Effect of cell-penetrating peptide-coated nanostructured lipid carriers on the oral absorption of tripterine
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Purpose: To develop nanostructured-lipid carriers (NLCs) coated with cell-penetrating peptides (CPP) for improving the oral bioavailability of tripterine.

Methods: We prepared CPP-coated tripterine-loaded NLCs (CT-NLCs) by using a solvent evaporation method, and determined their physical properties. In vitro drug release was determined by using a dialysis bag diffusion technique, and intestinal toxicity was evaluated by performing MTT assay using Caco-2 cells. In vivo absorption was studied in an in situ rat intestinal perfusion model, and oral bioavailability was examined in beagles.

Results: The average particle size, zeta potential, and encapsulation efficiency of the optimized CT-NLCs were 126.7 ± 9.2 nm, 28.7 ± 3.4 mV, and 72.64% ± 1.37%, respectively. The CT-NLCs showed a controlled release profile in vitro and had significantly lower intestinal cytotoxicity than the tripterine solution (P < 0.05). The absorption levels of tripterine from the CT-NLCs in the rat duodenum and jejunum were markedly higher than with tripterine-loaded NLCs without the CPP coating (T-NLCs), and with tripterine solution. Pharmacokinetic study showed that the maximum concentration of the CT-NLCs was greater than that of the T-NLCs and tripterine suspension, and that the time to maximum concentration of the CT-NLCs was longer than that of the tripterine suspension. The relative oral bioavailability of the CT-NLCs compared to that of tripterine suspension and T-NLCs were 484.75% and 149.91% respectively.

Conclusion: The oral bioavailability of tripterine is dramatically increased by CT-NLCs. Therefore, CT-NLCs seem to be a promising carrier for oral delivery of tripterine.
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Introduction

Tripterine, also known as celastrol, is isolated from the thunder god vine (Tripterygium wilfordii Hook f.). Tripterine is generally used to treat autoimmune diseases, allergic asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, and neurodegenerative disease. Recently, tripterine has attracted great interest because it is a natural proteasome inhibitor that exhibits promising effects in human malignancies. However, tripterine is poorly soluble and is not readily absorbed into the bloodstream, and therefore has low oral bioavailability. At the same time, oral administration of large doses of tripterine can have severe side effects on the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), kidneys, and reproductive system. To overcome these deficiencies, formulations that improve the oral absorption of tripterine in the GIT and reduce its side effects, are required.

Nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) are a new generation of lipid nanoparticles produced by the controlled mixing of solid lipids with spatially incompatible liquid...
lipids, which lead to special nanostructures.\textsuperscript{11} NLCs loaded with poorly water-soluble drugs (eg, etoposide) can improve their oral bioavailability\textsuperscript{12} and reduce the side effects of these drugs.\textsuperscript{13} Previously, we showed that NLCs can improve the dissolution properties of tripterine and promote its intestinal absorption.\textsuperscript{14} However, the absorption of lipid nanoparticles continued to be limited, only occurring via the transcellular pathway by M cells in Peyer’s patches or by pinocytosis in the GIT.\textsuperscript{15}

Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) are short and mostly cationic and/or amphiphilic peptides that can transport micromolecules and macromolecules, including nanoparticles, across cell membranes.\textsuperscript{16} CPPs are rich in basic amino acids such as arginine, lysine, and leucine, and are thought to be absorbed predominantly via endocytosis and translocation.\textsuperscript{17} These peptides are capable of internalizing electrostatically or covalently bound, biologically active cargoes such as several proteins,\textsuperscript{18} oligonucleotides,\textsuperscript{19} solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs),\textsuperscript{20} and liposomes\textsuperscript{21} with high efficiency and low toxicity.\textsuperscript{22,23} One study showed that CPP-coated SLNs could be a promising per-oral carrier for oral administration of insulin.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore, we speculated that CPPs might be useful for facilitating the delivery of tripterine-loaded NLCs (T-NLCS) in enterocytes.

