Preoperative diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis by clinical cytology
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Background: The purpose of this work was to investigate whether clinical cytology could be useful in the preoperative diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis.

Methods: This study involved the prospective collection of samples derived from the endometrium and the uterine cervix, and retrospective data analysis. Nine patients with clinically diagnosed pelvic actinomycosis were enrolled. The clinical and hematological characteristics of patients were recorded, and detection of actinomyces was performed by cytology, pathology, and bacteriological culture of samples and by imprint intrauterine contraceptive device (IUD) cytology.

Results: The detection rate of actinomyces was 77.7% by combined cervical and endometrial cytology, 50.0% by pathology, and 11.1% by bacterial culture.

Conclusion: The higher detection rate of actinomyces by cytology than by pathology or bacteriology suggests that careful cytological examination may be clinically useful in the preoperative diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis.
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Introduction

Actinomycosis is a chronic suppurative, granulomatous inflammatory condition with abscess and fistula formation caused by anaerobic Gram-positive bacilli (Actinomyces species) commonly found within the oral cavity and intestinal tract of healthy individuals.1 It has been reported that pelvic actinomycosis is associated with chronic insertion of an intrauterine contraceptive device (IUD).2,3 A 20-year study in Japan of 122 women with pelvic actinomycosis revealed that 90.7% of them were IUD users.4 It is difficult to diagnose an actinomycotic adnexal mass by imaging modalities before surgery. Even with clinical features of actinomycosis, a pelvic mass containing a solid or cystic component is mostly diagnosed as suspected malignancy by either magnetic resonance imaging, computer tomography imaging, or ultrasonography.5 Most cases of actinomycosis are diagnosed by histopathological examination after surgery. Furthermore, actinomycotic adnexal abscesses progress slowly, with local invasion to adjacent organs. Thus, it is difficult to make a differential diagnosis of malignancy.6–8 Therefore, it is important to find some clinical modality to diagnose this slowly progressive disease preoperatively. Here we report nine cases of pelvic actinomycosis at our hospital along with an analysis of their clinicopathologic, cytologic, and bacteriologic characteristics. Our aim was to identify a single diagnostic intervention for pelvic actinomycosis before undertaking any operative procedure.
Results

Clinical findings and the operative methods used in our nine cases are described in Table 1. The mean patient age was 49.2 years (range 32–57 years). All patients were parous and had an IUD inserted. The median duration of IUD use was 15.3 years (range 7–25 years). All women complained of lower abdominal pain and fever as the main clinical manifestations, and were diagnosed as having pelvic inflammatory disease during outpatient visits.

Table 1: Clinical findings in patients with pelvic actinomycosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Chief complaint</th>
<th>Clinical diagnosis</th>
<th>Gravida</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Duration of IUD (year)</th>
<th>Type of IUD</th>
<th>Atypical genital bleeding</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>Operative method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Tubo-ovarian abscess</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Yellow-green</td>
<td>MRH+BSO+PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Pelvic inflammatory disease</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Tubo-ovarian abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAH+BSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Tubo-ovarian abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>SH+LSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Pelvic inflammatory disease</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yellowish-white</td>
<td>TAH+RSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Pelvic peritonitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAH+RSO+LS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Adnexitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lippes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yellow-green</td>
<td>TAH+RSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Pouch of Douglas abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>LooP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAH+BSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Pelvic abscess</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAH+RSO+LS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IUD, intrauterine contraceptive device; MRH, modified radical hysterectomy; TAH, total abdominal hysterectomy; SH, supravaginal hysterectomy; BSO, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy; LSO, left salpingo-oophorectomy; PS, partial sigmoidectomy.
their first hospital visit. All cases except one had had an IUD for more than 10 years. Information regarding the type of IUD inserted was unknown in three cases. Four women (44.4%) complained of atypical genital bleeding, with vaginal discharge of varying color noted in five cases (55.5%). Eight cases underwent hysterectomy and adnexitomy, and one case underwent segmental sigmoid colon resection.

The peripheral blood picture and serum tumor markers of our nine cases are shown in Table 2. All cases showed a remarkable increase in white cell count and C-reactive protein, indicating severe inflammatory changes. Seven of nine cases (77.8%) had increased serum platelet levels. Three serum tumor markers were measured to exclude malignancy, with some cases showing abnormal levels. Four of seven cases (57.1%) had abnormal serum tumor markers (Table 2).

The actinomyces detection rate as evaluated by cytology, pathology, and bacteriology is shown in Table 3. Actinomyces colonies were present in five of nine cases (55.6%) on cervical and/or endometrial cytology performed before surgery.

