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Abstract: The atypical antipsychotic quetiapine fumarate is available both as an immediate release (IR) and as an extended release (XR) formulation allowing flexibility of dosing for individual patients. Approved uses of quetiapine XR include the treatment of schizophrenia (including maintenance therapy for prevention of relapse), the treatment of bipolar disorder (manic and depressive episodes), and the prevention of recurrence in patients with bipolar disorder who respond to quetiapine XR. This narrative review provides an update on quetiapine XR in these indications. The pharmacological profile of quetiapine, including a moderate affinity for dopamine D$_2$ receptors and higher affinity for serotonin 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HT)$_2$A receptors, may explain its broad efficacy and low propensity for extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS). The XR formulation has similar bioavailability but prolonged plasma levels compared with the IR formulation, allowing for less frequent (once-daily) dosing. Clinical studies have confirmed the efficacy of quetiapine XR in relieving the acute symptoms of schizophrenia during short-term trials, and reducing the risk for relapse in long-term studies. Direct switching from the IR formulation to the same dose of the XR formulation did not reveal any loss of efficacy or tolerability issues, and switching patients to quetiapine XR from conventional or other atypical antipsychotics (for reasons of insufficient efficacy or tolerability) also proved to be beneficial and generally well tolerated. In bipolar disorder, quetiapine XR has also proven effective in relieving acute depressive and manic symptoms. Adverse events with quetiapine XR in patients with either schizophrenia or bipolar disorder are similar to those associated with the IR formulation, the most common being sedation, dry mouth, somnolence, dizziness, and headache. The low propensity for EPS is maintained with the XR formulation. Overall, evidence from clinical trials suggests that quetiapine XR is an effective and generally well-tolerated treatment option in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.
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Introduction
Neuropsychiatric disorders provide the greatest contribution to total disease burden worldwide, greater than either cardiovascular disease or cancer.\(^1\) Both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder contribute substantially to this burden, with schizophrenia being the fifth leading cause of years lost to disability among men and the sixth leading cause among women, and bipolar disorder occupying the seventh and eighth positions, respectively.\(^2\)

Schizophrenia and bipolar disorder are both debilitating and isolating diseases that impose a significant burden on the lives of those affected. Effective management for these conditions remains a significant clinical challenge, particularly in the long term.
To be successful, pharmacological treatment should be individualized and provide a balance between efficacy and tolerability. Atypical antipsychotics are considered first-line agents for schizophrenia, acute bipolar mania, and maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder. In addition, quetiapine and olanzapine (as part of the olanzapine fluoxetine combination) are both recommended for bipolar depression.

Immediate release quetiapine fumarate (quetiapine IR) is a well-established atypical antipsychotic treatment for patients with schizophrenia, having shown efficacy across a broad range of symptoms (positive, negative, cognitive, and affective). Also, a large body of evidence supports quetiapine IR as monotherapy or adjunct to lithium or divalproex in the treatment of manic episodes in bipolar disorder. Large-scale trials have demonstrated efficacy in bipolar mania, depression, and bipolar maintenance. Quetiapine IR has also been demonstrated to improve anxiety symptoms, quality of life, and quality of sleep in patients with bipolar depression.

Extended release quetiapine fumarate (quetiapine XR) is a once-daily formulation developed to provide patients and physicians with a more convenient dosing regimen and a simpler dose initiation. Studies of pharmacotherapies in general show that patients are more adherent to a once-daily dosing schedule, compared with more frequent dosing. Improved adherence to medications for the treatment of schizophrenia has been shown to lead to better treatment outcomes, including reduced need for rehospitalization and reduced incidence of suicide attempts.

Quetiapine XR is approved for multiple indications, which vary by country; readers should consult their local prescribing information for complete details. Quetiapine XR is currently approved in the US and several other countries for the treatment of schizophrenia, acute manic and mixed episodes associated with bipolar disorder, and acute treatment of depressive episodes associated with bipolar disorder. Quetiapine XR is also approved for relapse prevention in patients with stable schizophrenia, maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder as adjunctive therapy to lithium or divalproex in the US, for recurrence prevention in bipolar disorder as monotherapy in the EU, and for add-on treatment of major depressive episodes in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) who have had suboptimal response to antidepressant monotherapy in the US and EU. Table 1 provides an overview of the recommended dosing schedules for quetiapine IR and XR in schizophrenia and bipolar mania and depression and MDD.

Quetiapine XR has a rapid titration schedule, which means an effective dose can be reached by day 2 in patients with schizophrenia and day 4 in patients with bipolar mania.

This narrative review will examine the pharmacokinetics, pharmacology, efficacy, and tolerability of quetiapine XR in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder as reported in independent investigator and industry-sponsored papers and will discuss the potential clinical benefits of this formulation in the treatment of these diseases.

### Pharmacokinetic overview of quetiapine XR

Quetiapine XR and IR each have a linear pharmacokinetic profile and an elimination half-life of approximately 7 hours when administered at doses within the recommended therapeutic

---

**Table 1** Dose initiation schedule for extended-release quetiapine in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder according to US prescribing information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Dosing instructions</th>
<th>Recommended dose/dose range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Day 1: 300 mg/day; Dose increases can be made at intervals as short as 1 day and in increments of up to 300 mg/day</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia maintenance (monotherapy)</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar mania (acute monotherapy or as an adjunct to lithium or divalproex)</td>
<td>Day 1: 300 mg; Day 2: 600 mg; Day 3: between 400 mg and 800 mg</td>
<td>300 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive episodes associated with bipolar disorder</td>
<td>Day 1: 50 mg; Day 2: 100 mg; Day 3: 200 mg; Day 4: 300 mg</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar I disorder (maintenance treatment as an adjunct to lithium or divalproex)</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
<td>400–800 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive disorder (adjunctive therapy with antidepressants)</td>
<td>Day 1 and 2: 50 mg; Day 3 and 4: 150 mg</td>
<td>150–300 mg/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** After initial dosing, adjustments can be made upwards or downwards, if necessary, within the dose range, depending upon clinical response and tolerance of the patient.
range.\textsuperscript{34,35} While the XR and IR formulations provide equivalent bioavailability, time to attainment of peak plasma concentration ($t_{\text{max}}$) is prolonged (5 hours and 2 hours, respectively), and higher plasma levels are sustained for a longer period of time with the XR formulation. Consequently, less frequent (once-daily) dosing is required for quetiapine XR to maintain therapeutic drug concentrations.\textsuperscript{36}

