FGF1-gold nanoparticle conjugates targeting FGFR efficiently decrease cell viability upon NIR irradiation
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Abstract: Fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) are overexpressed in a wide variety of tumors, such as breast, bladder, and prostate cancer, and therefore they are attractive targets for different types of anticancer therapies. In this study, we designed, constructed, and characterized FGFR-targeted gold nanocjugates suitable for infrared-induced thermal ablation (localized heating leading to cancer cell death) based on gold nanoparticles (AuNPs). We showed that a recombinant ligand of all FGFRs, human fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1), can be used as an agent targeting covalently bound AuNPs to cancer cells overexpressing FGFRs. To assure thermal stability, protease resistance, and prolonged half-life of the targeting protein, we employed highly stable FGF1 variant that retains the biological activities of the wild type FGF1. Novel FGF1 variant, AuNP conjugates are specifically internalized only by the cells expressing FGFRs, and they significantly reduce their viability after irradiation with near-infrared light (down to 40% of control cell viability), whereas the proliferation potential of cells lacking FGFRs is not affected. These results demonstrate the feasibility of FGF1-coated AuNPs for targeted cancer therapy.
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Introduction

Targeted cancer therapies are nowadays extensively developed as very promising strategies for cancer treatment. Specific delivery of the therapeutic agent to the tumor site provides a benefit of higher efficacy of cancer cell elimination, while being less harmful to the healthy tissues. 1 One of the molecular targets that can be used to distinguish between the cancerous cells and the surrounding normal cells are transmembrane proteins belonging to the family of fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs), which contains four closely related members (FGFR1–4).

Overexpression of FGFRs has been reported in a wide variety of tumors, such as breast, prostate, bladder, and gastric cancer, and has been associated with tumor progression and poor patient prognosis. 2 Depending on the localization and cancer type, different types of FGFRs are overexpressed. For example, elevated levels of FGFR1, FGFR2, and FGFR4 are found in breast cancers, the amplification of FGFR2 is observed in gastric cancers, the overexpression of FGFR1 and FGFR3 is associated with papillary thyroid carcinoma, and FGFR4 overexpression occurs in prostate cancers. 3, 4 Moreover, a low level of FGFR expression is found on the surface of noncancer cells in the tumor vicinity. Therefore, FGFRs represent a potential therapeutic target, raising their currently significant interest. 5 Until now, small molecule tyrosine kinase
inhibitors and specific antibodies have been developed and tested to target FGFRs in various cancer types.6

In this study, we employed a natural ligand of FGFR, fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1) as a targeting agent specifically aimed at cancer cells. FGF1 is a member of a large family of growth factors involved in diverse cellular processes such as cell proliferation, migration, angiogenesis, as well as embryonic and fetal development.7 FGF1 interacts with all four FGFRs, and upon receptor binding and activation, it is effectively internalized into the cell. This feature makes this growth factor a particularly useful targeting agent.

One of the biophysical features of FGF1 that limits its potential pharmacological use is its poor stability and relatively short half-life in vivo. Its denaturation temperature is close to 40°C, the physiological temperature at which almost half of the protein molecules exist in an unfolded state. To overcome stability limitations of the wild type (wt) FGF1 we took advantage of highly stable triple mutants previously engineered by us with a denaturation temperature of 61.5°C.8

Targeted therapy can be supported with recent advances in nanobiotechnology. One of the recently revisited and actively developed techniques is infrared-driven thermal ablation of tumor cells. Metal nanoparticles of defined size can absorb near-infrared (NIR) light, leading to a temperature increase to over 40°C.9 Such an elevated temperature is sufficient for the direct killing of tumor cells or for sensitizing them to chemo- or radiotherapy.10,11 Among extensively studied metal nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are considered to be well suited for cancer therapy and for diagnostic applications due to their structural, optical, and chemical properties such as their stability in physiological conditions, infrared radiation response, and low immunogenicity in vivo.12 In order to achieve tumor-specific and localized thermal effects, nanoparticles can be coated with various targeting molecules that increase their selective cellular binding and internalization through receptor-mediated endocytosis.13

Here, we present an AuNP-based system employing a modified FGF1 stable variant as a targeting agent to reach and destroy tumor cells. Our study clearly shows that the engineered growth factor retains its biological activity and stability profile, and upon conjugation with AuNPs is effectively internalized by cells expressing FGFR1 but not by FGFR-deficient cells. Moreover, irradiation with NIR light resulted in significantly decreased viability of FGFR-expressing cells treated with FGF1-conjugated nanoparticles, as compared to treatment with uncoated AuNPs.

