Severe ocular hypertension secondary to systemic corticosteroid treatment in a child with nephrotic syndrome
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Purpose: To report a case of severe, acute ocular hypertension in a 6-year-old child, 7 days after initiating treatment with oral prednisolone, due to nephrotic syndrome.

Methods: A 6-year-old female Caucasian child was diagnosed with nephrotic syndrome and treated with oral prednisolone (60 mg/day). Seven days later the child initiated complaints of headache, vomiting, ocular pain, and photophobia. Ophthalmologic examination revealed a severely increased intraocular pressure (IOP) of 52 mmHg in the right eye and 56 mmHg in the left eye. Anterior segment morphology was evaluated with ultrasound biomicroscopy. Optic disc status was evaluated by disc photography, kinetic perimetry, and optical coherence tomography.

Results: Treatment was initiated with latanoprost, brimonidine, and the fixed association of timolol and dorzolamide. At each follow-up examination, progressively better control of IOP was obtained. Simultaneous with corticosteroid dosage decrease we were able to reduce antiglaucomatous medication while maintaining IOP under control. Ultrasound biomicroscopy revealed an open angle with normal anterior segment echographic findings. Perimetric evaluation revealed normal visual fields in both eyes. Four months after presentation, steroid treatment had been completed and IOP was 10 mmHg in both eyes without any antiglaucomatous medication. Optical coherence tomography revealed normal retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in all peripapillary sectors.

Conclusions: Systemic steroid treatment can cause a severe, acute increase in IOP in children. Children undergoing steroid treatment should have routine ophthalmologic examinations during treatment duration. Prompt antiglaucomatous treatment prevents retinal nerve fiber layer damage and visual acuity loss.
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Introduction

The fact that corticosteroids can increase intraocular pressure (IOP) was first described in 1950 by McLean,¹ and it is now a well-known dose-dependent phenomenon. Other variables influencing the magnitude of IOP response include steroid potency, route of administration, and also the inherent corticosteroid sensitivity of the patient.² Becker³ reported that 4%-6% percent of the population were high responders, developing an IOP increase > 15 mmHg and >31 mmHg after daily corticosteroid use for 4–6 weeks.

Such hypertensive response has been reported to occur with a lower incidence in children,⁴ but a few studies⁵,⁶ indicate that the magnitude of IOP increase seems to be higher than in adults, especially in children under 6 years of age.⁷ Even though the
hypertensive response can occur with any form of steroid administration, the time frame for an IOP increase is variable, ranging from a few weeks with topical corticosteroids\(^8,9\) to a few years with systemic administration.\(^10\) The purpose of this report is to describe a clear association between systemic steroid dosage and subsequent rapid IOP response in a child with nephrotic syndrome and no family history of glaucoma or other significant ophthalmologic condition.

**Case report**

A 6-year-old female Caucasian child was diagnosed with nephrotic syndrome and treated with oral prednisolone 60 mg/day. Seven days after initiating treatment, the child developed a clinical picture consisting of headaches, vomiting, ocular pain, blurred vision, and systemic hypertension of 177/99 mmHg. There was no prior history of ocular disease or family history of glaucoma. Ophthalmologic examination revealed slight conjunctival hyperemia and an IOP (measured with Icare TAO1, Icare, Espoo, Finland) of 52 mmHg on the right eye (OD) and 56 mmHg on the left eye (OS). Pupils were symmetric and reactive to light. No papillary edema or peripapillary hemorrhages were seen in either eye. Pachymetry indicated a corneal thickness of 520 µm OD and 518 µm OS. An ultrasound biomicroscopy was performed to assess anterior segment morphology. No echographic abnormalities of the ciliary body were found, and the iridocorneal angle was open, measuring more than 35° (Figure 1). Treatment was initiated at an emergency department with latanoprost and the fixed association of timolol and dorzolamide. A good IOP response was verified. One hour later the IOP had decreased to 19 mmHg OD and 29 mmHg OS. Two days later the child was observed at our glaucoma department. IOP was 28 mmHg OD and 20 mmHg OS. In order to achieve better IOP control, brimonidine was added to the therapeutic regimen. Such a measure was successful, as 2 days later IOP was 20 mmHg OD and 15 mmHg OS. Brimonidine was well tolerated. One month later, prednisolone was reduced to 40 mg/day and IOP was well controlled (14 mmHg in both eyes, measured with a Goldmann applanation tonometer), so we decided to eliminate brimonidine. A successful gonioscopy revealed a Shaffer grade 4 angle with no peripheral anterior synechiae or increased trabecular pigment. At the second month of follow-up, prednisolone dosage was 30 mg/day and IOP was measured as 10 mmHg OD and 6 mmHg OS, so we continued to reduce antiglaucomatous medication. Due to the low IOP in OS we chose to stop the fixed association of timolol and dorzolamide. A kinetic perimetry was scheduled and no visual field defects were found in either eye (Figure 2). At the third month of follow-up, the child was medicated with 15 mg/day of prednisolone for the nephrotic syndrome and latanoprost for IOP control, which was still maintained (10 mmHg, both eyes (OU)), so we suspended antiglaucomatous medication. One month later we reobserved the child, having completed steroid treatment 10 days earlier and now presenting under no topical or systemic medication whatsoever. IOP was maintained at 10 mmHg in both eyes (OU), no disc rim defects were found, and cup-to-disc ratio was 0.3 OU (Figure 3A and B). Optical coherence tomography (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) was scheduled to document the status of the peripapillary nerve fiber layer. No defects were found in any of the six analyzed sectors, and the global nerve fiber layer thickness was 91 µm OD and 94 µm OS (Figure 3C and D). Our final observation, 6 months after completing steroid treatment, revealed a normal ophthalmologic examination with an uncorrected visual acuity of 20/20 OD and 20/20 OS (cycloplegic refraction of +1.00D OU) and normal IOP (12 mmHg OU).
Discussion