We detected actinomyces in four of nine patients (44.4%) by cervical cytology and three of eight cases (37.5%) by endometrial cytology (Table 3). Actinomyces were detected in a total of six patients (66.7%) by cytology of either the uterine cervix and/or endometrium or imprint IUD cytology before surgery. All three cases with imprint IUD cytology showed actinomyces colonies and bacterial threads which were confirmed by Gomori’s methenamine silver stain (Figure 1A–D). Imprint IUD cytology was done in three cases after IUD removal before surgery or from an IUD retained in the uterus after surgery. One patient (case 6 in Table 3) with positive imprint IUD cytology before surgery showed negative findings on both cervical and endometrial cytology. Actinomyces colonies were detected in two of four patients (50%) by Papanicolaou smear of abscess contents obtained during surgery. Case 5 in Table 1 showed a 2–3 mm sized yellowish-white granulated discharge present in the vagina and many actinomyces colonies on squash preparation (Figure 2A–C). Case 8 in Table 1 showed the presence of actinomyces colonies only in abscess contents of the right adnexa (Figure 3A and B).

We could identify actinomyces colonies in all cases either by cytology or histology with a clinical diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis. However, bacteriological examination of vaginal discharge, IUD, and abscess content specimens obtained before surgery showed colony formation of actinomyces in only one patient (case 1 in Table 3), and was not detected after surgery. For four patients in whom pathological and bacteriological examination was unable to detect actinomyces, cervical or endometrial cytology was able to detect actinomyces in three cases.

**Discussion**

We demonstrate here that about 70% of pelvic actinomyces can be diagnosed by cervical and/or endometrial cytology or imprint IUD cytology before surgery, and the detection rate is even better when compared with pathological diagnosis after surgery. We found that three of four cases with a negative pathological diagnosis of pelvic actinomyces had actinomyces colonies on cervical or endometrial cytology. This result is clinically significant, even though we enrolled only a small number of patients in this study.

Information is limited in the literature regarding the preoperative diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis using noninvasive procedures such as cervical or endometrial cytology. Abdominal actinomycosis develops most frequently in the gastrointestinal tract, especially around the ileocecal

---

**Table 2 Laboratory findings in patients with pelvic actinomycosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>RBC (10⁶/μL)</th>
<th>WBC (10⁶/μL)</th>
<th>Platelet (10⁶/μL)</th>
<th>BT (°C)</th>
<th>CRP (mg/dL)</th>
<th>CA125 (U/mL)</th>
<th>CA19-9 (U/mL)</th>
<th>CA54/61 (U/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** RBC, red blood cells; WBC, white blood cells; BT, body temperature; CRP, C-reactive protein.
junction, but pelvic actinomycosis in women is rare. Brenner and Gehring reported an increased incidence of pelvic actinomycosis in IUD users, and subsequent studies have found a close relationship between pelvic actinomycosis and prolonged IUD use. This is consistent with the findings of our study, in which all of our nine women had used an IUD for periods ranging from 7 to 20 years.

Keebler et al reported that the risk of actinomyces infection increased if an IUD is used for longer than 2 years, with an infection rate of 8.4% (9/107) in women using an IUD for 1–2 years and 19% (16/84) for 2–3 years. Aoki and Imamura reported a similar infection rate in women who had used an IUD for a mean period of 3.5 years. Fujiwara and Koumoto reviewed 112 women with pelvic actinomycosis who had used an IUD for a period of two decades. In their study, the actinomycosis infection rate was 90.7% for a mean period of IUD use of 9.8 (range 1.7–30) years. The average duration of IUD use in our cases was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Cytological diagnosis</th>
<th>Pathological diagnosis</th>
<th>Bacterial cultivation (Actinomyces spp.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uterine cervix</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>IUD removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9 (44.4%)</td>
<td>3/8 (37.5%)</td>
<td>2/2 (100%)</td>
<td>1/1 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IUD, intrauterine contraceptive device.

Figure 1 (A) Cytology of the endometrium, with basophilic granules of actinomyces seen with an inflammatory background (Papanicolaou stain, object lens magnification 10×). (B) Magnified image of (A) (Papanicolaou stain, 40×). Thin filamentous mycelia are seen spreading outwards. (C) Imprint cytology of intrauterine contraceptive device. Many actinomyces colonies are seen within severe inflammatory background (Papanicolaou stain, 10×). (D) Gomori methenamine-silver stain of (C) showing presence of numerous blackish fine mycelia (40×).
15.3 (range 7–25) years, which is longer than in any of the previous studies. The results of our study further strengthen the association between prolonged IUD use and actinomyces infection. Although the type of IUD was unknown in three of our cases, most of the cases positive for actinomyces infection used an FD-1 device. The link between occurrence of infection and this particular type of IUD needs to be investigated further.

