Parkinson’s disease patients’ subjective descriptions of characteristics of chronic pain, sleeping patterns and health-related quality of life
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Objective: Nonmotor symptoms are common in Parkinson’s disease (PD). Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is negatively affected by different factors, of which pain and sleep disturbances are important contributors. This study was performed to evaluate and describe subjective experiences of pain, sleeping patterns, and HRQoL in a cohort of PD patients with chronic pain.

Methods: A total of 45 participants with established PD for more than 2 years, and PD-related pain for the preceding three months, were recruited from three sites in Sweden. Data regarding time point for onset, duration and degree of pain parameters, body localization of pain, external influences, and treatments were obtained. HRQoL was evaluated with the Short Form-36® Health Survey, and sleeping patterns were registered with the Parkinson’s disease Sleep Scale, both completed along with a questionnaire.

Results: In one-third of participants, pain preceded the PD diagnosis. Median pain score measured with a visual analog scale was 6.6 and 5.9 (for females and males, respectively) the week before the study. In almost half of the participants, pain was present during all their waking hours. Significantly more females described their pain as troublesome, while more males described their pain as irritating. Feelings of numbness and creeping sensations at night were strongly associated with the maximal visual analog scale scores. Polypharmacy was common; 89% used medication for anxiety/insomnia, and 18% used antidepressants. Only one-third of patients who reported pain relief with analgesics had these prescribed on their drug lists. Sleep was characterized by frequent awakenings. Urinary urgency and restless legs were frequently reported as troublesome. Patients rated HRQoL as significantly worse in all items compared with a healthy reference population matched for age and sex.

Conclusions: Experiences of chronic PD-related pain are complex; there is substantial sleep fragmentation and negative impact on HRQoL.
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Introduction

Pain in Parkinson’s disease (PD) was described in 1817 by James Parkinson in “An essay on the shaking palsy.”¹ Since that time, the symptom has occasionally been discussed in the literature. Ford² categorized five modalities of pain in PD: musculoskeletal, radicular-neuropathic, dystonic, central pain and akathisia. Beiske et al found musculoskeletal and dystonic pain to be most common type of pain, and they estimated that the overall prevalence of chronic pain was over 80%.

Muscular stiffness is common, and fluctuations due to “on” or “off” states in chronic PD-related pain are well described in the literature.⁴,⁵ Pain is an early nonmotor symptom in PD,⁶ and often precedes the start of anti-PD medication.⁷
The reported prevalence of pain in PD varies in different studies. In 2008, Negre-Pages et al\textsuperscript{8} estimated the prevalence of chronic pain in PD to be 62%. Ten years earlier, The Swedish Parkinson Association reported on a survey of nonmotor symptoms comprising almost 1000 PD respondents.\textsuperscript{9} They found that chronic pain was more common among females than males (54% and 45%, respectively). However, pain is also common in the general population, and there is a lack of studies on chronic noncancer pain. In a recent review article, the prevalence of moderate to severe, noncancer chronic pain was estimated to be 19% among adults.\textsuperscript{10}

The origin of pain in PD is still obscure. Pathways other than those secondary to rigidity, tremor, or any other motor manifestations of the disease, are probable. The basal ganglia process somatosensory information in different ways. Increased subjective pain sensitivity with lower electrical- and heat-pain thresholds have been reported in PD patients.\textsuperscript{11} PD-related disorders such as multiple system atrophy show almost the same prevalence of pain as PD.\textsuperscript{12}

Nocturnal symptoms with sleep disturbance are well known in PD.\textsuperscript{13,14} Sleep is disrupted in the majority of patients,\textsuperscript{15} and more than two-thirds of patients with PD are affected.\textsuperscript{16} As with PD-related pain, the origin of sleep disturbance is partially caused by the neurodegenerative process but also most probably due to the interaction with chronic pain. Sleep fragmentation and pain are common in PD, and negatively affect health-related quality of life (HRQoL).\textsuperscript{17,18}

Previous descriptions of the diurnal recurrence and the migrational nature of pain are scarce, as are patients’ self-reported experiences, restrictions in their movements, experienced interference with sleep, and their views regarding causal factors and consequences in daily life.

