Incidental finding of Meckel’s diverticulum in an umbilical hernia in a 60-year-old man
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Abstract: Meckel’s diverticulum is an outpouching in the wall of the intestine. Although it is the most common congenital abnormality of the gastrointestinal tract, diagnosing and deciding on intervention is often a matter of debate when it presents asymptomatically. A 60-year-old man with an umbilical hernia presented to our institution for elective surgery. A Meckel’s diverticulum was incidentally discovered perioperatively and removed. Although the mortality and risk of complications are low, the surgical team in this case decided to remove the diverticulum to prevent any possible complications from occurring in the future due to its presence.
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Introduction
Meckel’s diverticulum is found in approximately 2% of the population and is the most common congenital abnormality of the gastrointestinal system. It is formed when the omphalomesenteric duct fails to obliterate by the 7th week of gestation, resulting in developmental remnants being left behind.1 Meckel’s diverticulum is more often diagnosed in males because complications are more frequently seen among men.2 In cases of symptomatic Meckel’s diverticulum, patients may present with abdominal pain, cramping, nausea, vomiting, or rectal bleeding which mimics several other gastrointestinal disorders, thereby making it difficult to diagnose. In children, Meckel’s diverticulum most commonly leads to lower gastrointestinal bleeding, but in adults more commonly leads to intestinal obstruction. However, many people with Meckel’s diverticulum are asymptomatic, leading to incidental findings during abdominal surgery, as in the present case. There has been much controversy and debate regarding whether or not to remove asymptomatic Meckel’s diverticulum found incidentally during surgery. However, it has been shown that performing an ileal resection allows removal of damaged tissue, inflammation, and ectopic tissue, thereby preventing any additional torsion or obstruction,3 as was done in the patient described here.

Case report
The patient was a 60-year-old Caucasian male who arrived at our medical center for hernia repair after being diagnosed with a ventral umbilical hernia a few weeks prior to arrival. He denied any pain but felt some tenderness and pressure localized to the area of the umbilicus, along with an obvious midline umbilical protrusion. He did not have a history of previous hernias. He denied any nausea, vomiting, fever, or chills. He reported no changes in his bowel habits, and no colicky pain was present.
The patient had a past medical history of hypertension, obesity, and dyslipidemia. His family history was not contributory. The patient was not in any acute distress on physical examination. Examination of the heart and lungs was unremarkable. Abdominal examination revealed a small tender umbilical mass. The rest of the abdomen felt soft and nontender, and there was no distension or guarding. Bowel sounds were present. Rectal examination was unremarkable. The patient’s complete blood count was within the normal range and showed a white cell count of 5300 cells/µL, hemoglobin of 14.4 g/dl, hematocrit of 44.4%, and a platelet count of 206/mm$^3$. His basic metabolic panel was also within normal range.

After adequate anesthesia was obtained, an elliptical incision was made to remove the thin umbilical skin and dissected down to the fascia where the en bloc excision of the hernia sac, adipose tissue, and skin were removed. During an attempt to clean off the fascia, it was noted that there were some adhesions in the intraperitoneal cavity which were lysed, but there was a piece of small bowel that was adherent to the inferior aspect. Dissecting the small bowel carefully, it was noted that it was quite adherent, and when we totally dissected it free, it was noted that rather than being a piece of small bowel, it was a very large Meckel’s diverticulum which was attached to adhesions on the fascia near the site of the hernia. Therefore, the other adhesions were lysed so that we could mobilize the intestine and diverticulum and safely transect the Meckel’s diverticulum. The bowel was then placed back inside the peritoneal cavity, and the wound was closed with 1-0 Dexon sutures to the fascia, 3-0 Dexon to the subcutaneous tissue, and 4-0 nylon to the skin.

Gross description of the resected small intestine revealed a diverticulum lined by tan-red mucosa with normal folds and a small amount of organic material. Microscopic evaluation revealed normal small bowel architecture and enterochromaffin cells (see Figure 1A and B). No ectopic or heterotopic tissue was present.

**Discussion**

The management and treatment of an incidental finding of Meckel’s diverticulum has remained controversial and a matter of much debate. Generally, when Meckel’s diverticulum is detected on imaging, it is left alone and no treatment is necessary. However, in a child or young adult, it is often excised. Other reasons for excision include local inflammation, the presence of ectopic tissue, and ulceration or perforation. The risk of mortality in a patient with a Meckel’s diverticulum is 0.001%. Although it is rarely a cause of complications when diagnosed late in life, the risk of complications remains at about 4%–6%. These complications can include malignancy, including sarcoma, carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma. Although there was no ectopic tissue seen in the present study, the presence of ectopic tissue which is most commonly gastric or pancreatic in origin, can lead to highly acidic secretions causing ulcerations or hemorrhage. They can also cause intestinal obstruction and umbilical fistulae. For these reasons, many surgeons consider resection whenever a Meckel’s diverticulum is found incidentally during surgery in order to prevent such an event from occurring, even in asymptomatic patients. However, other studies have suggested that asymptomatic Meckel’s diverticulum, especially when found in older patients, should be left alone to decrease the risk of postoperative complications, as well as the risk of it becoming symptomatic.

The present case supports the decision to remove Meckel’s diverticulum when discovered incidentally during surgery. In this patient, the Meckel’s diverticulum found within the umbilical hernia was removed through an ileal...
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resection. This was done in order to eliminate the risk of it becoming symptomatic and thus having complications due to the fact that the diverticulum was manipulated with minimal trauma, which could otherwise result in inflammation and edema. Although pathology did not report the presence of any ectopic or heterotopic tissue in this case, about 60% of Meckel’s diverticula present with ectopic or heterotopic tissue, and thus prophylactic diverticulectomy should be performed in order to reduce the risks of ulceration and malignancy.2
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