Clinical features of pelvic inflammatory disease are abdominal pain, fever, and an increase in serum white blood cell and/or C-reactive protein levels. All of our cases attended hospital because of abdominal pain and fever, showed a marked increase in white blood cell and C-reactive protein levels, and had a clinical diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease (abscess in the pouch of Douglas or adnexa) before surgery. Cedermark et al\textsuperscript{13} reported that a raised platelet count might be useful to differentiate actinomycosis from other infections, and there have been similar reports from Japan.\textsuperscript{14,15} Seven cases (77.8%) in our study showed a marked increase in platelet count ($\geq 40 \times 10^4/\mu L$). An elevated platelet count with symptoms of pelvic inflammatory disease might be helpful in isolating cases with actinomycosis. From the bacteriological point of view, it is necessary to confirm a diagnosis of actinomycosis by bacterial culture; however,

Figure 2 Brush cytology of granular discharge containing many actinomyces colonies (A) (Papanicolaou stain, object lens magnification 4×). (B) Magnified image of (A) (Papanicolaou stain, 40×) showing actinomyces strangles with abundant mycelia radiating outwards. (C) Gomori methenamine silver stain of (B) showing presence of blackish thin filamentous mycelia radiating outwards (40×).

Figure 3 Hematoxylin and eosin stain of sample derived from right adnexal abscess. (A) Basophilic actinomyces colonies are seen in the central hematoxylin-stained area and eosinophilic colonies are seen in the periphery (sulfur granules). Splendore-Hoeppli materials are also seen in the outermost layer (object lens magnification 20×). (B) Gomori methenamine silver stain of (A) showing abundant mycelia radiating outwards (20×).
the detection rate by bacterial culture has been reported to be low. Hager et al. reported a detection rate of 2% by bacterial culture and 8% by cytology. Similarly, Fujinara and Koumoto reported that none of their 10 cases with actinomycosis were able to be detected by bacterial culture. Therefore, actinomycosis colonies are unlikely to be detected by bacteriological examination.

Actinomycosis are fastidious bacteria that are difficult to isolate by culture. During bioculture of actinomycoses, it is necessary to maintain strict anaerobic conditions in an environment containing 6%–10% carbon dioxide. In addition, detection by bacterial culture may be difficult on overnight incubation due to slow growth of actinomycoses. This might explain why we could isolate actinomycoses in only one sample by bacterial culture. The amount sampled, collection site, or timing of sample collection could also be important. In our study, samples from only one case showed actinomycoses species (11.1%) by bacterial culture before surgery and not postoperatively.

Gupta et al. reported the presence of actinomycoses in the cervical smears of women using an IUD, which corresponded with subsequently published reports. The actinomycoses detection rate by cytology was found to be as high as 8%–44% in IUD users, but as low as 0%–2.8% in nonusers. All of our patients used an IUD and our actinomycoses detection rate by cervical cytology (44.4%) was similar to that in the published reports. We did not investigate the incidence of actinomycoses in women who were not IUD users. Instead, we performed double cytology (cervical and endometrial) before surgery and found that combining these two cytological procedures with imprint IUD cytology before surgery may increase the detection rate to 66.7% when compared with cervical (44.4%) or endometrial (37.5%) cytology. Actinomycosis was detected in two of nine cases by imprint IUD cytology before surgery. One case had parallel positive findings by cervical cytology and another case had negative findings by cervical and endometrial cytology. This finding may be clinically important, favoring imprint IUD cytology before surgery in patients with clinically suspected actinomycosis presenting with positive findings for pelvic inflammatory disease and negative findings on cervical or endometrial cytology.

We suspected actinomycosis clinically because of the presence of granulated vaginal discharge and a history of prolonged IUD use, with subsequent confirmation on cytology and histology. The presence of sulfur granules is a definite marker for a pathological diagnosis. We could detect sulfur granules on postoperative histopathology in four of our eight patients (50%, Figure 3A). However, abundant sulfur granules are rarely visible on cytology without close examination of large numbers of samples.

Diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis is not possible by imaging. The image findings of actinomycotic adnexal abscesses are similar to that of malignancy, because actinomycotic adnexal abscess progresses with invagination deep into the peritoneum and fascia. Thus, it is difficult to differentiate malignancy from actinomycotic abscess by diagnostic imaging. Most cases of actinomycoses are diagnosed postoperatively, with less chance (<20%) of a diagnosis before surgery. Although one of our cases was suspected to be malignant, all patients visited our hospital with the findings of a pelvic mass, a diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease, and with a history of prolonged IUD use before surgery. Our cases were compatible with a diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis.

In conclusion, our higher detection rate of actinomycoses by cytology than by pathology or bacteriology suggests that careful cytological examination may be clinically useful in the preoperative diagnosis of pelvic actinomycosis. Clinical features suggestive of pelvic actinomycosis and a prolonged history of IUD use require further investigation in a larger number of patients.
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