A review and additional post-hoc analyses of the incidence and impact of constipation observed in darifenacin clinical trials
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Background: Constipation is a common side effect of antimuscarinic treatment for overactive bladder (OAB). This review evaluates the incidence and impact of constipation on the lives of patients with OAB being treated with darifenacin.

Methods: Constipation data from published Phase III and Phase IIIb/IV darifenacin studies were reviewed and analyzed. Over 4000 patients with OAB (aged 18–89 years; ≥80% female) enrolled in nine studies (three Phase III [data from these fixed-dose studies were pooled and provide the primary focus for this review], three Phase IIIb, and three Phase IV). The impact of constipation was assessed by discontinuations, use of concomitant laxatives, patient-reported perception of treatment, and a bowel habit questionnaire.

Results: In the pooled Phase III trials, 14.8% (50/337) of patients on darifenacin 7.5 mg/day and 21.3% (71/334) on 15 mg/day experienced constipation compared with 12.6% (28/223) and 6.2% (24/388) with tolterodine and placebo, respectively. In addition, a few patients discontinued treatment due to constipation (0.6% [2/337], 1.2% [4/334], 1.8% [4/223], and 0.3% [1/388] in the darifenacin 7.5 mg/day or 15 mg/day, tolterodine, and placebo groups, respectively), or required concomitant laxatives (3.3% [11/337], 6.6% [22/334], 7.2% [16/223], and 1.5% [6/388] in the darifenacin 7.5 mg/day or 15 mg/day, tolterodine, and placebo groups, respectively). Patient-reported perception of treatment quality was observed to be similar between patients who experienced constipation and those who did not. During the long-term extension study, a bowel habit questionnaire showed only small numerical changes over time in frequency of bowel movements, straining to empty bowels, or number of days with hard stools.

Conclusion: While constipation associated with darifenacin was reported in ≥21% of the patient population, it only led to concomitant laxative use in approximately one-third of these patients and a low incidence of treatment discontinuation. These data suggest that constipation did not impact patient perception of treatment quality.
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Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a chronic condition characterized by urinary urgency, with or without urge incontinence, usually with increased frequency of micturition and nocturia, and in the absence of urinary tract infection or other obvious pathology. It is estimated that OAB affects approximately 11% of adults in Europe and Canada. The condition is associated with considerable impairment of many aspects of patients’ quality of life, such as sleep and mental health, while ≥65% of sufferers with OAB report a negative impact on daily living. The total economic costs of OAB are estimated to be approximately US$12 billion in the USA and €4 billion in Europe.
Antimuscarinic drugs remain a first-line pharmacologic treatment option for OAB. Meta-analyses have demonstrated that licensed antimuscarinic treatments such as tolterodine, darifenacin, oxybutynin, trospium, solifenacin, fesoterodine, and propiverine reduce incontinence episodes (IEs) and other OAB symptoms compared with placebo.\(^8\)-\(^{10}\) In a pooled analysis of three Phase III studies, darifenacin provided statistically significant reductions in the frequency of IEs, micturitions, urgency episodes, and leaks requiring change of pad or clothing compared with placebo (all \(P < 0.001\)).\(^{11}\) Darifenacin is available in two doses, 7.5 mg/day and 15 mg/day, with the higher dose being statistically significantly more effective (\(P \leq 0.002\)).\(^{11}\) This ability to uptitrate therapeutic dose can benefit patients with the higher dose of darifenacin, providing further improvements in many OAB symptoms compared with lower doses.\(^{12}-\(^{15}\)

While similar in their mechanism of action, available antimuscarinics vary in their relative affinity for muscarinic receptor subtypes.\(^{16,17}\) The five muscarinic receptor subtypes are distributed throughout the body and mediate different autonomic effects.\(^{18}\) Although the precise functions and distribution of each of the muscarinic receptors remains to be fully clarified, there is evidence to show that: \(M_2\) receptors are predominantly located in the heart and smooth muscle and are involved with regulation of cardiac function and muscle contraction; and \(M_3\) receptors are predominantly located in the bladder and smooth muscle and are involved with muscle contractions.\(^{19}\)