An open-label, randomized, crossover study in patients with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or bipolar disorder has demonstrated that evening dosing of quetiapine XR ensures lower plasma levels of quetiapine during the day.\textsuperscript{30} The study demonstrated equivalent areas under the 24-hour quetiapine plasma concentration curve with the XR and IR formulations. Once-daily dosing of quetiapine XR 300 mg gave rise to a mean peak plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) approximately 13% lower than that observed with twice-daily dosing of quetiapine IR 150 mg (ratio of means XR/IR, 0.87; 90% confidence interval [CI] 0.77–0.99); the authors described this as being relatively close; equivalence was predetermined as 90% CI within the range 0.80–1.25.\textsuperscript{36}

Although food has a minimal effect on quetiapine absorption in the IR formulation,\textsuperscript{34,38} consumption of a high-fat meal increases bioavailability of quetiapine in the XR formulation.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, it is recommended that quetiapine XR be taken without food, although intake of a light meal (300 calories, no fat) has no significant effect on steady-state pharmacokinetics.\textsuperscript{37} If, as recommended, quetiapine XR is taken once-daily in the evening, the clinical impact of food consumption on bioavailability should be minimized.

**Mechanism of action of quetiapine**

The receptor-binding profiles of quetiapine and its major active human metabolite, norquetiapine (N-desalkylquetiapine), may provide an explanation for the broad clinical efficacy profile observed.\textsuperscript{39} Both quetiapine and norquetiapine have moderate affinity for dopamine ($D_2$) receptors and are potent antagonists of serotonin 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HT\(_{2A}\)) receptors.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, quetiapine and norquetiapine bind to the 5-HT\(_{1A}\) and 5-HT\(_{1C}\) receptors with a moderate-to-high affinity, which is similar to that of established antidepressants.\textsuperscript{41} Norquetiapine is also a potent inhibitor of the norepinephrine transporter (NET). Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in nonhuman primates has demonstrated quetiapine and norquetiapine occupancy at $D_2$, 5-HT\(_{2A}\), and norquetiapine occupancy at the NET at clinically relevant plasma levels.\textsuperscript{32} These combined pharmacological properties of quetiapine have not been described for any other psychotropic drug.

Evidence suggests that dopamine plays a key role in the neurobiology of psychotic symptoms in schizophrenia and bipolar mania. Occupancy of $D_2$ receptors is the primary means by which antipsychotic agents mediate their effect;\textsuperscript{43–46} however, it is also considered to be the main mechanism responsible for inducing extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS), with a greater duration of $D_2$ blockade associated with increased risk of EPS. Atypical antipsychotics, including quetiapine, are associated with a reduced incidence of EPS compared with conventional antipsychotics, a finding believed to be a result of their rapid dissociation from $D_2$ receptors and higher affinity for 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptors.\textsuperscript{48} PET studies have shown low-to-moderate $D_2$ occupancy by quetiapine throughout the therapeutic dose range for schizophrenia, 400–800 mg/day, due to its rapid dissociation from the receptor.\textsuperscript{49,50} Comparison of $D_2$ receptor binding at peak and trough plasma concentration in patients with schizophrenia found that quetiapine IR (twice daily) and quetiapine XR (once daily) 300, 600, and 800 mg/day demonstrated comparable central $D_2$ receptor occupancy.\textsuperscript{51} PET scans in healthy volunteers showed that $D_2$ occupancy at maximum quetiapine plasma concentration was 32% in individuals treated with quetiapine XR 300 mg once daily compared with 49% among those receiving quetiapine IR 300 mg once daily.\textsuperscript{50} Only descriptive statistics were presented from these PET studies, and consequently these results should be interpreted in light of the small number of study subjects.

The etiology of depressive symptoms in bipolar disorder and MDD appears to be complex, and several neurotransmitters have been implicated as having a role. Consequently, the precise mechanism by which quetiapine exerts its antidepressant effect is not fully understood. However, the antidepressant effect of quetiapine would appear to be mediated, at least in part, by the NET inhibition and partial 5-HT\(_{1A}\) agonism of its metabolite, norquetiapine.\textsuperscript{41} Immobility times in the murine tail suspension test, a model for antidepressant effect, were prolonged in a dose-dependent manner following acute dosing with norquetiapine and were also decreased compared with administration of vehicle only during 14 days of dosing.

**Clinical efficacy studies**

A number of clinical trials have been conducted to evaluate the efficacy and safety of quetiapine XR in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder over both the short and long term. In addition, the feasibility of switching from quetiapine IR or other antipsychotic medication to quetiapine XR has been investigated.\textsuperscript{52–58}
Schizophrenia — efficacy

In schizophrenia, the quetiapine XR clinical trial program investigated efficacy in three acute placebo-controlled studies, an acute noninferiority study, and a long-term relapse prevention study.

Acute studies

Three 6-week trials were conducted to investigate the efficacy and safety of quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR versus placebo for the treatment of acute schizophrenia.55,57,59

Study D1444C0013259 was a 6-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that enrolled 588 patients in 39 centers in Europe, Asia, and South Africa, with a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) diagnosis of acute schizophrenia. Patients were randomly assigned to receive quetiapine XR 400 mg/day (n = 113), 600 mg/day (n = 113), 800 mg/day (n = 121), quetiapine IR 400 mg/day (n = 123), or placebo (n = 118). Compared with placebo, the change from baseline in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) total score at week 6 (primary efficacy endpoint) was statistically significant for all four active treatments (modified intent-to-treat [MITT] population) (Figure 1). Patients randomized to placebo achieved a decrease in PANSS total score of 18.8 points compared with decreases of 24.8 (P = 0.03 versus placebo), 30.9 (P < 0.001), and 31.3 (P < 0.001) for the quetiapine XR 400, 600, and 800 mg/day groups, respectively, and 26.6 (P = 0.004) for quetiapine IR. Analysis of several secondary efficacy variables similarly showed a significant improvement with quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR compared with placebo. The PANSS response rate at week 6 was statistically significantly higher for all four active treatment groups compared with placebo (30.4% placebo; 44.1% [P < 0.05], 60.4% [P < 0.001], and 56.4% [P < 0.001] for quetiapine XR 400, 600, and 800 mg/day, respectively, and 52.9% [P < 0.001] for quetiapine IR). A similar pattern was reported for the Clinical Global Impressions (CGI)-Improvement (CGI-I) response rate (all comparisons with placebo were statistically significant versus placebo) and the change in CGI-Severity of Illness (CGI-S) score (all comparisons except quetiapine XR 400 mg/day were statistically significant versus placebo).

