Clinical use of antidepressant therapy and associated cardiovascular risk
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Abstract: A number of different psychotropic agents have been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, and these relationships have been difficult to interpret due to the presence of confounding factors. Recently, there has been renewed interest in the potential for certain antidepressants to cause QT prolongation, which is a predisposing factor for arrhythmia. However, the optimum means of determining QT remains contentious due to discrepancies between methods that may be readily applied in a clinical setting versus more detailed techniques during regulatory assessment. A number of different pharmacological mechanisms might explain the occurrence of adverse cardiac effects, and these differ according to the type of antidepressant agent. Emerging data indicate that citalopram exhibits a dose-effect relationship for QT prolongation. Whereas cardiotoxicity is readily apparent in the context of intentional antidepressant overdose, the occurrence of cardiac effects as a result of therapeutic administration is less certain. Pre-existing cardiac disease and other factors that independently predispose to arrhythmia are important considerations. Therefore, clinical judgment is needed to evaluate the overall risk or benefit of a particular antidepressant in any patient. Close monitoring should be considered for those at greatest risk of QT prolongation and arrhythmia.
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Introduction
Over many years, a number of different psychotropic agents have been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, particularly the occurrence of arrhythmia. There has been intense interest recently in the association between treatment with high citalopram or escitalopram dosages and prolongation of the QT interval on the electrocardiogram (ECG), and this has resulted in safety warnings being issued to prescribers by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority, the US Food and Drug Administration, and other regulatory bodies. This has led to renewed interest in the relationship between various antidepressant drugs and the possible risk of arrhythmia and cardiovascular mortality.

Surrogate markers of arrhythmia risk: QT interval
Whilst arrhythmia is a serious and potentially life-threatening adverse event, the rate of occurrence in patients receiving conventional therapeutic doses is low, and this presents a major challenge to its identification and study. In a recent observational community study, the rate of sudden death and ventricular arrhythmia was 3 per 1000 person years, with no discernible relationship to dose. To date, the relative
safety of antidepressant use in a therapeutic setting has relied heavily on surrogate markers of arrhythmia risk. The most widely accepted of these is the QT interval, which may indicate the extent of drug binding to cardiac inward rectifier potassium channels (IKr), which are encoded by the human ether-a-go-go related gene (HERG). Blockade of potassium efflux from cardiac myocytes is associated with a prolonged repolarization phase and QT interval. Extreme examples are the congenital long QT syndromes where mutations affect the IKr gene. For certain drugs, there appears to be a clear relationship between the extent of QT prolongation and the risk of developing polymorphic ventricular tachyarrhythmia known as torsade de pointes (TdP), including class 1A, IC, and class III antiarrhythmic drugs, namely quinidine, sotalol, flecainide, propafenone, and macrolide antimicrobials. TdP may manifest as seizure, dizziness, or syncope, and predisposes to ventricular fibrillation and sudden cardiac death. In contrast, certain drugs may cause dose-dependent QT prolongation but there is a weaker relationship with development of TdP; examples include amiodarone, and the antipsychotic agent, sertindole. Therefore, QT alone might not correspond directly to the risk of TdP. Moreover, the relationship between QT prolongation and other forms of arrhythmia is uncertain.

**QT measurement**

Whilst the QT interval might at first be perceived as a readily accessible measurement, there are enormous difficulties in establishing accurate and meaningful values (Figure 1). QT is subject to wide interindividual and intrindividual variability and is confounded by heart rate, autonomic tone, and electrolyte concentrations. The relationship with heart rate is complex, and has perhaps received the greatest attention of any of the confounding factors. One method for minimizing the effect of heart rate in any individual patient is to express the QT value with respect to the slope of the R-R interval (inverse of heart rate) and QT across a range of heart rate values. This allows QT values to be expressed with reference to heart rate, whilst taking account of interindividual differences. This approach requires collection of data across a sufficiently wide range of heart rate values to allow construction of the QT-R-R slope, and needs to be examined prior to introducing antidepressant therapy so that any drug effect on the slope may be taken into account. This type of individualized approach lends itself readily to a controlled clinical trial setting, but may be less feasible in ECG assessment in routine clinical practice. An alternative approach is to consider QT in comparison with a population-based QT-heart rate nomogram. The nomogram offers the advantage of being readily applied (Figure 2). However, too few clinical data exist to allow evaluation of its role in routine clinical practice.