The objective of our study was to improve the oral bioavailability of tripterine by developing CPP-coated T-NLCs (CT-NLCS). Ste-R, a new kind of CPP, was used for its lipophilic character, high stability, and lack of hygroscopicity. We prepared and characterized CT-NLCs and T-NLCs and then compared their cytotoxicity, cellular uptake, intestinal absorption, and pharmacokinetic behavior. We speculated that the oral bioavailability of tripterine might be further increased by using a CT-NLC formulation, and that CT-NLCs might be a promising oral delivery system for tripterine.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**
Tripterine (purity > 99%) was purchased from ZeLang Medicine Technology Co, Ltd (Nanjing, China). Prednisolone (purity > 99%) was purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). Precirol ATO-5 (solid lipid) and Labrafil M 1944CS (liquid lipid) were obtained from Gattefossé (Lyon, France). Poloxamer 188 (Pluronic F68, F68), Hanks’ balanced salt solution (powder form), d-α-tocopherol polyethylene glycol succinate 1000, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and dimethyl sulfoxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Soybean lecithin (SLT) was supplied by Shanghai Advanced Vehicle Technology Co (Shanghai, China). Dialysis bags (molecular weight cutoff 8–14 kDa) were supplied by Union Carbide Corp (Chicago, IL). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and were used without additional purification. Ste-R\textsubscript{L\textsubscript{2}} (Ste-RRRRRL, purity > 97%) was synthesized by GL Biochem Ltd (Shanghai, China) by a solid-phase synthesis method. All reagents were of analytical or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade. Double-distilled water was prepared in our laboratory.

**Animals and cell lines**
Caco-2 cells were donated by Dr Ming Hu from the University of Houston (Houston, TX). Cells were grown at 37°C in culture medium composed of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum, 1% non-essential amino acids, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.

Male Sprague–Dawley rats weighing 200–250 g were obtained from the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). Beagles weighing 9–11 kg were obtained from the Animal Center of the Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Chinese Medicine (Nanjing, China). The animal experiment protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Chinese Medicine.

**Preparation of NLCs**
T-NLCs were prepared by solvent evaporation. The composition of the T-NLC dispersion is presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Compositions of the different NLC formulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formulation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-NLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** NLC, nanostructured lipid carrier; T-NLC, tripterine-loaded NLC; CT-NLC, cell-penetrating peptide-coated T-NLC; SLT, soybean lecithin; TPGS, d-α-tocopherol polyethylene glycol succinate 1000; n/a, not applicable.
Precirol ATO-5 and Labrafil M 1944CS (3:1, w/w) were selected as the solid and liquid matrices, respectively, to form NLCs. SLT and d-α-tocopherol polyethylene glycol succinate 1000 were used as emulsifiers.\(^{25}\) Lipids, emulsifiers, and tripterine were dissolved in 12 mL of an organic-phase acetone–alcohol mixture (3:1, v/v) to form the oil phase. The aqueous phase was prepared by dispersing the surfactant (F68, 0.5 wt%) in 50 mL double-distilled water. The oil and aqueous phases were maintained in a water bath at 60°C. At this temperature, the oil phase was rapidly injected into the stirred aqueous phase (1000 rpm). The resulting suspension was continually stirred at 60°C for 4 hours at 400 rpm. After the organic solvents were removed, the nanoemulsion was stirred in an ice bath for 2 hours to stabilize the T-NLCs. The T-NLCs were then harvested and stored at 4°C.

For the CT-NLC dispersions, different amounts of Ste-R\(_\alpha\)-L\(_2\) were added to 50 mL of 0.5 wt% F68 solution to form the aqueous phase; the other procedures were the same as those for the preparation of T-NLCs. We prepared three CT-NLC formulations with different CPP-to-tripterine ratios: 1:2, 2:2, and 3:2.

**Characterization of CT-NLCs and T-NLCs**

**Particle size and zeta potential**

The particle size and polydispersity index (PDI) of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs were measured by photon correlation spectroscopy (Zetasizer Nano ZS ZEN3600; Malvern Instruments Ltd, Worcestershire, UK) at 25°C under a fixed angle of 90° in polystyrene cuvettes. The zeta potential was analyzed using the Nano-ZS Zetasizer by electrophoretic light scattering. The samples were placed in the measurement cells. Each measurement was performed in triplicate.

