Potential of magnetic nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery
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Abstract: Nanoparticles (NPs) play an important role in the molecular diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of therapeutic outcomes in various diseases. Their nanoscale size, large surface area, unique capabilities, and negligible side effects make NPs highly effective for biomedical applications such as cancer therapy, thrombolysis, and molecular imaging. In particular, nontoxic superparamagnetic magnetic NPs (MNPs) with functionalized surface coatings can conjugate chemotherapeutic drugs or be used to target ligands/proteins, making them useful for drug delivery, targeted therapy, magnetic resonance imaging, transfection, and cell/protein/DNA separation. To optimize the therapeutic efficacy of MNPs for a specific application, three issues must be addressed. First, the efficacy of magnetic targeting/guidance is dependent on particle magnetization, which can be controlled by adjusting the reaction conditions during synthesis. Second, the tendency of MNPs to aggregate limits their therapeutic use in vivo; surface modifications to produce high positive or negative charges can reduce this tendency. Finally, the surface of MNPs can be coated with drugs which can be rapidly released after injection, resulting in targeting of low doses of the drug. Drugs therefore need to be conjugated to MNPs such that their release is delayed and their thermal stability enhanced. This chapter describes the creation of nanocarriers with a high drug-loading capacity comprised of a high-magnetization MNP core and a shell of aqueous, stable, conducting polyaniline derivatives and their applications in cancer therapy. It further summarizes some newly developed methods to synthesize and modify the surfaces of MNPs and their biomedical applications.
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Introduction

Nanotechnology has been successfully applied for disease diagnosis, in vivo molecular imaging, and as an improved therapeutic platform. Nanoparticles (NPs) are particularly advantageous due to their small size, large surface area, in vivo drug delivery characteristics, and unusual electronic, optical, and magnetic properties. With the recent development of nanobiotechnology, magnetic NPs (MNPs) have gained increasing attention for use in biomedical applications such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), virus detection, magnetic cell separation, enzyme catalysis, gene therapy, targeting chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. A major problem in cancer therapy is the lack of specificity of chemotherapeutic drugs towards the tumor site. Large doses of drugs with serious side effects thus need to be injected to achieve efficient local concentrations at the tumor. Current efforts are focused on developing strategies for targeted drug delivery including both molecular and magnetic targeting systems. Given the limited knowledge of suitable biomarkers for efficient molecular targeting, cooperative
targeting by a combination of molecular biomarkers with superparamagnetic MNP carriers is a particularly promising strategy for cancer therapy.

Magnetic nanocarriers need to be stable in water at neutral pH and normal saline for biological, medical diagnostic, and therapeutic applications. Iron oxide MNPs are widely used as the core of magnetic nanocarriers. However, the surface of MNPs need to be coated to prevent the formation of large aggregates and provide functional groups (amines or carboxylic acid) for bioconjugation to anticancer drugs and/or targeted ligands. Various surface coatings have been used, including lipids, liposomes, proteins, polymers, and dextran. MNPs are also commonly used as an MRI T2 contrast agent for contrast enhancement and signal amplification since they are much more efficient promoters of relaxation than the MRI T1 contrast agent gadolinium-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid, and their magnetic properties can be managed by controlling their size and surface coatings. The most important consideration for clinical application of MNPs is their nontoxicity. MNPs based on magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and maghemite with satisfactory biocompatibility have therefore been used in a variety of investigations to meet criteria such as a long blood retention time, biodegradability, and low toxicity.

This review will focus on recent advances in the development of an integrated system of magnetic nanocarriers, their conjugation chemistry, and detection technology and will discuss their broad application in drug delivery, targeted therapy, molecular imaging, and therapeutic decision-making and monitoring for glomas (malignant brain tumors), prostate cancer, and bladder cancer.

**Surface modification of MNPs**

Among the many different kinds of chemical methods for synthesizing MNPs, a precipitation-based approach is most commonly used. Different sizes of MNPs including small MNPs (60–150 nm) and ultra small MNPs (10–50 nm) can affect their magnetic properties and affect their functions in various applications. Uncoated MNPs are unstable in aqueous media and readily aggregate and precipitate. The surfaces of MNPs are therefore coated with a variety of different molecules to eliminate or minimize their aggregation under physiological conditions. Since the surfaces of target cells are negatively charged, most MNPs are modified with cationic materials that contain functional groups to conjugate biological molecules and drugs. The most common coatings are dextran or polyethylene glycol (PEG) derivatives, polyethylene oxide, poloxamers, and polyoxamines to minimize or eliminate opsonization of MNPs. Adsorption of the protein on the hydrophobic surface of MNPs makes the surface hydrophobic after injection of NPs in the bloodstream. Recognition of coated MNPs by macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system is driven by the adsorbed protein chemistry or immunology. Detection by the reticuloendothelial system can be avoided by using MNPs coated with either protein-resistant PEGylated derivatives or hydrophilic polymers, which prevent binding of circulatory proteins and slow the clearance process. Surface coating is also critical to allow conjugation of a variety of biological molecules or drugs. Polypeptides, poly(L-glutamate), poly(D,L-lactide), hyaluronic acid layers, and carboxyl-functionalized poly(amidoamine) dendrimers have proven to be the best choices for shell materials due to their low toxicity, biocompatibility, and reticuloendothelial system stability.