OAB results from abnormal, involuntary detrusor muscle contractions, which occur during bladder filling.\(^{20}\) \(M_3\) receptors are thought to be primarily responsible for detrusor contraction, with limited evidence that \(M_1\) receptors may play a role in bladder contractions.\(^{19,21}\) Thus, the \(M_3\) receptor subtype may be considered an important target in the pharmacotherapy of OAB. Amongst available antimuscarinics, darifenacin has the highest relative affinity for the \(M_3\) receptor over other receptor subtypes (including \(M_1\) and \(M_2\)) compared with other commonly prescribed antimuscarinics, including oxybutynin (selective for \(M_3\) over \(M_2\)), propiverine (nonselective), solifenacin (selective for \(M_3\) over \(M_1\) and \(M_2\)), and tolterodine (nonselective).\(^{16,17}\)

Muscarinic receptors are also present in the gastrointestinal tract smooth muscle in similar proportions to that observed in the bladder\(^{22,23}\) and it is currently thought that \(M_3\) receptors are primarily responsible for gastrointestinal muscle contractions.\(^{24-26}\) As a result, antagonism of \(M_3\) receptors may slow colonic transit and consequently lead to altered bowel habits and symptoms of constipation. However, in mice lacking the \(M_3\) receptor, small but significant contractions in the ileum remained, suggesting that \(M_3\) receptors may play a larger role in gut motility than thought previously.\(^{27}\)

Constipation, defined as a functional bowel disorder that presents as persistently difficult, infrequent, or seemingly incomplete defecation, which does not meet irritable bowel syndrome criteria,\(^{28}\) is highly prevalent in the general population. A random mail survey of the general public in Minnesota (aged between 20 and 94 years) in 1998 and repeated in 2003 was used to calculate a cumulative incidence of chronic constipation of 17.4% (112/645).\(^{29}\) Furthermore, chronic constipation was associated with age and gender (\(P < 0.01\)). The authors from this population-based study postulated that one in six people will develop chronic constipation over a decade.

Together with dry mouth, constipation is the most common adverse event (AE) observed with currently available antimuscarinic drugs.\(^{30-33}\) However, unlike dry mouth, constipation associated with antimuscarinic treatment has not been systematically and thoroughly reviewed.\(^{34}\) In otherwise healthy patients with symptoms of OAB, constipation has been reported with both immediate-release (twice daily) and extended-release (once daily) formulations of tolterodine (6.8% [35/512] and 5.9% [30/505] of patients, respectively, compared with 4.3% [22/507] of patients receiving placebo).\(^{31}\) Pooled analysis of two Phase III studies with transdermal oxybutynin reported an incidence of constipation in 2.1% (5/242) of patients with urge or mixed urinary incontinence receiving the study drug and 2.0% (5/245) of those receiving placebo.\(^{32}\) Similarly, an incidence of constipation in 4.2% (10/249) and 12.2% (50/409) in patients with OAB but no incontinence receiving solifenacin 5 mg/day and 10 mg/day, respectively, compared with 1.3% (5/390) in the placebo group was reported in a pooled analysis of four Phase III studies.\(^{36}\) In a comparator study of solifenacin and tolterodine in patients with OAB, solifenacin 5 mg and 10 mg once daily were associated with a greater incidence of constipation (7.2% [20/279] and 7.8% [21/268], respectively) than tolterodine 2 mg twice daily (2.6% [7/203]).\(^{37}\) Furthermore, the incidence of constipation with fesoterodine was 6.0% (34/566) with the 8 mg once daily dose and 4.2% (23/554) with the 4 mg once daily dose and 2.0% (11/554) with placebo in a pooled analysis of two randomized controlled trials in patients with urgency or urge incontinence.\(^{13}\) In addition, 9.4% (28/298) of patients with OAB receiving trospium 60 mg once daily experienced...
constipation compared with 1.3% (4/303) of patients in the placebo group.38

