Disparity in risk factor pattern in premature versus late-onset coronary artery disease: a survey of 15,381 patients
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Introduction

While the majority of patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) are elderly, the proportion of younger individuals with a premature manifestation of CAD (P-CAD) is continually growing. This is owing to an increase in obesity and diabetes mellitus as well as adverse lifestyles (including smoking and physical inactivity) even in children and young adults.1,2 Premature CAD is defined as the first manifestation of CAD in male patients under 55 years of age and in female patients under 65 years of age.2

Background: There are few data available regarding the specificity and modifiability of major cardiovascular (CV) risk factors in patients with premature versus (vs) late-onset coronary artery disease (CAD). This study was designed to analyze and compare these risk factors.

Patients and methods: Data from 15,381 consecutive patients (mean age, 62.3 ± 11.7 years; female, 33.8%) hospitalized with CAD were collected from a large-scale registry (Transparency Registry to Objectify Guideline-Oriented Risk Factor Management) and analyzed. The patients were divided into two groups, depending on age at inclusion: group 1 patients (n = 5725; mean age, 50.5 ± 7.2 years) were males aged < 55 years and females aged < 65 years; group 2 patients (n = 9656; mean age, 69.4 ± 7.4 years) were males aged ≥ 55 years and females aged ≥ 65 years and had a low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level of > 100 mg/dL on admission to cardiac rehabilitation. Besides the conventional risk factors, lipoprotein(a) concentrations and glucose tolerance were measured facultatively. Univariate (chi-square test) and multivariate logistic regression models were used.

Results: Cigarette smoking (group 1 at 31.5% vs group 2 at 9.4%; P < 0.001), family history of CAD (group 1 at 43.6% vs group 2 at 26.5%; P < 0.001), and dyslipidemia (group 1 at 92.7% vs group 2 at 91.8%; P < 0.001) were dominant risk factors in the younger group. Arterial hypertension (group 1 at 71.4% vs group 2 at 87.0%; P < 0.001) and diabetes (group 1 at 23.5% vs group 2 at 30.1%; P < 0.001) were dominant risk factors in the older group. Impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes were less frequent in the younger group (P trend = 0.038), and identical lipoprotein(a) concentration levels of > 30 mg/dL were found in both groups (8.0%; P = 0.810). Modification of lipid profile and blood pressure was more effective in the younger group (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol < 100 mg/dL: group 1 at 66.3% vs group 2 at 61.1%; systolic blood pressure < 140 mmHg: group 1 at 91.7% vs group 2 at 83.0%; P < 0.001).

Conclusion: CV risk factors differ markedly between premature and non-premature CAD. Cardiac rehabilitation provides an opportunity to reinforce secondary prevention after acute coronary syndrome.
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The cumulative burden of conventional modifiable cardiovascular (CV) risk factors including smoking, atherogenic dyslipidemia, diabetes, and being overweight play a key role in the progression of atherosclerotic vascular damage. Thus, the global risk of genetic, metabolic, and environmental risk factors determines the age of onset of CAD.

The present study was designed to analyze and compare major CV risk factors for patients with P-CAD and those with late-onset CAD. Furthermore, the authors assessed the target value attainment during an inpatient cardiac rehabilitation program and determined the measurement frequency of extended CV risk factors including lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) in the daily routine of German cardiac rehabilitation centers.

Methods
Patients
In total, 15,381 consecutive patients with CAD (mean age, 62.3 ± 11.7 years; 33.8% female) who were hospitalized for inpatient cardiac rehabilitation in 101 German rehabilitation centers were included in a nationwide multicentric longitudinal registry (the Transparency Registry to Objectify Guideline-Oriented Risk Factor Management [TROL]). Patients were divided into two groups, depending on age at inclusion: group 1 had patients with P-CAD (men aged < 55 years, women aged < 65 years); group 2 had patients with late-onset CAD (men aged > 55 years, women aged > 65 years) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels > 100 mg/dL (> 2.6 mmol/L) on admission to a cardiac rehabilitation center.

On admission, anthropometric parameters (age, gender, body mass index), type of coronary index event (ST elevation myocardial infarction [STEMI], non-STEMI, unstable angina, stable angina), and revascularization procedure (percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass grafting [CABG]) or conservative strategy were assessed. Furthermore, comorbidities including peripheral arterial disease, previous stroke, carotid stenosis > 50%, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were documented.