The authors recently developed a new class of superparamagnetic high-magnetization MNPs (HMNPs) composed of an Fe₃O₄ core and an aqueous-stable, hydrophilic, cationic poly(aniline-co-N-[1-one-butyrilic acid])aniline shell with biocompatible O-(2-aminomethyl)PEG (EPEG) derivatives and a uniform size distribution ranging from 15–30 nm. This poly(aniline-co-N-[1-one-butyrilic acid])aniline coating polymer was developed by the authors’ group and has surface reactivity for introducing different or multiple functional groups including carboxylic and amine groups that can be used to conjugate anticancer drugs and tumor-targeting biomolecules such as peptides or antibodies (Figure 1). Despite significant efforts in developing MRI contrast agents and drug carriers based on MNP formulations, there are still several obstacles to be overcome. A major challenge is how to attain stability, high magnetization, and high MRI contrast to avoid decay of magnetic gradients over distance and thrombosis in blood vessels and organs.

**Current applications of MNPs**

Magnetic carrier technology was first used in the early 1940s as a new method of wastewater treatment. The use of MNPs for drug delivery has been evolving since 1963 and MNPs consisting of Fe₃O₄ or maghemite cores coated with biocompatible and functional polymers have become a major research focus for targeted drug delivery. MNPs can be used in a wide variety of biomedical applications, ranging from contrast agents for MRI to the destruction of cancer cells via hyperthermia treatment, targeted therapy, and separation (Figure 2). Most of these promising applications require well defined and controllable interactions between the MNPs and living cells.
Magnetic fluid hyperthermia

Hyperthermia is a promising approach for cancer therapy, and has been induced by a variety of methods including hot water, capacitive heating, and induction heating.46 For thermoablation, a tumor is subjected to temperatures $>46^\circ$C and $\leq 56^\circ$C, causing cells to undergo direct tissue necrosis, coagulation, or carbonization. Moderate hyperthermia, traditionally termed hyperthermia treatment, has various effects both at the cellular and tissue level. Cells undergo heat stress in the temperature range of $41^\circ$C–$46^\circ$C resulting in activation and/or initiation of many intra- and extracellular degradation mechanisms like protein denaturation, protein folding, aggregation, and DNA crosslinking. Permanent irreversible protein damage can be caused by a single heat treatment resulting in protein aggregation and/or inhibition of many cellular functions.47 Matsuoka et al developed magnetic cationic liposomes based on superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs and investigated their in vivo efficacy for hyperthermia treatment of hamster osteosarcoma.48 Magnetoliposomes were injected directly into the osteosarcoma and were then subjected to an alternating magnetic field. The tumor was heated above $42^\circ$C, and complete regression was observed in 100% of the treated hamsters. At day twelve, the average tumor volume of the treated hamsters was about 1/1000 of that of the control hamsters. Du et al assessed the thermodynamic characteristics of a nanosized arsenic trioxide/$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ complex and validated the hyperthermia effect when combined with magnetic fluid hyperthermia on xenograft HeLa cells (human cervical cancer cell line) in nude mice.49 Thermochemotherapy with these MNPs showed a significant inhibitory effect on the mass (88% reduction) and volume (91% reduction) of xenograft cervical tumors. These nanosystems combined with magnetic fluid hyperthermia are thus a promising technique for the minimally invasive elimination of solid tumors and may also be useful for the treatment of metastasis by inhibiting the expression of several growth-related factors.
Jang et al showed that a dopant could be properly positioned in tetrahedral sites – substituting into the down spin site with a nonmagnetic dopant atom results in an overall increase in the moment per unit cell – and demonstrated the capability of scaling up. In addition, their doped MNPs showed very high saturation magnetization (175 emu/g), an eight- to fourteen-fold increase in MRI contrast and a four-fold enhancement in hyperthermic effects compared to conventional MNPs.

