough (OR 2.80 [1.46–5.38, \(P = 0.002\)], CRP (OR 1.13 [1.09–1.17, \(P < 0.001\)]), and WBC count (OR 1.08 [1.05–1.17, \(P = 0.038\)]) had significantly predictive value for the presence of a pulmonary infiltrate. Of note, malaise was found to correlate negatively with such radiographic abnormalities, while the presence of fever, common cold, and ESR levels were not significantly predictive.

To approximate efficient clinical practice where results of laboratory tests become available only at a later moment, and a decision on whether or not to order chest radiography is made at the earliest possible point in time, CRT analysis was first performed using the variables known at an early stage (ie, the presence of fever, cough, symptoms of malaise, and the “common cold”). The generated decision tree is shown in Figure 1. The presence or absence of fever was found to most accurately dissect the whole cohort into segments of greater homogeneity, where in the high-risk segment, 37 (10%) of 370 patients presenting with fever had pulmonary infiltrates. In the remaining lower-risk segment, 16 of 380 patients (4.2%) had pulmonary infiltrates. Using the presence of cough, both segments could be split into terminal segments, where the presence of both cough and fever resulted in the highest likelihood of finding a pulmonary infiltrate. Patients in the remaining three terminal segments were less likely to
show pulmonary infiltrates than in the general, unselected cohort.

In clinical practice, for patients who had not been selected for chest radiography based on clinical information only, chest radiography may be ordered for those with a high index of suspicion based on clinical signs and symptoms and newly available variables (ie, CRP, ESR, and WBC count). In order to find the optimal selection criteria with high sensitivity and specificity, a decision tree was built using CRT analysis.

### Table 2 Logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms and signs</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis OR (95% CI, P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever (≥38°C)</td>
<td>P = 0.003</td>
<td>P = 0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Common cold”</td>
<td>P = 0.760</td>
<td>P = 0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>P = 0.002</td>
<td>2.80 (1.46–5.38, P = 0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>P = 0.056</td>
<td>0.40 (0.20–0.78, P = 0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/hour)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.13 (1.09–1.17, P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.08 (1.05–1.17, P = 0.038)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** All patients (n = 750) and the following parameters were entered into a univariate logistic regression, followed by forward stepwise multivariate logistic regression. In multivariate analysis, cough, CRP, and WBC count values predicted the presence of a pulmonary infiltrate, while malaise was negatively correlated with chest radiography findings.

**Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell.

**Discussion**

Respiratory tract infections are among the most frequent illnesses encountered in ill returned travelers, with approximately one-third of those involving the lower respiratory tract. In ill returned travelers, diagnostic decisions differ greatly from those made in patients from the general population presenting with similar signs and symptoms, and may include routine use of chest radiography. This retrospective cohort study, covering a 3-year observation period, showed that one in 14 of 750 ill returned travelers had evidence of a pulmonary infiltrate on plain chest radiography.
Unsurprisingly, such radiographic findings were seen vastly more frequently in patients presenting with fever, cough, or elevated inflammation parameters.

The results of this study dispute the utility of routine chest radiography in the diagnostic work-up of ill returned travelers independently of their presentation. In multivariate analysis the presence of fever and elevated ESR values correlated with chest radiography abnormalities, this correlation was found not to be significant in multivariate logistic regression, most likely due to the stronger predictive value of CRP. Surprisingly, symptoms of malaise were negatively correlated with the presence of a pulmonary infiltrate, a phenomenon for which we have no sound explanation.

Using recursive partitioning analysis, we built a decision tree and were able to identify a segment of the cohort that would optimally benefit from chest radiography based on immediately available clinical parameters. To better approximate clinical practice where a clinician may want to order chest radiography for ill returned travelers who have an increased index of suspicion based not only on their clinical parameters but on newly available laboratory test results, we repeated the above analysis with all available variables, with the presence of both cough and fever as a first forced selection criterion. The resulting tree classified 366 patients as negative based on the absence of either fever or cough and a CRP value under 23 mg/L. With seven (1.9%) false negatives in this group, and given the uncertainties surrounding the clinical relevance of pulmonary infiltrates in patients with CRP values under 23 mg/L, it seems unnecessary to order chest radiography in this cohort, avoiding unnecessary radiation exposure in a large number of patients. Conversely, cohorts presenting with cough and fever, or with CRP values in excess of 23 mg/L represented patients with a high risk of exhibiting abnormalities on chest radiography. Diagnostic accuracy in the latter group could be further enhanced by using a cut-off value of $6.5 \cdot 10^9$//L for WBC count as a selection criterion. It may be possible to increase diagnostic accuracy using information gained from physical examination not present in this study.

We advocate a more judicious use of chest radiography in the diagnostic work-up of ill returned travelers, which will
not only prevent unnecessary exposure to potentially harmful radiation, but it will also considerably reduce costs.

To our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind first to evaluate the value of routine chest radiography in the diagnostic work-up of ill returned travelers. In conclusion, the results of this retrospective cohort study indicate that chest radiography should only be used in the diagnostic work-up of a carefully selected subset of all ill returned travelers seeking medical advice.
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