Study D1444C00133,57 a US-based 6-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that enrolled 565 patients with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia, failed to demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in efficacy for quetiapine XR or IR compared with placebo. This outcome was in contrast to the results of study D1444C00132, and surprising given the proven efficacy of quetiapine IR in other studies in this patient group. The authors suggest that the lack of separation from placebo was likely due to an attenuated drug response, apparent around week 3. This attenuation may, in turn, have been a result of the study’s design, which allowed patients to remain as outpatients throughout. Thus, patients may have been less acutely ill than those who took part in study D1444C00132 and were less closely monitored. A post-hoc analysis of the data from this study was conducted to determine whether the outcomes were affected by the baseline severity of illness. In all, 45.2% of patients (n = 246) were markedly ill at baseline (CGI-S ≥ 5), with the remainder of patients considered moderately ill (CGI-S < 5 but ≥ 4). Among markedly ill patients, those treated with quetiapine
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**Figure 1** Change in PANSS total score from baseline to week 6 (modified intent-to-treat population). © Copyright 2007, Physicians Postgraduate Press. Reproduced with permission from Kahn RS, Schulz SC, Palazov VD, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of once-daily extended release quetiapine fumarate in acute schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;68(6):832–842.19

**Note:** *P = 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus placebo.

**Abbreviations:** IR, immediate release; LSM, least squares means; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; XR, extended release.
XR 600 mg/day and quetiapine XR 800 mg/day achieved a statistically significant improvement in the PANSS total score at week 6 compared with those who received placebo (MITT population; \( P < 0.05 \) for both comparisons).

The identical design of studies D1444C00132 and D1444C00133 allowed another post-hoc analysis, this time of pooled data, to be performed. This analysis showed that, despite the failure of study D1444C00133 to achieve significance with regard to the primary endpoint (change in PANSS total score from baseline), in the pooled analysis quetiapine XR (400/600/800 mg/day) demonstrated significant improvement versus placebo at day 42.\(^{69}\) Change from baseline in PANSS total score first diverged significantly from placebo at day 14, day 21, and day 42 for quetiapine XR 800 mg/day, 600 mg/day, and 400 mg/day, respectively. Analysis of change in PANSS total score at day 42 in the pooled dataset showed a statistically significant dose response for quetiapine XR (Jonckheere-Terpstra analysis). Effect sizes for changes in PANSS total scores were not reported in this post-hoc analysis.

The third study, 50771L/0041,\(^{55}\) was a 6-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that enrolled 532 patients in the US and Canada with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia-catatonic, disorganized, paranoid, or undifferentiated schizophrenia. In this study, patients were randomly assigned to receive quetiapine XR at a dosage of 300, 600, or 800 mg/day, quetiapine IR at a dosage of 300 or 600 mg/day, or placebo. Only quetiapine XR 600 mg/day demonstrated significant improvements in the primary outcome measure (change in PANSS score from baseline to week 6). There was no significant improvement in PANSS total score over the duration of the study with quetiapine IR (300 or 600 mg/day; MITT population), in contrast with the documented efficacy of quetiapine IR in patients with schizophrenia. This was considered to be a failed study due to the lack of separation from placebo. Factors including a high attrition rate and short study duration were considered to be contributory to this outcome.\(^{55}\)

A 12-week open-label, Europe-based study found that quetiapine XR (flexibly dosed at 400–800 mg/day) was non-inferior to risperidone at significantly reducing symptoms of depression in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, as measured by least squares means (LSM) change in Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS).\(^{61}\)

Patients were required to have a Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D) score \( \geq 20 \) at baseline and a HAM-D Item 1 (depressed mood) score \( \geq 2 \). The primary endpoint in this study was change from baseline on the CDSS in the per-protocol (PP) population. Patients treated with quetiapine XR achieved a greater improvement from baseline compared with risperidone, and an exploratory analysis revealed that quetiapine XR was superior to risperidone (4 mg/day) in relieving the symptoms of depression as assessed by analysis of variance (\( P = 0.0029 \)) in this population.

### Relapse prevention

Relapse prevention is an important clinical goal in the management of schizophrenia given the chronic nature of the disorder. Study D1444C00004\(^{52}\) was a Europe-based, randomized, placebo-controlled study that enrolled 327 patients with clinically stable schizophrenia (DSM-IV) of at least 2 years’ duration. Clinical stability was defined as a CGI-S score \( \leq 4 \) and unchanged treatment (both compound and dose) with antipsychotic therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to entering the study. Eligible patients were switched to open-label quetiapine XR for 16 weeks (300 mg on day 1, 600 mg on day 2, and flexible dosing at 400–800 mg/day thereafter). Patients who remained clinically stable throughout the 4-month stabilization period were then randomized to continue with quetiapine XR or to placebo. The primary endpoint of the study was the time to first schizophrenia relapse in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population. This study was terminated early after an interim analysis showed that significantly more patients receiving placebo relapsed, compared with patients receiving quetiapine XR. At the time of the interim analysis, the risk of relapse in the ITT population was reduced by 84% (hazard ratio 0.16; \( P < 0.0001 \)) for patients who received quetiapine XR compared with placebo, and the estimated length of time for which 90% of patients remained relapse-free was 2.9 months for quetiapine XR compared with 0.7 months for placebo. A post-hoc analysis showed that placebo was associated with a significantly shorter time to loss of remission compared with quetiapine XR (hazard ratio 0.39; 95% CI 0.19–0.81; \( P = 0.009 \)).\(^{62}\)

### Bipolar disorder – efficacy

The efficacy of quetiapine XR compared with placebo in bipolar depression and bipolar mania has been examined in two acute studies.