**Entrapment efficiency**

Ultrafiltration was used to assay the entrapment efficiency (%EE). Briefly, 0.5 mL of an undiluted sample was placed in the inner chamber of a centrifuge tube matched with an ultrafilter (molecular weight cutoff 12 kDa; Pall Corp, Port Washington, NY). The filter assembly was then centrifuged at 5000 rpm (~3000 g) for 15 minutes. Encapsulated tripterine remained in the inner chamber, whereas the ultrafiltrate containing unloaded tripterine moved to the outer chamber through the filter membrane. The amount of tripterine present in the outer chamber (\(W_{\text{free}}\)) was determined by HPLC. Further, an equal volume of tripterine-loaded NLC dispersion was dissolved and diluted with methanol. Then, the total tripterine content in the resultant solution (\(W_{\text{total}}\)) was determined by HPLC. The %EE was calculated using the following equation:\(^{26}\)

\[
\%\text{EE} = \frac{W_{\text{total}} - W_{\text{free}}}{W_{\text{total}}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

For all HPLC analyses, the HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) consisted of a binary pump, an autosampler, and a diode array detector. An Agilent SB-C18 column (4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 μm) was used as the stationary phase. Tripterine was determined by HPLC at 426 nm. The mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile–0.4% phosphoric acid (80:20, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

Morphology of the nanoparticle formulation was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Technai 12; Royal Philips Electronics, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). After diluting the formulations with double-distilled water, a drop of sample was placed onto a copper grid coated with carbon film followed by negative staining with 2% phosphotungstic acid. All samples were air dried before the TEM observations.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

Recrystallization index was evaluated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC 204; Netzsch, Selb, Germany). The samples for thermal analysis were as follows: (1) tripterine; (2) CPP; (3) physical mixture I (Precirol ATO-5, Labrafil M 1944CS, SLT, DPGS, and F68); (4) physical mixture II (Precirol ATO-5, Labrafil M 1944CS, SLT, DPGS, F68, tripterine, and CPP); (5) T-NLCs; and (6) CT-NLCs. All samples were freeze-dried before the measurements. Each sample (10 mg) was placed in an aluminum pan, and an empty pan was used as the reference. During the scanning process, a heating rate of 10°C/min was applied over a range of 25°C–300°C. Each measurement was performed in triplicate.

**In vitro drug-release assay**

A dialysis bag diffusion technique was utilized to compare the in vitro drug release of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs. A sample (2 mL) of each formulation was placed into a dialysis bag with a molecular weight cutoff of 8–14 kDa. Each bag was immersed in 20 mL release medium (20% ethanol in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4), which was used to maintain appropriate sink conditions. The medium was stirred at 100 rpm and maintained at 37°C ± 0.5°C. At 2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 18, 24, 36, and 48 hours; a 1 mL dispersion sample was withdrawn and replaced with the same volume of fresh medium so that a constant volume...
was maintained. The amount of in vitro drug release was determined by HPLC as described earlier. The experiments were performed in triplicate for each formulation.

**MTT assay**

We performed MTT assay to investigate in vitro intestinal toxicity of the antiparticle formulations; we used Caro-2 cells for this assay. Caco-2 cells were seeded at $4 \times 10^4$ cells/well into 96-well culture plates and were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours before the assay. The cells were divided into three treatment groups: those treated with (a) CT-NLCs, (b) T-NLCs, and (c) tripterine solution (dimethyl sulfoxide < 0.1%). In each group, 10 µL of test solution was added to each well, and the cells were exposed to a series of doses (0.1–2.0 µg/mL). After 24 hours of incubation, 20 µL of MTT (5 mg/mL) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for another 4 hours. After removing the culture medium, 100 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to dissolve the contents in the plate. Then, the absorbance was measured at 570 and 630 nm (reference wavelength) using a microplate reader (Multiskan MK3; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The experiments were performed in triplicate. The drug concentration that caused 50% inhibition (IC$_{50}$) was calculated by plotting the percentage of cell survival as a function of the drug concentration using SPSS 17.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) with the probit model.

