Evaluation of therapeutic effect of tumor-targeted therapy
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Abstract: The response evaluation criteria in solid tumors, which are based on tumor size alone, are the most frequently used and effective criteria by which to evaluate the tumor response to chemotherapy. However, the mechanism of tumor-targeted drugs is different from traditional cytotoxic drugs. Tumor-targeted drugs are designed to interfere with specific aberrant biological pathways involved in tumorigenesis. For this reason, the response evaluation in solid tumors is not adequate for the evaluation of targeted therapy. Molecular and functional imaging techniques such as dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion computed tomography, dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound, and fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography can reflect tumor blood flow and cellular metabolic changes directly, and are being used more frequently for the evaluation of targeted therapies. This article gives an overview of some of the new computed tomography criteria and the commonly used methods of targeted therapy evaluation.

Keywords: evaluation of therapeutic effect, targeted therapy, tumor

Introduction
There are dozens of tumor-targeted drugs used in cancer patients since the first targeted drug rituximab came on the market in the US. Targeted therapy means interfering with the aberrant biological behavior of tumors at the molecular level, and suppressing tumor growth, and even killing tumor cells as a result. Tumor-targeted drugs can interact with specific biomacromolecules on the membrane of tumor cells or inside the tumor cell. In this way, the drugs can restrain the growth and metastasis of tumor cells and even induce apoptosis, while only minimally influencing the normal cells. This varies significantly from the traditional cytotoxic effects of standard chemotherapy. Thus, volume alone cannot indicate all the changes of the tumor after using targeted drugs directly and timely, especially metabolic changes of tumor cells. The World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for tumor evaluation and the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) are widely applied and well-accepted in the evaluation of chemotherapy. Both methods are based on the assessment of the size of the primary or metastatic tumors. As discussed above, these methods are not adequate for the evaluation of targeted therapy. But there are several new criteria and imaging techniques emerging in this field.

Computed tomography (CT)
CT is the most commonly used imaging technique in oncology since it is widely available, fast, and convenient. It is also the major technique in RECIST criteria (Table 1).
Table 1  RECISt criteria 1.1 and Choi criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response evaluation</th>
<th>RECISt criteria 1.1</th>
<th>Choi criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Change in sum of longest dimensions of target lesions (maximum of two per organ, up to five total).</td>
<td>Change in size or attenuation of target lesions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>Disappearance of all target lesions, confirmed at 4 weeks.</td>
<td>Disappearance of all target lesions, confirmed at 4 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>30% decrease from baseline, confirmed at 4 weeks.</td>
<td>10% decrease in tumor size or 15% decrease in tumor attenuation at contrast material-enhanced computed tomography, no new lesions, no obvious progression of nonmeasurable disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease</td>
<td>Neither partial response nor progressive disease criteria met.</td>
<td>Neither partial response nor progressive disease criteria met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease</td>
<td>20% increase over smallest sum observed and overall 5 mm net increase or appearance of new lesions.</td>
<td>10% increase in tumor size, tumor attenuation criteria for partial response not met, new lesions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: RECISt, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.

CT can provide high anatomical resolution, and, by using the Hounsfield units (HU), it gives information about tissue density. Recently, some new CT response criteria have been developed in targeted therapy, such as the Choi criteria.

The application of imatinib has prolonged the median survival time (MST) of advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) patients from 19 months to 57 months. In the early studies of imatinib, such as B222, RECISt criteria were used to evaluate the tumor response. However, researchers found limitations in the use of the RECISt criteria, which are based on tumor size alone. RECISt criteria typically underestimate the clinical benefits of imatinib; therefore, it cannot reflect the quality of life and survival state of patients adequately and comprehensively. In 2004, the American radiologist Haesun Choi et al found that tumor density measurement was a good indicator and provided a reliable quantitative means of monitoring the tumor. They developed new CT response criteria for the evaluation of imatinib in GIST patients, referred to as the Choi criteria (Table 1). The sensitivity of the Choi criteria was demonstrated to be similar to fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) in their study. Additionally, it is promising in early response evaluation and in predicting the long-term prognosis in patients with advanced GIST treated with imatinib.