#### Bipolar depression

Study D1444C00002\(^{56}\) was an 8-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, US-based randomized study that enrolled 280 acutely depressed adults with bipolar I or II disorder. In the study, a significant reduction in Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) total score was observed with a fixed dose of quetiapine XR 300 mg/day compared
with placebo (MITT population). This improvement was consistently observed from week 1 to week 8. Significant improvements in MADRS total score with quetiapine XR compared with placebo were observed for patients with bipolar I and bipolar II depression and for patients with rapid and nonrapid cycling bipolar disorder (Figure 2). Quetiapine XR significantly improved several secondary efficacy variables compared with placebo, including a significantly greater MADRS response rate (≥ 50% reduction in MADRS total score; 65.4% versus 43.1%; \( P < 0.001 \)) and remission rate (MADRS total score ≤ 12 points; 54.1% versus 39.4%; \( P < 0.05 \)). Patients treated with quetiapine XR also achieved a significantly greater improvement on the CGI-Bipolar-Severity (CGI-BP-S) scale compared with placebo (−1.8 versus −1.2, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)), and significantly more patients had a CGI-Bipolar-Change (CGI-BP-C) score of “much improved” or “very much improved” compared with those in the placebo group (63.2% versus 39.4%, respectively at week 8; \( P < 0.001 \)).

**Bipolar mania**

In a second US-based acute study on bipolar disorder (D144CC00004), quetiapine XR (400–800 mg/day once daily) resulted in a statistically significantly greater improvement in Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) total score at week 3 compared with placebo. Patients recruited to this study (\( n = 316 \)) were required to have a DSM-IV diagnosis of bipolar I disorder with at least 1 manic or mixed episode in the preceding 5 years. Improvement was recorded as early as day 4 (\( P < 0.001 \)), with a statistically significant separation from placebo maintained throughout the 3 weeks of the study (MITT population). Analysis of several secondary efficacy variables similarly showed a significant improvement with quetiapine XR compared with placebo for the majority of outcomes including a greater proportion of patients achieving symptomatic response (≥ 50% reduction in YMRS total score; 55.0% versus 33.3%; \( P = 0.001 \)) and remission (YMRS score ≤ 12 points; 41.6% versus 27.7%; \( P = 0.006 \)). In addition, patients treated with quetiapine XR achieved a significantly greater improvement on the CGI-BP-S (change from baseline: −1.51 versus −1.02; \( P < 0.001 \)) and the CGI-BP-C (endpoint score: 2.58 versus 3.18; \( P < 0.001 \)).

**Switching studies – efficacy**

With the introduction of any novel antipsychotic, it is important to evaluate the possibility of switching to the
drug from other antipsychotic formulations to establish whether efficacy is maintained and to confirm that there are no safety concerns. Study D1444C0014654 investigated the viability of switching from quetiapine IR to XR in outpatients with schizophrenia in Europe, Australia, Asia, Canada, South Africa, and the USA. A total of 562 adult patients with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia entered a 4-week run-in period involving treatment with quetiapine IR (400–800 mg/day twice daily). Those considered clinically stable at the end of this run-in period (n = 497) were then randomized (2:1) to a once-daily equivalent dose of quetiapine XR (n = 331) or to continue with quetiapine IR (n = 166) for a further 6 weeks. Analysis of the proportion of patients who discontinued due to lack of efficacy, or whose PANSS total score increased by ≥20% from randomization, demonstrated noninferiority of quetiapine XR compared with quetiapine IR for the PP population (5.3% versus 6.2%, respectively; estimated treated difference −0.83%; 95% CI −6.75–3.71; P = 0.0017). The results of secondary efficacy variables (including mean change from randomization to day 42 on the PANSS scale [total and subscales], mean change in CGI-S, and the proportion of patients with a CGI-I score ≤4 [no change or improvement]) were similar for quetiapine IR and XR, demonstrating that efficacy was maintained following the switch.

Study D1444C0014755 investigated the potential clinical benefit of switching to quetiapine XR from conventional or atypical antipsychotic therapy in patients with schizophrenia who were experiencing suboptimal efficacy or tolerability with their existing treatment. In this 12-week open-label study conducted in Europe, Australia, Asia, Canada, South Africa, and the USA, 477 patients with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia were switched from a previous antipsychotic medication using a cross-titration protocol. The reasons for initiating a therapy switch were insufficient efficacy (n = 315 [66%]) and insufficient tolerability (n = 162 [34%]). Patients received quetiapine XR 300 mg on day 1, 600 mg on day 2, and then flexible doses of 400, 600, or 800 mg/day from day 3 onwards. Previous antipsychotic medication was reduced by 25% on day 1, 50% on day 2, 75% on day 3, and stopped on day 4. A significant percentage (62.8%; 95% CI 58.4%–67.1%; P < 0.0001) of patients experienced a clinical benefit (defined as an improvement [decrease] in the CGI-CB score at week 12) after switching to quetiapine XR (MITT population). Patients also experienced improvements from baseline on the PANSS scale (LSM change: −12.3; 95% CI −14.95 to −9.58; P < 0.001) and on the CGI-CB (LSM change: −1.9; 95% CI −2.32 to −1.38; P < 0.001), the CGI-S (mean change: −0.7 [standard deviation 1.1]) and the CGI-I (LSM score: 2.88; 95% CI 2.67–3.08; P < 0.001).

No formal studies of switching from IR to XR or from a different atypical antipsychotic have been performed in bipolar disorder to date.

**Safety and tolerability**

**Overview of tolerability – schizophrenia**

An overview of the profile and frequency of adverse events (AEs) in a pooled analysis of the three acute studies of quetiapine XR in schizophrenia (D1444C00132, D1444C00133, and 5077IL/0041) is provided in Table 2.53 Although only descriptive statistics were presented, the incidences of AEs appeared to be similar in all three treatment groups: quetiapine XR (69.5%), quetiapine IR (72.5%), and placebo (61.4%). The profile of common AEs from this pooled analysis was comparable in the quetiapine XR, quetiapine IR, and placebo groups, and the majority of AEs were mild-to-moderate in intensity. The most common AEs occurring with quetiapine XR in these acute studies were sedation, dry mouth, somnolence, dizziness, and headache. Serious AEs were reported in 4.4%, 3.9%, and 4.4% of patients in the quetiapine XR, quetiapine IR, and placebo groups, respectively, with AEs leading to withdrawal from treatment in 6.4%, 7.7%, and 7.5% of patients, respectively.