A number of generalized formulae attempt to limit the confounding effects of heart rate, eg, those of Bazett QTc (QTcB) = QT/(R-R)\(^{1/2}\) and Fridericia QTcF = QT/(R-R)\(^{1/3}\). Some of the commonly applied formulae are presented in Table 1. These do not allow intraindividual differences to be taken into account, and are often unreliable at extremes of heart rate, particularly Bazett’s formula. Moreover, the tendency for Bazett’s formula to overcorrect QT for the effect of high heart rates often gives rise to apparent QT interval prolongation more than other formulae. Nonetheless, these formulae are often incorporated into electronic ECG recording devices so that QT and QTc data from automated analyses are readily available in clinical practice. Such automated methods normally incorporate a tangential measurement algorithm that may be unreliable for determining the T wave end, particularly where the T wave is of low amplitude. For the purposes of regulatory investigation, a thorough QT study

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/fig1.png) **Figure 1** Schematic representation of the QT interval, which extends from the start of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave.
Figure 2: Nomogram used to interpret abnormally high QT values according to heart rate, as an alternative to QTc formulae.


is required to incorporate semiautomated QT determination where the end of the T wave is marked manually using a mean measurement across three to five complexes, and studies are required to include a positive control and use a metabolic inhibitor.17

Reference range for QT interval

In contrast with the established arrhythmia risk attributable to QT prolongation, there has been recent interest in the potential for QT shortening to predispose to arrhythmia too. Familial QT shortening was first identified as a risk factor for arrhythmia in 2000, and there have been more recent reports of congenital forms of short QT intervals accompanied by arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death.18,19 The term “short QT syndrome” has recently been coined for a clinical entity associated with increased risk of arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death, and a number of underlying genetic abnormalities have been described.20,21 A large number of studies have sought to define the normal QTc range, and most have focused on defining an upper limit. For example, the first regulatory guidance indicated normal QTcB up to 450 msec in men and 470 msec in women.22 A large dataset of ECGs from 46,129 healthy adults indicate 2nd and 98th percentiles for QTcB of 361–457 msec, and QTcF of 359–445 msec.23 Normal QT and QTc values vary widely between individuals, and even within an individual diurnal variation may be between 76 and 102 msec. QTcB > 500 msec is considered a major risk factor for the development of TdP.12,17 More relevant is perhaps the need to establish baseline QT or QTc values prior to antidepressant therapy, so that evaluation of drug-induced changes may be permitted.

Table 1 Summary of various formulae used to correct QT on the basis of heart rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>QTc calculation</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bazett (QTcB)</td>
<td>QT/(R-R)(^{12})</td>
<td>Widely used, performs well for</td>
<td>Overcorrects at high HR,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR 60–100 per minute</td>
<td>undercorrects at low HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More accurate than QTcB at</td>
<td>Complexity of calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>extremes of HR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredricia (QTcF)</td>
<td>QT/(R-R)(^{13})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges (QTcH)</td>
<td>QT + 1.75 (HR-60)</td>
<td>Less influenced by HR than</td>
<td>Reference range and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>other formula</td>
<td>outcomes are poorly defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham (QTcL)</td>
<td>QT = 0.154 \times (1-RR)</td>
<td>More accurate than QTcB</td>
<td>Derived from single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>population, few validation data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual (QTcI)</td>
<td>QT/(QT-R-R slope)</td>
<td>Ability to detect intraindividual</td>
<td>Requires many baseline QT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QT outliers</td>
<td>values across range of R-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomogram</td>
<td>QT “above line”</td>
<td>Requires knowledge of HR and QT only</td>
<td>Limited validation, especially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR &gt; 110 per minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: R-R is the interval between successive R waves in seconds, the inverse of heart rate.
Comorbid cardiovascular risk factors