An increased understanding of PD-related pain and its impact on everyday life, as well as the interactions with sleep disturbances, is essential for all caregivers caring for patients with this disease. Thus we decided to describe these variables in a group of outpatients with established PD and chronic PD-related pain.

**Methods**

**Patient population**

Patients with stable and well-defined PD for more than 2 years, who fulfilled the clinical criteria for diagnosis according to the United Kingdom Parkinson’s Disease Society brain bank criteria,\textsuperscript{19} and with chronic PD-related pain, were included. They were recruited during routine care visits at the outpatient departments of three medium-sized city hospitals in southern Sweden. Anamnestic reports of chronic pain from patients resulted in a more detailed analysis by the clinician. If inclusion and exclusion criteria were met, the patients were surveyed about their interest in participating in the study. Patients were asked to sign an informed consent. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Chronic pain was defined as the occurrence of pain related to PD for three days or more per week during at least three months immediately prior to inclusion in the study. The pain was considered to be related to the disease when associated with fluctuations of the motor symptoms, cramps, or other pain sensation not explained by coexisting physical or mental problems. Exclusion criteria were musculoskeletal pain, such as the coexistence of arthrosis, tension headache, or neck ache, not associated with PD. Severe fluctuations in PD, concurrent existence of epilepsy, active malignancy, polyneuropathy, or other serious disease of somatic or psychiatric origin that could interfere with the study were exclusion criteria as well.

To avoid possible other coexistent chronic or acute diseases that might have concurrent influence on sensory systems, neuropathies, etcetera, patients with severe abnormalities in blood parameters, electrolytes, liver or renal parameters, such as bilirubin > 20 mmol/L, serum creatinine > 130 mmol/L, sedimentation rate > 30 mm, glucose 1-phosphate > 6.7 mmol/L (fasting), were also excluded. Participation in other studies was not allowed.

**Patients’ evaluations of their pain, sleep and HRQoL**

Before the study was started all patients received careful instructions about how to complete the self-reporting pain scales, and were assessed as being competent to complete their own forms. The week prior to their clinical visit, patients completed a 0–10 cm visual analog scale (VAS),\textsuperscript{20} marking maximal pain and duration of pain for each day, for five consecutive days. At the assessment visit, patients filled out a four-page “pain evaluation analysis” questionnaire, comprised of multiple-choice questions, body contours, and short free-text questions about different aspects of pain. Pain was also evaluated using the Pain-O-Meter (POM),\textsuperscript{21} which comprised a 10 cm VAS (POM\textsuperscript{150}), and a list of 15 sensory and 11 affective word descriptors with an assigned intensity value ranging from 1–5. A pain intensity score was calculated for the sensory, and for the affective components of pain. Experiences of sleep were evaluated using the Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale (PDSS).\textsuperscript{22} HRQoL was evaluated with the Short Form (36) Health Survey (SF-36\textsuperscript{s}) (Swe.ver.1; Quality Metric Inc, Lincoln, RI).\textsuperscript{23}
All medications were carefully registered and supervised by the study staff. At each site, a specialist trained in movement disorders completed the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) version 3.0, parts 1–4, and the modified Hoehn and Yahr scale.

### Statistical analyses
Comparisons between categorical variables with respect to proportions (presence of different symptoms or characteristics) were done by means of chi-square test or Fisher’s test.

When comparing variables of ordinal data type between different categories, the Mann–Whitney U test was used, and median with percentiles were presented.

Maximal pain above 7 (VAS ≥ 7) was used as the outcome in a logistic regression model, to explore factors with possible influence on pain.

The different domains of HRQoL levels in the study group were compared to mean levels in the Swedish norm population by means of a one sample $t$-test. As means of HRQoL in the norm population were presented as age- and sex-specific, and means within the study group were calculated as age-specific but not sex-specific, before comparisons we calculated weighted means based on norm data, and weighted by sex distribution in the study group, in order to exclude the sex effect.

