Optimizing efficacy of Amphotericin B through nanomodification
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Abstract: The polyene antibiotic Amphotericin B (AMB) is one of the first therapeutic agents to be marketed commercially as nanosized formulations in which the drug is associated with lipids as liposomes or complexes. In this way, its renal toxicity is reduced and its therapeutic index improved. This review summarizes the particular properties of AMB which justify this type of formulation and the early work leading up to their development. The clinical results obtained in the treatment of fungal infections are reviewed and their activity against leishmaniasis is also evoked. Some newer formulations of AMB, based on both lipids and polymers are described. In particular, their potential by the oral and pulmonary routes are discussed. Finally, the development of targeted systems to deliver the drug to specific cells and tissues is considered.

Keywords: Amphotericin B, liposomes, nanoparticles, micelles, Candida, Aspergillus

Introduction
The polyene antibiotic Amphotericin B (AMB) is one of the first therapeutic agents to be marketed commercially as nanosized formulations. This review will summarize the particular properties of AMB which justify this type of formulation and the early work leading up to their development. The clinical results obtained in the treatment of fungal infections will be reviewed. Finally, some newer formulations, in which the drug has been associated with polymers as well as lipids, and new directions in the use of AMB will be considered.

Properties of AMB and early work with AMB in liposomes

Properties of AMB
The antimicrobial properties of AMB, a macrolide extracted from Streptomyces nodosus, were first noted in the 1950s (Vandeputte et al 1955–1956) and the antibiotic arrived on the market in 1958 (Utz et al 1958–1959). The drug possesses a wide spectrum of activity, encompassing a large number of fungal species as well as protozoan parasites (Leishmania species) and amoebae (Naegleria species) (for a recent review, see Kleinberg 2006). More recently, it was found to have some activity against prion diseases (Hartsel and Weiland 2003; Mangé et al 2000). Despite its therapeutic importance, the physicochemical properties of AMB lead to some difficulties in its formulation and utilization, and solutions based on “nanotechnology” have been developed in response to these.

AMB (Figure 1) is an asymmetrical, cyclic molecule with one hydrophobic and one hydrophilic face, and an aminosugar (mycosamine) group. It has a very limited solubility profile, being almost completely insoluble in water, sparingly soluble in alcohols and soluble in organic solvents such as DMSO and DMF (Brittain 1994). In water, AMB aggregates, forming first dimers by apposition of two hydrophobic faces
followed by larger aggregates (Mazerski et al 1982). This insolubility in aqueous media also leads to low bioavailability of AMB by the oral route. Its use is therefore limited to intravenous infusion and local application. The conventional formulation of AMB (Fungizone®) is mixed micelles with the detergent sodium deoxycholate, and in this form it is the drug of choice for systemic infections with sensitive fungal species (Georgopapadakou and Walsh 1996). It is usually administered by slow perfusion diluted in 5% glucose. However, dose-limiting side-effects are frequent, the most severe being renal toxicity (Brezis et al 1984).

Both the therapeutic and toxic effects of AMB derive from its interaction with lipids, and in particular, membrane sterols. The antibiotic can form complexes with both ergosterol, the principal sterol in fungal cell membranes, and cholesterol in mammalian cell membranes. The result of this is the formation of pores leading to the leakage of electrolytes and other cell components (De Kruijff and De- mel 1974). The selectivity for fungal cell membranes is the result of greater affinity for ergosterol than cholesterol, due to the presence of a double bond on carbon 22 in the former (Cybulska et al 1986).

Given the affinity of the antibiotic for biological membranes, incorporation of AMB into lipid-based nanosystems in order to improve its therapeutic index has been studied since the 1980s. Three of these systems are now commercially available.

Mechanisms of action
In 1996, Hartsel and Bolard reviewed the mechanisms by which the selectivity of AMB towards fungal cells can be improved by association with lipid systems. One mechanism is related to the molecular state of the AMB when it is released from the formulation. It has been observed that while both monomeric and self-aggregated AMB associate with ergosterol, only self-aggregated AMB forms pores in cholesterol-containing membranes. It follows that a formulation that can assure that AMB is released only as monomers will have an improved therapeutic index. On dilution in the plasma, AMB is rapidly released from Fungizone® in the aggregated form and toxicity to mammalian cells ensues. However, AMB can also bind to membrane phospholipids, so the relative affinity for cell and drug delivery system lipids may contribute to determining the reduction of toxicity. When AMB binds to cell membranes it has a pro-oxidative effect, and this may be as important as pore formation in generating cell damage (Bratjburg et al 1985).