Materials and methods

Construction, expression, and purification of FGF1 variant

The truncated form of human FGF1 (residues 21–154) cloned in the pET-3c vector was used. Point mutations Gln40Pro, Ser47Ile, His93Gly, Cys117Ser, and N-terminal Cys-Gly-Gly-Gly insertion were introduced with QuikChange™ site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene Cloning Systems, La Jolla, CA) using mutagenic primers (Genomed SA, Warsaw, Poland). Hereafter, the FGF1 variant used in this study will be abbreviated as FGF1v. Recombinant FGF1v was expressed at 37°C in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3)pLysS strain and purified on a heparin-Sepharose CL-6B. Purity and identity of a resulting protein was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and mass spectrometry (MS).

Conformational and stability measurements

In order to evaluate the impact of the introduced mutations on the FGF1 structure, circular dichroism (CD) and fluorescence spectra were collected at a protein concentration of 5 × 10−6 M in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.3, using a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Inc, Easton, MD) and JASCO FP-750 spectrofluorimeter (JASCO, Inc, respectively. CD measurements were performed in the 210–260 nm range and fluorescence spectra were recorded in the 300–400 nm range upon excitation at 280 nm.

To determine the stability of FGF1v, a thermal denaturation curve was acquired based on the changes in the fluorescence emission intensity at 353 nm of the tryptophan residue (Trp107) excited at 280 nm. Measurements were performed in the presence of 0.7 M GdmCl in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.3, and at a protein concentration of 2 × 10−6 M. Thermodynamic data were analyzed using PeakFit software (Systat Software, Inc, San Jose, CA), assuming a two-state reversible equilibrium transition.

Gold colloid synthesis

Among several procedures of AuNPs synthesis,14,15 the protocol based on the reduction of chloroauric acid by sodium citrate and tannic acid was applied.16,17 Briefly, a 20 mL solution containing 4 mL 1% (w/v) trisodium citrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and 0.08 mL 1% tannic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) was simultaneously added to the solution (80 mL), which contained 1 mL 1% (w/v) chloroauric acid (Sigma-Aldrich). The mixture was heated to 60°C for
Preparation of FGF1\(_v\)-AuNP conjugates

The FGF1\(_v\)-coated AuNPs were prepared by ligand exchange between reduced protein with exposed N-terminal cysteine residue and citrate ions under the protection of nonionic surfactant, n-decyl-\(\beta\)-D-maltoside. Freshly synthesized AuNP colloids in the aqueous phase were stabilized with citrate counter ions. The addition of nonionic detergent replaced the citrate counter ion, producing the stable protected nanoparticles. Fourteen different detergents of four types were tested to choose the optimal one for this procedure. The detergents were added to the \(-10\) nM solution of gold colloid to reach a final concentration of a two times critical micelle concentration, gently mixed and left for 1 hour at room temperature. FGF1\(_v\) was reduced prior to conjugation with 0.5 mM TCEP for 30 minutes at room temperature, and the excess of the reducing agent was removed using desalting column (Zeba, Pierce, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Rockford, IL). The reduced protein was added dropwise to the gold colloid solution, mixed gently and incubated under mild shaking overnight at 4\(^\circ\)C.

Protein was added to a final concentration of 150 \(\mu\)M to provide a 15-fold molar excess over AuNPs. To remove, the unbound FGF1\(_v\) colloids were centrifuged five times at 10,000 \(g\) for 30 minutes at 4\(^\circ\)C. Supernatants were discarded and nanoparticles were resuspended in sterile phosphate buffer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The dispersion of FGF1\(_v\)-AuNPs was verified by measurement of UV-Vis spectrum using Cary 300 (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA). The size was determined by the dynamic light scattering (DLS) Zetasizer version 6.00 beta 2 (Malvern Instruments). The concentration of FGF1\(_v\) conjugated to AuNPs was estimated using modified Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Hercules, CA), with the FGF1\(_v\)-based calibration.

Mass spectrometry

The presence of the FGF1\(_v\) bound to the surface of nanoparticles was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight MS on Applied Biosystems 4800 (Life Technologies). Colloid-bound FGF1\(_v\) was either reduced with 0.5 M dithiothreitol (DTT) or to 0.1 M tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) for 1 hour at 37\(^\circ\)C, or trypsin digested with subsequent identification of tryptic peptides. The supernatant from the last wash of the conjugates’ preparation was also subjected to MS analysis in order to confirm the absence of unbound FGF1\(_v\) in the colloid solution.