Risk factor assessment and target values
To assess the CV risk profile, total cholesterol, LDL-C, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, triglyceride (TG) level, and arterial blood pressure (BP) were determined in all patients. Lipid levels, BP, and medication were recorded on admission and again on discharge. In respect to medication, the observation comprised beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockers, statins, and cholesterol resorption antagonists.

Furthermore, family history of premature atherosclerosis, smoking behavior, and glucometabolic state (manifest diabetes mellitus) was assessed. Facultatively, the emerging CV risk factors Lp(a) and IGT were determined. IGT was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level of ≥ 100 mg/dL but < 126 mg/dL or a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) plasma level of ≥ 140 mg/dL but < 200 mg/dL. Diabetes was characterized by a fasting plasma glucose level ≥ 126 mg/dL and/or a 2-hour OGTT plasma glucose level of ≥ 200 mg/dL.

The target BP value for patients after acute coronary syndrome (ACS) was < 140/90 mmHg. The target HDL-C levels were > 40 mg/dL (> 1 mmol/L) and the target LDL-C levels were < 100 mg/dL (< 2.6 mmol/L). All patients were to achieve a fasting glucose level of < 126 mg/dL (< 7.0 mmol/L) and a TG level of < 150 mg/dL (< 1.7 mmol/L).

The local laboratories at the respective rehabilitation centers, which are obliged to take part in quality control measures at regular intervals, performed the laboratory tests.

Cardiac rehabilitation program
In Germany, the vast majority of patients at high cardiac risk – in particular, after ACS or CABG – are entitled to undergo inpatient rehabilitation therapy. This is conducted in specialized institutions and usually lasts 3–4 weeks. According to recent guidelines, rehabilitation programs include individualized physical training, disease information, structured teaching programs for reduction of CV risk factors, and psychological support. The protocol of the present study was reviewed and approved by the ethics review board of the Bavarian Chamber of Physicians, Munich.

Statistical analysis
Discrete variables are given as counts and percentages, and continuous variables are given as mean plus or minus standard deviation. Univariate between-group comparisons for nominal variables were performed using chi-square test, Cochran-Armitage trend tests were used for ordinal variables, and estimation of variance (F-test) was used for continuous variables. Logistic regression analysis with backward selection was applied to determine the simultaneous influence of conventional CV risk factors on belonging to the premature or the late-onset CAD group. A nominal P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. No adjustment for multiplicity was performed. Analyses were performed using statistical software (SAS, v 9.2; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).
Results

Group 1 patients had a mean age of 50.5 ± 7.2 years, while the mean age of group 2 patients was 69.4 ± 7.4 years (P < 0.001). Female gender, a higher body mass index, STEMI, non-STEMI, and interventional revascularization during the acute coronary event were found to be more frequent in group 1. Group 2 patients demonstrated a significantly higher proportion of all comorbidities, including peripheral arterial disease, stroke, carotid stenosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and group 2 patients were treated to a greater extent with aortocoronary bypass grafting during the target coronary event. Because of a complex revascularization approach in some patients (primary percutaneous coronary intervention of target vessel and consecutive CABG), the summary of interventions exceeds 100% in both age groups (Table 1).

In univariate analysis, current cigarette smoking and a positive family history of CAD were discovered in a higher proportion in the younger group, whereas arterial hypertension predominated in group 2 (Table 2). On admission, almost a quarter of patients in the younger group offered manifest diabetes mellitus, while every third late-onset patient was diabetic (group 1 at 23.5% versus vs group 2 at 30.1%; P < 0.001). The OGTT was performed in 20.0% of group 1 patients compared with 6.1% of group 2 patients without a documented history of glucometabolic disorder. Of these patients, IGT was identified in every tenth patient (11.2%) in group 1 and in every sixth patient (16.0%) in group 2. Manifest diabetes was identified by OGTT in 2.0% of group 1 patients vs 2.3% of group 2 patients (P trend = 0.038). Lp(a) was determined in 20.2% of group 1 patients and 4.8% of group 2 patients, with identical pathologic levels > 30 mg/dL in both groups (8.0%).