In the long-term study (D1444C00004) of quetiapine XR in clinically stable patients with schizophrenia who were first switched from conventional and atypical antipsychotic treatment, a comparable percentage of patients reported AEs in the quetiapine XR (31.9%) and placebo (40.8%) groups in the randomized, double-blind phase.52 The majority of these AEs were mild-to-moderate in intensity. Two patients (1.9%) who received placebo reported a serious AE; no serious AEs were reported among those treated with quetiapine XR. One patient in each group withdrew from treatment due to an AE.

**Overview of tolerability – bipolar disorder**

Only descriptive statistics were published in the acute study of quetiapine XR in bipolar mania. AEs were reported by 84.8% of patients treated with quetiapine XR 400–800 mg/day and by 66.9% of patients given placebo.58 The most common AEs occurring with quetiapine XR were sedation, dry mouth, somnolence, and headache. The tolerability profile was consistent with the known tolerability profile of quetiapine IR in bipolar mania (Table 3).

In the acute study of quetiapine XR in bipolar depression, again only descriptive statistics were presented; AEs were reported by 88.3% and 68.6% of patients in the quetiapine XR
Table 2: Overview of adverse event profile of quetiapine XR in schizophrenia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AE, n (%)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 319)</th>
<th>Quetiapine XR</th>
<th>Quetiapine IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 mg (n = 91)</td>
<td>400 mg (n = 227)</td>
<td>600 mg (n = 310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anya</td>
<td>196 (61.4)</td>
<td>78 (85.7)</td>
<td>141 (62.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>14 (4.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
<td>12 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-relatedc</td>
<td>98 (30.7)</td>
<td>53 (58.2)</td>
<td>87 (38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading to discontinuationd</td>
<td>24 (7.5)</td>
<td>5 (5.5)</td>
<td>17 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common AEsd:
- Sedation: 21 (6.6) Placebo, 14 (15.4) 300 mg, 24 (10.6) 400 mg, 42 (13.5) 600 mg, 41 (12.7) 800 mg, 121 (12.7) Total.
- Dry mouth: 4 (1.3) Placebo, 12 (13.2) 300 mg, 26 (11.5) 400 mg, 39 (12.6) 600 mg, 38 (11.8) 800 mg, 115 (12.1) Total.
- Somnolence: 12 (3.8) Placebo, 11 (12.1) 300 mg, 27 (11.9) 400 mg, 36 (11.6) 600 mg, 41 (12.7) 800 mg, 115 (12.1) Total.
- Dizziness: 12 (3.8) Placebo, 9 (9.9) 300 mg, 20 (8.8) 400 mg, 37 (11.9) 600 mg, 27 (8.4) 800 mg, 93 (9.8) Total.
- Headache: 47 (14.7) Placebo, 15 (16.5) 300 mg, 18 (7.9) 400 mg, 29 (9.4) 600 mg, 30 (9.3) 800 mg, 92 (9.7) Total.
- Insomnia: 46 (14.4) Placebo, 6 (6.6) 300 mg, 22 (9.7) 400 mg, 22 (7.1) 600 mg, 21 (6.5) 800 mg, 71 (7.5) Total.
- Orthostatic hypotension: 15 (4.7) Placebo, 21 (23.1) 300 mg, 1 (0.4) 400 mg, 21 (6.8) 600 mg, 27 (8.4) 800 mg, 70 (7.4) Total.
- Constipation: 15 (4.7) Placebo, 10 (11.0) 300 mg, 11 (4.8) 400 mg, 19 (6.1) 600 mg, 21 (6.5) 800 mg, 61 (6.4) Total.
- Nausea: 22 (6.9) Placebo, 5 (5.5) 300 mg, 9 (4.0) 400 mg, 22 (7.1) 600 mg, 16 (5.0) 800 mg, 52 (5.5) Total.
- Dyspepsia: 7 (2.2) Placebo, 5 (5.5) 300 mg, 11 (4.8) 400 mg, 15 (4.8) 600 mg, 13 (4.0) 800 mg, 44 (4.6) Total.
- Agitation: 16 (5.0) Placebo, 5 (5.5) 300 mg, 9 (4.0) 400 mg, 14 (4.5) 600 mg, 14 (4.5) 800 mg, 35 (3.7) Total.
- Heart rate increased: 4 (1.3) Placebo, 6 (6.6) 300 mg, 1 (0.4) 400 mg, 14 (4.5) 600 mg, 13 (4.0) 800 mg, 34 (3.6) Total.
- Vomiting: 13 (4.1) Placebo, 2 (2.2) 300 mg, 4 (1.8) 400 mg, 16 (5.2) 600 mg, 10 (3.1) 800 mg, 32 (3.4) Total.
- Fatigue: 6 (1.9) Placebo, 7 (7.7) 300 mg, 5 (2.2) 400 mg, 10 (3.2) 600 mg, 6 (1.9) 800 mg, 28 (2.9) Total.
- Tachycardia: 3 (0.9) Placebo, 7 (7.7) 300 mg, 3 (1.3) 400 mg, 9 (2.9) 600 mg, 8 (2.5) 800 mg, 27 (2.8) Total.
- Hypotension: 3 (0.9) Placebo, 9 (9.9) 300 mg, 3 (1.3) 400 mg, 7 (2.3) 600 mg, 7 (2.2) 800 mg, 26 (2.7) Total.
- Weight increased: 5 (1.6) Placebo, 4 (4.4) 300 mg, 1 (0.4) 400 mg, 8 (2.6) 600 mg, 10 (3.1) 800 mg, 23 (2.4) Total.
- Diarrhea: 10 (3.1) Placebo, 3 (1.3) 300 mg, 2 (0.6) 400 mg, 11 (3.4) 600 mg, 16 (1.7) 800 mg, 3 (3.3) Total.
- Diastolic BP decreased: 2 (0.6) Placebo, 7 (7.7) 300 mg, 0 400 mg, 2 (0.6) 600 mg, 4 (1.2) 800 mg, 13 (1.4) Total.
- Stomach discomfort: 5 (1.6) Placebo, 2 (2.2) 300 mg, 2 (0.9) 400 mg, 4 (1.3) 600 mg, 5 (1.5) 800 mg, 13 (1.4) Total.
- Systolic BP decreased: 3 (0.9) Placebo, 4 (4.4) 300 mg, 0 400 mg, 1 (0.3) 600 mg, 5 (1.5) 800 mg, 10 (1.1) Total.
- Lethargy: 0 300 mg, 1 (1.1) 400 mg, 0 600 mg, 2 (0.9) 800 mg, 3 (0.9) 0, 6 (0.6) Total.