Furthermore, the affinity of AMB for lipids means that it is readily incorporated into plasma lipoproteins, particularly low density lipoprotein (Bratjburg et al 1984). Receptor-mediated uptake of low density lipoprotein carrying AMB by renal epithelial cells is one mechanism of toxicity in this organ. Therefore, the rate of transfer between the drug delivery system and circulating lipoproteins will be another factor which determines the efficacy of the system (Legrand et al 1996).

AMB also has effects on the immune system, and in particular can modulate the functions of macrophages. For example, it stimulates production of cytokines such as interleukin 1 (Chia and McManus 1990) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) (Tokuda et al 1993), reactive oxygen intermediates (Wilson et al 1991) and nitric oxide (NO) (Herrmann et al 1994; Mozaffarian et al 1997), as well as chemotaxis and phagocytosis. These properties could contribute to the antimicrobial activity of AMB, but could also increase its toxicity, for example by causing fever and chills. Larabi et al (2001) compared the production of NO and TNF-α induced in non infected mouse peritoneal macrophages by different lipid formulations of AMB compared with free AMB, in association with co-stimulants. At equivalent AMB concentration, mediator production was always less with the lipid formulations than with the free drug, and the liposomal forms (eg, AmBisome®) reduced this more than lipid complexes (eg, Abelcet®). In macrophages infected with Leishmania donovani, AMB also contributed to stimulating NO and TNF-α production, but the concentrations at which
this occurred were much higher than those causing parasite killing (Larabi et al unpublished results), suggesting that in this case at least, the immunostimulating effects contribute more to the side-effects of AMB than to its antiparasitic activity.

Early liposomal formulations
The first study incorporating AMB into liposomes was performed by New et al in 1981. Their interest was in the antileishmanial properties of the antibiotic, and followed on from studies of encapsulated antimonial drugs. The fact that *Leishmania* parasites are located within phagocytic cells, and that liposomes are also preferentially accumulated by these cells made this approach particularly attractive (Heath et al 1984). However, the main effect of the liposomal formulation was to reduce the toxicity of AMB, allowing higher doses to be administered and thus increasing “efficacy”. Soon afterwards, similar results were obtained in infections with *Cryptococcus* (Graybill et al 1982) and in histoplasmosis (Taylor et al 1982). However, the antimicrobial activity of AMB *per se* was not increased by encapsulation. During this period, the influence of the liposome composition, and size, on the activity of AMB was studied. In one study (Lopez-Berestein et al 1983), it was found that liposomes containing phospholipids alone were more efficient than liposomes containing sterols (cholesterol or ergosterol). One explanation for this could be that the strong binding of AMB to sterol prevents its release from the liposomes and its interaction with fungal cell membranes. A study by Szoka et al (1987) of a range of liposome sizes and compositions found that there was no correlation between the extent of reduction of toxicity against mouse macrophages in vitro and the reduction of lethality in vivo. In vivo, small sterol-containing liposomes were less toxic than larger ones, and liposomes without sterol but containing phospholipids which were in a “solid” (liquid crystal) state at physiological temperatures were less toxic than ones in which the phospholipids were in a fluid state. A large number of different AMB formulations were tested, leading to the commercialization of three of them. These have quite different physico-chemical structures (see below), but all reduce the toxicity of AMB compared with Fungizone®.

Commercial formulations of AMB
Three lipid-based formulations of AMB are at present licensed for clinical use. Their physico-chemical properties are listed in Table 1.

Physico-chemical properties
AmBisome® is the only true liposomal formulation of the three. It is composed of small, unilamellar vesicles composed of lipids which yield a very stable bilayer, in the gel state at physiological temperature. AMB is incorporated into this bilayer at 10 moles %. The size of the liposomes (about 80 nm) means that they have a long circulating half-life and a good penetration into tissues. The stable bilayer composition should reduce exchanges with lipoproteins and contribute to the very low toxicity of this formulation (Adler-Moore and Proffitt 2002).

Amphotec® (Amphocil™ in Europe and Amphotec® in the US) is composed of complexes between cholesteryl sulfate and AMB in equimolar proportions. These have the form of thin discs of about 120 nm in diameter. However, despite the small size, their circulation time is much less than that of AmBisome® and they deliver AMB rapidly to phagocytic cells (Guo 2001).

Abelcet® is composed of two synthetic phospholipids – dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine and dimyristoyl phos-
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phatidyglycerol in a 7 to 3 molar ratio with an equimolar amount of AMB. These components assemble in ribbons of 1 to 10 micrometers in length. These larger objects are rapidly accumulated in the mononuclear phagocyte system (Janoff et al 1993).

