Abortive lytic Epstein–Barr virus replication in tonsil-B lymphocytes in infectious mononucleosis and a subset of the chronic fatigue syndrome
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Abstract: A systematic 2001–2007 review of 142 chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) patients identified 106 CFS patients with elevated serum IgG antibodies to the herpesviruses Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), cytomegalovirus, or human herpesvirus (HHV) 6 in single or multiple infections, with no other co-infections detected. We named these 106 patients group-A CFS. Eighty-six of these 106 group-A CFS patients (81%) had elevated EBV early antibody, early antigen (diffuse), serum titers. A small group of six patients in the group-A EBV subset of CFS, additionally, had repetitive elevated-serum titers of antibody to the early lytic replication-encoded proteins, EBV dUTPase, and EBV DNA polymerase. The presence of these serum antibodies to EBV dUTPase and EBV DNA polymerase indicated EBV abortive lytic replication in these 6 CFS patients. None of 20 random control people (age- and sex-matched, with blood drawn at a commercial laboratory) had elevated serum titers of antibody to EBV dUTPase or EBV DNA polymerase (P < 0.01). This finding needs verification in a larger group of EBV CFS subset patients, but if corroborated, it may represent a molecular marker for diagnosing the EBV subset of CFS. We review evidence that EBV abortive lytic replication with unassembled viral proteins in the blood may be the same in infectious mononucleosis (IM) and a subset of CFS. EBV-abortive lytic replication in tonsil plasma cells is dominant in IM. No complete lytic virion is in the blood of IM or CFS patients. Complications of CFS and IM include cardiomyopathy and encephalopathy. Circulating abortive lytic-encoded EBV proteins (eg, EBV dUTPase, EBV DNA polymerase, and others) may be common to IM and CFS. The intensity and duration of the circulating EBV-encoded proteins might differentiate the IM and EBV subsets of CFS. Abortive lytic replication may be a pathogenic mechanism for EBV disease. EBV (HHV4) is a gamma herpesvirus composed of dsDNA about 170 Kb in length. For this discussion, there are early genes (including expressions of encoded proteins EBV dUTPase, DNA polymerase, and nuclear proteins) and late genes (including expressions of capsid and membrane proteins). Abortive infection infers incomplete virion expressions of either early or late proteins, but the virion is incomplete. The lytic virus infers a complete virion. The pathologic consequences of EBV abortive replication are currently being investigated by authors.
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Background

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is an orphan and a mystery.1–8 CFS patients and CFS physician-scientists are sometimes isolated by patients’ families, friends, and other physicians who consider CFS a psychiatric condition. We have pursued pathologic physiology9–12 and reported tachycardias at rest,4 abnormal oscillating T-wave
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Recent findings

Diagnostic panel and group-A and -B subsets

In order to define a laboratory-based EBV subset of CFS, we name CFS patients with elevated serum EBV EA(D) BMRF1 serum titers of antibody EBV subset group A. Other clinically identical group-A CFS patients have elevated serum IgG antibody titers to beta herpesviruses, for example, cytomegalovirus (CMV) or HHV6 in single or multiple infections, but no other co-infections. We describe a laboratory-based diagnostic panel, which assures a CFS homogenous group of patients. Previous CFS studies have been limited or based upon symptoms only. In our CFS systematic review, we found 36 additional so-called CFS patients who had serologic evidence of herpesviruses infection (EBV, CMV, HHV6) and one or more co-infections. Co-infections were tick-borne Borrelia burgdorferi, Babesia microti, Anaplasma (Ehrlichia) phagocytophilum, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, or infection associated with rheumatic fever. From this systematic review,
we named the 36 additional CFS patients with co-infections group-B CFS.1

Long-term CFS group and subset-directed EBV pharmacokinetic antiviral treatment

Accepted canonical science interprets an absence of EBV VCA IgM antibody and the absence of EBV DNA-emia as signifying no active infection.39 The in vitro and animal model studies of Glaser et al35,34 suggest abortive lytic replication may be critical to IM and CFS; short-term acyclovir therapy has been unsuccessful.52 This CFS diagnostic panel (used here) was not available in earlier studies.1,40-52 The group-A CFS subset includes patients with EBV, CMV, and HHV6 infection, but with no other detected co-infections. Group-B CFS patients include EBV, CMV, and HHV6 infections with co-infections. EBV subset group-A CFS patients were treated with valacyclovir, and with valganciclovir only if elevated serum IgG antibody to CMV or HHV6 were also present. Valacyclovir (14.3 mg/kg every [q] 6 hours) with valganciclovir (two, 450 mg q 24 hours) was given for up to 12 months. (Valganciclovir was given q 12 hours as needed.) Of the 106 group-A patients, 79 recovered to live normal lives (74.5%, P < 0.0001).1 There was no EBV VCA IgM serum
antibody in 90% of cases and no free EBV DNA-emia in the EBV subset of CFS. The EBV genome is latent in memory B-cells in the periphery and no lytic virus is in the blood.\textsuperscript{33} This dosage of valacyclovir and the duration of valacyclovir therapy distinguish our valacyclovir use from earlier trials of acyclovir by others, which failed to reverse the course of CFS. Valacyclovir is the prodrug of acyclovir. Acyclovir binds to EBV DNA, leading to irreversible viral inactivation and interruption of lytic replication at the level of EBV DNA polymerase.\textsuperscript{12} EBV latent replication is not interrupted.\textsuperscript{39} Valacyclovir levels in serum at this dosage (14.3 mg/kg q 6 hours) reach anti-EBV inhibitory concentrations of 22 µm (IC\textsubscript{50} EBV, 4.4–13.3 µm).\textsuperscript{13}