A recent meta-analysis highlighted that patients receiving antimuscarinics for OAB are significantly more likely to experience constipation than those receiving placebo,14 however, the incidence and impact of constipation on the lives of patients with OAB being treated with antimuscarinics has yet to be evaluated. This review will primarily report on the incidence and impact of constipation in patients receiving darifenacin in published Phase III and Phase IV clinical studies (completed between 2002 and 2007).39–46 Data from patients receiving the licensed darifenacin doses available in clinical practice (7.5 mg/day and 15 mg/day) were evaluated, with the focus on data from a pooled analysis of three fixed-dose Phase III studies of very similar design.11 Additional data were obtained from three Phase IIIb studies,14,42,45 including a dose-titration study14 and a long-term (2-year) study,42 as well as three Phase IV post-approval studies43,44,46 in patients with OAB. Inclusion of these studies in this review provided a large dataset that adds greater numerical strength to the evaluation on the impact of constipation, associated with darifenacin treatment, on the lives of patients with OAB. Furthermore, additional analyses on existing databases from these studies were performed; these are distinguishable from the published analyses that have been cited. These constipation data were not included in the original publications but are reported here. Key details of the included studies and incidence of constipation are given in Table 1.11,14,39–46

**Pooled analysis of Phase III studies**

The primary outcome data (efficacy) from the pooled analysis of three randomized controlled trials have been reported elsewhere, along with the consistent methodology, inclusion/exclusion criteria, efficacy, and safety assessments that allowed the data from three individual studies to be pooled.11 In each study, patients aged ≥18 years entered a 2-week washout period (if receiving prohibited medication, such as agents with significant anticholinergic effect [eg, anticholinergics and tricyclic antidepressants] and drugs that cause significant constipation prior to the start of the study) and a 2-week treatment-free or placebo run-in period. Upon completion of the placebo run-in period, patients were randomized to 12 weeks’ double-blind treatment with study medication (placebo or fixed-doses of darifenacin 7.5 mg or 15 mg once daily). One study also included a tolterodine treatment group, who received 4 mg/day (2 mg twice daily). The main inclusion criteria were: men and women aged ≥18 years with symptoms of OAB for ≥6 months; 5–50 IEs/week; an average of ≥8 micturitions/day; and at least one urgency episode/day. For all studies, patients with severe constipation (<3 defecations a week) were excluded. Tolerability and safety were evaluated from withdrawal rates and AEs. AEs were reported by the patients during treatment and 14 days after the end of treatment, recorded by the investigator and coded in the study databases using coding symbols for a thesaurus of adverse reaction terms (COSTART) criteria.47 AEs were tabulated by body system, eg, digestive system, nervous system, and body as a whole. Some of the terms coded as constipation were “difficulty with defecation,” “feeling of incomplete evacuation,” and “increased hard stools.” It was also recorded if additional drug administration, such as laxatives or stool softeners, were required. In order to assess the severity of constipation by objective outcome measures, the common toxicity criteria (CTC) grading was retrospectively applied to the constipation data from the Phase III studies for all treatment groups and then to the pooled data.47 The severity of constipation was scored from one to five and graded as mild, moderate or severe, respectively.47

Baseline demographics were comparable between treatment groups in each study and are covered in detail elsewhere.11 In brief, 1059 patients were included in the pooled data analysis, most patients were female (85%), ages ranged from 19 to 88 years (mean age 56–57) and 22% had previously received drug therapy for their OAB symptoms in the 3 months before study inclusion.11 Furthermore, >4% of patients in each treatment group were receiving laxatives at baseline.