Though Choi criteria have been shown to be more appropriate than RECISt criteria for the evaluation of imatinib in GIST patients, caution should be used when applying these criteria for other targeted agents. Hittinger et al compared CT densitometry and subsequent treatment response groups based on Choi criteria with the RECISt system in patients with metastatic renal carcinoma treated with sorafenib. They concluded that the Choi criteria defined more patients as partial responders at early stages of therapy than did RECISt, but it was not effective for selecting patients with prolonged survival. Since there were limitations to the study, such as a small sample size (n = 22) and other previous therapies before sorafenib, their conclusion should be further investigated. In 2009, Chun et al devised novel tumor response criteria based on morphologic changes observed on CT scans in patients with colorectal cancer harboring liver metastases that were treated with bevacizumab-containing regimens. The new morphologic criteria assigned each metastatic lesion into one of three different groups (Table 2). A group-3 metastasis was characterized by heterogeneous attenuation and a thick, sharply defined tumor-liver interface. A group-1 metastasis was characterized by homogeneous low attenuation with a thin, sharply defined tumor-liver interface. A group-2 metastasis had morphology that could not be rated as 3 or 1. When present, a peripheral rim of hyperattenuating contrast enhancement was designated a group-3 characteristic and resolution of this enhancement was classified as group 1. Morphologic response criteria were defined as optimal if the metastasis changed from a group 3 or 2 to a 1, incomplete

Table 2  Computed tomographic morphologic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology group</th>
<th>Computed tomographic tumor characteristics</th>
<th>Tumor–liver interface</th>
<th>Peripheral rim of enhancement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall attenuation</td>
<td>Tumor–liver interface</td>
<td>Peripheral rim of enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Heterogeneous</td>
<td>Ill-defined</td>
<td>May be present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>If initially present, partially resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Homogeneous and hypoattenuating</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
<td>If initially present, completely resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
if the group changed from 3 to 2, and none if the group had not changed or if it increased. In patients with multiple tumors, morphologic response criteria were assigned based on the response seen in most tumors. The appearance of new metastases was defined as progression by morphology assessment (Table 3). They found that among patients treated with bevacizumab, CT-based morphologic criteria had a statistically significant association with both pathologic response ($P = 0.001$) and overall survival ($P = 0.009$), while RECIST criteria only correlated with pathologic response ($P = 0.04$) and did not correlate with survival ($P = 0.45$). Patients with an optimal CT-based morphologic response had a median overall survival of 31 months (95% CI, 26.8–35.2 months) compared with 19 months (95% CI, 14.6–23.4 months) for patients with incomplete or no morphologic response ($P = 0.009$). In contrast, based on RECIST criteria, median overall survival of patients with a partial response was 28 months (95% CI, 22.5–33.5 months) compared with 22 months (95% CI, 15.3–28.7 months) for those with stable or progressive disease ($P = 0.45$).

In addition to Choi criteria and CT-based morphologic criteria, Lee et al recently proposed a new CT response criteria, referred to as the new response criteria (NRC), in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. According to REClST measurements, the size of a target lesion is measured by including both solid and ground-glass opacity components. However, according to NRC, the size of a target lesion is assessed on mediastinal window images and measured by including solid components only. If a target lesion has internal cavitations, the size of the lesion is measured by including both solid and ground-glass opacity components. However, according to NRC, the size of a target lesion is assessed on mediastinal window images and measured by including solid components only. If a target lesion has internal cavitations, the size of the lesion is measured by including both solid and ground-glass opacity components and by excluding the air component of the cavity (subtraction of cavity diameter from the longest diameter of the cancer mass) (Figure 1). Tumor response was evaluated in accordance with NRC (Table 4). They concluded that in NSCLC patients treated with gefitinib or erlotinib, NRC could reflect additional morphological characteristics of target lesions, which was more adequate than RECIST and had a statistically significant association with overall survival. With NRC, patients with a good response had a median overall survival of 18.4 months compared with 8.5 months in patients with a poor response ($P = 0.04$). However, with RECIST, good and poor responders did not show a significant survival difference, which was 18.4 months versus 12 months, respectively ($P = 0.68$).

### Dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion computed tomography (CTP)

CTP is a kind of molecular and functional imaging technique, also referred to as functional CT, dynamic CT, or perfusion CT. CTP can provide information about blood flow, blood volume, capillary permeability, and microvessel density. After an intravenous bolus of conventional iodinated contrast, a series of images is made. There is a linear relationship between the concentration of contrast agent and the attenuation numbers (expressed in HU). The parameter used is the standardized perfusion value, defined as the ratio of tumor perfusion to whole-body perfusion. To date, there are no definite criteria based on CTP, but several studies have proven that CTP is a valuable technique for evaluating anti-vascular drugs such as bevacizumab. According to a study of neoadjuvant bevacizumab treatment in rectal cancer, CTP at day 12 post-bevacizumab alone showed significant decreases in blood flow and permeability–surface area product compared with before treatment ($P < 0.05$). Ng et al demonstrated that blood flow and blood volume of the lesions were significantly reduced after 2 days of bevacizumab infusion in patients with metastatic carcinoid tumors. Jiang et al conducted a clinical trial in 33 patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). CTP was a sensitive imaging technique for monitoring early antiangiogenic treatment effects. On days 10 to 12 after initiation of bevacizumab, significant decreases in the tumor blood flow, blood volume, and permeability surface,
Table 4 Tumor response definition on computed tomography scans according to new response criteria as for non-small-cell lung cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete response (NC)</td>
<td>1. Disappearance of all lesions and no new lesion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Partial response (PR) | 1. If a region of interest (ROI) of the solid portion within a tumor ≤ 5 mm²:  
  a. a decrease in size ≥ 30% in diameter;  
  b. no new lesion;  
  c. no obvious progression of nontarget lesions.  
  2. If an ROI of the solid portion within a tumor > 5 mm²:  
    a. a decrease in size of ≥ 10% in diameter or a decrease in tumor attenuation of ≥ 15%;  
    b. no new lesion;  
    c. no obvious progression of nontarget lesions. |
| Stable disease (SD) | Does not meet the criteria for complete response, partial response, or progressive disease. |
| Progressive disease (PD) | 1. Meets the following criteria:  
    a. an increase in tumor size of ≥ 20% in diameter with substantial increase by at least 5 mm;  
    b. does not meet criteria of partial response by tumor attenuation changes;  
    c. no cavitations.  
  2. Appearance of new lesion(s) |

Notes: Only the solid components of all targeted lesions were measured on mediastinal window images of enhanced computed tomography scans. Particularly in cavitary lesions with very thin walls or in very small nodules after treatment; sum of subtraction of cavity diameters from the longest diameters of target lesions as defined in RECIST.  

Abbreviation: RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.

and an increase in mean transit time from the baseline were noted (P < 0.005), while there was no significant change in tumor size based on RECIST.

**Dynamic contrast-enhanced-magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI)**

DCE-MRI is a noninvasive molecular and functional imaging technique that is performed after injection of a contrast agent. Typically, low-molecular-weight contrast agents (eg, gadopentetate dimeglumine) are used. MR sequences can be designed to be sensitive to the vascular phase of contrast medium delivery, so-called T2 methods. From these images, data on tissue perfusion and blood volume can be extracted. Using sequences sensitive to the presence of the contrast medium in the extravascular extracellular space, so-called T1 methods, information regarding microvessel perfusion, permeability, and extracellular leakage space is obtained.  

Tofts et al described a standard set of quantity names and symbols. These include: (1) a volume transfer constant, $K^{\text{trans}}$ (min⁻¹), also known as the wash-in rate; (2) the volume of the extravascular space (EES) per unit volume of tissue $v_e$; and (3) the flux-rate constant between EES and plasma $k_{ep}$ ($k_{ep} = K^{\text{trans}}/v_e$) (min⁻¹), known as the wash-out rate. The rate constant is the ratio of the transfer constant to the EES. Lower values of $k_{ep}$ or $K^{\text{trans}}$ can indicate lower perfusion, lower permeability, and/or a smaller blood vessel surface area.