In multivariate analysis, arterial hypertension and diabetes favored late-onset CAD, whereas particularly current and former cigarette smoking as well as family history of CAD were significantly correlated with premature CAD (Figure 1).

### Table 2 Conventional and extended cardiovascular risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 5725)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 9656)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension (%)</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (%)</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker (%)</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history (%)</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGTT+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performed [n (%)]</td>
<td>842 (20.0%)</td>
<td>393 (6.1%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT [n (%)]</td>
<td>94 (11.2)</td>
<td>63 (16.0)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [n (%)]</td>
<td>17 (2.1)</td>
<td>9 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determined Lp(a) (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1154 (20.2)</td>
<td>446 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determined Lp(a) (mg/dL)</td>
<td>90 (7.8)</td>
<td>38 (8.2)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *In patients without documented diabetes; *data presented as mean plus or minus standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a).

### Risk factor management

On admission to cardiac rehabilitation, the mean systolic BP of group 1 patients was lower than that of group 2 patients (group 1 at 129.7 ± 20.6 mmHg vs group 2 at 135.2 ± 21.0 mmHg; P < 0.001), whereas the mean diastolic BP was higher (group 1 at 79.3 ± 11.8 mmHg vs group 2 at 77.7 ± 11.3 mmHg; P < 0.001) in the younger patients. Further BP control was achieved in both age groups (systolic BP: -5.2 mmHg in group 1 and -4.8 mmHg in group 2, P < 0.001; diastolic BP: -10.3 mmHg in group 1 and -12.0 mmHg in group 2, P = 0.07). All lipid fractions could be optimized during cardiac rehabilitation. BP and lipid profile on admission and on discharge are demonstrated in Table 3.
Target value attainment differed significantly between groups. LDL-C levels < 100 mg/dL on discharge were more frequent in group 1, whereas TG levels < 150 mg/dL and HDL-C levels > 40 mg/dL was found to be more frequent in group 2. On discharge from rehabilitation the proportion of systolic BPs below 140 mmHg and diastolic BPs below 90 mmHg was high (Figure 2).

ACE inhibitors (group 1 at 77.1% vs group 2 at 78.9%; \( P = 0.01 \)) were given to a lesser extent in group 1 than in group 2; beta-blockers (group 1 at 92.2% vs group 2 at 88.4%; \( P < 0.001 \)) were given to a greater extent in group 1 than in group 2. The prescription of angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockers was comparably low between both groups (group 1 at 13.4% vs group 2 at 12.5%; \( P = 0.133 \)), 94.7% of group 1 patients vs 89.1% of group 2 patients (\( P < 0.001 \)) received statins, and 39.0% of group 1 patients vs 45.5% of group 2 patients received cholesterol resorption inhibitors (\( P < 0.001 \)).

**Discussion**

There were three important findings from this study. First, based on 15,381 consecutive ACS patients, the authors found considerable difference of CV risk factor pattern between patients with P-CAD and patients with late-onset CAD. Second, in both groups the proportion of patients with diabetes or IGT was notably high; nevertheless, the frequency of routine performance of OGTT in post-ACS patients without known glucometabolic disorder is limited. Finally, cardiac rehabilitation after an acute coronary event is a powerful tool to optimize attainment of target BP values in both age groups. The influence of cardiac rehabilitation is shown predominantly in the younger group, whereas lipid profile, particularly TG and HDL-C levels, is less modifiable during the 3 weeks of cardiac rehabilitation.

**Risk factor pattern of patients with premature vs late-onset CAD**

Corresponding to other data, the authors found a “malignant triad” of smoking, LDL-C-related dyslipidemia, and family history of CAD particularly in younger patients. The proportion of current or former cigarette smokers in group 1 was notable at about 80%, whereas only 49.4% of group 2 patients reported regular current or former tobacco consumption. This indicates an age-dependent divergent importance of the connection between smoking and microvascular damage. Smoking initiates and promotes atherosclerosis by altering cardiac hemodynamics, causing dyslipidemia and increased production of free oxygen radicals by oxidative stress of nicotine. According to the INTERHEART study, cigarette smoking is associated with a higher CV risk than diabetes,