The overall incidence of constipation as reported by patients in the pooled Phase III studies was 18% (121/671).11 Data from the individual Phase III studies, including the comparator study with tolterodine, are presented in Table 1.39–41 From the analysis of pooled data, a higher incidence of constipation was reported with higher doses of darifenacin, 14.8% for 7.5 mg/day compared with 21.3% for 15 mg/day (Figure 1). However, the proportion of patients who experienced constipation while receiving tolterodine or darifenacin 7.5 mg/day were 12.6% and 14.8%, respectively (Figure 1). In addition, the incidence of constipation was higher in older patients receiving darifenacin and tolterodine. Overall, 18.6% (18/97) of patients aged ≥65 years experienced constipation while receiving darifenacin 7.5 mg/day compared with 13.3% of patients aged 18–64 years. Differences in the incidence of constipation in each age group were observed in patients receiving darifenacin 15 mg/day (20.1% [45/224] in patients aged 18–64 years and 23.6% [26/110] in patients aged ≥65 years,48 respectively) and those receiving tolterodine 4 mg/day (11.8% [16/136] and 13.8% [12/87], respectively).
### Table I Details of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference and NCT number</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Active treatments</th>
<th>Incidence of constipation (%)</th>
<th>Discontinuations due to constipation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DAR total 7.5 mg/d</td>
<td>15 mg/d</td>
<td>PBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed-dose Phase III trials included in the pooled analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foote and Elhilali⁹⁰</td>
<td>12-week, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study in patients aged ≥ 18 years</td>
<td>DAR 15 mg/day or 30 mg/day, TOL 4 mg/day</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill et al⁹¹</td>
<td>12-week, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-response in patients aged ≥ 18 years</td>
<td>DAR 7.5 mg/day, 15 mg/day, or 30 mg/day</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17/108 (15.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haab et al⁹²</td>
<td>12-week, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study in patients aged ≥ 18 years</td>
<td>DAR 3.75 mg/day, 7.5 mg/day, or 15 mg/day</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>33/229 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase IIIb studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haab et al⁹³</td>
<td>2-year, open-label, multicenter, extension study to the Phase III trials</td>
<td>DAR 7.5 mg/day or 15 mg/day</td>
<td>150/716 (20.9%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steers et al⁹⁴</td>
<td>12-week, Phase IIIb flexible-dosing, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled parallel-group study in patients aged ≥18 years</td>
<td>DAR 7.5 mg/day with optional uptitration to 15 mg/day</td>
<td>56/268 (20.9%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- NCT numbers provided where applicable (studies without a number were completed prior to the registration mandate), all numbers are prefixed 00;
- These data reflect the two licensed darifenacin doses (7.5 mg/day and 15 mg/day);
- Safety population.

**Abbreviations:**
- DAR, darifenacin;
- OAB, overactive bladder;
- n/a, not applicable;
- PBO, placebo;
- TOL, tolterodine.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Incidence of constipation (%)</th>
<th>Discontinuations due to constipation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBO</td>
<td>Opportunistic, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter study to assess effects on warning time</td>
<td>4 mg/d</td>
<td>DAR total 7.5 mg/day or 15 mg/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
- **NCT numbers provided where applicable (studies without a number were completed prior to the registration mandate), all numbers are prefixed 00.**
- These data reflect the two licensed darifenacin doses (7.5 mg/day and 15 mg/day).
- Safety population.

### Abbreviations:
- DAR, darifenacin; OAB, overactive bladder; n/a, not applicable; PBO, placebo; TOL, tolterodine.
Impact of constipation in the pooled analysis of Phase III studies

In this pooled analysis, most episodes of constipation (56.6% [98/173]) were graded as mild in severity (Table 2). Only 1.6% (11/671) of patients receiving darifenacin 7.5 mg/day or 15 mg/day experienced constipation classified as severe. A similar proportion (1.8% [4/223]) of patients receiving tolterodine experienced severe constipation (Table 2).

The majority of constipation cases occurred within 2 weeks of treatment initiation (56.0% [28/50]) of patients who reported constipation while receiving darifenacin 7.5 mg and 80.3% [57/71] of those receiving darifenacin 15 mg compared with 53.6% [15/28] of tolterodine patients and 62.5% [15/24] of patients in the placebo group; (Figure 2). The median time-to-onset of constipation was 14 days in the darifenacin 7.5 mg/day group, 6 days in the 15 mg/day group, 13.5 days in the tolterodine group, and 7 days in the placebo group.

In the pooled analysis of Phase III studies, few patients discontinued treatment due to constipation (Figure 1): 0.6% (2/337) of patients receiving darifenacin 7.5 mg/day (4% of patients reporting constipation in the 7.5 mg dose group [N = 2/50]); 1.2% (4/334) of patients receiving darifenacin 15 mg/day (5.6% of patients reporting constipation in the 15 mg dose group [N = 4/71]); and 1.8% (4/223) of patients receiving tolterodine (14.3% of patients reporting constipation in this group [N = 4/28]). In addition, 0.3% (1/388) of patients in the placebo group (4.2% of patients reporting constipation in this group [N = 1/24]) discontinued due to constipation.