Data were analyzed with STATISTICA® versions 8.0 and 10.0 (Statsoft Inc, Tulsa, OK) and SPSS® version 18.0 (IBM, Armonk, NJ).

### Ethics
The study was approved by the Ethics Committees at the University of Gothenburg (Ö 762-03), and the University of Linköping (D 03-673), Sweden.

### Results
Our study included a convenience sample of 45 participants, 16 men and 29 women, who were 50–77 years of age. There were nine patients from Linköping University Hospital, one of whom chose not to complete the study for personal reasons; 16 patients from Ryhov County Hospital; and 20 patients from Skaraborg County Hospital. Clinical characteristics of the PD population, severity of disease and pharmacological treatments are seen in Table 1.

Results of basal analyses of pain parameters, the degree and duration of pain compared to time point for PD diagnosis, and the patients’ descriptions of pain are shown in Table 2.

### Pain onset and characteristics of pain
Thirty-five percent of the patients experienced chronic pain before the time point of PD diagnosis, and in 45%, the onset of pain occurred within 5 years from diagnosis.

Maximal pain on the five consecutive days (VAS$^{max}$) before assessment of the entire group is presented in Figure 1. The median score of the VAS$^{max}$ during the 5 days for all patients, was 5.0 (5.2 and 4.8 for females and males, respectively), in comparison with a median score of 3.3 (2.8 and 3.9 for females and males, respectively) at baseline, estimated by the POMV$^{VAS}$.

The individual descriptions of pain characteristics differed between sexes but this was not influenced by age or disease duration (Table 2).

Dystonic cramps were seen in twelve females and eight males, all of whom experienced benefits regarding pain from anti Parkinson therapy. Three females and two males suffered from dystonic cramps for more than half of the day.

Significantly more patients with a long duration of PD (>5 years) described their pain symptoms as troublesome compared with those with a short (<5 years) duration (74% versus 26%, respectively; $P = 0.006$).

Descriptive terms such as “tiring” and “worrying” increased with disease duration, but not significantly. We did not find any association between the descriptive terms and disease severity as expressed by the UPDRS parts 1–4 scores.

### Table 1 Basal characteristics of the study population, duration of pain, severity of PD and pharmacological treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age* (years)</th>
<th>Duration of pain* (years)</th>
<th>UPDRS (3) score*</th>
<th>UPDRS (1–4) score*</th>
<th>H and Y* score</th>
<th>Levodopa** treatment (mg)</th>
<th>Antidepressants (n)</th>
<th>Anxiolytics/ sedatives (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>66.7/66.5</td>
<td>6.5/4</td>
<td>23/23</td>
<td>36/36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>634/562</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 28)</td>
<td>(60/73)</td>
<td>(1/13)</td>
<td>(10/37)</td>
<td>(19/60)</td>
<td>(0/3)</td>
<td>(300/1140)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>62.8/64.5</td>
<td>4.8/4</td>
<td>20/4/16.5</td>
<td>34.5/32.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>768/758</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 16)</td>
<td>(54/69)</td>
<td>(2/10)</td>
<td>(12/36)</td>
<td>(24/49)</td>
<td>(0/3)</td>
<td>(350/1205)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65.3/66</td>
<td>5.8/4.0</td>
<td>22.2/20</td>
<td>36/35.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>682/650</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(59/73)</td>
<td>(1/4/12)</td>
<td>(10/36)</td>
<td>(21/60)</td>
<td>(0/3)</td>
<td>(300/1205)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Values are given as mean/median (10/90th percentiles); **mean; **LED levodopa equivalent doses, according to Tomlinson et al.**

Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson’s disease; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; H and Y, Hoehn and Yahr scale.
**Table 2** Basal characteristics, onset, duration, and expression of pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Duration of disease</th>
<th>Pain before/after PD diagnosis</th>
<th>VASmax*</th>
<th>Pain expressions by participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females (28)</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>10/0/10</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>Migrating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (16)</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>10/0/10</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Presented within age groups of reference population; (mean) weighted according to sex distribution within PD group; 64%/36%, (females/males); PD-group; (mean [SD]): PF: 58.4 (22.0), RP: 40.5 (41.0), BP: 41.9 (16.4), GH: 47.6 (17.5), VT: 50.6 (20.2), SF: 70.5 (26.7), RE: 62.9 (44.8), MH: 70.4 (21.2).

Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson’s disease; PF, Physical Functioning; RP, Physical Roles; BP, Bodily Pain; GH, General Health; VT, Vitality; SF, Social Functioning; RE, Emotional Role; MH, Mental Health.

Thirty-four percent of the patients suffered from restless legs, which was significantly more common in males than in females (62.5% versus 17.9%, \( P = 0.003 \)). Figure 2 shows, in percentage of participants, a summary of localizations of chronic pain and the differences between sexes. More males than females experienced pain from the front of the lower extremities (\( P \)-values = 0.014 and 0.019, for left and right, respectively).

**Duration and fluctuation of chronic pain**

More males than females experienced pain from the front of the lower extremities (\( P \)-values = 0.014 and 0.019, for the left and right, respectively). Duration of pain was 6.7/5.5; (2/14) years for females, and 5.7/4.5; (2/12) years for males. Almost the whole study population (90%) suffered from daily pain attacks, with no differences between sexes. One third (36%) of the patients registered pain all their waking hours, and only one out of six (16%) reported less than 1 hour of pain per day.

**Pharmacological treatment**

We could not find any significant correlations between the amount of levodopa and the intensity of pain that was reported.
About half of the patients confirmed pain relief from anti-PD medication. Although 67% of participants indicated relief of pain from analgesics, these drugs were documented in the drug lists of only 27% of those patients. Paracetamol and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were the most commonly used analgesics (10/44 and 6/44, respectively). Anxiolytics and medication for insomnia were very commonly prescribed (Table 1). The majority (4/6) of participants who were prescribed antidepressants had a long duration of PD.

Sleep and nightly pain characteristics
The length of unfractioned sleep during the night was 3–4 hours (median) for both sexes. Females woke up two times per night, and males two to three times per night. These values corresponded well to the responses of the item “difficulty staying asleep,” plotted to in median three to four on the VAS scale in the PDSS questionnaire (worst = 0, best = 10). Females arose a median of twice nightly to urinate, while males arose once. Three females reported distressing pain at night. Nightly muscle cramps, numbness, and burning pain were reported by ten, five, and two patients, respectively. The sex distributions were equal.

Impact on health-related quality of life (HRQoL)
HRQoL was significantly lower in the study group compared with mean levels in a reference population, matched for age and sex (P-value <0.001) for all items except Emotional Role (P-value = 0.021).

Even when compared with a reference group over 75 years of age (ie, older than the study group), there was a statistically significant lower HRQoL within the study group with respect to bodily pain, general health, and social functioning (P-value = <0.001, <0.001, and 0.037, respectively).

Correlations between maximal pain (\(\text{VAS}_{\text{max}} \geq 7\)) and factors with possible influence on pain were analyzed by logistic regression. There was significant positive correlations between \(\text{VAS}_{\text{max}}\) and POST-VAS (P-value = 0.03, odds-
ratio (OR) = 2.33), the PDSS item “numbness and creeping sensation” (P-value = 0.03, OR 1.33). Age, sex, PD duration, POM-VAS, PIBS UPDRS I-IV, UPDRS depression, PDSS total and PDSS unexpected day sleep were also analyzed, but there were no significant correlations.

**Discussion**
In this study we have analyzed different aspects of chronic PD-related pain and sleeping patterns, focusing on the patients’ own experiences, as well as on the impact on quality of life. In contrast, previous studies in this area have categorized PD-related pain into classical subgroups (musculoskeletal, radicular-neuropathic, dystonic, central pain and akathisia). The observations are in agreement with our results. These findings support the theory of dopamine as a pain modulator. Mood and anxiety disorders have also been associated with an increased likelihood of developing chronic pain symptoms. This reciprocal relationship may be partially due to shared dysfunctions in central dopamine signaling, which plays a role in these disorders, as well as in pain processing.