Magnetic separation

Magnetic separation of cells has several advantages over other enrichment techniques. It permits the target cells to be isolated directly from crude samples such as blood, bone marrow, tissue homogenates, stool, cultivation media, food, water, and soil. MNPs have been developed as magnetic carriers in various separation processes including purification, immunoassays, and even separation of transiently transfected cells using antibody-linked MNPs. Immunomagnetic separation of Salmonella cells was used to avoid false negative polymerase chain reaction results caused by polymerase chain reaction inhibitors in processed food products. In this case, magnetic hydrophilic microspheres based on poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate-co-ethylene dimethacrylate) functionalized with polyclonal Salmonella antibodies were preferable to hydrophobic MNPs.

Enzymes are versatile proteins with great potential for applications in research and industry due to their myriad of biocatalytic functions. However, their lack of long-term stability has complicated their separation and reuse, often requiring extensive downstream processing. Over the last decade, MNPs have been used as support materials for immobilization of enzymes such as yeast alcohol dehydrogenase and lipase, with various surface modifications. Glucose oxidase has been immobilized on different types of solid supports using glutaraldehyde as a crosslinking agent for biosensor and biofuel cell applications. Dyal et al presented a strategy to immobilize Candida rugosa lipase on functionalized maghemite NPs. The hybrid lipase–NP composites showed a decrease in activity of about 15% over 1 month and had good long-term stability. Huang et al covalently bound glucose oxidase to Fe₃O₄/silicon dioxide MNPs using glutaraldehyde, resulting in an activity of immobilized glucose oxidase of 4570 U/g at pH 7 and 50°C. The immobilized glucose oxidase retained 80% of its initial activity after 6 hours at 45°C compared to only 20% for the free enzyme. After six cycles of repeated use, the immobilized glucose oxidase still maintained 60% of its initial activity; 75% of its initial activity remained after 1 month at 4°C compared to 62% for the free enzyme. Different enzymes that could be associated with MNPs include glucoamylase, cytochrome c oxidase, β-lactamase, chymotrypsin, alcohol dehydrogenase, glucose oxidase, galactose oxidase, urease, neuraminidase, papain, deoxyriboonuclease, and ribonuclease. The excellent properties of MNPs, especially long-term stability and easy separation, make the use of expensive enzymes economically viable and hence open a new horizon for enzyme catalysis in biotechnology.

Selective separation of DNA and ribonucleic acid is an important tool in clinical diagnostics of microorganisms and viruses, genomic profiling, and gene manipulation, and is commonly performed using functionalized MNPs. To separate a target nucleic acid from a mixture, MNPs are functionalized with either streptavidin or a short oligonucleotide. The target nucleic acid or oligonucleotide is then captured either via its modification with biotin, or by hybridization to the complementary immobilized nucleic acid or oligonucleotide. The target nucleic acid can be separated either by capturing on the solid phase or by retaining the background on the solid phase. This system can also be used to remove disease-causing factors from blood. Wang et al developed biofunctional MNPs decorated by bisphosphonate, which coordinates the uranyl ion with high affinity and is capable of efficient removal of radionuclides. These Fe₃O₄–bisphosphonate MNPs remove 99% and 69% of the uranyl ion from water and blood, respectively. Herrmann et al developed nanomagnets (carbon-coated iron carbide) and functionalized them with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-like chelators, antidigoxin antibody fragments (digoxin immune antigen-binding fragment), or entire antibodies (antihuman interleukin-6). After magnetic extraction using metal-chelating nanomagnets, the median lead concentration was decreased by 56% down to 0.53 µg/mL in human whole blood (initial lead²⁺ concentration: 1.2 µg/mL). Future research will need to focus on extending these findings to achieve continuous blood purification and extraction inside a whole organism.

Tumor imaging and magnetic delivery system

MRI is an extremely useful diagnostic tool in medical science and is the preferred method for imaging the brain and central nervous system, assessing cardiac function, and detecting tumors. Since MRI delivers anatomic images of soft tissue with high resolution, it is expected to become a very important tool for molecular and cellular imaging. The ability to image crosslinked iron oxide and related NPs by
magnetic resonance has led to the development of several probes for imaging cellular and subcellular events with high spatial resolution,\textsuperscript{67–70} which can be used for early diagnosis, risk stratification, and monitoring of disease activity or therapeutic efficacy. For example, a magnetofluorescent NP targeted to vascular adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) was designed to image atherosclerosis in vivo. Upregulation of VCAM-1 on activated endothelial cells, macrophages, and smooth muscle cells is an early marker of atherosclerosis. A VCAM-1 targeting peptide was selected by iterative phage display and conjugated to crosslinked iron oxide. Intravenous administration of this targeted particle resulted in an enhanced MRI signal in aortic roots of mice, which correlated with VCAM-1 expression. This probe was also useful for in vivo monitoring of therapeutic efficacy, as evidenced by improved signal after statin treatment.