The presence of associated depressive illness in patients with established cardiovascular disease is long recognized. Moreover, there is a considerable overlap between the risk factors that predispose to these separate disorders, including alcohol excess, cigarette smoking status, and lack of physical exercise.\textsuperscript{24} Indeed, antidepressants may independently worsen the constituent cardiovascular risk factors, such as dyslipidemia, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome.\textsuperscript{25} A common genetic risk profile has also been suggested.\textsuperscript{26} One study found that patients with major depressive disorder had a 50\% increased odds of any cardiovascular diagnosis, independent of sociodemographic factors, but that this could be explained by differences in body mass index and substance misuse.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, confounding by independent risk factors is likely to pose an important limitation to interpretation of cardiovascular outcomes in patients treated with antidepressants. Development of TdP is likely to depend on factors other than QT prolongation, eg, the presence of pre-existing cardiac disease and occurrence of ventricular ectopic beats that may predispose to the “R on T” phenomenon.\textsuperscript{28} Other ECG indices have also been proposed as markers of antidepressant-induced arrhythmia risk, namely QT dispersion, T wave alternans, and emergence of the Brugada pattern.\textsuperscript{29–33}

Pharmacological mechanisms responsible for cardiovascular effects

Tricyclic antidepressants

This group of agents is characterized by effects on a broad range of receptor pathways that are implicated in both the therapeutic and adverse mechanisms of action. They may cause significant inhibition of central cholinergic neurotransmission, which results in a number of widespread autonomic features, including tachycardia.\textsuperscript{34} This is accompanied by impaired neuronal uptake of norepinephrine, which may worsen tachycardia. Tricyclic antidepressants are capable of blocking alpha-adrenergic receptors, and this may lower systemic vascular resistance and result in hypotension, or orthostatic hypotension.\textsuperscript{35} High tricyclic doses increase sympathetic and decrease parasympathetic influence on heart rate variability.\textsuperscript{36} Tricyclic antidepressants also inhibit sodium channel conductance, so that phase 0 cardiac depolarization is delayed.\textsuperscript{37} This may cause slower conduction within the His-Purkinje fibers and ventricular myocardium, causing prolongation of the QRS complex on the ECG.\textsuperscript{38}

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

This group of antidepressants is thought to cause cardiovascular effects predominantly through excess serotonin release within the central nervous system, which may manifest in mild systemic hypotension.\textsuperscript{39} Both citalopram and escitalopram are capable of provoking generalized seizures.\textsuperscript{40,41} Citalopram and escitalopram are known to cause dose-dependent QT prolongation, although the mechanism remains uncertain and may be unrelated to serotonin reuptake per se.\textsuperscript{1,2} Fewer data exist concerning the occurrence of QT prolongation with other selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor agents.

Monoamine oxidase inhibitors

These agents result in enhanced concentrations of a broad range of central neurotransmitters, including norepinephrine.\textsuperscript{42} This may result in tachycardia and raised blood pressure, particularly if used in high doses. The onset of cardiovascular effects may be delayed by up to 12–24 hours after exposure to high monoamine oxidase inhibitor concentrations because of the time taken for accumulation of central neurotransmitter concentrations. Coingestion of medications or foodstuffs rich in tyramine may precipitate a severe rise in blood pressure, ie, the so-called “cheese reaction”. Monoamine oxidase inhibitors may also cause cardiovascular effects mediated by serotonin accumulation, including tachycardia and hypotension, especially if used in combination with a tricyclic antidepressant or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor.\textsuperscript{43}

Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors

Venlafaxine, reboxetine, and duloxetine appear capable of stimulating cardiac sympathetic activity, which may manifest as a modest increase in heart rate and systemic blood pressure.\textsuperscript{44,45} For example, duloxetine increases peripheral norepinephrine concentrations and sympathetic tone, and reboxetine has been shown to increase sympathetic influence on heart rate variability.\textsuperscript{46,47} Excess sympathetic activity might predispose to an increased risk of more serious tachyarrhythmia, as identified in the context of cardiomyopathy.\textsuperscript{48} Venlafaxine is capable of blocking cardiac sodium channel conductance, similar to tricyclic antidepressants, and there is some evidence that toxic doses may cause elevated systemic blood pressure and QT prolongation.\textsuperscript{49,50} Venlafaxine causes a dose-dependent increase in systemic blood pressure, but there are too few data
available to ascertain the rate of occurrence of arrhythmia arising after therapeutic doses.51