Cell culture

BJ cells were grown in Quantum 333 medium (PAA Laboratories GmbH, Pasching, Austria) and mouse fibroblast (NIH 3T3) cells were cultured in Quantum 333 medium containing 2\% bovine serum (Life Technologies). U2OS or U2OS stably transfected with FGF1\(_v\) (U2OS R1) cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Life Technologies) containing 10\% fetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories GmbH). Cells were seeded into tissue culture plates the day preceding the start of the experiment. U2OS R1 cells were a kind gift from Dr Ellen M Haugsten from The Norwegian Radium Hospital.

Proliferation assays

The serum-starved NIH 3T3 cells grown on the 96-well plates were treated with FGF1 wt, FGF1\(_v\), FGF1\(_v\)-AuNP conjugates, and AuNPs in the presence of heparin (10 U/mL). After incubation for 48 hours, 5 mg/mL MTT solution (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for 4 additional hours. Then equal volume of lysing buffer (13.5\% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 45\% dimethylformamide (DMF)) was added, and after 24 hours the absorbance of dissolved formazan crystals (570 nm) was read on a Spectra Max 340 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader (Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA). Alternatively, AlamarBlue (Life Technologies) was added to cells treated with FGF1\(_v\), FGF1\(_v\)-AuNP conjugates, or AuNPs, and after 4 hours the fluorescence of the reduced form of the dye was measured on a Spectra Max Gemini XS fluorescence plate reader (Molecular Devices LLC). FGF1 wt and FGF1\(_v\) were added to the cells at concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 ng/mL. For all cellular experiments, we used the same system of concentration notation; 1*, 10*, and 100* ng/mL FGF1\(_v\)-AuNPs denotes the concentration of FGF1\(_v\) bound to AuNPs, while 1**, 10**, and 100** ng/mL AuNPs denotes the concentration of AuNPs equal to the concentration of AuNPs in 1*, 10*, and 100* ng/mL FGF1\(_v\)-AuNPs.
Activation of signaling cascades

Serum-starved NIH 3T3 were stimulated for 15 minutes with FGF1, FGF1-AuNPs, or AuNPs in the presence of heparin (10 units/mL). Cells were then washed with phosphate buffered saline, lysed with SDS sample buffer, and sonicated. Total cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE separation and Western blot analysis. Membranes were probed with antiphospho-p44/42 MAP kinase antibody (Thr202/Tyr204) (p-Erk1/2) and anti-p44/42 MAP kinase antibody (Erk1/2) (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc, Danvers, MA), antiphospho-FGFR (Tyr653/Tyr654) (p-FGFR) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Santa Cruz, CA; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc), antiphospho-Akt antibody (Ser473) (p-Akt) (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc), antiphospho-PLCγ antibody (Tyr783) (p-PLCγ) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc), and visualized with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc, West Grove, PA) and chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Confocal microscopy

Primary amines on the surface of the FGF1γ were fluorescently labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) dye (Sigma-Aldrich) prior to conjugation with nanoparticles. The excess of free dye was removed with a disposable spin column. FGF1γ-FITC was then conjugated to AuNPs using a detergent exchange approach described above.

Serum-starved U2OS and U2OS R1 cells cultured on glass coverslips were incubated with 100 ng/mL labeled FGF1γ, and a respective amount of FGF1γ-gold conjugates in the presence of heparin (10 U/mL) for 30 minutes at 37°C, which were then washed twice with phosphate buffered saline followed by fixation with a formalin solution (Sigma-Aldrich). The incubation time of the growth factor or FGF1γ-AuNPs with the cells was chosen based on previously published data on FGF1 internalization at 37°C.18,19 Finally, the fixed cells were incubated with Hoechst dye to visualize the nuclei. The coverslips were mounted with Mowiol® (Sigma-Aldrich) and examined with a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) at the 488 nm excitation to visualize FITC-labeled FGF1γ, and in the reflection mode under 633 nm excitation to visualize AuNPs.

NIR induced hyperthermia

U2OS, U2OS R1, and BJ cells cultured on 96-well plates were treated with FGF1γ, uncoated gold colloids, and FGF1γ-AuNPs in the presence of heparin (10 U/mL) for 1 hour at 37°C. Then the cells were washed with medium to remove any unbound nanoparticles and they were exposed to NIR light generated by 808 nm diode laser (1.2 A, OPTEL Opole, Poland) for 20 minutes. A spot of approximately 4 mm in diameter was exposed to the irradiation with an 808 nm diode laser in each treated well. The laser was put in the same distance from the bottom of the well, and therefore it was illuminating the same area in each well with the same relative energy in all photothermal experiments. Cell viability has been assessed 12 hours after treatment with the use of MTT assay. Each experiment was repeated four times.