**Evaluation of cellular uptake**

Caco-2 cells ($5 \times 10^5$) were grown in 25 cm$^2$ plastic flasks at 37°C. Once the bottom of the flask was completely covered by a dense layer of cells, the medium was discarded and the cells were washed three times with sterile phosphate-buffered saline. Then, the cells were treated with 6 mL of CT-NLC, and T-NLC formulations (1 µg/mL) diluted in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium. After incubation for 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours, the cells were washed three more times with phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2), and were removed from the culture flasks using disposable cell scrapers. The cells were lysed by placing into the abdominal cavity, avoiding crimping or kinking. Perfusion was performed using an infusion pump (PHD 2000; Harvard Apparatus, Inc, Holliston, MA) at a flow rate of 0.15 mL/min with 20 µM of the drug in a Hanks’ balanced salt solution solution (pH 7.4). To maintain the temperature of the perfusate constant, the inlet cannulate was insulated and kept warm by a circulating water bath at 37°C. After a 30-minute washout with the perfusate, perfusate samples from the four sites were collected every 30 minutes for up to 2 hours. Once the perfusion was complete, the length of each intestinal segment was measured and each sample-containing tube was weighed. The outlet concentrations of tripterine in the perfusate were determined by HPLC.

Effective permeability ($P_{eff}$) and the percent absorption of 10 cm of intestine (10 cm%ABS) are parameters representing the intestinal membrane permeability. $P_{eff}$ and 10 cm%ABS of the compounds were calculated using the following equations:

$$P_{eff} = \frac{1 - C_m / C_o}{4G_s} \quad (3)$$

$$10 \text{ cm%ABS} = \frac{(1 - Q_m / Q_o) \times 10}{VL} \quad (4)$$

where $C_o$ and $C_m$ are the inlet and outlet concentrations, respectively; $G_s$, or Graetz number ($G_s = \pi DL/2R$), is a scaling factor that incorporates flow rate ($R$), intestinal length ($L$), and diffusion coefficients (D) to make permeability dimensionless; $Q_m$ and $Q_o$ are the inlet and outlet drug contents, respectively; $V$ is related to flow rate ($R$) and water flux. $C_m$ was adjusted for water flux.

**Pharmacokinetic study in beagles**

Six healthy beagles, fasted but with free access to water for 12 hours before the experiment, were used in the study. We performed a three-period randomized crossover study. The washout period between consecutive treatment schedules was 1 week. The dogs were orally administered three test
formulations: tripterine suspension (tripterine dispersed in 5% sodium carboxymethyl cellulose solution), CT-NLCs, and T-NLCs. The dosage of tripterine was 1.5 mg/kg. After administration, blood samples (~2 mL) were collected from the forelimb vein of the dogs at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours. Plasma samples were harvested by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and were stored at −20°C until analysis.

The plasma samples were prepared by mixing 500 μL of plasma with 20 μL of the internal standard (prednisolone, 2.5 μg/mL). The mixture was extracted with 2 mL of dichloromethane and 120 seconds of vortexing. After 10 minutes of centrifugation at 3000 rpm, the organic layer was transferred to another clean tube and extracted again. The resulting organic layer was evaporated under nitrogen at 40°C. The residue was reconstituted with 100 μL of the mobile phase by vortexing for 5 minutes, and 20 μL of the solution was analyzed by HPLC. Calibration curve was constructed by using the peak–area ratio (tripterine peak area to the internal standard peak area) to the concentration (C, μg/mL), and it provided a reliable response from 0.05–2.0 μg/mL. The calibration curve was A = 0.0074C−0.0778 (r = 0.9981). The lower limit of quantification for the determination of tripterine in dog plasma was 25 μg/L. Both the intra- and interday precisions were <8%. The mean recovery of tripterine at low, medium, and high concentrations (0.05, 0.20, and 1.0 μg/mL, respectively) exceeded 60% (range, 64.25%–68.16%). The main pharmacokinetic parameters were acquired by noncompartmental pharmacokinetic analysis using a pharmacokinetic program (DAS 2.1.1; Mathematical Pharmacology Professional Committee of China, Shanghai, China).

