Maintenance of remission with low-dose olopatadine hydrochloride for itch in well-controlled chronic urticaria
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Background: The long-term follow-up of chronic urticaria (CU) is important to ensure the adequate treatment of patients. Olopatadine hydrochloride is one of the second-generation nonsedating antihistamines.

Methods: This study was designed to assess the optimal dose of olopatadine to suppress symptoms of chronic urticarial itch in well-controlled patients. After CU patients were treated with 10 mg olopatadine, patients having a visual analog scale (VAS) itch score of less than 20 were randomly allocated into one of three groups: 10 mg/day (n = 35), 5 mg/day (n = 30), or no medication (n = 32).

Results: The suppressive effects of both the 5 mg and 10 mg olopatadine treatments on the VAS itch score were more significant and longer lasting over a period of 4 weeks than the no-medication treatment. Both the 5-mg group and the 10-mg group showed improved urticarial symptoms and maintained their VAS itch score within normal limits compared to the no-medication group. The differences between the 5-mg and 10-mg groups were not significant.

Conclusion: These results demonstrate that treatment with olopatadine at a dose of 5 mg once daily is effective and safe for the management and prevention of CU symptoms for itch in well-controlled patients.
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Introduction

Chronic urticaria (CU) is characterized by the recurrence of transitory cutaneous wheals accompanied by redness, itching, and frequently angioedema, with each episode lasting longer than six weeks.1 It is known to cause severe disability and impair a person’s quality of life, due to the interruption of sleep, fatigue, social isolation, energy loss, and emotional/sexual difficulties.2 Although several causes of CU have been reported, the exact cause of this disease is still unknown and regarded as idiopathic, affecting about 0.5%–1.0% of subjects across different populations.3–5 Previous studies have reported the natural history of CU in adults, and have shown that the disease goes into remission in 30%–55% of patients within 12 months, but in some patients the disease can be active for several years.6,7

Oral H1 antihistamines are considered to be the first-line treatment for CU,8 but some first generations of these agents cause anticholinergic and central nervous system (CNS) side effects, especially drowsiness and sedation. These are considered to be one of the major problems in treating CU patients, because these symptoms often force them to quit taking their medication, which may negatively impact patient quality of life.9 Therefore, in the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immu-
ology/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network/ European Dermatology Forum/World Allergy Organization guidelines, nonsedating antihistamines are recommended for the treatment of patients with CU. Second-generation antihistamines are commonly thought to have reduced CNS side effects.

Olopatadine hydrochloride is one of the second-generation nonsedating antihistamines, and it is used to treat allergic disorders such as urticaria, rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis in Japan. It also has an inhibitory effect on the release of inflammatory lipid mediators, such as leukotriene and thromboxane, from human polymorphonuclear leukocytes and eosinophils. It was proven to be more potent and stable with regard to its antihistaminic effects in skin responses compared to other commonly used second-generation antihistamines in a double-blind, randomized controlled study. However, olopatadine hydrochloride has been reported to induce CNS side effects, despite being a second-generation antihistamine.

It has been reported that a double dose of the prescribed drug or a change of drug was recommended for the treatment of patients with urticaria refractory to the standard dose of antihistamines. However, there have been no published reports regarding treatment strategy for well-controlled CU patients, including whether patients should be treated with the standard dose, reduced to half the dose, or whether the medication should be stopped. This study was conducted to compare the effects of olopatadine hydrochloride (10 mg, 5 mg, and 0 mg/day) for itch in well-controlled CU patients to investigate the optimal dose of olopatadine that would be convenient for CU patients.

Materials and methods

Patients

Patients with CU symptoms for at least 6 weeks without any identifiable cause attending our clinic between April 2009 and March 2011 were recruited in this study. Physical urticaria patients, pregnant females, and lactating mothers were excluded. In addition to CU, other allergic symptoms, including asthma, rhinitis, and conjunctivitis, were present in these patients (Table 1). All patients gave written informed consent for participation, which complied with all of the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committees of the University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan.

Study design

The study was performed in a randomized, open manner. The study design is shown in Figure 1. A visual analog scale (VAS) itch score was used to evaluate the therapeutic effect of olopatadine hydrochloride. The scale ranges from 0 to 100, 0 indicating no itch and 100 indicating the most severe itch. The VAS itch score before and after standard treatment with 10 mg olopatadine hydrochloride was assessed by qualified dermatologists. Thereafter, all patients noted their VAS itch score at home by themselves during the study period. At first, all CU patients with a VAS itch score higher than 50 were treated with 10 mg olopatadine hydrochloride daily for 4–6 weeks. Of these, patients having a VAS itch score less than 20 were randomly allocated into one of three groups: patients in group 1 were treated with 10 mg olopatadine hydrochloride daily, patients in group 2 were treated with 5 mg olopatadine hydrochloride daily, and patients in group 3 were stopped taking medication. This study was performed for 4 weeks. During this period, patients were not allowed to take any other antihistamines and corticosteroids.