Results

Design, expression, and characterization of FGF1 mutant

We converted a poorly stable FGF1 and turned it into a molecule of high stability, increased resistance to degradation, and suitable for precise and site specific conjugation to AuNPs. We took advantage of the FGF1γ, that we formerly designed and characterized, containing three mutations (Gln40Pro, Ser477Le, His93Gly), which provide a dramatic increase of 21°C in the denaturation temperature and protect the growth factor against proteolysis. Next, to ensure precise cysteine-mediated linkage to AuNP, a flexible Cys-Gly-Gly-Gly linker was introduced at the protein N terminus. In addition, the exposed Cys117 in the FGF1 molecule was substituted with serine, so that the engineered N-terminal cysteine contained the single thiol group available for the conjugation with a nanoparticle surface (Figure 1A). Ortega et al20 showed that Cys117Ser mutation did not affect protein stability and significantly increased the protein half-life in plasma, which is an additional benefit for therapeutic or diagnostic applications.

FGF1γ was expressed in E. coli and purified by affinity chromatography using heparin-Sepharose resin. Its CD and fluorescence spectra were virtually identical to the wt protein, indicating that the engineered FGF1γ was native and properly folded (Figure 1B). Thermal denaturation was monitored by the measurement of the single tryptophan residue fluorescence at 353 nm, which was completely quenched in the folded state and significantly recovered upon protein denaturation. We assumed a two-state reversible thermal transition, as we have previously shown, for a large set of FGF1 mutants.21 Based on the denaturation curve, thermodynamic parameters were calculated using the Santoro–Bolen equation (Figure 1C).22 The Td value of FGF1γ is 60.9°C, which is 21.5°C higher than that of wt FGF1, and closely approximates the Td of the previously
described triple variant (Gln40Pro, Ser47Ile, His93Gly). In conclusion, the addition of the cysteine-containing linker at the N terminus together with Cys117Ser substitution did not lead to any substantial changes in protein stability.

To verify whether the introduced modifications did not affect the growth factor’s biological activities, especially receptor binding and activation, cell proliferation assay in the NIH 3T3 cells was performed (in the presence of heparin). FGF1V engineered for nanoparticle coating showed the same proliferation potential as the wt FGF1, which in the presence of heparin is very similar to the triple FGF1 stable mutant (Gln40Pro, Ser47Ile, His93Gly) activity (Figure 1D).

**AuNP preparation and characterization**

AuNPs are widely used in nanobiotechnology and are considered to be representative nanomaterial. Several methods of their synthesis have been developed, which differ in the capping agent, product size, shape, and tendency...
to aggregation.24 Protein conjugation to AuNPs needs to be performed in a hydrophilic solution and under mild pH conditions. For this reason we synthesized nanoparticles using the Turkevich method.16,17 After synthesis, the AuNPs were stabilized with citrate ions, which were subsequently exchanged for detergent molecules, and finally for the protein thiol group (Figure 2A). Synthesized nanoparticles were characterized with UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy (Figure 2B). They displayed a characteristic band with the maximum absorption at 520 nm, corresponding to the average size of nanoparticles of 10 nm in diameter.25 This result was also confirmed by electron microscopy and by DLS measurements (Figure 2C and D). The solution was monodisperse and did not contain any aggregates.

Preparation of FGF1-AuNP conjugates
Proteins can be conveniently attached to the surface of the AuNPs via a strong covalent bond between cysteine thiol and gold. Since gold colloids without a capping agent are prone to aggregation,23 we conducted two-step functionalization to minimize the risk of gold colloid’s aggregation; the developed protocol was based on the detergent exchange approach.26,27 After the initial coating of the nanoparticles with a low concentration of a nonionic detergent, the detergent molecules were gradually exchanged for the protein molecules. The excess of protein was completely removed in several subsequent washing steps, as determined by MS.

To choose the optimal detergent for coating of AuNPs with FGF1, yielding a monodisperse colloid preparation, we tested 14 detergents with different molecular structures and properties representing four groups: polyoxyethylene, alkyl glycosides, zwittergents, and glucamides. The results are summarized in Table 1. In general, alkyl glycosides proved to be the most effective in the preparation of the protein-nanoparticle conjugates, with polyoxyethylene being slightly less effective, whereas zwittergents and glucamides yielded colloids prone to aggregation. In this particular system, n-decyl-β-D-maltoside allowed us to produce monodisperse, stable, high-yielding gold colloids, and was used in all subsequent preparations.