Notes: aPatients with more than one event in the same category were counted only once in that category; bas judged by the investigator; cin addition, one patient in the placebo group was discontinued in the randomization period owing to an AE that started before the day of the first dose of study medication; dcommon AEs were defined as AEs occurring in a quetiapine group at an incidence $\geq$ 5%.

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; BP, blood pressure; IR, immediate release; XR, extended release.

300 mg/day and placebo groups, respectively. The most common AEs occurring with quetiapine XR were dry mouth, somnolence, sedation, dizziness, and increased appetite. The tolerability profile was consistent with the known tolerability profile of quetiapine IR in bipolar depression (Table 3).

**Table 3** Adverse event profile in bipolar mania and bipolar depression occurring in any quetiapine group at an incidence ≥ 5%56,58

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event, n (%)</th>
<th>Bipolar mania</th>
<th>Bipolar depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XR 400–800 mg (n = 151)</td>
<td>XR 300 mg (n = 137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse eventa</td>
<td>128 (84.8)</td>
<td>121 (88.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse eventsa</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse event leading to discontinuation</td>
<td>7 (4.6)</td>
<td>17 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Common adverse events**

- Dry mouth: 51 (33.8) vs. 11 (6.9) vs. 51 (37.2) vs. 10 (7.1)
- Somnolence: 25 (16.6) vs. 7 (4.4) vs. 40 (29.2) vs. 8 (5.7)
- Sedation: 52 (34.4) vs. 12 (7.5) vs. 32 (23.4) vs. 10 (7.1)
- Dizziness: 15 (9.9) vs. 7 (4.4) vs. 18 (13.1) vs. 15 (10.7)
- Increased appetite: 6 (4.0) vs. 3 (1.9) vs. 17 (12.4) vs. 8 (5.7)
- Headache: 18 (11.9) vs. 22 (13.8) vs. 13 (9.5) vs. 14 (10.0)
- Constipation: 15 (9.9) vs. 5 (3.1) vs. 11 (8.0) vs. 9 (6.4)
- Nausea: 3 (2.0) vs. 4 (2.5) vs. 10 (7.3) vs. 10 (7.1)
- Weight increased: 10 (6.6) vs. 1 (0.6) vs. 10 (7.3) vs. 2 (1.4)
- Dyspepsia: 10 (6.6) vs. 6 (3.8) vs. 9 (6.6) vs. 1 (0.7)
- Fatigue: 10 (6.6) vs. 6 (3.8) vs. 8 (5.8) vs. 3 (2.1)

Note: *Patients with more than one event in the same category were counted only once in that category.

Abbreviation: XR, extended release.


### Adverse events of special interest

#### Somnolence

Somnolence was among the most frequently reported AEs across all three acute schizophrenia studies (Table 2).63 The majority of somnolence-related AEs (> 96% in the quetiapine-treatment groups) were mild-to-moderate in intensity with a median onset of 4 days with placebo, 3 days with quetiapine XR, and 2 days with quetiapine IR. The duration of somnolence was generally < 3 weeks (mean duration: 9.8, 18.1, and 17.2 days in the placebo, quetiapine XR, and quetiapine IR groups, respectively). Consistent with this finding, in the relapse prevention study, the incidence of somnolence with quetiapine XR was reduced during the long-term stabilization period (0.0%) compared with the initial 16-week stabilization period (19.3%).52 Similarly, in the acute bipolar depression and mania studies, somnolence was among the most commonly reported AEs, and typically emerged during the first days of treatment.56,58

#### Sexual side effects

Pooled data from three Phase III clinical trials of quetiapine XR versus quetiapine IR in acute schizophrenia that enrolled almost 1700 patients indicated that sexual side effects were uncommon. These studies reported that in the quetiapine XR group, erectile dysfunction was experienced by two patients and delayed ejaculation was experienced by one patient. In the quetiapine IR-treated group, one patient reported decreased libido and one patient reported sexual dysfunction.63

#### QT interval prolongation

There was no evidence of an increased mean QT interval corrected for heart rate (QTc) with either quetiapine XR or IR in a pooled analysis of three large Phase III trials of patients with schizophrenia. In this analysis, the proportion of patients with a shift to a QTc ≥ 450 ms was 0.6% for both quetiapine formulations.63 Furthermore, no increase in QTc was reported in a long-term (1-year) study that assessed quetiapine XR in the prevention of psychotic relapse in patients with schizophrenia.52

#### Extrapyramidal symptoms and tardive dyskinesia

Fewer than 10% of patients with schizophrenia treated with quetiapine XR or quetiapine IR experienced AEs potentially related to EPS during acute treatment.63 The incidence of EPS among those treated with quetiapine XR was 7.5%, with 7.7% of those treated with quetiapine IR and 4.7% of those who received placebo reporting an AE potentially related to EPS. No individual AE potentially related to EPS occurred at a rate > 3% in any treatment group. Most potentially EPS-related AEs were mild-to-moderate in intensity, and
no relationship between quetiapine dose and AE severity was evident. The incidence of EPS during long-term quetiapine XR treatment was low and appeared comparable with placebo.\textsuperscript{52} The frequency of tardive dyskinesia (TD) was 0.2\%, 0.5\%, and 0.3\% in patients with acute schizophrenia treated with quetiapine XR, quetiapine IR, and placebo.\textsuperscript{63}

In the acute bipolar depression study, the frequency of AEs related to EPS was 4.4\% for those treated with quetiapine XR compared with 0.7\% in the placebo group.\textsuperscript{56} The majority of patients experienced no change or improvements on the Simpson Angus Scale (SAS) and the Barnes Akathisia Rating Scale (BARS). Similarly, in the acute bipolar mania study, the majority of patients experienced no change or improved scores on the SAS and BARS scales.\textsuperscript{58,64}