Iron oxide NPs have also been successfully used to diagnose cancer in vivo without any targeting ligands on their surface, termed passive targeting.\textsuperscript{71} For more efficient targeted imaging, the surfaces of the MNPs need to be conjugated with active targeting probes, such as antibodies and proteins. The specific interactions between targeting agents and receptors allow accumulation of these nanostructures near the desired tissue.

Magnetofection is a new method to enhance the introduction of gene vectors into cells.\textsuperscript{72} The idea is to associate MNPs with DNA together with either a transfection reagent or a viral vector. This technology allows delivery of the genetic material to the target cell surface. DNA is then released into the cytoplasm, with the hope that the MNPs will not influence cellular function. Magnetofection has also been successfully used to deliver antisense oligonucleotides in vitro and in vivo.\textsuperscript{73} Hiro et al and Zheng et al developed magnetic cationic liposomes by incorporating MNPs into small cationic liposomes.\textsuperscript{74,75} A gene delivery system combining these magnetic cationic liposomes and magnetic induction was found to enhance transfection efficiency in human osteosarcoma cells.

**Rationale of using MNPs in drug delivery**

**Basic concepts of MNPs for biological use**

MNPs are also known as superparamagnetic iron oxide particles and have been used as contrast agents for MRI for more than 20 years. At the same time, therapeutic applications of MNPs have rapidly expanded. Magnetic targeting is a promising strategy for achieving localized drug delivery to tumor tissue. The deposition, accumulation, and retention of drug-conjugated MNPs in tumors are enhanced by magnetic force. The feasibility of this application has recently been demonstrated in brain tumors.\textsuperscript{76,77} Chertok et al showed that accumulation of NPs was consistently enhanced with 9.6-fold selectivity for MNP accumulation in gliomas compared to the contralateral brain site.\textsuperscript{76} The MNP distribution can be monitored in vivo by MRI in the brain. For example, MR spin–spin (R2) relaxivity measurements have been used to compare the R2 maps of animals that received intravenously administered MNPs with and without 0.4-T magnetic targeting. The distribution of MNPs could be visualized in vivo in the brain and magnetic targeting induced a five- to ten-fold increase in MNP accumulation in the total tumor mass.

**Applications of MNPs in site-specific targeting**

**Site-specific drug delivery to brain tumors**

Traditional chemotherapeutic agents can be conjugated to HMNPs to form therapeutic HMNPs, for example HMNP–bis-chloroethylnitrosourea (BCNU), HMNP–epirubicin, HMNP–doxorubicin, or HMNP–paclitaxel (Figure 2). The chemotherapeutic agent BCNU (also known as carmustine) has been commercialized for the treatment of gliomas, but its efficacy is limited by a short half-life in the human body. BCNU was therefore immobilized on HMNPs to reduce its hydrolysis rate and prolong its half-life. The concentrations of therapeutic MNPs that were required for 50% inhibition of cellular growth (IC\textsubscript{50}) of glioma cells (C6, U87) were initially determined in vitro. Pure HMNPs without conjugated anticancer drugs have no apparent cytotoxic effect when cocultured in vitro with tumor cells. In contrast, abundant HMNP–BCNU or HMNP–epirubicin that was presumably taken up by endocytosis could be observed within cells by transmission electron microscopy. These particles entered the nuclei and appeared to induce apoptosis. Liu et al demonstrated that the IC\textsubscript{50} of free epirubicin and HMNP–epirubicin was 6.7 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\) and 5.2 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\) for C6 cells, respectively.\textsuperscript{78} The value was significantly reduced to 1.6 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\) by magnetic targeting (Figure 3A).\textsuperscript{79} Hua et al also demonstrated that free BCNU and HMNP–BCNU were also both toxic to C6 cells in a dose-dependent manner.\textsuperscript{80} The IC\textsubscript{50} of HMNP–BCNU was 6.9 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\), which was lower than that of free BCNU (8.6 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\)) due to greater thermal stability and a decreased rate of hydrolysis of conjugated BCNU, all leading to more efficient delivery of BCNU into cells at 37°C. Magnetic targeting of HMNP–BCNU led to a significant reduction in the IC\textsubscript{50} to only 4.3 \(\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}\), suggesting that more HMNP–BCNU was effectively guided to
and concentrated at the target area. To provide more effective HMNs, Yang et al developed a self-protecting high-magnetic nanomedicine (EPEG–HMNP–BCNU) which was designed by grafting a biocompatible polymer (EPEG) onto the surface of HMNP–BCNU (Figure 2). EPEG protects BCNU by slowing down its rate of hydrolysis and prolonging the circulation time of MNPs. The half-life of BCNU was prolonged from 30 hours (HMNP–BCNU) to 62 hours. Free BCNU and EPEG-HMNP–BCNU were both toxic to U87 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. However, the IC50 of EPEG–HMNP–BCNU was 14.7 μg/mL, which was lower than that of free BCNU (19.2 μg/mL). Moreover, the value was reduced significantly to only 9.8 μg/mL when an external magnetic field of 800 gauss was applied to the EPEG–HMNP–BCNU particles (Figure 3B). Hua et al successfully used magnetic targeting to deliver HMNP–BCNU into brain tumor implant animal cells. Tumors shrank markedly after 7 days of treatment with 5 μg/kg of HMNP–BCNU and 24 hours of magnetic targeting. In contrast, tumor growth was not inhibited after 7 days by 13.5 mg/kg free BCNU or 1.68 mg/kg HMNP–BCNU with magnetic targeting.