Characterization of FGF1, AuNP conjugates
The UV-Vis spectrum measurement confirmed that FGF1, AuNPs were monodisperse (Figure 2B) with a hydrodynamic diameter of 17.3 nm as established by DLS (Figure 2D). Noncoated AuNPs were approximately 9 nm in diameter, thus the increase in the hydrodiameter of FGF1, AuNPs suggested the presence of covalently bound FGF1, on the surface of nanoparticles. FGF1, AuNPs did not show any signs of aggregation when visualized by transmission electron microscopy (Figure 2C). Importantly, FGF1, AuNPs retained colloidal properties even in high salt concentrations, which caused aggregation of noncoated colloids (Figure 2E).

The presence of FGF1, on the surface of gold colloids was additionally confirmed by MS and Western blot analysis. To identify FGF1, via MS, conjugates were reduced with high concentrations of reducing agents (0.5 M DTT or 0.1 M TCEP). The reduced sample yielded the mass spectrum of intact FGF1, whereas the untreated conjugate did not result in any peaks in the range of the free FGF1 mass (Figure 3A and B). Both samples were also verified by Western blot analysis with the use of anti-FGF1 antibody confirmed by the MS analysis (Figure 3C, upper panel). For the verification of FGF1 presence on the nanoparticle surface, we have also employed a second MS approach, which involved trypsin digestion of conjugates and subsequent identification of tryptic peptides. This method revealed the presence of FGF1 peptides in the FGF1, AuNPs sample and confirmed the presence of FGF1, on the surface of conjugates (Figure 3D).

The solution from the last washing step of the conjugate preparation was also subjected to Western blot and MS analyses. There was not any trace of either the FGF1 band in immunoblotting assay, or of FGF1 detected by MS (Figure 3C, lower panel). In addition, the absence of free FGF1, was confirmed by the proliferation assay in NIH 3T3 cells. The last wash did not activate the FGFRs, and the downstream signaling cascades and did not stimulate NIH 3T3 fibroblast proliferation, confirming again that there was no free FGF1, in the sample of FGF1, AuNPs. Due to the spectral properties of AuNPs, spectroscopic measurements of protein concentration were not possible. Therefore, the concentration of FGF1, conjugated to the AuNPs was estimated using a modified Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Biological activity of FGF1, AuNPs
The biological activity of FGF1, conjugated to the AuNPs was tested using the NIH 3T3 cell line. To establish whether the immobilized FGF1 is natively folded and retains its ability to bind to GFG receptors on the cell surface, we employed a proliferation assay. Serum-starved NIH 3T3 cells were treated with varying concentrations of FGF1 and corresponding concentrations of FGF1, AuNPs (with the
same amount of FGF1\textsubscript{V} and gold colloids alone (with the same amount of AuNPs). As shown in Figure 4A, the colloid-bound FGF1\textsubscript{V} stimulates the proliferation of the NIH 3T3 cells at a level comparable to FGF1\textsubscript{V}. In agreement with the proliferation assay, FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNPs activated all main FGF1-induced signaling pathways (Figure 4B). Furthermore, FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNPs showed a moderate proliferative effect, presumably due to the presence of a functional growth factor on their surface. These results show that the FGF1\textsubscript{V} covalent bond to gold colloids is properly folded, fully functional, and not cytotoxic for the cells.

**FGFR-mediated specific cell uptake of FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNPs**

To determine if the FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNP particle conjugates can be efficiently internalized in an FGFR-dependent manner, we employed two model cell lines: U2OS and U2OS, stably transfected with FGFR1 (U2OS R1), as described previously by Haugsten et al.\textsuperscript{19} Untransfected U2OS cells did not express any FGFR isoforms, and thus could serve as a negative control, as confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. Fluorescently labeled FGF1\textsubscript{V} (FITC-FGF1\textsubscript{V}) was attached to the surface of AuNPs via the

---

**Figure 2** Biophysical characterization of AuNP conjugates. (A) Scheme of the two-step functionalization of AuNPs with FGF1\textsubscript{V} based on the gradual exchange of detergent molecules for the protein. (B) UV-Vis spectrum of the synthesized gold colloids and FGF1\textsubscript{V}-coated gold colloids (FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNPs). (C) Transmission electron microscopy image of gold colloid solution and AuNPs coated with FGF1\textsubscript{V}. Bar equals to 100 \(\mu\)m. (D) DLS analysis of AuNPs coated with FGF1\textsubscript{V} (upper panel) and synthesized unconjugated AuNPs (lower panel). (E) UV-Vis spectra of AuNPs treated with high salt concentration, coated with the FGF1\textsubscript{V} (FGF1\textsubscript{V}-AuNPs) and aggregated AuNPs without any coating agent. 

