Lens dislocation has a possible relationship with laser iridotomy
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Abstract: We report our recent experience of four eyes with spontaneous lens dislocation in four patients with no history of trauma or any systemic disease associated with zonular dialysis. Lens dislocation developed with 0.5 to 6 months following laser iridotomy. All patients were male and two eyes were complicated with acute primary angle closure glaucoma preoperatively. Case 1 showed bilateral lens dislocation, while cases 2 and 3 involved unilateral lens dislocation. Cases 2 and 3 showed lenses completely dislocated into the vitreous cavity. All cases needed lens removal and scleral fixation of intraocular lenses. Final visual acuity was 1.2 in all cases. We suspect that laser iridotomy may induce localized zonular dialysis that results in progressive zonular weakness, leading to lens dislocation.
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Introduction

Laser iridotomy or surgical peripheral iridectomy is typically performed after eye administration and intravenous drip injection of anti-glaucomatous drugs as a primary treatment for acute primary angle closure glaucoma.1,2 Laser iridotomy is performed not only for treatment of acute primary angle closure glaucoma, but also for prevention of this condition.3 Cases of complete dislocation of the lens into the vitreous cavity4,5 or dislocation of the lens into the anterior chamber3,5–8 have been reported after laser iridotomy.9,10 Causes of lens dislocation include trauma, Marfan syndrome, pseudoexfoliation syndrome, retinitis pigmentosa, homocysteinuria, uveitis, and microspherophakia.4,5,11–13 We encountered three cases of lens dislocation after laser iridotomy in four eyes from patients with no personal or family history of these conditions.

Case report 1

A 68-year-old man presented with lens dislocation in the right eye. The patient had undergone laser iridotomy in both eyes at his former clinic 2 months prior to our examination due to acute primary angle closure glaucoma in the right eye. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in the right eye was 0.6 (+0.50 diopters [D]) and in the left eye was 0.8 (+1.00, −2.00 × 170). Intraocular pressure was 29 mmHg in the right eye and 19 mmHg in the left eye. Gonioscopy showed narrow angles in both eyes. Corneal endothelial cell density in the right eye was 2890 cells/mm² and in the left eye was 2755 cells/mm². There was no history or any sign of ocular or systemic abnormality associated with lens dislocation, eg, eye trauma, pseudoexfoliation, Marfan syndrome, retinitis pigmentosa, homocysteinuria, or uveitis. On slit-lamp examination, the lens...
was dislocated posteriorly in the right eye and laser iridotomy was open in both eyes (Figures 1 and 2). Anterior vitrectomy, lens removal, and scleral fixation of an intraocular lens (P366UV, Bausch and Lomb, +18.0 D) were performed immediately in the right eye.

Six months after laser iridotomy, the patient experienced sudden visual loss in the left eye. BCVA in the right eye was 1.2 (+0.50, 2.00 × 180) and in the left eye was 0.3 (−2.00, 0.50 × 70). Intraocular pressure in the right eye was 14 mmHg and in the left eye was 19 mmHg. Corneal endothelial cell density in the right eye was 2732 cells/mm² and in the left eye was 2695 cells/mm². Upon slit-lamp examination, the lens was dislocated into the anterior chamber in the left eye (Figure 3). Anterior vitrectomy, lens removal, and scleral fixation of an intraocular lens (VA-65BB, HOYA, +18.5 D) were performed immediately. Twenty-eight months after bilateral laser iridotomy, the BCVA was 1.0 (−0.75, −0.75 × 120) and intraocular pressure was 16 mmHg in the left eye. Corneal endothelial cell density in the right eye was 2667 cells/mm² and in the left eye was 792 cells/mm².

**Case report 2**

A 52-year-old Japanese man presented with acute primary angle closure glaucoma in the right eye. On examination, BCVA in the right eye was 1.0 (−0.25, −3.00 × 90) and was 1.2 (−0.50) in the left eye. Intraocular pressure was 16 mmHg in both eyes. Gonioscopic examination showed complete closure over 360 degrees in both eyes. Primary angle closure glaucoma was diagnosed, and multicolor laser iridotomy was performed in the right eye on the same day. Seven days later, the same procedure was performed in the left eye.