Overall, there was a low rate of medical intervention for constipation during the studies (Figure 1 and Table 3). Of those patients receiving darifenacin 7.5 mg/day, 5.9% (20/337) were taking laxatives during the study, including 22% (11/50) of all patients reporting constipation and 3.1% (9/287) of patients not reporting constipation. The median time-to-onset of constipation was 14 days in the darifenacin 7.5 mg/day group, 6 days in the 15 mg/day group, 13.5 days in the tolterodine group, and 7 days in the placebo group.

Table 2 Incidence of all-causality constipation in fixed-dose Phase III studies in OAB patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constipation severity</th>
<th>Darifenacin 7.5 mg/day N = 337</th>
<th>Darifenacin 15 mg/d N = 334</th>
<th>Tolterodine 4 mg/d N = 223</th>
<th>Placebo N = 388</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild, N (%)</td>
<td>29 (8.6)</td>
<td>39 (11.7)</td>
<td>15 (6.7)</td>
<td>15 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate, N (%)</td>
<td>19 (5.6)</td>
<td>23 (6.9)</td>
<td>9 (4.0)</td>
<td>7 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe, N (%)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>9 (2.7)</td>
<td>4 (1.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (14.8)</td>
<td>71 (21.3)</td>
<td>28 (12.6)</td>
<td>24 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: OAB, overactive bladder.
of patients receiving tolterodine (including 57% [16/28] reporting constipation). The use of concomitant laxatives in patients not reporting constipation may indicate that some patients were taking laxatives prophylactically (Table 3).

### Impact of constipation on patient-reported outcomes in Phase III trials

The patient perception of quality of treatment (PPQT) was assessed in the three pooled Phase III trials by three questions given at study end (Week 12):

1. “Overall, how satisfied are you with the drug you received over the past 12 weeks?”, scored on a scale from 1 to 5 (“Extremely satisfied” to “Extremely dissatisfied”)
2. “Overall, do you prefer the drug you received on this clinical trial to your previous treatment?”, scored on a scale from 1 to 5 (“Yes, I definitely prefer the drug I am receiving now” to “No, I definitely prefer my previous treatment”)
3. “In the future, would you be willing to use the same drug that you received on this clinical trial?”, scored

### Table 3 Concomitant laxative use in fixed-dose Phase III studies in OAB patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Darifenacin 7.5 mg/day</th>
<th>Darifenacin 15 mg/day</th>
<th>Tolterodine 4 mg/day</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All patients</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>9 (2.7)</td>
<td>13 (3.9)</td>
<td>8 (3.6)</td>
<td>15 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>20 (5.9)</td>
<td>32 (9.6)</td>
<td>23 (10.3)</td>
<td>24 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients with constipation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>50 (14.8)</td>
<td>71 (21.3)</td>
<td>28 (12.6)</td>
<td>24 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>2 (4.0)</td>
<td>4 (5.6)</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>11 (22.0)</td>
<td>22 (31.0)</td>
<td>16 (57.1)</td>
<td>6 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients with no constipation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>287 (85.2)</td>
<td>263 (78.7)</td>
<td>195 (87.4)</td>
<td>364 (93.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>7 (2.4)</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
<td>7 (3.6)</td>
<td>15 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using laxatives (%)</td>
<td>9 (3.1)</td>
<td>10 (3.8)</td>
<td>7 (3.6)</td>
<td>18 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** OAB, overactive bladder.
on a scale from 1 to 5 (“Yes, I would definitely want to use the same drug” to “No, I definitely would not want to use the same drug”).

Of patients receiving darifenacin 7.5/15 mg/day, 59.4% (63/106) and 54.7% (267/488) of patients with and without constipation, respectively, reported satisfaction with treatment; 68.6% (72/105) and 68.1% (329/483) of patients, respectively, reported their preference for darifenacin over previous therapy; and 64.8% (68/105) and 65.8% (318/483) of patients, respectively, were willing to re-use darifenacin. Overall, PPQT was numerically comparable between patients who experienced constipation and those that did not in the pooled analysis of Phase III trials (for individual treatment groups please see Figure 3).

**Constipation with long-term (2-year) darifenacin treatment**

A total of 716 patients with OAB (mean age 57.3 years; 85.1% women) entered a 2-year, open-label, extension study (Table 1, study 1) from two Phase III trials with similar inclusion criteria, one fixed-dose and one flexible-dosing. In addition to reporting AEs, all patients completed a bowel habit questionnaire (Table 4A) at the start of this extension study and at completion. This questionnaire assessed whether and how patients’ bowel habits changed following long-term treatment with darifenacin.