**Clinical studies in fungal infections**

Systemic fungal infections, dominated by *Candida* and *Aspergillus* infections, remain the leading cause of infection-related mortality and morbidity in many populations of immunocompromised patients. Azoles are often recommended for *Candida* infection but the epidemiology of *Candida* infection has changed over the last few years. *C. albicans* now comprises less than half of the isolates of candidemia worldwide (Eggimann et al 2003; Pappas et al 2003). The other half is represented by a variety of non-albicans species, for some of which the susceptibility to azoles, particularly fluconazole, is decreased. For *Aspergillus* and other less common moulds, the mortality rates are greater than 60% and even higher in patients with disseminated infection, although the extended-spectrum azoles represent a major advance as a first-line treatment (Herbrecht et al 2002). Therefore, there is a need for more effective antifungal drugs with a wide spectrum.

In this respect, AMB has the advantage of covering most of the fungal pathogens involved in human disease. However, the use of AMB formulated with deoxycholate (Fungizone®) has been limited by infusion-related side effects and cumulative nephrotoxicity which, in fine, actually increase overall healthcare expenses, despite its primarily low cost (Maertens et al 2001). For these reasons, and because other alternatives are now available, primary therapy with Fungizone® is more and more challenged by new antifungal therapies for use in many systemic mycoses, including moulds, such as *Aspergillus*. These new antifungal therapies include extended-spectrum triazoles, the echinocandins, and also lipid formulations of AMB, as described above (Herbrecht et al 2003). Among the lipophilic formulations of AMB commercially available, the majority of studies have been carried out with liposomal AMB (AmBisome®).

**Clinical efficacy of liposomal AMB**

Due to the paucity of diagnostic means for fungal infections and the poor prognosis of full-blown invasive fungal infections, clinicians use several strategies when faced with fungal infections. Antifungal drugs are given for demonstrated infections but in high-risk patients, they may be administered empirically, in the case of persistent fever despite appropriate antibacterials, or as prophylaxis, in every patient at risk of fungal infection whatever the clinical signs. The efficacy of liposomal AMB has been studied in these different settings, both in open and randomized studies. Liposomal AMB has also proved effective in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (see below).

**Demonstrated infections**

In full-blown fungal infections, initial open studies involving patients refractory to, or intolerant of, Fungizone® showed improvement or cure in 66% and 81% of patients with invasive aspergillosis and invasive candidiasis respectively, with the liposomal form (Ringden et al 1991). Two studies have suggested a superior efficacy of liposomal AMB compared to Fungizone® in probable or proven fungal invasive infections but were insufficient to give a definitive answer (Leenders et al 1997; Leenders et al 1998).

Another important issue is the dose to be administered. It was expected that the good tolerance profile would allow high liposomal AMB doses to be given and achieve better efficacy without increasing toxicity. In a randomized trial comparing two doses of liposomal AMB (1 versus 4mg/kg/day) for the primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis, an overall response rate of 55% was observed, regardless of the dose, with no difference in either arm (Ellis et al 1998). This substantial response rate demonstrates evidence of the efficacy of liposomal AMB in first-line therapy of invasive aspergillosis. To determine the appropriate daily dose for the initial treatment of invasive aspergillosis and other filamentous fungal infections in immunocompromised patients, a phase 3, multi-center, randomized, double-blind study of the safety and efficacy of an liposomal AMB loading dose regimen versus a standard liposomal AMB regimen was performed (Cornely 2005). The study compared a loading regimen of 10 mg/kg/day × 14d versus the standard regimen of 3 mg/kg/day for 14 days. The standard regimen had a favorable overall response rate of 50% and a 12-week survival rate of 72% comparable to those previously reported for voriconazole in a similarly designed trial (Herbrecht et al 2002). However, the high-dose regimen did not demonstrate any improvement in overall response or survival.

In an attempt to sum up the efficacy of lipid formulations of AMB, not all in the liposomal form, a meta-analysis of seven randomized studies was performed (Barrett et al 2003). This analysis did not show any difference in the response rate between the lipid formulations of AMB and Fungizone® but showed a decrease in mortality (OR = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.54 – 0.97).
The poor outcome of mould infections and the availability of several antifungal drugs of different classes have stimulated the evaluation of alternatives based on combinations of different antifungal drugs (Johnson et al 2004). Apart from the association of Fungizone® and 5-fluorocytosine which has been the recommended treatment for cryptococcal meningitis for a long time, the other associations, mainly between Fungizone® and azoles, raised several concerns about possible toxicities (Polak 1999). In vivo studies have shown encouraging results, for the associations of liposomal AMB with both voriconazole and echinocandins in models as different as rat models of invasive aspergillosis (Kirkpatrick et al 2006), a murine model of cerebral aspergillosis (Clemons et al 2005), or a murine model of C. glabrata systemic infection (Olson et al 2005). In humans, a few case reports and small series of beneficial results of associations have been reported but none are randomized studies (Aliff et al 2003; Kontoyiannis et al 2003; Marr et al 2004).