Four months after laser iridotomy, the patient complained of acute decreased vision in the right eye without any recent history of eye trauma. BCVA was 1.0 (+15.5, +0.75 × 95) and corneal central endothelial cell density was 2342 cells/mm² on specular microscopy. No crystalline lens was present on slit-lamp examination. Following mydriatic examination, lens displacement into the vitreous cavity was seen (Figure 4). A 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy, lens removal, and scleral fixation of an intraocular lens (P366UV, Bausch and Lomb, +25.0 D) were performed immediately in the right eye. Nine months after laser iridotomy, BCVA was 1.2 (+2.25, −2.50 × 100) and intraocular pressure was 16 mmHg in the right eye.

**Case report 3**

A 71-year-old Japanese man presented to the emergency room complaining of a “feeling of wrongness” in the right eye. The patient had undergone laser iridotomy in both eyes at his former clinic 2 weeks prior to our consultation due to acute primary angle closure glaucoma in the right eye.
Intraocular pressure was 28 mmHg in the right eye and laser iridotomies were open in both eyes. The patient was continuing the ophthalmic solutions and drugs prescribed for internal use at his former clinic.

Four weeks after laser iridotomy, the patient complained of acute decreased vision in the right eye without any recent history of eye trauma. On examination, BCVA in the right eye was 1.0 (+11.0, +0.50 x 35) and in the left eye was 1.2 (non corrigent). Intraocular pressure in the right eye was 16 mmHg and in the left eye was 13 mmHg. Gonioscopy showed narrow angles in both eyes. Corneal endothelial cell density in the right eye was 2833 cells/mm² and was 2740 cells/mm² in the left eye. There was no history or sign of any ocular or systemic abnormality known to be associated with lens dislocation. On slit-lamp examination, no crystalline lens was present. Lens displacement into the vitreous cavity was seen on mydriatic examination. A 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy, lens removal, and scleral fixation of an intraocular lens (VA-65BB, HOYA, 25.0 D) were performed immediately in the right eye. The lens has been displaced into the vitreous cavity.

Discussion
A summary of all cases is shown in Table 1. The laser iridotomy conditions for Case 2 are detailed in Table 2. To the best of our knowledge, only two cases demonstrating complete dislocation of lenses into the vitreous cavity after laser iridotomy have been reported till now. One by Seo et al and another reported by Moore et al. Cases 2 and 3 in the current report showed complete lens dislocation into the vitreous cavity after laser iridotomy. The cases reported by Seo et al were unknown complicated acute primary angle closure glaucoma or prevention for acute primary angle closure glaucoma and other diseases including eye trauma.

Although improved surgical results and a decreased number of complications are due to development of techniques and instruments in vitreoretinal surgery, it is unwise to offer unnecessary intervention. Some cases have developed retinal detachment as a complication after surgery. Seong et al, Melamed et al, Kawashima et al, and Kwon et al have reported lenses dislocated into the anterior chamber after laser iridotomy. The left eye of case 1 in the current report was the fifth known report in which a lens was completely dislocated into the vitreous cavity after laser iridotomy. Case 1 was the second bilateral case after that reported by Kwon et al, which showed bilaterally dislocated lenses after laser iridotomy.

Past reports involving a total of seven eyes included three with acute primary angle closure glaucoma, one with pseudoexfoliation syndrome, one with eye trauma, one with retinitis pigmentosa, and one with phacodonesis as the complication before laser iridotomy.

To the best of our knowledge, no reports have been documented in which only acute primary angle closure glaucoma caused lens dislocation. There is a strong connection between acute primary angle closure glaucoma and weakness of the ciliary zonule. There is no consensus as yet whether zonular instability is a consequence of acute primary angle closure glaucoma or if zonular instability causes acute primary angle closure glaucoma. Laser iridotomy with high energy and carelessly focused shots might...
Table 2 Laser condition of case 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>Type of laser</th>
<th>Energy (mW)</th>
<th>Shots (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First step</td>
<td>Right, left</td>
<td>Multicolor yellow</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second step</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Multicolor red</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Multicolor red</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: mW, milliwatt.

induce zonular rupture. Above all, laser iridotomy performed for acute primary angle closure glaucoma without complication may cause lens dislocation. In addition, phacodonesis, retinitis pigmentosa, pseudoexfoliation syndrome, and a past history of eye trauma will increase the risk of lens dislocation. Primary phacoemulsification and aspiration and intraocular lens implantation for acute primary angle closure glaucoma without complication have increased in the last 10 years.1,15 Because the results were good,1,15 we will be able to decrease laser iridotomy in the future. None of our three patients pursued a lawsuit because the results were good, but laser iridotomy with careless diagnosis may induce lens dislocation. Although the complication rate is low,2 we must consider the safety of laser iridotomy.
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