At the end of the long-term study, the incidence of all- causality constipation was 20.9% (150/716) but few patients (2.4% [17/716]) discontinued treatment as a consequence of constipation. Overall, 5.6% (40/716) of patients initiated use of fiber supplements, stool softeners or laxatives during this extension study. Differences observed in bowel habit parameters, such as frequency of bowel motions, stool consistency, straining and feeling of incomplete evacuation, from baseline (end of the Phase III study) compared with end of extension study (Figure 4A) were numerically low and bowel habits were shown not to affect daily life.

**Additional Phase IIIb OAB studies**

In addition to the fixed-dose Phase III trials included in the pooled analysis, two 12-week Phase IIIb studies in patients with OAB were analyzed (Table 1, studies 2 and 3). In a flexible-dosing study (with similar inclusion criteria to the fixed-dose studies), 395 patients with OAB (mean age 58 years, 84% female) received darifenacin 7.5 mg/day for 2 weeks with an optional uptitration to 15 mg/day. The overall incidence of constipation was 20.9% (56/268) compared with 7.9% (10/127) in the placebo group (Table 1, study 2). Constipation was considered by the investigators to be severe in 1.5% (4/268) and 0.8% (1/127) of darifenacin-treated and placebo-treated patients, respectively. Six patients (2.2%) discontinued darifenacin treatment due to constipation compared with one patient (0.8%) in the placebo group.

---

**Figure 3** Patient perception of quality of treatment in fixed-dose Phase III studies in overactive bladder patients. (A) Patient satisfaction with drug; (B) Patient preference for study drug over previous therapy; (C) patient willingness to re-use study drug.
Table 4 Bowel habit questionnaires

(A) Used in the long-term extension study (all questions refer to the 2 weeks preceding the visit)

Q1: Did you have to strain to move your bowels?
   - No
   - Yes

Q2: If yes, how much straining did you have to do? (If no, skip question)
   - Acceptable straining
   - Too much straining

Q3: What was the usual consistency of your bowel movements? (choose one)
   - Separate hard lumps, like nuts (hard to pass)
   - Sausage shaped but lumpy
   - Like a sausage but with cracks on its surface
   - Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft
   - Soft blobs with clear cut edges (passed easily)
   - Fluffy pieces with ragged edge, a mushy stool
   - Watery, no solid pieces

Q4: How often do you have bowel movements?
   - Less often
   - Unchanged
   - More often

Q5: Do you still: (check one)
   - Move your bowels every day
   - Move your bowels every other day
   - Move your bowels 2 or less times per week
   - Other

Q6: Have you had to strain to move your bowels?
   - No
   - Yes

Q7: If yes, how much straining have you had to do? (If no, skip question)
   - Acceptable straining
   - Too much straining

Q8: What has been the usual consistency of your bowel movements? (choose one)
   - Separate hard lumps, like nuts (hard to pass)
   - Sausage shaped but lumpy
   - Like a sausage but with cracks on its surface
   - Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft
   - Soft blobs with clear cut edges (passed easily)
   - Fluffy pieces with ragged edge, a mushy stool
   - Watery, no solid pieces

Q9: Has the change in your bowel habits affected or interfered with your life in general? (check one)
   - Yes, in a bad way
   - Not at all
   - Yes, in a good way

(Continued)

Q10: How much has the change in your bowel habits affected or interfered with your life in general? (check one)
   - Very little
   - Moderately
   - Quite a lot
   - Very much

Laxative use was initiated by 11.2% (30/268) and 7.9% (10/127) of patients in the darifenacin and placebo groups, respectively.

A numerically similar incidence of constipation was reported in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with darifenacin 15 mg/day.45 In this study of 439 patients with OAB (mean age 59.1 years; 87% female), constipation was reported by 17.8% (38/214) and 4.9% (11/225) of patients receiving darifenacin and placebo, respectively (Table 1, study 3).45 The majority of cases of constipation were considered by investigators to be mild or moderate in severity. For both the darifenacin and placebo dose groups, only one case of severe constipation was reported in each. Five patients (2.3%) receiving darifenacin discontinued treatment due to constipation compared with none of the patients receiving placebo.