Empirical therapy
In the setting of empirical therapy for persistent febrile neutropenia, comparative studies concluded that liposomal AMB is as effective as Fungizone® (Prentice et al 1997; Walsh et al 1999). A double-blind study compared the safety of liposomal AMB (3 or 5 mg/kg/day) and AMB lipid complex (5 mg/kg/day) (Wingard et al 2000). Neither of the two liposomal AMB dosages yielded a better outcome than AMB lipid complex.

Primary prophylaxis
Three randomized trials have assessed the efficacy of low doses of liposomal AMB as prophylaxis in bone marrow transplant recipients, without demonstrating any benefit (Kelsey et al 1999; Tollemar et al 1993a, 1993b). One study in liver transplant patients showed a significant decrease in invasive Candida spp. infections in the liposome-treated patients, compared to the placebo-treated patients but the 1-month survival was identical in both groups. However, long-term survival was increased in patients who received liposomal AMB (Tollemar et al 1995). Recently, a pharmacokinetic study of once-weekly high-dose liposomal AMB as fungal prophylaxis for immunocompromised children undergoing stem cell transplantation suggested that this dosage may provide useful protection against fungal infections (Mehta et al 2006).

Tolerability
Patients treated with liposomal AMB at 3 mg/kg/day had less infusion-related adverse events, needed less premedication, and had less nephrotoxicity than patients treated with Fungizone® at 0.6 mg/kg/day (Prentice et al 1997; Walsh et al 1999). The tolerability of high doses up to 7.5–15 mg/kg/day appeared satisfactory (Walsh et al 2001). These results justified comparing a liposomal AMB loading dose regimen versus a standard liposomal AMB regimen (Cornely 2005). Higher rates of hypokalemia and nephrotoxicity were seen compared with the standard dose regimen with no better efficacy. In neonates, high doses (5–7 mg/kg/day) of liposomal AMB for a median of 18 days seem to be much better tolerated than in adults (Juster-Reicher et al 2003). Compared with AmB lipid complex at 5 mg/kg/day, liposomal AMB at 3 and 5 mg/kg/day showed less infusion-related reactions and nephrotoxicity in febrile neutropenic patients (Wingard et al 2000). This study clearly indicates that liposomal AMB was better tolerated than AMB lipid complex.

Conclusion
Liposomal AMB has been shown to be at least as efficacious as Fungizone® and has a dramatically improved safety profile compared with the traditional form. The recommended dose is 3–5 mg/kg/day for demonstrated fungal infection and 3 mg/kg/day for empirical therapy, and doses up to 3 mg/kg/day of liposomal AMB are well tolerated. Higher doses have not shown any therapeutic benefit in invasive aspergillosis whereas they increased renal toxicity. For some rare filamentous fungus infections such as those due to zygomycetes and Fusarium spp, the liposomal formulation may be considered as first-line therapy because of the absence of an effective alternative, although new azoles may be effective towards some of these fungi. The in vitro models and the experimental data in animals show that combination therapy may improve outcome, but these experimental results remain to be confirmed with clinical trials.

Lipid formulations of AMB in the treatment of leishmaniasis
Leishmaniasis is a family of protozoal infections transmitted by sand-fly bites, which affects about 12 million people in warm regions throughout the world. Visceral leishmaniasis, in which the parasite – Leishmania donovani in India and Bangladesh, L. infantum in the rest of Asia, Africa and Europe and L. chagasi in the Americas – develops within tissue macrophages in the liver, spleen and bone marrow, is the most serious manifestation (Herwaldt 1999). It is endemic in India, Bangladesh and Sudan where it represents a major public health problem and is also becoming increasingly prevalent as an opportunistic infection in Western countries,
among individuals who are infected with the HIV virus or are immunocompromised for other reasons. Cutaneous leishmaniasis, characterized by skin lesions, is more common but less serious. Muco-cutaneous and disseminated cutaneous manifestations also occur (Herwaldt 1999). Early treatment options were pentavalent antimonials and pentamidine, which have shown problems of toxicity and resistance (Murray, 2001). AMB was found to be an effective treatment for visceral leishmaniasis in the 1990s (Murray 2004; Singh and Sivakumar 2004). Lipid formulations of AMB are a particularly attractive alternative in this context because they are accumulated in the same cells as the parasite. Thus AmBisome® was approved by the FDA in 1997 (Meyerhoff 1999). Despite the reduced toxicity of the lipid formulations, their high cost is prohibitive in the zones in which visceral leishmaniasis is endemic. A comparative study by Sundar et al (2004) showed that although the higher doses that could be given with the lipid formulations reduced the total time for cure and therefore the cost of hospitalization, this only partly offset the high purchase price of the drug. On the other hand, in a European situation, when cost is not such a preponderant issue, lipid formulations of AMB have become the treatment of choice (Gradoni et al 2004).