---

**Table 3 Trend of arterial hypertension, lipid profile, and plasma glucose level during cardiac rehabilitation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV risk factor</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
<th>Admission</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR syst (mmHg)</td>
<td>129.7 ± 20.6</td>
<td>135.2 ± 21.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>119.5 ± 13.2</td>
<td>123.3 ± 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR diast (mmHg)</td>
<td>79.3 ± 11.8</td>
<td>77.7 ± 11.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>74.1 ± 8.6</td>
<td>73.0 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>199.8 ± 47.6</td>
<td>215.3 ± 38.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>164.4 ± 33.4</td>
<td>168.5 ± 33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>121.9 ± 38.5</td>
<td>137.6 ± 29.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>91.8 ± 26.6</td>
<td>97.2 ± 26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>42.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>44.7 ± 12.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>43.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>44.8 ± 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>172.8 ± 78.5</td>
<td>157.5 ± 65.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>149.9 ± 66.7</td>
<td>134.3 ± 53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>102.0 ± 27.9</td>
<td>108.6 ± 30.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>99.7 ± 23.1</td>
<td>104.5 ± 22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data other than \( P \)-values are presented as mean plus or minus standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** RR syst, systolic blood pressure; RR diast, diastolic blood pressure; Total-C, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; FG, fasting glucose plasma level.
arterial hypertension, abdominal obesity, and adverse psychosocial factors, and it was outperformed only by a raised apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A-I ratio. Predominantly young smoking women are at risk for premature manifestation of CAD followed by a significant reduction in life expectancy.

Despite this, the results of the three EUROASPIRE surveys demonstrate an increase in smoking rates, particularly in younger female patients, from 1996 to 2007. Panagiotakos et al reported that cigarette smoking was the most important risk factor for having a myocardial infarction in individuals under the age of 36 years, with a sixfold increased risk for reinfarction after the first acute coronary event. In comparison with nonsmokers, cigarette smoking is associated with an average lifetime loss of 10 years. Although an excess risk continues for more than 20 years after smoking cessation, the quitting after CABG gained approximately 3 years’ life expectancy and has shown to be the most important therapeutic approach in the follow-up of surgical revascularization. In 2007, Germany started a smoking restriction in the public and hospitality sectors. After just 1 year, this law implementation was associated with a 13.3% decline in angina pectoris and an 8.6% decline in myocardial infarction, saving approximately 7.7 million Euros in hospitalization costs.

A comparable adverse causal complex is applicable for arterial hypertension, which was found in the majority of younger patients (although to a lesser extent than in older patients). In such a constellation, arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, and being overweight can act as a trigger for the manifestation of CAD, particularly in younger patients.

Besides remediable CV risk parameters, the authors found an impressive proportion of group 1 patients with a positive family history of P-CAD. Andresdottir et al reported that independently of obesity, cholesterol, and BP levels, up to 16.6% of coronary events were attributable to family history of CAD. The importance of family history is considerable, particularly in young women; nevertheless, young women with a genetic background of CAD generally demonstrate less CV risk awareness and worse lifestyle behaviors than those without family history of CAD. A large-scale study including more than 50,000 individuals reported that paternal as well as maternal history of myocardial infarction up to a manifestation age > 80 years is associated with increased CAD risk for the following generation. The highest risk was described for women aged < 50 years with premature maternal infarction, with an odds ratio of 2.57, which is comparable with the prognostic impact of smoking or manifest diabetes. In the presence of coexisting CV risk factors the importance of family history of CAD is further increased.

**Glucometabolic disorders**

Type 2 diabetes constitutes one of the common final results of sedentary behavior, obesity, and adverse eating behavior, whereas each point separately offers an additional major CV risk factor. In the light of increased mortality of diabetics with ACS compared with nondiabetic patients,
the fundamental goal should be the evaluation of a patho-
logic glucose metabolism by a routinely performed OGTT.23
Astonishingly, particularly in the older group the OGTT
was performed quite rarely across the German rehabilita-
tion centers.