Post-approval (Phase IV) OAB studies

Data from three 12-week Phase IV studies conducted to further characterize the efficacy and tolerability of darifenacin in patients with OAB were included in this current analysis of constipation.43,44,46 One open-label study (Table 1, study 4) recruited 497 patients with OAB (mean age 60.9 years; 84% female) that were dissatisfied with previous extended-release antimuscarinic treatment (oxybutynin or tolterodine).43 After a 2–3 week prescreening/washout period during which prohibited medications were discontinued, patients then received darifenacin 7.5 mg/day for the first 2 weeks with voluntary uptitration to darifenacin 15 mg/day.43 An overall incidence of constipation of 14.1% (70/497) with darifenacin was reported. In patients treated previously with oxybutynin or tolterodine an incidence of 11.0% (24/218) and 16.5% (46/279), respectively was observed.43 Four patients (0.8%) discontinued treatment due to constipation.

Another open-label study (Table 1, study 5; N = 395) randomized patients to either darifenacin 7.5 mg/day (with optional uptitration to 15 mg/day) alone or in combination with a behavioral modification plan, which included pelvic muscle exercises and urgency control techniques.44
The overall incidence of constipation was 18.5% (73/395). Eight patients (2.0%; two patients receiving darifenacin alone and six receiving darifenacin plus behavioral modification plan) discontinued treatment due to constipation.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was conducted in OAB patients over the age of 65 years (Table 1, study 6; N = 399). Patients were randomized to darifenacin 7.5 mg/day (with optional uptitration to 15 mg/day, N = 266) or placebo (N = 133). Of the 41 (15.4%) elderly patients (aged ≥65 years) receiving darifenacin who reported constipation, 39 completed the bowel habit questionnaire (Table 4B). Using the data from this questionnaire, the proportions of patients on each treatment were compared statistically using Fisher’s exact test. In total, 89.7% (35/39) patients receiving darifenacin indicated that they needed to strain to empty their bowels (Figure 4B); which is a similar proportion to that observed in patients receiving placebo (81.8% [9/11]; P = 0.601). However, 35.9% (14/39) of patients with constipation while receiving darifenacin reported that the amount of straining required was acceptable (P = 1.000 compared with placebo, 36.4% [4/11]). While the majority of patients, 71.8% [28/39], reported a reduction in the frequency of their bowel movements, there was no significant difference in comparison with placebo (54.5% [6/11]; P = 0.336). There was also no significant difference in the number of patients reporting that constipation had affected their life badly (51.3% [20/39] of patients receiving darifenacin compared with 45.5% [5/11] of patients receiving placebo; P = 0.794). The majority of patients in both the darifenacin (69.2% [27/39]) and placebo (72.7% [8/11]) groups felt that the change in their bowel habits had affected their lives “very little” or “moderately.” There was no significant difference between groups (P = 0.256).
Discussion

Antimuscarinic treatments for OAB rely on antagonism of the M₂ receptor in the bladder detrusor muscle to ameliorate detrusor overactivity, which is part of the etiology of OAB. However, AEs may arise as a result of the action of these drugs on muscarinic receptors located throughout the body. Constipation is one such side effect that is commonly reported in association with antimuscarinic treatment and most likely manifests due to antagonism of muscarinic receptors in the gut. Different incidence rates for constipation have been reported in published material on oral antimuscarinic treatments. However, the incidence of constipation with placebo also varies between studies; when adjusting for the differences in placebo rates, the odds ratios for constipation seen on active treatments ranged from 1.3 to 3.0 (oxybutynin and solifenacin, respectively) compared with placebo, with higher frequency seen with higher doses of individual compounds. In pooled Phase III studies for darifenacin, the observed rates of constipation were between 2.39 and 3.4 times the placebo rate, for the low (7.5 mg) and high (15 mg) doses, respectively. Focusing on the pooled Phase III data, the proportion of patients with constipation who discontinued treatment in the darifenacin dose groups was numerically similar to placebo (4%, 5.6%, and 4.2% for darifenacin 7.5 mg, 15 mg, and placebo, respectively). This low rate of discontinuation due to constipation seen in the darifenacin studies may indicate that patients did not perceive their symptoms to be particularly bothersome.