Significant accumulation of MNPs in the brain relies on a temporary opening of the blood–brain barrier. Liu et al first demonstrated the application of focused ultrasound (FUS) blood–brain barrier disruption to enhance MNP delivery into the brain in small animals. Their aim was to deliver MNPs into the brain and to then monitor these MNPs by MRI to simultaneously detect blood–brain barrier disruption and follow the status change of sonicated brain over time. They used an MNP contrast agent that was clinically approved for blood pool MRI (carboxydextran-coated, 60-nm hydrodynamic size, Resovist®; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany). The local distribution of MNPs in the brain causes field inhomogeneity and concomitant signal loss on T2*-weighted images. The T2*-weighted images obtained before and after MNP administration and FUS delivery could therefore be used to detect the blood–brain barrier disruption effect. The biodistribution of MNPs in the brain could also be followed over time by collection of T2*-weighted images. Over 70% of MNPs were cleared from the brain within 7 days.

A significant increase in therapeutic HMNP deposition has also been demonstrated using this method in tumor-bearing animals. Untreated animals showed no HMNP accumulation after HMNP–epirubicin administration. However, 11,982 ± 2105 ng HMNP–epirubicin was delivered when it was administered in combination with FUS/magnetic
targeting, providing a 15-fold higher concentration than the therapeutic range in breast cancer (819 ± 482 ng/g tumor) that had previously been reported for doxorubicin to reach a clinical response rate of 39% (Figure 4A). Control of tumor progression and survival were also investigated at 7-day intervals. The tumor volume in the FUS/magnetic targeting group only increased by 106% ± 24% in treated animals compared with a 533% ± 123% increase in controls and 406% ± 78% increase in free epirubicin-treated group, indicating that the combination of therapeutic HMNPs with FUS/magnetic targeting provided the most effective means of controlling tumor progression (Figure 4B). Moreover, the control and FUS enhancement-only treatment resulted in similar median animal survival times (23 days and 20 days, respectively), whereas the median survival times were significantly improved by 66% in animals receiving HMNP–epirubicin in conjunction with FUS/magnetic targeting treatment (30.5 days versus 18.3 days, respectively).79 FUS combined with magnetic targeting to both passively and actively deliver HMNPs thus represents a powerful technique to enhance the delivery of a wide range of macromolecular therapeutic substances into the central nervous system under the guidance and in vivo monitoring of drug quantification/distribution by MRI.

The synergistic drug delivery approach also provided an improvement of approximately 3.4-fold in the drug’s half-life (from 18 hours to 62 hours). Because of the longer circulation time of EPEG–MNP–BCNU, its accumulation was excellent (177.33 ± 23.13 µg of iron ion) and approximately 1.65-fold higher than that of HMNP–BCNU (107.72 ± 29.72 µg of iron ion) after 24 hours of magnetic targeting. This observation supports the idea that EPEG–HMNP–BCNU is more suitable than HMNP–BCNU for in vivo antitumor studies. The survival rate in animals that received a low dose of BCNU (4.5 mg BCNU/kg) in the form of EPEG–HMNP–BCNU was 63 days compared with 50 days in animals that received a high dose of free BCNU (13.5 mg BCNU/kg).60 This improvement could greatly enhance the potential of magnetic targeting therapy in clinical applications of cancer treatments.