**Note:** The observed shift of absorption maximum corresponds to nanoparticle aggregation, as the conjugates coated with FGF1\textsubscript{V} are a lot more stable.

**Abbreviations:** AuNP, gold nanoparticle; Au, gold; FGF1\textsubscript{V}, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; UV-Vis, ultraviolet visible spectroscopy; DLS, dynamic light scattering.
Table I Detergents tested for the preparation of gold colloids coated with FGF1·AuNPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent</th>
<th>Detergent</th>
<th>MW – average</th>
<th>CMC [mM]</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>NP conjugation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TRITON® X-100</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Polyoxyethylenes</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C12E8</td>
<td>538.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Polyoxyethylenes</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C14E8</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Polyoxyethylenes</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tween 20</td>
<td>1227.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Polyoxyethylenes</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>n-Hexyl-β-D-glucopyranoside</td>
<td>264.3</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>Alkyl glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>n-Octyl-β-D-glucoside</td>
<td>292.4</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>Alkyl glycosides</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>n-Decyl-β-D-maltoside</td>
<td>482.6</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>Alkyl glycosides</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>n-Dodecyl-β-D-maltoside</td>
<td>510.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Alkyl glycosides</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ZWITTERGENT® 3–10</td>
<td>307.6</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>Zwittergent</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ZWITTERGENT® 3–12</td>
<td>335.6</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Zwittergent</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LDAO</td>
<td>229.41</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Zwittergent</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DDAO</td>
<td>201.35</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>Zwittergent</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Big CHAP, Deoxy</td>
<td>862.1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Glucamides</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MEGA®-8</td>
<td>321.4</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>Glucamides</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Nanoparticle conjugation efficiency was judged based on coated nanoparticle monodispersity, resistance to salt-induced aggregation, and effective replacement with protein. (+++) denotes the detergent yielding nanoparticles with best characteristics, while (++) and (+) correspond to detergents that were relatively less efficient, yielding less dispersed colloids. (−) indicates that the detergent does not prevent colloid aggregation during coating.

Abbreviations: FGF1, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; MW, molecular weight; CMC, critical micelle concentration; NP, nanoparticles; LDAO, lauryldimethylamine N-oxide; DDAO, N,N-dimethyldecylamine N-oxide.

The conjugates (FITC-FGF1·AuNPs) or free FITC-FGF1 were incubated with U2OS and U2OS R1 cells for 30 minutes at 37°C, and they were then analyzed with a confocal laser scanning microscope. In order to directly visualize AuNPs, we have employed the resonance light scattering of AuNPs.28 We observed that both fluorescently labeled free FGF1·AuNPs and FGF1·AuNPs were internalized by FGFR1-expressing cells (U2OS R1), which was in clear contrast to the FGFR-deficient cells (U2OS). The presence of AuNPs (by reflectance mode) was detected only in the U2OS R1 cells, and they colocalized with FGF1·AuNPs-FITC (Figure 5). These results confirm that FGF1·nanoparticle conjugates were internalized in a receptor-dependent manner.

NIR induced hyperthermia in FGFR-positive cells treated with FGF1·AuNPs

Finally, we evaluated the applicability of the FGF1·coated nanoparticles for the targeted photothermal therapy. Untransfected U2OS cells, U2OS R1 cells highly expressing FGFR1, and BJ cells naturally expressing FGFR1 were treated with FGF1·AuNPs or AuNPs and then irradiated with NIR light (808 nm). The level of FGFR1 expression in the BJ cells was quite high as compared to the other primary cells. Both FGF1-positive cell lines showed significantly decreased viability upon NIR irradiation (39% of the initial viability in the case of U2OS R1 cells, and 58% of the initial viability in the case of BJ cells), whereas in cell line lacking FGFR (U2OS cells) no effect of irradiation in the presence of FGF1·AuNPs was observed (viability equal to 95% of untreated controls) (Figure 6A and B). Both cell lines were also exposed to 808 nm laser irradiation in the absence of FGF1·AuNPs, and incubated with FGF1·AuNPs without laser light treatment. Cells treated with uncoated AuNPs served as an additional negative control (treated and untreated with infrared light).