The area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) was determined by the trapezoidal method. The relative bioavailability of each formulation was calculated using the following formula:

\[ F_{rel} = \frac{AUC_t \times D_r}{AUC_r \times D_t} \times 100 \tag{5} \]

where \( F_{rel} \) is the relative bioavailability; \( AUC_t \) and \( AUC_r \) represent \( AUC_{0-\infty} \) of the test and reference formulations, respectively, and \( D_t \) and \( D_r \) are the doses of the test and reference formulations, respectively.

### Results

#### Physicochemical characteristics

The particle sizes and surface charges of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs are shown in Table 2. The particle sizes of the CT-NLCs, which varied from 115.9 ± 10.4–188.8 ± 17.1 nm, were consistently greater than those of the T-NLCs, with an average particle size of 102.4 ± 12.8 nm. Before coating with the CPP, the T-NLCs had a zeta potential of −26.2 ± 2.73 mV. The zeta potential of the nanoparticle dispersion was reversed after the CPP coating, and it increased to 13.6 ± 1.2 mV (with 15 mg of coating) and 31.0 ± 2.3 mV (with 45 mg of coating). The PDIs of the CT-NLCs with different amounts of the CPP, ranged from 0.142 ± 0.032–0.196 ± 0.037, and were below 0.2; the T-NLCs had a PDI of 0.129 ± 0.028. The %EEs of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs were about 70%, ranging from 69.10%–74.64%. Comparison of the zeta potentials, PDIs, and %EEs of the three CT-NLC formulations indicated that CT-NLC-2 was the most promising formulation. Therefore, CT-NLC-2 was selected as the optimized formulation for further studies.

#### Morphology and crystal form

TEM showed that all the T-NLC and CT-NLC particles had spherical or ellipsoidal shapes and did not stick to each other (Figure 1). The DSC curves of tripterine, CPP, physical mixture I, physical mixture II, T-NLCs, and CT-NLC lyophilized powder are shown in Figure 2. Thermograms showed two melting peaks for tripterine (175.7°C and 211.4°C) and the CPP.

### Table 2 Particle size, zeta potential, and entrapment efficiency of the T-NLC and CT-NLC formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>CPP/tripterine ratio</th>
<th>Average size (nm)</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>EE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-NLC</td>
<td>0:1</td>
<td>102.4 ± 12.8</td>
<td>−(26.2 ± 2.7)</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.028</td>
<td>74.64 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-1</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>115.9 ± 10.4</td>
<td>(13.6 ± 1.2)</td>
<td>0.187 ± 0.041</td>
<td>73.76 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-2</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>126.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>(28.7 ± 3.4)</td>
<td>0.142 ± 0.032</td>
<td>72.64 ± 1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLC-3</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>188.8 ± 17.1</td>
<td>(31.0 ± 2.3)</td>
<td>0.196 ± 0.037</td>
<td>69.10 ± 2.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data represent means ± standard deviation (SD).

**Abbreviations:** T-NLC, tripterine-loaded nanostructured lipid carrier; CT-NLC, CPP-coated T-NLC; CPP, cell-penetrating peptides; PDI, polydispersity index; EE, entrapment efficiency.
(164.5°C and 253.9°C). The thermogram of physical mixture I showed peaks caused by the superposition of the separated component curves at 40.9°C and 66.5°C. The melting peak of tripterine at 211.4°C was also detected in physical mixture II; however, no melting peaks appeared in the mixture of tripterine with either T-NLCs or CT-NLCs. These results suggest that the drug was incorporated in the nanoparticle matrix in its amorphous or disordered, crystalline phase.

In vitro drug release
The in vitro release profiles of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs are shown in Figure 3. After 24 hours, 40.41% and 44.84% of tripterine was released from the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs, respectively. By the end of the entire treatment period (48 hours), the percentage of released tripterine (Q) reached 65.40% for the T-NLCs and 57.30% for the CT-NLCs. Tripterine release followed the Higuchi equation and could be expressed as follows: Q = 0.1031t^{1/2} − 0.1172 (r = 0.9974) and Q = 0.1158t^{1/2} − 0.1303 (r = 0.9987) for the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs, respectively.