To date, there are no definite criteria based on DCE-MRI. But changes in its parameters were reported to correlate with the effect of some targeted agents, including sorafenib, bevacizumab, trastuzumab, and cetuximab.  

Flaherty et al conducted a study to investigate the antiangiogenic effects of sorafenib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma and determine the value of DCE-MRI in the response evaluation. The study concluded that the percentage of $K^{\text{trans}}$ decline and the value of $K^{\text{trans}}$ at baseline were significantly associated with progression-free survival ($P = 0.01$ and $P = 0.02$, respectively). In another recent study in patients with advanced NSCLC receiving sorafenib, the decrease of $k_{ep}$ was significant in predicting improvement in overall survival ($P = 0.035$) and progression-free survival ($P = 0.029$). In several studies on bevacizumab, researchers have observed a decrease in parameters such as $K^{\text{trans}}$ and $k_{ep}$ in responders. Patients with inflammatory or locally advanced breast cancer showed a statistically significant decrease in the DCE-MRI pharmacokinetic parameter $K^{\text{trans}}$ after one cycle of bevacizumab. Mehta et al are now conducting a study to assess the early therapeutic response to bevacizumab in primary breast cancer using DCE-MRI and gene expression profiles. They have identified three intrinsic patterns of early response to bevacizumab, including: (1) significant reduction in permeability and blood flow over the extent of the tumor; (2) development of a large central necrotic core; and (3) little or no change in the tumor vasculature. Their primary results imply that the second response group may ultimately correspond to the subset of patients who receive the greatest benefit from bevacizumab. Final data will be released soon.

**Dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound (DCE-US)**

US contrast agents, such as microbubbles, nanoparticles, or perfluorocarbon gas, alter wave absorption and reflection, which enhances the intensity of signals bouncing back from tissues and provides morphologic and physiologic information at the same time. The European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology recommends clinical application of DCE-US for assessing responses of different tumors such as GIST, renal cell carcinoma, and HCC. However, no criteria based on DCE-US have
been described. The main indices of this technology include peak intensity (PI), area under the curve (AUC), area under the wash-in, area under the wash-out, time to peak intensity, slope of the wash-in, and mean transit time. The first four indices (PI, AUC, area under the wash-in, and area under the wash-out) correspond to blood volume, while the time to peak intensity and slope of the wash-in correspond to blood flow.

Lamuraglia et al conducted a study to investigate DCE-US in the response evaluation of metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with sorafenib. They defined a good response as a decrease in contrast uptake exceeding 10%, and stability or a decrease in tumor volume at 3 weeks after treatment initiation. There was a statistically significant difference in progression-free survival (PFS) (P = 0.0001) and overall survival (OS) (P = 0.0001) between good and poor responders. In a trial of patients with unresectable and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma or malignant melanoma treated with sorafenib, researchers defined a good response as a $\geq 20\%$ decrease in contrast uptake coupled with stability or a decrease in tumor volume, or a $\geq 30\%$ decrease of volume if no modification of vascularization was observed. Similarly, good responders showed an increased PFS of 319 days (n = 6) and OS of 319 days (n = 6) relative to poor responders, who had a PFS of 90 days (n = 3) and OS of 173 days (n = 3).

For GIST patients with liver metastasis treated with imatinib or masatinib, several studies showed that DCE-US allowed the early prediction of tumor response. Lassau et al found that AUC, area under the wash-in, and area under the wash-out were the important DCE-US parameters related to blood volume that at day 15 could predict the response of GISTs to treatment with masatinib. In November 2009, the European Society for Medical Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines recommended that consistent changes on DCE-US should be considered as criteria for tumor response in GISTs.