Laser irradiation of cells untreated with conjugates did not yield significant cytotoxicity; this was similar to cells treated with nanoparticles in the absence of laser irradiation (Figure 6A and B). This indicates that neither nanoparticles nor laser light itself could be toxic for cells and influence their viability. On the contrary, FGF1·AuNPs alone showed a slight increase in viability, presumably caused by the proliferation activity of the FGF1· of conjugates.

Taken together, the photothermally induced cell damage was prominent only for cell lines expressing FGFR1, which is in agreement with the receptor-specific internalization of nanoconjugates shown above (Figure 5).

Discussion

FGFRs meet the expectations for potential therapeutic targets, as significant amplification of FGFRs has been identified in various tumor types.3 Currently there are several different strategies to develop an effective anticancer therapy of the FGFR-related tumors. They include the application of small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors,29,30 FGF ligand traps preventing FGFs from binding to their receptors,31 and monoclonal antibodies against FGFs and FGFRs.32,33
Figure 3 Biophysical characterization of FGF1\textsubscript{v}-gold nanoparticles. (A) Mass spectrometry analysis of intact FGF1\textsubscript{v}. (B) Mass analysis of FGF1\textsubscript{v}-gold nanoparticles reduced with TCEP. (C) Western blot analysis of conjugates and their preparation steps with anti-FGF1 antibody. Upon SDS-PAGE, separation FGF1\textsubscript{v} can be detected only after reduction by TCEP – when released from the nanoparticle surface. (D) Mass spectrometry analysis of trypsin digest of FGF1\textsubscript{v}-coated gold nanoparticles. Note: Tryptic peptides corresponding to the FGF1\textsubscript{v} sequence are annotated. Abbreviations: FGF1\textsubscript{v}, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; TCEP, tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphonate; FGF1, fibroblast growth factor 1; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Figure 4 Biological activity of FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNP conjugates. (A) Cell proliferation in response to FGF1\textsubscript{v}, FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs, and nanoparticle colloids alone (AuNPs) measured using Alamar Blue assay. Starved NIH 3T3 cells were incubated with the growth factor for 48 hours in the presence of 10 U/mL heparin. Equal volume of PBS was added to the control samples. Results shown are mean values from four experiments. Bars indicate +/- standard deviation. (B) Activation of signaling cascades in NIH 3T3 cells after incubation with increasing concentrations of FGF1\textsubscript{v}, FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs, and nanoparticle colloids alone (AuNPs) detected with Western blot analysis. Notes: 1\textsuperscript{st}, 10\textsuperscript{th}, and 100\textsuperscript{th} ng/mL AuNPs denotes the concentration of AuNPs equal to the concentration of AuNPs in 1\textsuperscript{st}, 10\textsuperscript{th}, and 100\textsuperscript{th} ng/mL FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs. Abbreviations: FGF1\textsubscript{v}, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; AuNP, gold nanoparticle; PLC\textsubscript{\gamma}, phospholipase C-gamma; NIH 3T3, mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line; PBS, phosphate buffered saline.
In this report, we evaluate a novel approach of targeting tumors overexpressing FGFRs with their natural ligand, FGF1, conjugated with AuNPs.

To exploit FGF1 targeting potential, we designed a new variant of this growth factor suitable for therapeutic application. Based on the formerly characterized stable FGF1 mutant, we engineered a protein (FGF1\textsubscript{v}) containing three stabilizing point mutations that overcame low stability and the relatively short half-life in vivo of the wt FGF1, Cys117Ser substitution to eliminate Cys on the protein surface, and N-terminal Cys-Gly-Gly-Gly flexible linker to enable precise site-directed conjugation of FGF1 to gold. The engineered FGF1\textsubscript{v} was properly folded, highly stable, and showed biological activity well comparable to the wt FGF1. These properties were preserved upon conjugation to AuNPs.

FGF conjugates previously studied in the form of fusion proteins with bacterial toxins (such as diphtheria toxin and \textit{Pseudomonas} exotoxin) were shown to kill tumor cells.\textsuperscript{34,35} The main obstacle was the high immunogenicity effect evoked by these fusions in vivo. Alternatively, the FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNP conjugates that we synthesized are expected to exhibit minimal immunogenicity due to the good biocompatibility of AuNPs in vivo.\textsuperscript{36}

Gold colloids comprise a novel group of potential anticancer agents. Given their plasmonic absorption in the NIR range, which can be effectively converted into thermal energy, they can be used to evoke localized heating within the tissues leading to tumor cell death (called thermoablation or hyperthermia).\textsuperscript{37} Due to the low permeability of NIR into the tissues, photothermal therapies involving plasmonic AuNPs were limited to the penetration range of available lasers. However, in the 650–900 nm region where the absorption of physiological fluids and tissues is minimal, the Food and Drug Administration Class 1 (microwatt) laser can efficiently penetrate up to 10 cm through the tissue.\textsuperscript{38} The efficiency of AuNP-based systems can be further improved by their conjugation with targeting molecules, and these were shown to be suitable for therapy against solid tumors.\textsuperscript{39}

Figure 5 Receptor-specific internalization of FGF1\textsubscript{v} or FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs visualized with confocal fluorescence microscopy.