Our case illustrates a severe, dose-dependent IOP response to systemic steroid treatment, identifiable in two major observations. Firstly, a temporal relation between corticosteroid dosage and the number of antiglaucomatous agents required to control IOP was present. Secondly, an important point to consider is the very small time frame required to obtain an IOP response, which is mostly evident on the first observation when an IOP higher than 50 mmHg was verified in both eyes precisely 7 days after initiating 60 mg/day of prednisolone. We were fortunate that this case developed ocular complaints, allowing the detection of IOP elevation on the seventh day. Nevertheless, such a response may have started even earlier, as in most cases reported in the literature the children were asymptomatic.\textsuperscript{11,12} The findings that there was no family history of glaucoma, that ultrasound biomicroscopy revealed a normal anterior segment, and the absence of gonioscopic abnormalities exclude any previous ocular susceptibility to elevated IOP, meaning that the only identifiable cause explaining the severely elevated IOP verified in our case was the systemic treatment. Others risk factors for steroid-induced IOP response, such as connective tissue disease, type 1 diabetes, and high myopia,\textsuperscript{13} were also excluded.

It has been reported that approximately a third of the population develops steroid-induced ocular hypertension (OHT), and the majority of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) patients are moderate to high steroid responders.\textsuperscript{14} In addition, steroid-responsive nonglaucomatous individuals have a higher risk of developing POAG compared with steroid nonresponders.\textsuperscript{15} Steroid-induced glaucoma shares many physiological and clinical symptoms with POAG. It is known that corticosteroids inhibit certain trabecular meshwork (TM) cellular functions, such as phagocytosis\textsuperscript{16,17} and cell migration,\textsuperscript{18} leading to increased resistance to aqueous outflow, but the pathogenic mechanism causing susceptibility to a steroid response is still unknown.\textsuperscript{19}

Most recent studies investigating the mechanisms underlying steroid responsiveness have been focused on the differential expression of glucocorticoid receptor (GR) isoforms by TM cells of steroid-responsive individuals (or those with POAG) when compared with nonresponders.\textsuperscript{17,20} There are two isoforms of GR, resulting from alternative splicing of the human GR gene, NR3C1. GR\textsubscript{α} is the pharmacologic receptor for glucocorticoids (GCs) and is the classical active isoform, whereas GR\textsubscript{β} functions as a dominant negative regulator of GR\textsubscript{α} transcriptional activity.\textsuperscript{21} It has been reported that most normal TM cells express relatively higher amounts of GR\textsubscript{β} compared with glaucomatous TM cells, making the latter more sensitive to GCs.\textsuperscript{22} A recent study by Jain et al\textsuperscript{23} found that the presence of certain spliceosomes in TM cells regulates alternative splicing of GR, leading to an altered GR\textsubscript{β}:GR\textsubscript{α} ratio and therefore modulating responsiveness to dexamethasone. They concluded that different levels or activity of these spliceosomes may account for differential GC sensitivity among the normal and glaucoma populations. It is likely that in steroid-responsive individuals, several genes have to be unregulated, leading to altered TM protein profiles,\textsuperscript{23} which are probably responsible for the exaggerated IOP response.

The fact that there was no discernible family history of glaucoma does not exclude genetic susceptibility to steroid-induced OHT for this case, for obvious reasons. The fact that POAG is characterized by insidious and slow clinical evolution means that the child’s parents may not be fully aware of their relatives’ ophthalmologic history. Also, both parents are still in their early forties, and to their knowledge have not recently been prescribed any form of steroid treatment. It is understandable, then, that the signs of POAG or steroid-related OHT may not have had the time or opportunity to manifest in the child’s parents.

Our case also underlines the importance of prompt antiglaucomatous treatment, as this child was able to complete the planned steroid treatment, and at the fourth month of follow-up no optic disc abnormalities were found and an
intact nerve fiber layer was present in both eyes. Also, it is important to note that no ocular or systemic adverse reactions were found during the entire duration of antiglaucomatous treatment. Our major concern was systemic events, as blood volume in children is only a fraction of that in adults, meaning that the same dosage of ocular medication results in a higher systemic concentration in children. Both timolol and dorzolamide have been found to be well tolerated in children younger than 6 years. Latanoprost, although not as extensively studied in the pediatric population as the other agents, is characterized by an excellent systemic risk profile in adult patients. The most dangerous agent is brimonidine, which due to its lipophilic properties passes readily through the blood-brain barrier, potentially leading to central nervous system toxicity. We opted to include brimonidine, taking into account the acute onset and magnitude of IOP elevation associated with the fact that the fixed association of timolol and dorzolamide plus latanoprost had not been able to successfully control IOP. Also, the greatest risk for central nervous system side effects has been reported for children younger than 6 years. Additionally, in order to minimize the occurrence and severity of systemic events, we instructed the parents to perform punctal occlusion when administering the eye drops. We also alerted them to visit the emergency department immediately in the presence of any of the major signs of adverse reactions (respiratory distress, somnolence, paresthesia, dizziness) and, finally, we scheduled close follow-up examinations in order to stop brimonidine, and later the other antiglaucomatous eye drops, as soon as IOP was under control.

Finally, our report reveals the importance of routine ophthalmologic examination in all children undergoing steroid treatment. All identified cases of severe steroid responsiveness will require re-evaluations every time a new course of corticosteroid treatment is needed. Considering that most cases are asymptomatic, it seems that an appropriate surveillance plan should include an initial observation a few days after the initiation of steroid treatment and thereafter monthly observations until completing treatment. This way it will be possible to safely treat systemic conditions while preserving optic nerve function.
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