For HCC treated with targeted drugs, particularly bevacizumab, DCE-US is also a valuable technique for early response evaluation. Lassau et al recently reported that DCE-US can be used to quantify dynamic changes in tumor vascularity as early as three days after bevacizumab administration in patients with HCC.

A French multicenter study of various types of tumors is currently being conducted, but complete results have not been reported. This study was primarily designed to demonstrate the feasibility of using DCE-US in hospitals in France, to determine the best parameter and the best timing to assess antiangiogenesis and antivascular treatment, and to confirm a threshold for differentiating between responders and nonresponders. In this program, more than 400 patients with metastases from breast cancer, melanoma, colon cancer, GIST, renal cell carcinoma, or with primary hepatocellular carcinoma treated with antiangiogenic drugs (Sutent, Nexavar, bevacizumab, imatinib, etc) were included in the study. Preliminary results show that the AUC is correlated to response at 6 months in good and poor responders, but complete results are not yet available.

**Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET)**

PET is a common radionuclide imaging technique. FDG is the most commonly used radiopharmaceutical for PET. FDG uptake on PET, expressed as standardized uptake values (SUV), reflects the metabolic activity of cells. In addition to being used to characterize, stage, and restage tumors, FDG-PET can be applied to evaluate therapeutic response. Moreover, PET-CT enables assessment of molecular characteristics as depicted by PET based on anatomical structures on CT.

Although different classifications have been proposed for FDG-PET (Table 5), there are no generally accepted criteria for a metabolic response in tumor therapy. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) PET response criteria and the PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors (PERCIST) are both based on the magnitude of the change in SUV relative to baseline.

Many studies have demonstrated that PET or PET-CT is an early predictor of the response to targeted therapy such as imatinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, sorafenib, and bevacizumab.

In a study of advanced GIST treated with imatinib, both a PET SUV$\max$ (maximum standardized uptake value) threshold of 2.5 at 1 month and EORTC criteria for partial response on FDG-PET were shown to be predictive of prolonged treatment success. However, an optimized PET SUV$\max$ threshold of 3.4 and a 40% reduction in the SUV$\max$ outperformed the EORTC criteria. In a trial of metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma treated with chemotherapy plus cetuximab, metabolic response was defined as $\geq 35\%$ decrease of SUV$\max$. In the following 11-month investigation, the median time to disease progression for early metabolic responders (11 months) was significantly longer than for metabolic nonresponders (5 months) ($P = 0.0016$).

Goshen et al investigated the value of FDG-PET in the response evaluation of colorectal cancer patients with liver
metastasis treated with bevacizumab and irinotecan. They concluded that FDG-PET correlated better than CT with pathology, and was more indicative of pathological changes. FDG-PET is currently being validated as a valuable tool for tumor response evaluation in targeted therapy.

Conclusion

As described above, there are many new imaging techniques and criteria in the field of targeted therapy. However, there are no criteria suitable for evaluating various tumors treated with different targeted drugs. Researchers have demonstrated that for advanced GIST treated with imatinib, the Choi criteria are better than RECIST criteria. For colorectal cancer harboring liver metastases treated with bevacizumab, CT-based morphologic criteria correlate significantly with overall survival, while RECIST criteria do not. For NSCLC treated with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors, NRC are more adequate than RECIST. CTP, DCE-MRI, and DCE-US can be used as early predictors of blood perfusion in target lesions after antiangiogenesis and antivascular treatment, but there are no concrete or widely applicable criteria. PET can be used to evaluate the metabolic change of tumors, which is a significant advantage over CT. Although two types of criteria, including EORTC and PERCIST, have been proposed, there are currently no generally accepted criteria based on PET.

In summary, several different CT criteria are available for evaluating targeted therapy other than RECIST. Additionally, molecular and functional imaging techniques such as DCE-MRI, DCE-US, and FDG-PET can be used to measure early treatment response to targeted therapy. Finally, optimal and well-accepted criteria for new imaging modalities are not available for clinical use; therefore, significant efforts are needed for improvement.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

For personal use only.

7. Yang LQ, Qin SQ. New response criteria of GIST treated with targeted drugs.

For personal use only.