Differential risk between antidepressant classes

Tricyclics

Tricyclics are undoubtedly associated with high cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in overdose, including development of QTcB prolongation and TdP.52-54 Nonetheless, the relationship between normal therapeutic administration and occurrence of serious arrhythmia is less certain. Some studies have reported a relationship between initiation of tricyclic therapy and minor prolongation of the QT interval by up to 0–20 msec, with similar values reported between the different tricyclics.55-57 However, these observations are heavily confounded by other factors that might independently increase risk, including family history of congenital long QT syndrome, increased age, female sex, the presence of metabolic and cardiovascular disease, hypokalemia, and coadministration of drugs independently associated with QT interval prolongation, including thioridazine and fluconazole, or that interact with the antidepressant to increase arrhythmia risk.57,58 In one report, short-term administration of amitriptyline in patients with depression was associated with QRS and QTcB prolongation.59 Tachycardia is a recognized anticholinergic feature of tricyclics, which is an important confounding factor that could result in overcorrection of QT by the Bazett formula or other formulae.

Experimental laboratory approaches have produced conflicting data that have not resolved the issue. For example, a study on in vivo Purkinje fibers found that imipramine and dothiepin were capable of inducing early after-depolarizations, an early step in development of TdP, but only in the presence of hypokalemia, whereas amitriptyline did not.60 An ex vivo study on HERG conductance found that nortriptyline did not affect QTcB at doses equivalent to 2 mg/kg or 6 mg/kg, but caused a modest increase at 20 mg/kg; these findings suggest that nortriptyline is unlikely to exert a significant effect on ventricular repolarization at therapeutic dosages.61 In contrast, clomipramine is capable of blocking HERG conduction at concentrations similar to those observed after therapeutic dosages, perhaps suggesting different effects within the same drug group.62

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

A number of reports have been published after the approval of various selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor agents that indicate an association with QTc prolongation or TdP. For example, analyses of the Swedish pharmacovigilance database found that citalopram was associated with around 10% of occurrences of TdP.63 Most of the reported cases involve QTcB prolongation or arrhythmia that occurs after toxic doses, such as might only be encountered after deliberate drug overdose.64-67

In other reports, cardiac effects have been found to occur when a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor has been administered in combination with other drugs that are independently capable of prolonging QTc.68,69 Elsewhere, the association between selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor administration and the occurrence of QTc prolongation may be explained by the coexistence of other factors, including hypokalemia and hypomagnesemia.70,71 The change in QTcB associated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor use is reported to lie between a 5 msec decrease and a 10 msec increase in population studies.72

The greatest body of evidence of QTc prolongation is that concerning citalopram, particularly in the setting of overdose but also in a number of case reports involving therapeutic administration. For example, treatment with citalopram 40 mg twice daily was associated with prolonged QTcB and development of TdP in a 40-year-old woman, in whom no other metabolic disturbance or causative factor could be identified; QTcB fell from 535 msec to 469 msec three days after citalopram was discontinued.73 A further report indicates that therapeutic citalopram administration caused severe prolongation of QT and QTcB (572 msec and 695 msec, respectively) in a female patient who developed TdP; the QTcB normalized 3 days after citalopram discontinuation.74 Escitalopram, the S-enantiomer of citalopram, has been reported to cause significant reversible QTcB prolongation, even after only two daily administrations of 5 mg.75 Data from a thorough QT study was recently presented by regulatory authorities showing a dose-dependent increase in QTcB and QTcF within the therapeutic range.1,2

Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors

Venlafaxine administration has been associated with QTc prolongation in a 68-year-old woman that normalized several days after drug cessation (QTcB 582 msec); however, this was confounded by the presence of hypertension and possible cardiac failure.76 High doses of reboxetine in healthy adults have no apparent effect on QT or QT corrected by a number of different methods, although heart rate increased by 8–11 beats per minute from baseline.77
Monoamine oxidase inhibitors

Tranylcypromine may cause a modest fall in diastolic blood pressure and symptomatic hypotension. Phenelzine has been noted to cause acute myocarditis after intentional overdose, but this has not been reported after therapeutic administration. QTcB prolongation has been described in patients who present to hospital after intentional moclobemide overdose, but such similar data have not been examined systematically in patients receiving therapeutic doses.