Dual-targeted drug delivery in prostate cancer

Prostate cancer is a slow-growing, potentially lethal disease. It is the sixth most common cancer in the world, in terms of the number of new cases, the third most common cancer in men, and the most common cancer in men in Europe, North America, and some parts of Africa.80,81 For advanced prostate cancer, endocrine therapy by androgen ablation is still the mainstay of treatment. However, in most advanced cases of prostate cancer, cells become hormone refractory and the patient eventually dies as the disease progresses. Chemotherapy with taxane drugs such as paclitaxel and docetaxel is currently the standard treatment; docetaxel prolongs the progression-free period and overall survivability of patients.82 However, patients can suffer from chemotherapeutic, and especially hematologic, toxicity. In cases of uncontrollable toxicity, the standard dose must be modified; in extreme cases, the patient might even need to be withdrawn from the treatment. One promising candidate for targeted prostate cancer therapy is prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), a 100-kDa type II glycosylated transmembrane protein that is specifically overexpressed on the surface of human prostate cancer cell lines (LNCaP and CWR22R). Although there have been several reports of targeting nanomedicine to tumor cells using specific biomolecules,83–85 the delivery efficiency has generally been low because of
insufficient target-binding ability or activation of the drug, and short circulation times in the blood. Yang et al suggested that another force such as external magnetic guidance must be applied to assist molecular targeting and to amplify the homing of anticancer drugs to tumors. However, several factors have limited their application, including insufficient stability in aqueous media and marked reticuloendothelial uptake. The circulation time of MNPs in blood is only on the order of minutes due to their rapid capture and clearance by macrophages, especially in the liver.

A long circulation time in the bloodstream is a key requirement for specific targeting of nanomedicine and in vivo drug delivery. Yang et al modified paclitaxel–HMNP with functional amino-EPEG-carboxylic acid to prolong its circulation in the blood. The amount of iron remaining in systemic circulation 6 minutes after drug administration was 81% for paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG and 12% for paclitaxel–HMNP. The blood half-life of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG was significantly prolonged to 26.8 minutes compared to 2.9 minutes for paclitaxel–HMNP. All forms of the drug were toxic toward CWR22R cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3C). The IC$_{50}$ of free paclitaxel was 9.6 µg/mL, which is higher than that of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG and paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA (both 5.9 µg/mL). The IC$_{50}$ of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA was significantly further reduced to 2.2 µg/mL when a 900-gauss magnetic field was applied, presumably because more of it was guided to the cells, further enhancing the local drug concentration.

The efficacy of in vivo local delivery of paclitaxel bioconjugates into subcutaneous tumors by molecular and magnetic targeting was also investigated. T2-weighted MRI was used to evaluate the susceptibility to artifact-induced signal loss caused by HMNP accumulation, and R2 maps were used to detect changes caused by different amounts of HNMPS. It was found that a small amount of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG accumulated due to the enhanced permeability and retention effect. Accumulation was slightly increased by the multivalent effect of anti-PSMA binding to PSMA on the cell membrane. However, accumulation of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA was significantly increased by approximately 10.3-fold at the tumor site after a 12-hour exposure to magnetic targeting compared to no magnetic targeting treatment. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry confirmed the results of the MRI R2 maps. The concentration of accumulated iron was 178.1 µg/mouse after 12 hours of magnetic targeting coupled with injection of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA, which was higher than injections of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG with magnetic targeting (132.6 µg/mouse) and paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA alone (19.8 µg/mouse). R2 maps can therefore serve as a good tool not only for quantification but also for imaging the local distribution of magnetic nanomedicines in vivo. The increased accumulation occurred because paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA was bound to the cell surface by multivalent forces during the magnetic targeting period, indicating that a combination of molecular and magnetic targeting could maximize the accumulation of chemotherapeutic drugs.

Treatment efficacy using free and bioconjugated paclitaxel was also evaluated in mice with hypodermic tumors induced by the injection of CWR22R cells (Figure 5A–D). The combination of paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA and 12 hours of magnetic targeting was most effective for controlling tumor progression. Approximately 34.7% (51.6 ± 5.7 µg) of the initial dose (148.5 µg/mouse) of paclitaxel was concentrated at the tumor, which was 26.7-fold higher than for the free paclitaxel injection (1.3% initial dose). Over a 28-day period, the tumor volume increased by 202% ± 153% in the paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA/magnetic targeting group (effective paclitaxel dose of 4.5 mg/kg) and by 888% ± 234% in the paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG/magnetic targeting group (effective paclitaxel dose of 4.5 mg/kg) compared to 2873% ± 495% in the untreated control group and 2568% ± 624% for treatment with free paclitaxel (6.0 mg/kg). Tumor growth was slightly inhibited (1952% ± 382%) in the paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA treated group without magnetic targeting (Figure 5E), indicating that molecular targeting increased binding affinity but was not sufficient to overcome the effects of blood flow and uptake by macrophages. In contrast, magnetic targeting played an important role in enhancing the accumulation of paclitaxel bioconjugates at the tumor site. Although treatment with free paclitaxel or paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA alone increased median animal survival from 25 days to 35 days and 39 days, respectively, the survival showed a significant further increase in animals receiving 12 hours of magnetic targeting treatment together with paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG or paclitaxel–HMNP–EPEG–anti-PSMA (median survival: 51 days and 65 days, respectively; Figure 5F). Blood biochemistry analyses confirmed that neither liver nor renal functions were affected, even at 14 days after injection of the highest dose of HMNP–EPEG (30 mg/kg). This system was found to be efficient, nontoxic, and specific for the administration.
of HMNP-based chemotherapy with significant potential for treating human prostatic carcinoma in vivo.