### Bodyweight and metabolic parameters

A pooled analysis of data, from the three acute schizophrenia studies that presented descriptive statistics only,\textsuperscript{61} reported that 13.7\%, 19.5\%, and 6.7\% of patients treated with quetiapine XR, quetiapine IR, or placebo, respectively experienced Food and Drug Administration-defined clinically significant bodyweight gain ($\geq 7\%$ increase in weight from baseline). In the same analysis, the mean change from baseline in weight was 1.63 kg, 2.19 kg, and 0.26 kg with quetiapine XR, quetiapine IR, and placebo, respectively. In the long-term schizophrenia relapse prevention study, five patients (5.4\%) in the quetiapine XR group and one patient (1\%) in the placebo group experienced clinically significant weight gain between randomization and the end of treatment.\textsuperscript{52} The mean change in weight from enrolment to study end in patients receiving quetiapine XR throughout the relapse prevention study was 1.06 kg. In terms of metabolic parameters, small differences from placebo were noted in terms of changes in plasma glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA\textsubscript{1c}) levels, and no clinically relevant changes were noted for total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, or low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol. No clinically relevant changes in lipid levels were observed during long-term treatment with quetiapine XR.\textsuperscript{52}

Clinically important weight gain ($\geq 7\%$ increase in bodyweight from baseline) was experienced by 8.2\% and 0.8\% of patients with bipolar depression treated with quetiapine XR and placebo, respectively.\textsuperscript{56} In a study of bipolar mania, 5.1\% versus 0\% of patients receiving quetiapine XR versus placebo, respectively, experienced a clinically important weight gain.\textsuperscript{56} Mean changes in weight were $+1.3$ kg and $-0.2$ kg with quetiapine XR and placebo, respectively, in patients with bipolar depression and $+1.3$ kg and $+0.1$ kg, respectively, in patients with bipolar mania.\textsuperscript{56,58} In the bipolar depression study, clinically important shifts were noted for fasting glucose (5.8\% versus 2.1\%), total cholesterol (7.1\% versus 2.8\%), and triglycerides (8.3\% versus 7.5\%) in patients receiving quetiapine XR and placebo, respectively.\textsuperscript{56} In the same study, mean changes in serum glucose, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol were similar with quetiapine XR and placebo, although triglyceride levels increased with quetiapine XR and decreased with placebo (mean changes $+0.18$ mmol/L and $-0.03$ mmol/L, respectively). A clinically important shift was noted for fasting glucose (4.1\% versus 1.6\%) only in the bipolar mania study.\textsuperscript{58}

Currently, there is little information regarding quetiapine XR and the risk of developing diabetes mellitus. However, in the long-term study of prevention of psychotic relapse in patients with schizophrenia, quetiapine XR was not associated with treatment-emergent diabetes, and no AEs related to diabetes were reported.\textsuperscript{52}

### Tolerability of XR versus IR during dose initiation

A post-hoc analysis of pooled data from two acute studies (D1444C00132 and D1444C00133) in schizophrenia was conducted to evaluate the tolerability of quetiapine XR during rapid dose initiation (in these studies target doses of quetiapine XR were reached by day 2 [400 and 600 mg/day] and day 3 [800 mg/day]).\textsuperscript{65} During the first week of treatment, the incidence of AEs was similar in the quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR groups. The most commonly reported AEs in this period were somnolence, sedation, dry mouth, and dizziness in the quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR groups. The incidence of serious AEs was low in both the quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR treatment groups.

Similarly, a US-based study (D1443C00040 AstraZeneca, data on file) investigated the tolerability of quetiapine IR and quetiapine XR during initial dosing and dose escalation in 139 patients with bipolar depression. Patients received placebo on day 1 and quetiapine XR or quetiapine IR on days 1–6 under the following schedule: 50 mg on day 2, 100 mg on day 3, 200 mg on day 4, and 300 mg on days 5 and 6 (mean dose 156.5 mg for quetiapine XR and 157.6 mg for quetiapine IR). The incidence of treatment-related AEs was
47.1% with quetiapine XR and 59.4% with quetiapine IR. The most commonly reported AEs for quetiapine XR were dry mouth, increased appetite, and somnolence. The most commonly reported AEs for quetiapine IR were increased appetite, dizziness, dry mouth, and headache. The incidence of AEs potentially related to EPS was 2.9% with quetiapine XR compared with 11.6% with quetiapine IR. Potentially clinically significant orthostatic changes were reported for 17.1% and 26.5% of patients receiving quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR, respectively.

Study D1443C00033, a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, 2-period crossover study conducted in healthy subjects in the US, was designed to investigate the time course and intensity of sedation, measured using a visual analog scale, during dose initiation of quetiapine XR and quetiapine IR. After 1 hour on day 1 (primary endpoint) quetiapine XR was associated with a significantly reduced intensity of sedation compared with quetiapine IR. The level of sedation was lower with quetiapine XR compared with quetiapine IR for the first 7 hours post dosing, after which levels of sedation were comparable with both formulations.

Tolerability when switching to quetiapine XR
The safety data from the switching study in patients with schizophrenia indicated that the switch from quetiapine IR to quetiapine XR was not associated with any compromise in tolerability. The majority of AEs were mild-to-moderate in intensity, and the incidence of serious AEs (n = 13) and serious AEs leading to discontinuation was low (n = 11) during the run-in period. The AE profile and effect on vital signs and laboratory data were generally consistent with other studies of quetiapine XR.

In the long-term relapse prevention study, in which switching from previous antipsychotic medication (conventional and atypical antipsychotics including olanzapine and risperidone) was achieved during a 4-day cross-titration period, the rate of withdrawal was low providing supporting evidence that patients can be switched from their previous medication with little difficulty. In the open-label stabilization phase of the study, four patients (1.2%) discontinued due to AEs. No serious AEs were reported during the stabilization phase following switch, and the most common AEs (reported by ≥ 5% of patients) were somnolence (19.3%) and dizziness (6.4%). Severe AEs related to study treatment in the post-switch, stabilization phase comprised two reports of somnolence and one report each of constipation, dyspepsia, and epileptic seizure.

In a further study of switching to quetiapine XR from a conventional or atypical antipsychotic (n = 477), eight patients (1.7%) discontinued due to AEs during the 4-day switching period. The most common AEs during the switching phase were sedation (12.4%), somnolence (11.3%), dizziness (10.1%), and dry mouth (9.0%). One patient experienced a serious AE while switching (breast abscess), but this was judged to be unrelated to treatment by the study investigator.