Furthermore, the overall use of laxatives in these studies could be considered low across treatment groups (5.9% and 9.5% for darifenacin 7.5 mg/day and 15 mg/day, respectively, compared with 6.1% for placebo). Only a slight increase in the use of laxatives in patients reporting constipation as an AE compared with those not reporting constipation was noted (3.3%, 6.6%, and 1.5% in patients on darifenacin 7.5 mg, 15 mg, and placebo respectively, compared with 2.7%, 3.0%, and 3.1%, respectively). These results support the observation that most reported occurrences of constipation were mild in severity. In addition, patients in the pooled Phase III studies experiencing constipation (ie, with recorded symptoms of constipation) did not differ from those without constipation (ie, no recorded incidences of constipation) in terms of satisfaction with treatment, willingness to re-use drug, or preference for the received medication compared with previous OAB therapy. The observation that constipation occurring during darifenacin treatment has only limited impact on patients’ experience is further supported by observations from the long-term use of darifenacin. Patient-reported bowel symptoms, such as constipation, did not change substantially in the 2-year extension study, compared with short-term (12-week) treatment.

This long-term study and a study in patients with OAB aged ≥65 years both utilized bowel habit questionnaires. Results of the questionnaire in older patients (as presented in Figure 4B) showed no significant deterioration in bowel habit parameters compared with placebo, despite constipation being reported at a comparable rate to that seen in other darifenacin studies. These results were in line with those observed for bowel habits of the patient population in the 2-year long-term extension study. Therefore, the symptoms described by patients may have been reported as constipation but the changes in bowel habits may not have been significant nor seen as bothersome in many cases. This may indicate that the procedures for reporting AEs in clinical trials could lead to the incidence of constipation with darifenacin being overstated. Patients who report a reduction in bowel movements may be categorized under the standard term constipation, although the level of bother experienced by the individual patient may not be sufficient to necessitate a clinical diagnosis of constipation.

In general, trials in OAB have concentrated on clinical outcomes such as the proportion of patients experiencing a particular AE. However, other parameters such as patient-reported outcomes may offer a truer picture of the impact of a drug’s tolerability and the impact on the patient. In the pooled analysis of Phase III studies, those patients who experienced constipation reported levels of satisfaction and willingness to re-use darifenacin similar or even more positive than those who did not report constipation symptoms.

Although this type of analysis is useful for generating hypotheses and providing large patient numbers, there are limitations to both the analysis and the original studies. In the current analysis the small patient numbers in some subsets should be taken into account when interpreting the data. It should also be noted that although the original studies provide data on constipation they were not designed to address the question on the impact of constipation on patients. Furthermore, in the original studies, the grouping of several different COSTART terms as constipation may also be a contributing factor to relatively high rates of AEs being reported. The incidence rates may have been lower if the more stringent Rome III criteria (Rome Foundation Inc, Raleigh, NC) had been used, as this would have required a specific combination of symptoms to be present to permit a diagnosis of constipation. The Rome III diagnostic criteria for functional constipation are: loose stools are rarely present without the use of laxatives.
with insufficient criteria for irritable bowel syndrome; plus two or more of the following: fewer than three defeactions per week; straining, lumpy or hard stools in at least 25% of defeactions, sensation of incomplete evacuation in at least 25% of defeactions, sensation of anorectal obstruction/blockage in at least 25% of defeactions, or manual maneuvers to facilitate defeation (eg, digital evacuation, support of the pelvic floor) in at least 25% of defeactions.9 These criteria must be fulfilled for the last 3 months with symptom onset and at least 6 months prior to diagnosis.

In conclusion, the data reviewed here from published Phase III and Phase IV darifenacin clinical studies indicate that although constipation associated with darifenacin use was a common occurrence, it is principally a tolerability issue with the vast majority of cases reported as mild or moderate in severity. Few patients discontinued treatment due to constipation and overall, patients who reported constipation tended to have the same overall satisfaction with darifenacin treatment as patients who did not report constipation as an AE. Therefore, constipation should not be a main factor influencing the use of darifenacin for treatment of OAB.
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