In vitro cytotoxicity
We determined the in vitro cytotoxicity of tripterine in CT-NLCs or T-NLCs formulation and compared it with that of tripterine solution by cell viability experiment. The results of cell viability and IC_{50} are shown in Figure 4 and Table 3, respectively. The viability of Caco-2 cells decreased significantly with an increase in the concentrations of the tripterine solutions, T-NLCs, and CT-NLCs. At the same dose, the viability of cells treated with
CT-NLCs was lower than that of the cells treated with T-NLCs, but was markedly higher than the viability of cells treated with tripterine solution ($P < 0.05$). A substantial decrease was noted in the viability of cells treated with tripterine especially at a high concentration (2 $\mu$g/mL, 92.20% dead) compared with those treated with the CT-NLCs (77.76% dead) and T-NLCs (70.30% dead). The $IC_{50}$ values of the tripterine solution, T-NLCs, and CT-NLCs were 0.316 ± 0.037 $\mu$g/mL, 1.171 ± 0.098 $\mu$g/mL, and 0.885 ± 0.094 $\mu$g/mL, respectively. The $IC_{50}$ values of CT-NLCs and T-NLCs were higher than those of the tripterine solution ($P < 0.05$).

### Cellular uptake

Uptake of tripterine from the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs by Caco-2 cells is shown in Figure 5. Uptake of tripterine was slightly, but consistently, higher from the CT-NLCs (32.48%, 53.84%, 65.62%, and 73.11%) than from the T-NLCs at all four time points (27.93%, 43.58%, 65.83%, and 65.83% after 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours, respectively).

### Intestinal absorption

The $P_{eff}^*$ and 10 cm%ABS values of the CT-NLCs, T-NLCs, and tripterine solution are shown in Figure 6. These values indicated that the absorption of tripterine from the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs in the four intestinal segments was better than that from the tripterine solution. The $P_{eff}^*$ of the CT-NLCs was 1.115 ± 0.137, 1.193 ± 0.206, 0.304 ± 0.038, and 0.197 ± 0.075 in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon, respectively. These values were 2.8- and 2.9-fold higher than those of the tripterine solution, and approximately 1.5 times higher than those of the T-NLCs in the duodenum and jejunum. Moreover, the absorption of the CT-NLCs, T-NLCs, and tripterine solution was better in the duodenum and jejunum than in the ileum and colon. Similar to the results of $P_{eff}^*$, the 10 cm%ABS values of the CT-NLCs in the duodenum and jejunum were 3.0- and 3.3-fold higher than those of the tripterine solution (Figure 6) and approximately 1.5 times higher than those of the T-NLCs.
Oral bioavailability

We observed a remarkable increase in the plasma concentration of tripterine after treatment with CT-NLCs. The pharmacokinetic parameters are listed in Table 4. The mean tripterine plasma concentration versus time plots of the T-NLCs, CT-NLCs, and tripterine suspension are shown in Figure 7. The maximum plasma concentration of the CT-NLCs (483.02 ± 15.38 µg/L) was greater than that of the tripterine suspension (223.73 ± 31.55 µg/L) and T-NLCs (305.02 ± 35.40 µg/L). The time to maximum plasma concentration (T_{max}) of tripterine (0.96 ± 0.10 hours) was the shortest followed by that of CT-NLCs (1.67 ± 0.58 hours) and T-NLCs (2.33 ± 0.58 hours). The elimination half life of the CT-NLCs (3.09 ± 0.54 hours) and T-NLCs (3.85 ± 0.94 hours) was longer than that of the tripterine suspension (1.60 ± 0.13 hours). The mean residence time of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs were approximately 2.5 times higher than that of the tripterine suspension (P < 0.05). The AUC_{(0-t)} of the CT-NLCs was 4.8-fold higher than that of the tripterine suspension, and 1.5-fold higher than that of the T-NLCs. The relative bioavailability of tripterine from CT-NLCs and T-NLCs was 484.75% and 309.25% compared with that from the tripterine suspension, respectively, and the relative bioavailability of tripterine from CT-NLCs was 149.91%, compared with that from T-NLCs.