Cutaneous leishmaniasis can also be treated effectively with lipid formulations of AMB (Amato et al 2004, Yardley and Croft 2000). In this case, the smaller formulations (AmBisome®, Amphocil®) are the most effective, because of their small size.

Recently, a new drug, miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine) has been shown to be effective against visceral leishmaniasis by the oral route (Murray 2001; Sundar et al 2002). This is a definite breakthrough and shows an obvious advantage over the current formulations of AMB, which are administered intravenously. Associations of AMB and miltefosine may have some therapeutic advantage (Seifert and Croft 2006).

More recently, AMB has also been mixed with another proprietary lipid emulsion formulation, Lipofundin®. AMB was added as a powder, and the use of a high-pressure homogenizer promoted its dissolution in the interfacial layer, according to the patented SolEmuls® technology. However, no toxicity data are available for this formulation (Müller et al 2004).

De novo emulsion formulations of AMB have also been described, for example, those studied by Egito and collaborators (1996a). The emulsion form reduced the toxicity of AMB considerably, compared with Fungizone®, although less than AmBisome®, and allowed higher doses to be given, allowing a better cure rate of infections with Candida albicans. Circular dichroism studies showed that the AMB remained in the monomeric form within the emulsions, over a wide range of dilutions (Egito et al 1996b).

Seki and co-workers have formulated AMB into nanosized emulsions called “Lipid Nano Spheres” which attempt to imitate lipoproteins. These small particles (25–50 nm) reduced AMB toxicity compared with Fungizone®, and showed similar activity against Candida albicans. Like AmBisome®, these small particles showed reduced uptake by macrophages and persistence in the circulation (Fukui et al 2003).

Heat-treatment of AMB is a simple method of reducing toxicity. Heating the Fungizone® formulation to 70°C provokes the formation of superaggregates, as detected by spectrophotometric methods, rather than aggregates, which are less toxic to mammalian cells while retaining almost equivalent antifungal activity (Gaboriau et al 1997). Cryo-transmission electron microscopy revealed that while the native product was composed mainly of micelles of about 4 nm in diameter, with some threadlike aggregated micelles, the heated formulation contained much larger networks of about 300 nm (van Etten et al 2000).

Another approach to modulating the solubility, and therefore the toxicity of AMB was the use of ions from the Hofmeister series which alter water properties. While kosmotropes increased AMB aggregation, the chaotropic ions thiocyanate and trichloroacetate were found to allow solubilization of AMB as monomers (Grijalba et al 2006).

Larabi et al developed a lipid complex system with a similar composition to Abelcet®, but prepared by a different method known as nanoprecipitation. This led to the formation of thin discs of about 250 nm in diameter, in which the lipids were probably in an interdigitated form rather than a bilayer (Larabi et al 2004a). This change in size and morphology reduced the toxicity, both towards macrophages in vitro and in vivo after both acute and chronic administration.

**Other formulations of AMB and new trends in their administration**

**Lipid-based formulations**

An adhoc solution to the problem of AMB toxicity is to mix Fungizone® with Intralipid®, a preparation for parenteral nutrition, which consists of an oil-in-water emulsion stabilized with lecithin. The AMB is complexed by the phospholipids on the surface of the oil globules and its toxicity is reduced compared with Fungizone® alone. However, this method does not give reproducible results (Tomii 2002).
to mice, compared to both Fungizone® and Abelcet® (Larabi et al, 2003, Larabi et al, 2004b). The activity of the complexes against visceral leishmaniasis in mice was higher than that of Abelcet® but not as high as that of AmBisome® (Larabi et al, 2003). This illustrates the importance of nanosystem morphology in determining the biological effect.

Another disc-like formulation was developed by Lincopan et al (2005, 2006) using the cationic lipid dioctadecyldimethy lammonium bromide (DODAB). This lipid formed bilayer fragments of about 65 nm in diameter with AMB at a low drug-to-lipid ratio. This formulation reduced nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity compared with Fungizone®, but spleen toxicity due to the cationic lipid was observed. At higher drug-to-lipid ratios, drug particles surrounded by a lipid bilayer are formed. The toxicity and therapeutic activity of these formulations have not yet been investigated.

Another group (Oda et al, 2006) has tried to imitate lipoprotein particles as an original delivery system for AMB. The specific apolipoprotein from high density lipoprotein, ApoA-I, was added to mixtures of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine, dimyristoylphosphatidyglycerol and AMB. After sonication and dialysis, a limpid preparation was obtained, consisting of disc-like particles of 8–10 nm in diameter. The circular dichroism spectra indicated that AMB was associated with lipid in the formulation. This preparation had much lower toxicity than Fungizone® in vitro and in vivo, which allowed higher doses to be given to mice, leading to effective treatment of Candida albicans infection. These systems also showed a good activity against Leishmania major in Balb/C mice, although no comparison with any other formulation was made (Nelson et al, 2006).