Notes: U20S R1 and U20S cells were incubated with fluorescently labeled FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs (FITC, FGF1\textsubscript{v}-AuNPs), and fluorescently labeled FGF1\textsubscript{v} (FITC, FGF1\textsubscript{v}). AuNPs were detected with confocal reflectance and cell nuclei were visualized with Hoechst staining. Only cells expressing FGF receptor internalized conjugates.

Abbreviations: FGF1\textsubscript{v}, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; AuNPs, gold nanoparticles; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.
Kogot et al. demonstrated that the FGF1 wt can be effectively conjugated via His-tag to the CAAKA peptide passivated AuNPs of 1.5 nm; however, they did not present how coupling with the nanoparticle affected the biological activity of the growth factor. The system used in this study, which was based on much larger, 10 nm nanoparticles, presents different advantages together with different challenges to be overcome. The major benefit is that larger nanoparticles are much more effective for the photothermal therapy of cancerous cells.

The 10 nm AuNPs suitable for photothermal therapy are much larger than the FGF1 wt molecule itself, and the major difficulties to overcome during the conjugation process were the direct and unspecific hydrophobic interactions of protein and nanoparticle surfaces, leading to protein denaturation and loss of function. Using the detergent exchange approach with a panel of different detergent types, we developed and further optimized a protocol yielding nanoparticles coated with FGF1 covaletly bound to a gold surface that were monodisperse and retained protein functionality. The covalent attachment of FGF1v to AuNPs was verified by several methods including a reduction protocol followed by MS analysis. After incubation in a highly reductive environment, conjugated proteins could be released from the nanoparticles and identified by MS. This approach provides a robust, reliable, and versatile method to determine the proper conjugation of protein to AuNPs, and should be universal and suitable for other gold-thiol based systems.

Figure 6 Decrease in viability of FGFR-positive cells after irradiation with NIR. (A) U20S R1 and U20S, and (B) Bj cells treated with FGF1v-AuNPs show significantly decreased viability irradiated with NIR.

Notes: Results shown are mean values from three experiments. Bars indicate ± standard deviation. Cells were treated with NIR (808 nm diode laser, 1.2 A) for 20 minutes, and the viability was measured by the MTT assay 12 hours after NIR treatment. Equal volume of PBS was added to the control samples.

Abbreviations: FGFR, fibroblast growth factor receptor; NIR, near-infrared; FGF1v, fibroblast growth factor 1 variant; AuNPs, gold nanoparticles; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; PBS, phosphate buffered saline.

Finally, we showed that irradiation with NIR laser light allows for effective and specific photothermal destruction of cancer cells expressing FGF receptors on their surface upon treatment with FGF1v-AuNPs. After single 20-minute irradiation with 808 nm light, the viability of FGFR1-expressing cells decreased by about 60%. This result clearly shows the potential applicability of novel growth-factor targeted AuNPs in cancer treatment. Even though the laser wavelength used for irradiation was significantly different from the surface plasmon resonance wavelength of AuNPs, the cytotoxic effect was observed, and we believe that it was due to the second harmonic generation described previously. Indeed, previous studies have shown the applicability of NIR irradiation with AuNPs, and that the required laser power of NIR irradiation (800 nm) was ten times lower than for the visible (520 nm) light treatment. Furthermore, it was
previously suggested that due to the close proximity of the nanoparticles in the endosomes, their absorption spectrum may shift to the NIR region.\(^\text{46}\) Additionally, NIR irradiation offers the advantage of being highly permeable in tissues when compared to visible light.\(^\text{47}\)

Our study is the first report employing engineered FGF-type ligands to the specific delivery of AuNPs to cancer cells via FGFR1-mediated endocytosis. The results presented show the potential applicability of FGF1-gold conjugates in further animal studies using FGFR-expressing xenografts, which may lead to the development of a novel anticancer therapy in the future.
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