Table 4 Pharmacokinetic parameters of tripterine after oral administration of the tripterine suspension, T-NLCs, and CT-NLCs in beagles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>C_{max} (µg/L)</th>
<th>T_{max} (h)</th>
<th>t_{1/2} (h)</th>
<th>AUC_{(0-t)} (µg/L.h)</th>
<th>AUC_{(0-∞)} (µg/L.h)</th>
<th>MRT (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tripterine</td>
<td>223.73 ± 31.55</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.13</td>
<td>482.45 ± 57.52</td>
<td>625.75 ± 66.39</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-NLCs</td>
<td>305.02 ± 35.40</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.58</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.94</td>
<td>1560.05 ± 58.50</td>
<td>1791.97 ± 57.10</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-NLCs</td>
<td>483.02 ± 15.38</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.58</td>
<td>3.09 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2338.68 ± 217.80</td>
<td>2568.02 ± 343.40</td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: T-NLCs, tripterine-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers; CT-NLCs, cell-penetrating peptide-coated T-NLCs; C_{max}, maximum plasma concentration; T_{max}, time to C_{max}; t_{1/2}, elimination half-life; AUC_{(0-t)}, area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to t hours; AUC_{(0-∞)}, area under the concentration-time curve from 0 hours to infinity; MRT, mean residence time.

Discussion

CPPs are useful tools in developing lipid nanoparticles. Ste-R_{L_2}, is a kind of CPP, which has short amino acid sequences that are mainly composed of arginine and leucine. Ste-R_{L_2} has cationic properties and thus it can easily adhere to the NLC surfaces on the basis of electrostatic interactions. In the current study, the zeta potential of the nanoparticle dispersion was reversed after coating with the CPP. The findings of Zhang et al were similar to ours in that the positive value of zeta potential of SLNs loaded with insulin and R_{8} (R_{8}-Ins-SLNs) confirmed that a certain amount of R_{8} (a type of CPPs) molecule or cationic group of R_{8} molecule was exposed on the surface of the SLNs. In addition, the stearyl group of Ste-R_{8} can attach to the N-terminal of the peptide and act as an anchor to the lipid surface of the nanoparticles, which leaves the Ste-R_{L_2} freely attached. In this study, CPP-coated NLCs were successfully developed, and they increased the intestinal absorption and oral bioavailability of tripterine.

Particle size, PDI, and zeta potential are important physicochemical properties associated with the stability and acceptability of a drug delivery system. The particle size of the CT-NLCs increased with different amounts of the CPP coating, but the CPP/tripterine ratios of 1:2 and 2:2 led to only a slight increase in the particle size. Surface modification of nanoparticles was finally assessed by zeta potential. The negative zeta potential of the T-NLCs was slightly lower than that in the T-NLCs, but no

Figure 7 Plasma concentration profile of tripterine after the oral administration of the CT-NLCs, T-NLCs, and tripterine in beagles (n = 6).

Abbreviations: T-NLCs, tripterine-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers; CT-NLCs, cell-penetrating peptide-coated T-NLCs.
significant changes were noted for CT-NLC-1 and CT-NLC-2. On the basis of the zeta potentials, PDIs, and %EEs of the three CT-NLC formulations, CT-NLC-2 was selected as the optimized formulation because of its small size and good stability.

The in vitro drug-release results indicated that tripterine release from the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs followed the Higuchi equation, and a slightly slower release speed was obtained with the CT-NLCs. This implied that tripterine could be released slowly from both formulations and be maintained at a constant concentration for a relatively long period. The delayed release process might be attributed to the drug lipophilicity, high hydrophobicity of the lipid matrix, and/or prevention of drug diffusion from the NLCs by the lipid matrix. The slightly slower release of tripterine from the CT-NLCs than from the T-NLCs may be due to particle size; in particular, the increased size of the CT-NLC particles may have been associated with a smaller specific surface area of nanoparticles, which may have led to a decreased release rate.