Lipid cochleates are an interesting system for delivering AMB. These are formed mainly from phosphatidylserine and calcium, which associate by electrostatic interaction to form cylindrical structures consisting of a rolled-up bilayer. They are particularly appropriate for entrapping small hydrophobic or amphiphilic molecules like AMB (Zarif, 2005). Like other lipid-based systems, AMB cochleates reduce the toxicity of the antibiotic. They are effective against murine candidiasis and aspergillosis after i.p. and oral administration (Santangelo et al, 2000; Delmas et al, 2002).

Polymer-based formulations

AMB has been conjugated to a number of macromolecules with the aim of improving its solubility. Many of these have been derived from polysaccharides. For example, AMB has been conjugated to arabinogalactan (Ehrenfreund-Kleinman et al, 2002). Polymers of about 30 kDa with about 20% of AMB by weight were obtained. The maximum tolerated dose of AMB was greatly increased by conjugation, while the antifungal activity against Candida albicans remained comparable to that of Fungizone®. A similar approach used dextran as the polysaccharide carrier. In particular, the preparation of a conjugate in which the free aldehyde groups were blocked showed very low toxicity towards mammalian cells while conserving antiparasitic activity (Sokolsky-Papkov et al, 2006). AMB has also been conjugated to poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG). Attachment of AMB to a PEG of 40 kDa led to a highly water-soluble product which was only hydrolyzed slowly in rat plasma. It was 6 times less toxic than Fungizone® in rats and showed equal or superior antifungal activity (Conover et al, 2003).

A number of groups have incorporated AMB into micelles prepared from amphiphilic polymers. Diblock copolymers consisting of poly (ethylene oxide) and poly (aspartic acid) substituted with various hydrophobic groups have been extensively studied in the laboratory of Kwon. In particular, poly (ethylene oxide)-block-poly (N-hexyl-L-aspartamide)-stearic acid ester micelles allow the antibiotic to be incorporated in its non aggregated form, as shown by spectrophotometric measurements and to be released in a sustained fashion. Such micelles show similar activity to Fungizone® in a mouse model of disseminated candidiasis (Adams and Kwon, 2004). A similar system based on partially benzylated poly (aspartic acid) without a PEG block has been investigated by Yoo et al (2006). This polymer formed “nanoparticular” micelles of 20 nm in diameter, in which AMB aggregation was reduced compared with Fungizone®, as judged by its spectral properties. The acute toxicity in mice was reduced, as was damage to kidney cells after intravenous administration to rats, while the in vitro activity against Candida albicans was similar to that of Fungizone®. Vandermeulan et al (2006) have used poly (ethylene glycol)-block-poly (ε-caprolactone-co-trimethyl enecarbonate) micelles to encapsulate AMB. These micelles are easy to prepare and although they reduce the antifungal activity they also reduce the amount of hemolysis.

There have been a few reports of nanoparticulate forms of AMB. A study by Venier-Julienne et al (1995) used AMB incorporated into poly (D, L-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles. When their activity was tested against cultures of promastigotes of L. donovani within peritoneal macrophages, unloaded nanoparticles had a high an effect as loaded ones. This could be attributed to reactive oxygen intermediate generation following phagocytosis of the nanoparticles.
Espuelas et al attempted to incorporate AMB into poly (ε-caprolactone) nanoparticles (Espuelas et al 1998a; Espuelas et al 1998b). In fact, AMB was adsorbed onto the surface of the particles and was released on dilution, but despite this limitation, the acute toxicity of AMB in mice was reduced compared with Fungizone® (Espuelas et al 1997). During this work, it was noted that AMB also formed mixed micelles with the poloxamer 188 surfactant used to stabilize the nanoparticles (Espuelas et al 1998b). These micelles were found to have activity against clinical isolates of Candida albicans in vitro and also, interestingly, to reverse the resistance of Leishmania donovani parasites which had been rendered resistant to the drug by in vitro pressure, by a synergistic effect of AMB and the poloxamer (Espuelas et al 2000). However, the results obtained with Candida albicans-infected macrophages and in mice were disappointing, since the LD50 was increased compared with Fungizone® (Espuelas et al 2003).