In the observed patients, the percentage of diabetics
was comparable with prior studies, with a proportion of
13%–30%.22,24 The OGTT identified IGT, a prognostic
parameter, in a high percentage of patients and predo-
nantly in the older group. In the Euro Heart Survey on di-
betes and the heart,26 the rate of patients with unknown IGT
who were referred to undergo elective or acute angiography
was remarkable at 39%. This high prevalence of glucometa-
bolic disorders is confirmed by other study groups.27 Lankisch
et al28 reported that if all patients with a fasting glucose
level of ≥90 mg/dL would be referred to undertake the OGTT, the
identification rate of diabetes would be increased from 28.1%
(usually the OGTT is performed if the fasting glucose level
is ≥126 mg/dL) to 93.8%. Notably, the OGTT performed
immediately after a STEMI did not provide reliable informa-
tion on the long-term glucometabolic state; thus, a delay after
the acute coronary event may be desirable.29

Extended CV risk factors

In young adults with P-CAD, intravascular thrombogenesis
as a complex interplay of a procoagulant state, fibrinolysis,
endothelial dysfunction, and inflammation may be an addi-
tional parameter besides conventional CV risk factors.30
A near normal LDL-C level can be found in up to 40% of
CAD patients; thus, discussion regarding emerging CV
risk factors – including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein,
Lp(a), and homocysteine – causing the inflammation theory
of CAD is ongoing.31

Lp(a) is a genetically determined lipid fraction that has
proven to be a relevant cofactor in the genesis and progression
of atherosclerosis. The pharmacological approach in lowering
Lp(a) levels is still limited, although reduced the oxidized
LDL-C levels in patients with pathologic Lp(a) concentration
levels may be of prognostic influence.32 Additionally, more
recently a genetic background for the prognostic implication
of Lp(a) independent of LDL-C has been described.33 The
increased awareness of accompanying risk factors in younger
patients might explain the higher rate of Lp(a) measure-
ments in group 1 during cardiac rehabilitation; however,
the Lp(a) concentration was only determined in every fifth
group 1 patient and in <5% of group 2 patients. Whereas
other authors describe a higher rate of pathologic Lp(a) levels
in P-CAD patients,34 the present authors found comparable
increased values across both age groups. However, while
92% of young patients revealed an elevated LDL-C level, a
determination of Lp(a) levels and other risk factors should
be used to estimate overall risk for CAD progression. In the
case of elevated Lp(a) in patients with P-CAD, an aggressive
lipid-lowering treatment with statins at low to normal values
should be aimed for.35

Risk factor management

Cardiac rehabilitation provides a substantial benefit in man-
agement of major CV risk factors including lipid profile and
BP in patients with CV diseases.36 The 3- to 4-week inpatient
cardiac rehabilitation in Germany is designed according to
the recommendations of national and European Society of
Cardiology guidelines37 and offers the opportunity not only
to monitor patients after ACS but also to reinforce secondary
preventive approaches in the long-term treatment of CAD.
Cardiac rehabilitation includes a gradual increase in activ-
ity levels, as well as continuous and individually focused
information about effective lifestyle modification, smoking
cessation, and dietary counseling.

Although recent guidelines recommend target BP
values of <130/80 mmHg for patients with manifest
CAD, the present authors focused on conservative val-
ues of <140/90 mmHg because of the limited treatment
period during cardiac rehabilitation. In the TROL, after a
3-week inpatient cardiac rehabilitation program, BP values
of <140/90 mmHg were attained in a high proportion of all
patients, with a predominance shown in younger patients
because of higher baseline BP levels in the late-onset CAD
group. Only diastolic BP in group 2 patients could be further
optimized, which can be explained by an even lower diastolic
BP in older patients due to increased vascular stiffness. The
comparable medication across both groups might be a crucial
point of reduced efficacy in BP therapy, indicating the need
for intensified management of hypertensive patients of a
particularly advanced age.