**Pain**

**Characteristics of onset of pain**
As chronic pain in the general population without PD is common and increases with age, we attempted to exclude chronic pain due to concomitant diseases unrelated to PD, 

The oldest PD patients were excluded from the study. The time point for onset of pain in PD differs with respect to age at onset of the disease. In recent studies focusing on early premotor symptoms of PD, pain has been identified as one of ten risk indicators for disease. In agreement with other studies, approximately one-third of the participants experienced pain as an early phenomenon.

**Location of pain and type of pain**
We found sex differences in the relative locations of pain as described in Figure 2. Results from earlier studies in this field have been contradictory; Marinkovic et al found the most affected parts of the body to be upper and lower limbs in about 70% of the studied population. In another study neck and paraspinal cramps were predominant. Our findings showed topographical dominance for lower extremities in males compared with females.

Dystonic cramps in the extremities are a common phenomenon in PD. Prominent dystonia affecting the feet and toes is not uncommon, and in special cases paroxysmal exercise-induced dystonia of the feet has been described as having preceded the onset of more classical PD symptoms. These observations are in agreement with our results.

**Pharmacological aspects**
One-third of our patients reported pain relief in connection with intake of anti-PD medication. High concentrations of striatal dopamine (hyperdopaminergic state) are associated with the “on” phase, and can contribute to the development of some sensory symptoms, such as peak-dose akathisia, although this symptom is usually associated with a hypodopaminergic state. A temporal relation between onset of pain symptoms and medication intake may reveal an association of pain with wearing “off” periods, such as in early morning dystonia. Adjusting anti-PD medication can be more effective than the administration of analgesics in these cases.

Intraventricular or striatal microinjections of the dopamine agonist apomorphine have been shown to result in a dose-dependent decrease in nociceptive responses, supporting the theory of dopamine as a pain modulator. Mood and anxiety disorders have also been associated with an increased likelihood of developing chronic pain symptoms. This reciprocal relationship may be partially due to shared dysfunctions in central dopamine signaling, which plays a role in these disorders, as well as in pain processing.

**Patients’ subjective opinions regarding pain treatment**
Our study reveals a variety of measures are employed to attain pain relief. About 30% of the patients were prescribed analgesics, most frequently paracetamol and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Surprisingly, many patients took anxiolytics, sedatives and/or antidepressants on a daily basis. The outcome of using analgesics in PD and related disorders has not been reported in any controlled trial, and systematic reports are also lacking. Hence, pain is probably underreported and many neurologists might consider it difficult to treat pain associated with PD and PD-related disorders.

**Sleep**
Undisturbed sleep was rare in our study. This is consistent with the findings by van Hilten et al, who found more severely disturbed sleep maintenance in the PD group than healthy controls, and that nocturia, pain, stiffness, and problems with turning over in bed were the main causes of frequent awakening in PD patients. Difficulties in remaining asleep were revealed by both the PDSS and the pain evaluation analysis scales in our study. Numbness and “creeping” sensations at night were strongly associated with the maximal VAS scores, which strengthens our assumption that pain is an important cause of sleep disruptions in PD. Frequent awakenings to urinate, and early awakenings with painful posturing of arms and/or legs, were common.

Sleep disturbances can occur at any stage of PD, but they gradually worsen as the disease progresses. Excessive daytime sleepiness and daytime sleep attacks are
with PD-related pain had high scores on the VAS scales. Individual descriptions of pain and experiences with non-pharmacological therapies varied between sexes. A severe impact on sleep with disrupted sleep patterns was seen. Concomitant pharmacotherapy with anxiolytics, analgesics, and antidepressant was common, with significant differences in prescriptions between the sexes. HRQoL in PD patients with chronic pain was significantly lower than in an age-matched healthy reference population. Future studies should address pain-reducing therapies, both pharmacological and nonpharmacological.
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