More recently, AMB was incorporated into nanoparticles formed by a complex of two polysaccharides of opposing charge: chitosan and dextran sulfate. A high encapsulation rate for AMB was obtained, but spectral analysis showed that it was aggregated. A reduction in renal toxicity was observed but the large size of these particles (600–800 nm) suggests that they would only be useful for liver delivery (Tiyaboonchai et al 2006). Microsphere formulations of AMB have also been tested for therapy of leishmaniasis. Albumin microspheres reduced the toxicity and increased the therapeutic efficiency of AMB against Leishmania infantum in hamsters. As might be expected, the microparticulate form increased drug accumulation in the liver and spleen (Sanchez-Brunete et al 2004). High doses of AMB administered in these particles deactivated expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines and increased pro-inflammatory ones, which probably contributed to the therapeutic effect (Rama Iniguez et al 2006). Different microsphere formulations were tested (Sanchez-Brunete et al 2005). Poly (lactide-co-glycolide) and poly-α-hydroxy acid microspheres were less effective than albumin ones in reducing liver and spleen parasite load, and albumin microspheres also induced a significant antibody response to parasite antigens.

Carbon nanotubes (CNT) have been attracting much attention lately as potential drug delivery systems. AMB has been linked covalently to functionalized CNT at the same time as fluorescein and uptake of the resulting particles into Jurkat cells was demonstrated (Wu et al 2005). Interestingly, the minimal inhibitory concentrations for several fungal species were reduced by this association, while “empty” CNT were without effect.

Administration of AMB by other routes: oral and pulmonary

One major disadvantage of AMB is its very low bioavailability by the oral route. This is essentially due to its very low solubility in aqueous media and its relatively high molecular weight (Dangi et al 1998). A number of different lipid-based systems have been used in attempts to improve the intestinal absorption of AMB. The presentation of the drug in the monomeric form could be expected to facilitate its dissolution and other components of the formulations may have absorption promoting effects.

Thus, ternary mixed micelle systems of AMB, deoxycholate and oleic acid, monoolein or soy lecithin were found to enhance the permeability of AMB in isolated intestinal loops (Dangi et al 1998). These systems have not been tested in vivo, however. Another system in which AMB was mixed with Peceol, a glyceride-rich vehicle for oral administration, also gave promising results, increasing lymphatic transport of AMB after oral administration to rats (Risovic et al 2004).

AMB cochleates (see above) have also proved to be efficient in the treatment of fungal infections by the oral route. In a model of Balb/C mice infected with Candida albicans, oral administration of these lipid particles was able to eradicate the infection from the lungs and prolong survival as effectively as Fungizone® given i.p. at a similar dose (Santangelo et al 2000). Efficacy was also demonstrated in a murine model of systemic aspergillosis (Delmas et al 2002).

Recently, AMB was associated with another lipidic antileishmanial agent, miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine or HePC). As described above, this molecule has been shown to be active by the oral route (Murray 2001; Sundar et al 2002) and has the interesting property of opening tight junctions in the Caco2 intestinal cell model (Ménez et al 2006a). Its alkylphospholipid structure suggested that it might be able to associate with AMB and in fact, spectroscopic studies showed that this can be the case (Ménez et al 2006b). However, rather than promoting absorption of AMB, the association led to a reduction of both cellular uptake and transepithelial transport in the Caco2 model (Ménez et al 2006b).

Kayser et al (2003) formulated a nanosuspension of AMB for administration by the oral route. The particles were prepared by high pressure homogenization of the drug with a mixture of surfactants. A reduction in parasite load in the liver was observed when the formulation was administered orally to mice infected with Leishmania donovani. This result...
may be related to the presence in the formulation of Tween 80®, which is known to promote passage across biological membranes.

Pulmonary infections with *Aspergillus* spp. are a major clinical problem in immunocompromised patients. In consequence, there has been much interest in the use of aerosolized AMB formulations for treatment, and for prophylaxis in patients undergoing transplant surgery (Drew 2006). Animal studies have shown that liposomal formulations lead to higher concentrations of AMB in the lungs than Fungizone® (Ruijgrok et al 2000) or AMB directly solubilized in fluorocarbons (Vyas et al 2005). Clinical trials have shown that the lipid formulations are easier to aerosolize and better tolerated than Fungizone® (Perfect et al 2004; Drew 2006).

**Specific targeting of AMB-loaded nanosystems**

The studies described above have mainly taken advantage of the uptake of colloidal particles by the mononuclear phagocyte system and thus reach micro-organisms within these cells, or on the small size (for example AmBisome®) and surface properties which allow the carriers to remain in the circulation and reach other tissues in a non-specific function. However, there are a few reports of attempts to deliver AMB to particular sites using nanosystems bearing specific targeting ligands. The ligands used have been sugars, antibodies or small peptides, as described in the following paragraphs.