The European Society of Cardiology’s guidelines on
CVD prevention in clinical practice have been recently
updated.37 Of note, these guidelines already suggest stronger
lipid goals of LDL-C for all ACS patients, irrespective of
a glucometabolic situation below 70 mg/dL (1.8 mmol/L).
Regarding LDL-C targets, the present authors still focused on
the 100 mg/dL (2.6 mmol/L) threshold according to National
Cholesterol Education Program – Adult Treatment Panel III
(NCEP ATP III) criteria.38 The NCEP ATP III target value
was achieved by a moderate proportion of both patient groups
during cardiac rehabilitation. An early and intensive statin
therapy is superior to moderate statin doses, particularly in patients after ACS, and leads to a reduced mortality by ~25% over a follow-up period of 2 years.\textsuperscript{39} Compared with other large registries (eg, the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events or the Register of Information and Knowledge about Swedish Heart Intensive Care Admissions) with a prescription rate of <50%, in the TROL the statin therapy rates of 94.7% and 89.1% in groups 1 and 2, respectively, can hardly be increased.\textsuperscript{40,41} Because of a high prescription rate of statins, the LDL-C lipid fraction demonstrated a favorable trend during cardiac rehabilitation; however, the less influenceable HDL-C and fasting TG levels remained inadequate. Plasma TG levels in particular were above the NCEP ATP III limits in every second patient from group 1, implying a prolongation of comprehensive lifestyle change. Nevertheless, there is no strong evidence that fasting TG levels < 150 mg/dL are associated with a decreased coronary risk. It remains to be analyzed whether non-fasting TG levels are better indicators for further events.\textsuperscript{38} Similarly, the target values for HDL-C could only be attained in over half of the patients in both groups. Because of the independent prognostic impact of TG and reduced HDL-C on CAD progression these lipid values, as well as LDL-C, should be carefully observed.\textsuperscript{42}

To identify patients with a constellation of high CV risks and offer them eligible and sustentative interventions is highly desirable. In patients with established CAD, participation in regular, individually designed public training programs has been proven to reduce plasma lipids, inflammation parameters, excess weight, depression, and psychosocial stress levels.\textsuperscript{43,44} Aerobic exercise training is associated with reduced mortality, in healthy individuals, those with a CV risk profile, and cardiac patients.\textsuperscript{45} Recent European guidelines recommend physical activity at a mild to moderate intensity for 30 minutes at least three times a week.\textsuperscript{37}

In Germany, many health insurance companies support preventive medicine sports groups with regular training sessions within the framework of heart disease management programs.\textsuperscript{46}

Although there is compelling evidence of beneficial outcomes, the divergence between guideline-based recommendations and the clinical reality in treatment of CAD patients is worrisome. The large-scale European REACH (Reduction of Atherothrombosis for Continued Health) registry demonstrated a 24% reduction in CV event rate and an 11% reduction in mortality if conventional risk factors are strictly adhered to; nevertheless, this treatment is deemed to be adequate in only 60% of patients with established atherosclerotic disease.\textsuperscript{47} The three EUROASPIRE surveys\textsuperscript{2,48} describe a continuing gap between theory and practice in lifestyle interventions and drug therapy. Thus, there is an urgent need for increased sensibility regarding CV risk factor control and enhanced individual responsibility in CAD treatment.

**Study limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, the study was conducted as a prospective observational study, performed in German rehabilitation clinics. The results reflect the conditions of selected patients with stringent observation of risk factors, lifestyle, and medication. Participation in the cardiac rehabilitation was voluntary and not without a selection bias. Second, in the given registry the authors exclusively focused on documentation of age-dependent CV risk factors and their modifiability during inpatient cardiac rehabilitation. Follow-up and outcome data after optimization of CV risk factors were missing.

Differences regarding the physical activity level were not registered and should be acknowledged. Furthermore, the authors do not have data regarding eating behavior in both groups. As only instantaneous data on the constellation of risk during cardiac rehabilitation were collected, the authors do not have information regarding continuous data – including exercise and dietary data prior to admission.

Because of the limited measurement rate, reliable information regarding Lp(a) levels was missed. Third, there was an inhomogeneity in characteristics of CAD within the groups, including patients with stable CAD, those after an acute coronary event, and those after interventional and/or surgical revascularization. Finally, newly described risk factors such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were excluded from the observation because of the high percentage of surgically revascularized patients with postoperatively increased C-reactive protein levels.

**Conclusion**

In patients with P-CAD, cigarette smoking and family history of CAD were found to be more frequent in younger patients than in their older counterparts. Even in young patients, the incidence of glucometabolic disorder, including IGT and manifest diabetes, is alarming high. Because of the synergistic effect of CV risk factors and positive family history, the reduction of modifiable risk parameters and smoking cessation is strongly required – particularly in younger patients with P-CAD. Cardiac rehabilitation after an acute coronary event is a powerful tool to optimize attainment of target lipid profile and BP values in both age groups. The influence of cardiac rehabilitation is
shown predominantly in the younger group, and it may have a positive effect on patient-related outcome.
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