Others

Mirtazapine appears capable of causing only minor cardiovascular effects, and toxic doses have been reported to cause inconsistent effects on heart rate and mild hypotension. Trazodone may be associated with orthostatic hypotension, particularly in high doses, and QTcB prolongation has been reported as a feature of trazodone toxicity. Lithium may be associated with a number of different cardiac conduction defects, including complete heart block and impaired atrioventricular conduction; onset of cardiac toxicity might be delayed after exposure to high concentrations due to the delay in equilibration of cardiac tissue concentrations. High lithium concentrations > 1.2 mmol/L have been reported to increase the risk of QTcB prolongation.

Risk-benefit assessment

A wide variety of antidepressant agents are now available, and the cardiovascular profile of each of these is characterized to a variable extent. The overall balance between risk and benefit of therapy needs to consider the strength of the indication for antidepressant treatment, pre-existing risk factors for cardiovascular disease, drug dose, and perceived risk of inadvertent or intentional drug overdose. Well characterized effects of the monoamine oxidase inhibitor group and of trazodone include orthostatic hypotension, whilst the tricyclic antidepressant group are typically associated with tachycardia and a modest fall in systemic blood pressure. Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor agents may cause tachycardia and a modest rise in systemic blood pressure within the therapeutic dose range, whereas selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor agents exert few consistent cardiovascular effects; within the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor group, citalopram and escitalopram appear uniquely capable of causing dose-dependent QTc prolongation. These various cardiovascular effects will allow the choice of antidepressant agent to be determined according to the individual patient characteristics and risk profile so that, for example, venlafaxine might be avoided in a patient with borderline systemic hypertension, and citalopram should be avoided in a patient receiving other medications capable of prolonging QTc.

Gaps in current understanding

At present, there is an unmet need for evidence-based guidance in two key areas. Firstly, there is a lack of data to inform the optimal choice of antidepressant agent that might minimize the risk of arrhythmia, particularly in those patients at greatest risk due to the presence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease or major cardiovascular risk factors. This is likely to require large prospective clinical studies with detailed cardiovascular monitoring in appropriately selected high-risk patient groups, but might also be addressed, at least in part, by pooled subset analyses of data from existing studies. Secondly, there is a pressing need to develop consistent guidance concerning what measurement to use in determining potential arrhythmia risk and the optimum intensity of monitoring. Too few data exist to allow an evidence-based approach to be determined. Nonetheless, it may be feasible for regulatory authorities and appropriate learned bodies to produce consensus statements concerning what to monitor and how, so that greater consistency could be applied in clinical practice.

Conclusion

The existing data indicate that certain antidepressant agents are associated with an increased burden of cardiac toxicity and this is often related to the presence of pre-existing cardiac disease or other factors that might independently increase the risk of arrhythmia. Cardiotoxicity is readily apparent in the context of intentional antidepressant overdose, whereas fewer data exist concerning adverse cardiac effects occurring due to therapeutic administration. Clinical judgment is needed on a patient by patient basis to consider carefully the overall risks and benefits of individual antidepressant agents, and there is a lack of robust evidence to guide clinical practice. In those with pre-existing cardiovascular disease or those with major risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes, it would be prudent to perform an ECG before and soon after starting therapy to allow detection of QT prolongation or other abnormalities. The lack of any significant ECG effect may offer some clinical reassurance that antidepressant treatment does not pose a significant risk of arrhythmia, and could be subject to periodic observation at the discretion of the treating clinician. Alternatively, the occurrence of a substantial ECG abnormality, for example QTcB > 500 msec, may indicate an increased risk of arrhythmia, so that the antidepressant...
could be stopped and an alternative agent considered, or the dose could be reduced with further ECG monitoring.
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