Although the “natural” target of colloidal drug carriers is phagocytic cells, their uptake by macrophage, and particularly the Kupffer cells of the liver, can be greatly increased by modifying the surface with mannose residues which are recognized by the mannose-fucose receptor on these cells (Barratt and Schuber 1993). This strategy has been applied to the delivery of AMB to macrophages for treatment of leishmanias (Vyas et al 2000). Similarly, liposomes loaded with AMB and coated with mannann or pullanan, a glucose-containing polysaccharide have been administered to rats as an aerosol to target alveolar macrophages. Drug concentrations were higher than those delivered by unmodified liposomes and were sustained for 24h (Vyas et al 2005).

Galactose receptors are expressed by liver cells and some micro-organisms. Polylactide microspheres containing AMB have been functionalized with galactose residues and have been shown to bind to *Kluyveromyces bulgaricus* yeast cells (Kassab et al 2002). Heparin is a negatively charged polysaccharide with many interesting biological properties, including bioadhesion. Clemons et al (2001) encapsulated AMB within small (105 nm) hydrophilic nanoparticles bearing heparin at their surface. Their retention in the lungs was increased compared with Fungizone®, leading to a better therapeutic index against pulmonary blastomycosis in mice.

The coupling of antibodies to the surface of a liposome can theoretically give a delivery system targeted to a specific cell type. Small liposomes bearing a monoclonal antibody to *Cryptococcus neoformans* bound specifically to the yeast and, when administered intravenously to infected mice, prolonged survival longer than AMB in solution in dimethylsulfoxide/phosphate-buffered saline, non-targeted liposomes or liposomes targeted with an irrelevant antibody (Dromer et al 1990). However, antibody-bearing systems will still be accumulated within mononuclear phagocytes unless their surface is modified to avoid opsonization. Thus, the concept of sterically stabilized liposomes has emerged, in which the surface is covered with end-grafted PEG chains. Targeting can be achieved by coupling the antibody or other ligand to the distal end of a proportion of these chains (Mercadal et al 1999). Thus, sterically stabilized liposomes containing AMB and bearing an antibody specific for pulmonary endothelium at the end of the PEG chains have been prepared (Otsubo et al 1998). Accumulation of antibiotic in the lungs was observed, as opposed to its remaining in the blood in the case of non-targeted PEG-bearing liposomes or accumulating in the liver in the case of conventional liposomes. This was accompanied by increased efficacy against experimental aspergillosis in mice.

One smaller ligand which has been to direct AMB-loaded liposomes is the tetrapeptide tuftsin (Thr-Lys-Pro-Arg, Agrawal et al 2002), which binds to a specific receptor on macrophages. This peptide has the advantage of being both a targeting element promoting liver accumulation and a macrophage activator. The anti-leishmanial activity of the drug is thus reinforced by macrophage-mediated effects. Zhang et al (2003) have used a targeting strategy to deliver AMB across the blood-brain barrier. A peptide analogue of bradykinin, RMP-7, was coupled to PEG on sterically stabilized liposomes. This peptide interacts with the B2 receptor on brain capillary endothelial cells and increases the permeability of the vessels. By this means, AMB accumulation in the brain can be improved.

**Conclusion**

AMB is a good example of how an appropriate delivery system can improve the therapeutic index of a drug. The advantage of the commercial lipid-based formulations is principally that they reduce toxicity compared with the conventional formulation, allowing higher doses to be given. A
disadvantage is the high cost of these formulations, particularly with respect to their activity against parasitic diseases. Kleinberg (2006) has attempted to review the cost-effectiveness of these formulations compared with Fungizone®, taking into account all factors such as the time of hospitalization, in a North American setting, and concluded that in many cases, for example in the opportunistic infections in cancer patients, lipid formulations are a better choice than the conventional formulation. However, the cost of these formulations remains prohibitively high for the treatment of leishmaniasis in endemic areas (Sundar et al 2004).

Another disadvantage of AMB for mass treatment is its very low bioavailability by the oral route. Some studies reported above suggest that the use of nanosized formulations based on lipids or other amphiphilic molecules could be useful in overcoming this problem. Similarly, the delivery of drugs by the pulmonary route to combat respiratory infections is attracting much attention at the moment. New formulations of AMB could contribute in this area by increasing tolerability and ensuring delivery to the appropriate part of the lung. Another non parenteral route for which new AMB formulations could provide a therapeutic advance is in the eye, for example, in the treatment of fungal keratitis. Liposomal formulations have shown some advantages by this route, by reducing irritation and prolonging the residence time of drugs (Bochot et al 2000).

Finally, progress in the design of drug delivery systems has led to the development of carriers targeted to specific tissues and cells. Such technology applied to AMB would lead to a further increase in its therapeutic index. Therefore, as a result of innovative formulations, after almost 50 years on the market, AMB remains an extremely useful drug.
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