The results of the MTT assay indicated that cytotoxicity was dose dependent over a range of drug concentrations. In terms of IC_{50}, the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs greatly decreased the cytotoxicity of tripterine. The results showed that the NLC formulations could decrease the intestinal toxicity of tripterine and reduce its side effects in the GIT. The reason for the low cytotoxicity could be that tripterine in both the formulations was completely associated with nanoparticles and released in a controlled manner over 24 hours. The cellular uptake of the CT-NLCs was higher than that of the T-NLCs. This result could be related to the properties of the CPP, which is composed mainly of arginine and leucine residues, which confer a positive charge to the nanoparticles; nanoparticle uptake is enhanced by interactions between the CPP and the negatively charged components of the cell membrane. Although the mechanism(s) involved in the transport of CPPs and their cargos across endomembranes are still poorly understood, two major mechanisms have been mainly considered: endosomal pathways consisting of two steps, endocytotic entry followed by endosomal escape; and direct cell membrane penetration. We speculate that CPPs of Ste-R-L_{2}, by interacting with the cell membrane and destabilizing the bilayer, may be capable of carrying the nanoparticles across by endocytotic entry or direct cell membrane penetration. The high cellular uptake resulted in slightly higher cytotoxicity of the CT-NLCs than of the T-NLCs.

The intestinal absorption of the CT-NLCs and T-NLCs was markedly higher than that of the tripterine solution. This result indicated that the NLCs clearly enhanced the absorption of tripterine in the intestine, and this effect was especially improved when the particles were coated with the CPP. The low absorption of tripterine may be attributable to its poor water solubility, and the physicochemical barrier formed by the GIT and intestinal epithelium. Therefore, the improved absorption of tripterine in the T-NLCs and CT-NLCs could be explained as follows: a smaller particle size enables permeation of the drug across the cell membranes, and/or an affinity exists between the lipid material used here and the cell membrane. The CT-NLCs showed higher absorption than the T-NLCs, and the P_{eff} of tripterine in the duodenum and jejunum was >1, which indicated good absorption of the CT-NLCs. CPPs have been successfully used in both cell cultures and animal models. These results can be explained by the high positive charge density of the CPP, which would have high electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged cell surfaces. This binding facilitates endocytosis and direct penetration. Furthermore, the internalization efficiency of the CPP itself may have affected the intestinal absorption of the CT-NLCs, so that the CT-NLCs could be internalized into the epithelium via an energy-dependent pathway, which could enhance the intestinal absorption of NLCs.

The enhanced intestinal absorption led to a dramatic increase in the oral bioavailability of the CT-NLCs. The AUC_{(0-t)} values indicated the enhanced effects of the CT-NLCs on the oral absorption of tripterine. Moreover, a prolonged T_{max} is generally believed to be responsible for the slow release of a drug from nanoparticles. The CT-NLCs had a large T_{max} and could avoid the initial burst of tripterine as well as prolong the dose interval, which could possibly reduce the clinical side effects of tripterine. In previous studies, NLCs have been used to enhance the half-life and solubility of drugs. However, the absorption of nanoparticles continued to be limited only via the transcellular pathway by M cells in Peyer’s patches or by pinocytosis. When we combined NLCs with CPPs, the bioavailability was improved. This might be because of the various favorable characteristics of CPPs, especially the cationic nature. CPPs have been used to enhance the delivery of various types of nanocarriers, both in cell culture and in animal models. CPPs might bind to electrostatic membrane constituents, such as anionic lipids and glycosaminoglycans. Then, their cargos might travel across membranes by endocytosis or direct penetration, to increase bioavailability of drug. Although the mechanism of the CPP-linked NLCs on enhanced oral bioavailability has not been elucidated at this stage of study, a few assumptions have been made about the absorption mechanisms of the CT-NLCs.
Multiple mechanisms, rather than a single one, including enhanced contraction with cell surfaces, transportation of CPP-linked nanoparticles across cell membranes, and enhanced absorption through the lipid digestion process, may contribute to enhanced oral bioavailability of tripterine.

Conclusion
A novel CT-NLC formulation was prepared; the characteristics of this formulation indicate that it has great potential to improve the oral delivery of poorly soluble drugs. Results showed that CT-NLCs could remarkably enhance the absorption and bioavailability of tripterine, which indicated that CT-NLCs are superior to T-NLCs in improving the oral bioavailability of tripterine, and are a promising system for oral delivery of tripterine.
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