Site specific drug delivery and overcoming multidrug resistance (MDR) in bladder tumors

A little more than half of cancer patients are cured by current cancer therapies. Surgery is considered the most efficient treatment for small tumors which are rarely accompanied by metastasis. Chemotherapy is the key treatment after surgery, despite serious side effects, especially for large and spreading tumors. A major clinical barrier to the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents is the resistance of cancer cells, known as MDR. Up to 75% of chemotherapy patients express markers of MDR; it almost invariably emerges and introduces a major obstacle to curative therapy of human malignancies.

MDR can arise through various mechanisms that are not fully understood. The most recognized mechanisms include:

- (1) decreased drug uptake or increased drug efflux, such as mediated by P-glycoprotein;
- (2) altered drug activation or degradation;
- (3) enhanced DNA repair; and
- (4) failure of drug activity to trigger enzyme-induced apoptosis.

Despite two decades of research efforts devoted to reversing cancer MDR, no clinically promising method has emerged.

Nuclear drug resistance (NDR) mediated by the nuclear membrane export effect currently plays a major role in the failure of treating MDR cells.

Yang et al developed epirubicin or doxorubicin conjugates based on HMNPs to overcome MDR, including NDR. The distribution of epirubicin in MGH-U1 (bladder carcinoma cell line) and MGH-U1R cells (NDR bladder carcinoma cell line) can be visualized by confocal microscopy with excitation at 488 nm. Free epirubicin and HMNP–epirubicin both entered MGH-U1 cells and even nuclei, but HMNP–epirubicin appeared to be taken up by an endocytotic pathway, thus avoiding the effects of P-glycoprotein pumps and enhancing
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its intracellular concentration by 27.3% ± 4.2% (n = 50) relative to free epirubicin. After 8 hours of incubation, HMNP–epirubicin was still detectable in the nuclei and was dispersed evenly within the cytoplasm, possibly because of its release from lysosomes and endosomes. MGH-U1R cells usually resist the presence of epirubicin in nuclei, limiting treatment. Wheat germ agglutinin, which is known to inhibit nuclear transport, can be used to inhibit export from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, resulting in a low concentration of epirubicin remaining in the nuclei. Strikingly, HMNP–epirubicin was capable of passing through the nuclear membrane and stayed in the nuclei even in the absence of pretreatment with wheat germ agglutinin, indicating a reversal of the NDR effect (Figure 6A–D). Transmission electron microscope images confirmed that large quantities of HMNP–epirubicin passed through cell membranes of MGH-U1 cells via an endocytotic pathway, entered the nuclei by binding to importin (or proteasomes), and then remained in the nuclei of MGH-U1R cells (Figure 6E and F).

In contrast to HMNPs, free epirubicin and HMNP–epirubicin were both toxic to MGH-U1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. The IC50 of HMNP–epirubicin was 3.1 µg/mL, which was lower than that of free epirubicin (3.7 µg/mL) due to the elimination of the P-glycoprotein pump effect and better stability. The IC50 value of HMNP–epirubicin was significantly further reduced to only 1.9 µg/mL when applying magnetic targeting. Thus, magnetic targeting has the potential to significantly improve the therapeutic concentration and reduce the total amount of drug required. In the case of NDR, free epirubicin failed to inhibit the growth of MGH-U1R cells at concentrations ≥ 14.5 µg/mL. The IC50 value of HMNP–epirubicin was 10.1 µg/mL lower than that of free epirubicin (12.2 µg/mL) in cells pretreated with 0.5 mg/mL wheat germ agglutinin. This value was significantly

Figure 6 Fluorescence and phase contrast images of (A) MGH-U1 cells; (B) MGH-U1R cells exposed to 6 µg/mL of free epirubicin; (C) MGH-U1R cells exposed to 6 µg/mL of free epirubicin with pretreatment of 0.5 mg/mL wheat germ agglutinin for 24 hours; and (D) MGH-U1R cells exposed to high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle–epirubicin with effective epirubicin concentration of 6 µg/mL. Transmission electron microscope images of (E) MGH-U1 cells and (F) MGH-U1R cells exposed to high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle–epirubicin. Note: Arrows denote high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle–epirubicin.