To our knowledge, these results have not been previously reported. Clinical improvement began only after six months of valacyclovir treatment, and continued through 12 months. Accepted canonical science, however, interprets the absence of EBV VCA IgM antibody, and the absence of EBV DNA-emia, as not being EBV lytic replication.\textsuperscript{39,55}

**Latest findings**

Lerner et al\textsuperscript{1} report on 6 of 19 (32%) randomly selected people with low-titer elevated-serum antibody to the nonstructural encoded proteins EBV EA(D), BMRF1.\textsuperscript{2} In contrast, 86 of 106 (81%) CFS patients in the 2001–2007 systematic review had elevated serum titers of antibody to the encoded EBV EA(D) proteins.\textsuperscript{1} At the 10th IACFS Conference for Physicians and Healthcare Professionals Translating Evidence into Practice (Ottawa, Canada, September 22–25, 2011), Lerner et al\textsuperscript{1} presented six group-A EBV subset of CFS patients who had elevated serum antibody to the encoded proteins EBV EA (D) and elevated serum-neutralizing antibody to the encoded proteins EBV DNA polymerase and EBV dUTPase.\textsuperscript{2} Elevated serum antibody to EBV DNA polymerase and EBV dUTPase persisted for at least 400 days. None of 20 controls had elevated serum antibody to the encoded proteins EBV DNA polymerase or EBV dUTPase (P < 0.01). These group-A EBV subsets of CFS patients had mean serum-neutralizing titers of antibody to EBV DNA polymerase of 60 u/mL, compared to the mean 17 u/mL (P < 0.01) of control titers. The six EBV group-A CFS patients demonstrates “EBV abortive lytic replication.”

Miller et al,\textsuperscript{56} Jones et al,\textsuperscript{57} Liu et al,\textsuperscript{58} and Natelson et al\textsuperscript{59} have all reported elevated serum antibody values for both EBV DNA polymerase and EBV dUTPase in several CFS patients, but many CFS patients did not have these findings in each of the earlier studies. The classification of CFS patients to the group-A EBV subset of CFS made possible the recognition of this uniform group of CFS patients. This uniformity was achieved because of the diagnostic panel we reported, and its subsequent recognition of the group-A EBV subset.\textsuperscript{1}

It is possible that elevated serum antibody to EBV dUTPase and EBV DNA polymerase separates EBV CFS subset patients from healthy immune carriers (Table 1).\textsuperscript{2} In vitro, encoded dUTPase\textsuperscript{60} and EBV-encoded Zta induce cellular dysregulation and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{60} Unassembled EBV-encoded early proteins, including among others, EBV DNA polymerase and EBV dUTPase, may enter the periphery in both the IM and EBV subsets of CFS. We postulate that these circulating EBV-encoded abortive lytic proteins attach to cellular membranes and enter multiple organs, including the heart (cardiomyopathy),\textsuperscript{6,24} muscle (myositis), brain, and meninges (encephalopathy),\textsuperscript{8} and subsequently incite an explosive inflammatory response-producing disease.\textsuperscript{51} Symptoms and pathologic findings in the IM and EBV subsets of CFS may require the same circulating EBV-encoded proteins. Our recent findings of the prolonged presence of elevated serum antibodies to the encoded proteins EBV dUTPase and EBV DNA polymerase in the EBV subset of CFS, are consistent with this paradigm. This unifying concept for the IM and EBV subsets of CFS requires confirmation with a larger group of CFS patients and with appropriate controls. There is no in vitro model for EBV lytic replication, but the murine gammaherpesvirus 68 establishes a latent infection in mouse B-lymphocytes similar to that of EBV.\textsuperscript{62,63} We are aware that we offer a previously unrecognized herpesvirus pathogenesis for CFS and IM. The presence of unassembled circulating EBV early proteins such as the enzymes EBV DNA polymerase and EBV dUTPase may facilitate a science-based CFS diagnosis, and provide proof for the need for long-term antiviral therapy (Table 1).

**Table 1** Paradigm: EBV replication in healthy immune people, and the EBV subset of CFS patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBV</th>
<th>Healthy EBV</th>
<th>CFS EBV subset patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virions (saliva)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory β-cell (periphery)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCA, IgM (serum)*</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCA, IgG (serum)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA(D) (serum)a</td>
<td>−,*</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody to DNA polymerase (serum)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody to dUTPase (serum)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** EBV VCA IgM serum antibody may be present in 10%–15% of CFS patients.\textsuperscript{55} EBV EA(D) serum antibody may be in approximately 30% of healthy immune people.

**Abbreviations:** CFS, chronic fatigue syndrome; EA(D), early antigen (diffuse); EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; VCA, viral capsid antigen.
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