Time of follow-up

The efficacy end point was defined as the length of time the VAS itch score remained less than 50 without any additional treatment. A VAS itch score exceeding 50 was considered to be an itch recurrence. These patients were dropped from the protocol and such additional treatments as increase in the olopatadine hydrochloride dose, a change in antihistamine, or additional use of corticosteroid was prescribed.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10 mg</th>
<th>5 mg</th>
<th>No medication</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean, range</td>
<td>55.2 ± 14.9</td>
<td>55.0 ± 13.5</td>
<td>59.1 ± 15.1</td>
<td>56.4 ± 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>24–79</td>
<td>28–81</td>
<td>26–83</td>
<td>24–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other allergic symptoms</td>
<td>31.4%/68.6%</td>
<td>16.7%/83.3%</td>
<td>28.1%/71.9%</td>
<td>25.8%/74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(asthma, rhinitis, conjunctivitis)</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical analysis
The log-rank test was used for the analysis of the Kaplan–Meier curves for the time to relapse after changing the dose of olopatadine hydrochloride. All statistical calculations were performed with the SAS software program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The significance of differences in the remission time between the groups was evaluated by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Values of \( P < 0.05 \) were considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Disposition of patients
The distribution of patients enrolled in this study is shown in Figure 2. A total of 97 CU patients (25 male and 72 female, age range 24–83 years, mean age 56.4 years) were evaluated in this study (10 mg, 35; 5 mg, 30; no medication, 32). Demographic and other baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. There were no significant between-group differences in the distribution of the baseline characteristics. Before the standard treatment, the average VAS itch scores in the CU patients was 56.4, and VAS itch scores in the 10-mg, 5-mg, and no-medication group were 60.1, 55.6, and 53.5, respectively. After the standard treatment with 10 mg olopatadine, the average VAS score decreased to 8.9, with scores decreased to 10.2, 4.4, and 12.2 in the 10-mg, 5-mg, and no-medication groups, respectively. These findings indicated that VAS itch score was significantly decreased in the three groups after the standard treatment compared to...
with 10 mg olopatadine in each group. There were no significant differences in VAS itch score between the groups before and after the standard treatment with 10 mg olopatadine (Figure 3).

**Efficacy of treatment with olopatadine hydrochloride**

An increase in VAS itch score (to more than 50) was evaluated as the end point of the study (Figure 4). In the 10-mg group, 23 of the 35 patients stayed on the study protocol during the observation period. Eight patients were observed to have a VAS score higher than 50 and discontinued their study participation. In the 5-mg group, 24 of the 30 patients completed the protocol, and five patients withdrew because their VAS score exceeded 50. In the no-medication group, only 10 of the 32 patients stayed on the study protocol during the observation period. Twenty-two patients withdrew, and the majority (19 out of 22 cases) relapsed within the first 7 days (Figure 4). No cause other than urticaria relapse was observed during the study.

The period for which the VAS itch score was maintained at less than 50 in the treatment groups was compared with that in the no-medication group (log-rank test). The period in both the 10-mg and 5-mg treatment groups was significantly longer than that in the no-medication group ($P < 0.005$ and $<0.001$, respectively). The difference between the 10-mg and 5-mg groups was not significant ($P = 0.17$).

The average remission period was 19.1 days in the 10-mg group, 23.7 days in the 5-mg group, and 11.8 days in the no-medication group. The average remission period was also compared for the three groups. The average period in the 10-mg and 5-mg groups was significantly longer than that in the no-medication group (10 mg, $P < 0.01$; 5 mg, $P < 0.001$).

**Safety**

Treatment with olopatadine hydrochloride was well tolerated. No patients reported adverse events such as sedation and drowsiness during the study period.

**Discussion**

Patients with CU face significant difficulties in their daily routine, primarily due to sleep disturbance, recurrent itch, fatigue, social isolation, and emotional distress, and the impact of CU on a patient’s quality of life is considered to be similar to that of a patient awaiting coronary artery bypass surgery. Although first-generation antihistamines have been found to be effective for relieving symptoms, they cause CNS side effects, such as sedation and psychomotor disturbances. Olopatadine hydrochloride is one of the non-sedating second-generation antihistamines, and is used for treating allergic disorders such as urticaria, rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis in Japan. Its usual dose for treatment of CU is 10 mg/day. It exerts more rapid and prolonged antihistaminic effects in comparison with other second-generation antihistamines.

The present study was designed to investigate the optimal dose of olopatadine hydrochloride to provide increased convenience for itch in well-controlled CU patients with fewer side effects. The period that the VAS itch score was maintained at less than 50 in both the 10-mg and 5-mg groups was significantly longer than that in the no-medication group. However, the difference between the 10-mg and 5-mg groups was not significant. The average remission period in both the 10-mg and 5-mg groups was also significantly longer than that in the no-medication group. These results demonstrated that treatment with 5 mg olopatadine hydrochloride was as effective as 10 mg olopatadine hydrochloride for controlling symptoms in CU patients. In addition, the present study also indicates that CU patients can be kept on medication at either 5 mg or 10 mg to achieve long-term symptom improvement; however, stopping medication can lead to the development of severe symptoms associated with recurrence within a short period.

In this study, no adverse effects including drowsiness and sedation were reported by patients in any of the three groups. However, some previous studies have described that olopatadine hydrochloride induced CNS side effects,
in spite of the fact that it is a second-generation non-selective antihistamine. Furthermore, 10 mg olopatadine hydrochloride was also reported to cause a decrease in activity, although it did not affect psychomotor/cognitive performance. Therefore, we suggest that treatment with 5 mg olopatadine hydrochloride is beneficial and provides long-lasting symptom relief for CU patients, with no or fewer CNS side effects such as drowsiness or sedation. The efficacy of 5 mg olopatadine hydrochloride shown in our study was consistent with a previous study, in which treatment with olopatadine at 5 mg/day caused a significant improvement in seasonal allergic symptoms in Japanese patients with cedar pollinosis, upon exposure to cedar pollen in an environmental exposure unit. The fact that medications at the lowest dosing frequency improved inaccurate compliance for long-term treatment might also be supportive of our hypothesis. Once-daily dosing reduces the chance of missed doses and provides increased convenience for allergic patients, especially for those who feel difficulties with dosing more than once per day.

In conclusion, this study indicates that treatment with olopatadine hydrochloride at 5 mg once daily can maintain the improvement of urticarial symptoms the same as 10 mg/day with fewer CNS side effects and appears to be safe and effective for the management and prevention of CU.
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