Figure 7 (A) In vivo imaging of high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle–epirubicin distribution in hypodermic tumors before (left) and after magnetic targeting (right, 0.4 T). In vivo T2-weighted images of representative mice at the beginning (top) and the endpoint (28 days after treatment, bottom) for (B) control, (C) free epirubicin, (D) high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle–epirubicin/magnetic targeting. (E) Survival plots of the animal experiments. (F) Blood biochemistry analysis at 21 days after injection of high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticles. Abbreviations: HMNP, high-magnetization magnetic nanoparticle; MT, magnetic targeting.
further reduced to 6.7 μg/mL when magnetic targeting was combined with HMNP–epirubicin (Figure 3D).

The efficacy of free epirubicin or MNP–epirubicin treatment was further evaluated in mice with hypodermic tumors introduced by injection of MGH-U1R cells. Treatment with free epirubicin failed to show significant growth inhibition of NDR tumors compared to controls. Impressively, treatment with MNP–epirubicin combined with magnetic targeting therapy for 36 hours provided an effective means of controlling tumor progression, concentrating about 25.7% (45.6 μg/mouse) of the initial injected dose of epirubicin (177.2 μg/mouse) in the tumor (Figure 7A). Over a 28-day period, the tumor was completely eliminated in treated mice (effective epirubicin of 5.8 mg/kg by magnetic targeting of MNP–epirubicin) by just two injections (one every week), compared with a 441.7% ± 39.9% tumor increase in untreated controls and a 420.7% ± 54.1% tumor increase for free epirubicin (17.4 μg/kg) (Figure 7B–D). Survival was significantly prolonged (>70 days) in animals receiving MNP–epirubicin with 36 hours of magnetic targeting treatment, compared to shorter survival in other groups (median survival: 35–42 days; Figure 7E). Blood biochemistry analyses confirmed that neither liver nor renal functions were affected even 21 days after injection of the highest dose of HMNPs (Figure 7F). Thus magnetic drug delivery not only exploits the advantages of magnetic targeting but also significantly reverses NDR to inhibit tumor growth at lower doses and reduce serious side effects (ie, cardiotoxicity) of chemotherapeutic drugs.

Conclusion and future directions

Although recent advances have demonstrated the feasibility of using targeted MNPs for tumor imaging and therapy, new methods and strategies are needed to further develop tumor-targeted imaging probes. Future MNPs need to have high specificity and sensitivity, have prolonged circulation in blood, and eventually be metabolized. Here, the broad potential of MNPs for drug delivery, cancer therapy, diagnosis and imaging, and separation were reviewed. However, some of these strategies will need to be combined to maximize efficacy and allow the widespread use of MNPs to treat any carcinoma. For example, for brain tumors, FUS – to locally enhance blood–brain barrier disruption and delivery of therapeutic MNPs – can be integrated with a novel magnetic targeting approach so that drug delivery proceeds through both passive and active diffusion. Magnets need to be placed externally to provide magnetic targeting after FUS exposure, and they significantly enhance the active attraction of therapeutically active MNPs by at least an order of concentration. In other kinds of tumors, therapeutic MNPs could be combined with a specific biomarker, ligand, or antibody to produce dual-targeted nanomedicine and further optimize MNP-mediated cancer treatment. In addition, chemotherapeutic MNPs can be delivered intravenously rather than intraarterially or by direct tumor injection, which makes the treatment more practical in a clinical setting.

Despite their tremendous promise, further translation of MNPs to clinical applications will require several outstanding issues to be addressed in a comprehensive manner as part of preclinical and clinical studies. The long-term effects of MNPs need to be investigated in detail. Concerns associated with long-term tissue damage, toxicity, carcinogenesis, immunogenicity, and inflammation need to be addressed to optimize the structure and design of MNPs.

MNPs have demonstrated tremendous promise as theranostics for the detection and treatment of cancer. MNPs should be further investigated and smart MNPs need to be explored with the aim of creating successful nanobiotechnology in biochemical and biomedical applications.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, and the National Health Research Institute for financial support (NSC 100-2221-E-182-005, NSC 100-2120-M-182A-001, CMRDP140041, CMRPG3A0031, CMRPG3A0501, CMRPG3A0531, NHRI-EX100-10004NI). The authors also thank the Molecular Imaging Center, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (Taoyuan, Taiwan) for supporting MRI applications.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