Discussion
The management of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder continues to represent a considerable clinical challenge. In addition to the challenges of controlling acute symptoms, the chronic nature of both diseases means that treatment is not limited to an acute period but instead must extend over a long-term maintenance period, increasing the importance of having a wide variety of treatment options available.

The efficacy of quetiapine IR for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is well established. The data presented here and other clinical trial results in MDD show that quetiapine has a broad spectrum of activity, with both antipsychotic and antidepressant efficacy. Our increasing understanding of the mechanism of action of quetiapine, and its metabolite norquetiapine, helps to explain these seemingly distinct properties. Indeed, an increasing body of preclinical evidence indicates that moderate D2 receptor affinity and low-potency 5-HT2A antagonism, combined with high-affinity NET inhibition and 5-HT2A antagonism, may be key characteristics that contribute to the antipsychotic and antidepressant properties of quetiapine. Variations in the receptor binding profiles across the dose range may contribute toward the different dosing requirements for treatment of psychotic and depressive symptoms.

Quetiapine XR was developed to offer an alternative treatment option with once-daily dosing and a simplified dose titration schedule. Evidence from studies of patient adherence to treatment in several chronic conditions has shown that patients are more adherent with once-daily medications, compared with more frequently dosed formulations. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the once-daily dosing schedule of quetiapine XR may help improve patient adherence to treatment and consequently may have a positive impact on patient outcomes; direct investigation in this regard is warranted. In addition, a pharmacoeconomic comparison study of quetiapine XR and IR would be useful to establish whether switching to quetiapine XR is cost-effective. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that the faster titration schedule of quetiapine XR may offer benefits in terms of the length of hospitalization for both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, with inpatient use of quetiapine XR being associated with significant reductions in the length and cost of hospitalization.
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Quetiapine XR in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder

The efficacy of quetiapine IR for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is well established. The data presented here and other clinical trial results in MDD show that quetiapine has a broad spectrum of activity, with both antipsychotic and antidepressant efficacy. Our increasing understanding of the mechanism of action of quetiapine, and its metabolite norquetiapine, helps to explain these seemingly distinct properties. Indeed, an increasing body of preclinical evidence indicates that moderate D2 receptor affinity and low-potency 5-HT2A antagonism, combined with high-affinity NET inhibition and 5-HT2A antagonism, may be key characteristics that contribute to the antipsychotic and antidepressant properties of quetiapine. Variations in the receptor binding profiles across the dose range may contribute toward the different dosing requirements for treatment of psychotic and depressive symptoms.

Quetiapine XR was developed to offer an alternative treatment option with once-daily dosing and a simplified dose titration schedule. Evidence from studies of patient adherence to treatment in several chronic conditions has shown that patients are more adherent with once-daily medications, compared with more frequently dosed formulations. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the once-daily dosing schedule of quetiapine XR may help improve patient adherence to treatment and consequently may have a positive impact on patient outcomes; direct investigation in this regard is warranted. In addition, a pharmacoeconomic comparison study of quetiapine XR and IR would be useful to establish whether switching to quetiapine XR is cost-effective. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that the faster titration schedule of quetiapine XR may offer benefits in terms of the length of hospitalization for both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, with inpatient use of quetiapine XR being associated with significant reductions in the length and cost of hospitalization.

Discussions
The management of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder continues to represent a considerable clinical challenge. In addition to the challenges of controlling acute symptoms, the chronic nature of both diseases means that treatment is not limited to an acute period but instead must extend over a long-term maintenance period, increasing the importance of having a wide variety of treatment options available.

The efficacy of quetiapine IR for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is well established. The data presented here and other clinical trial results in MDD show that quetiapine has a broad spectrum of activity, with both antipsychotic and antidepressant efficacy. Our increasing understanding of the mechanism of action of quetiapine, and its metabolite norquetiapine, helps to explain these seemingly distinct properties. Indeed, an increasing body of preclinical evidence indicates that moderate D2 receptor affinity and low-potency 5-HT2A antagonism, combined with high-affinity NET inhibition and 5-HT2A antagonism, may be key characteristics that contribute to the antipsychotic and antidepressant properties of quetiapine. Variations in the receptor binding profiles across the dose range may contribute toward the different dosing requirements for treatment of psychotic and depressive symptoms.

Quetiapine XR was developed to offer an alternative treatment option with once-daily dosing and a simplified dose titration schedule. Evidence from studies of patient adherence to treatment in several chronic conditions has shown that patients are more adherent with once-daily medications, compared with more frequently dosed formulations. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the once-daily dosing schedule of quetiapine XR may help improve patient adherence to treatment and consequently may have a positive impact on patient outcomes; direct investigation in this regard is warranted. In addition, a pharmacoeconomic comparison study of quetiapine XR and IR would be useful to establish whether switching to quetiapine XR is cost-effective. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that the faster titration schedule of quetiapine XR may offer benefits in terms of the length of hospitalization for both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, with inpatient use of quetiapine XR being associated with significant reductions in the length and cost of hospitalization.
For patients with schizophrenia, switching to quetiapine XR from quetiapine IR is not associated with loss of efficacy and switching to quetiapine XR from a different antipsychotic, due to insufficient efficacy or tolerability, was associated with clinical benefit.53,54

The tolerability profile of quetiapine XR in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is consistent with the profile for quetiapine IR. In acute studies in both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, sedation, dry mouth, and somnolence were the most common AEs recorded. The association of quetiapine XR with somnolence is shared by many other atypical antipsychotics.73 In schizophrenia, the majority of instances of somnolence associated with either formulation of quetiapine appear to occur early in treatment and to be transient.52,63 Furthermore, in comparison with quetiapine IR, quetiapine XR is associated with milder cases of sedation and somnolence, particularly during dose initiation in healthy volunteers.54 The frequency of EPS appears to be low for both quetiapine IR and quetiapine XR and only rarely results in treatment discontinuation.

It is important to consider the patients’ opinion of their medication; therefore, future trials evaluating the use of quetiapine XR in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder should incorporate patient-reported outcomes to provide further evidence for the benefits of the formulation.

In conclusion, quetiapine XR represents an effective and generally well-tolerated treatment for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder that is initiated rapidly and taken only once daily.
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