A review of mammalian toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles

Rob J Vandebriel
Wim H De Jong

Laboratory for Health Protection
Research, National Institute for
Public Health and the Environment,
Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Abstract: This review summarizes the literature on mammalian toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) published between 2009 and 2011. The toxic effects of ZnO NPs are due to the compound's solubility. Whether the increased intracellular $[Zn^{2+}]$ is due to the NPs being taken up by cells or to NP dissolution in medium is still unclear. In vivo airway exposure poses an important hazard. Inhalation or instillation of the NPs results in lung inflammation and systemic toxicity. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation likely plays an important role in the inflammatory response. The NPs do not, or only to a minimal extent, cross the skin; this also holds for sunburned skin. Intraperitoneal administration induces neurological effects. The NPs show systemic distribution; target organs are liver, spleen, lung, and kidney and, in some cases, the heart. In vitro exposure of BEAS-2B bronchial epithelial cells and A549 alveolar adenocarcinoma cells results in cytotoxicity, increased oxidative stress, increased intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$, decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, and interleukin (IL)-8 production. Decreased contractility of airway smooth muscle cells poses an additional hazard. In contrast to the results for BEAS-2B and A549 cells, in RKO colon carcinoma cells ZnO NPs and not Zn^{2+} induce cytotoxicity and mitochondrial dysfunction. Short-term exposure of skin cells results in apoptosis but not in an inflammatory response, while long-term exposure leads to increased ROS generation, decreased mitochondrial activity, and formation of tubular intercellular structures. Macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells are affected; exposure results in cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, intracellular Ca^{2+} flux, decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, and production of IL-1 β and chemokine CXCL9. The NPs are phagocytosed by macrophages and dissolved in lysosomes. In vitro the Comet assay and the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay show genotoxicity, whereas the Ames test does not. This is, however, not confirmed by in vivo genotoxicity assays. Protein binding results in increased stability.

Keywords: solubility, inflammation, reactive oxygen species, intracellular calcium, mitochondrial membrane potential, lysosomes

Introduction

Zinc oxide nanoparticle (ZnO NP) powders are widely used in cosmetics (sunscreens, foot care, ointments, and over-the-counter topical products), pigments and coatings (ultraviolet [UV] protection, fungicide in paints), electronic devices, and catalysts. While skin exposure is predominantly consumer exposure to final products (sunscreens but also paints), airway exposure is predominantly workplace exposure to NP dusts (factories producing NPs but also paint factories).¹

Despite the widespread use of ZnO NPs, the safety of this compound for humans is still unclear. This review summarizes the literature published between 2009 and 2011 on the mammalian toxicity of ZnO NPs. The review discusses hazards resulting

Correspondence: Rob J Vandebriel
Laboratory for Health Protection
Research, National Institute for Public
Health and the Environment, PO Box 1,
3720 BA Bilthoven, The Netherlands
Tel +31 30 274 2610
Fax +31 30 274 4446
Email rob.vandebriel@rivm.nl

from exposure via inhalation and dermal exposure, effects on various toxicological endpoints in vivo, biodistribution, and effects in various cell lines in vitro. In addition, emerging knowledge on the mechanisms underlying the in vivo and in vitro effects is discussed.

In vivo studies

Effects of airway exposure in experimental animals

Theoretical modeling suggests preferential deposition of 10–100 nm NPs in the alveolar and tracheobronchial regions.² In case of alveolar deposition, alveolar macrophages generally phagocytose particles, and these cells migrate via the mucociliary escalator to the tracheobronchial region where they are coughed up and may be swallowed. If these mechanisms fail, particles can enter the interstitium where they are no longer available for clearance. This may result in chronic stimulation of cells, resulting in inflammation and fibrosis.¹

The acidic environment of the lung lining fluid may result in ZnO dissolution, leading to transient increases in the concentration of Zn^{2+} ions¹ and local toxicity. Inhalation exposure of 3 μm ZnO microparticles (25 and 50 mg/m³) and instillation of 300 nm ZnO NPs (1 and 5 mg/kg body weight [bw]) of rats resulted in transient inflammation measured in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) as increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release, protein content, and neutrophil content.³

Single intratracheal instillation of rats with 50–70 nm ZnO NPs and <1000 nm ZnO microparticles (1 and 5 mg/kg bw) resulted in potent but reversible inflammation (measured in the BAL by increased LDH release, cell number, and neutrophil content). This inflammation was resolved 1 month after instillation.⁴ Single intratracheal instillation of rats with <10 nm ZnO NPs induced an eosinophilic/fibrotic/granulomatous inflammation⁵ and recruitment of eosinophils and neutrophils in the BAL.⁶

Rapid pH-dependent dissolution of ZnO NPs inside of phagosomes is the main cause of ZnO NP-induced lung injury.⁷ A recently published model proposed that for high-solubility NPs, such as ZnO NPs, the protein corona is digested inside lysosomes due to the low pH and presence of lysosomal enzymes inside this organelle, after which the NPs quickly dissolve resulting in lysosomal destabilization by Zn^{2+} .⁸ In the mouse, iron doping of 20 nm ZnO NPs showed reduced lung toxicity (neutrophil counts and *interleukin [IL]-6* expression) and reduced *heme oxygenase (HO)* expression compared to nondoped NPs.⁹ Also in the rat reduced lung inflammation was seen after exposure to iron-doped NPs.

A recent study has shown that ZnO (and CuO) NPs form a separate group within the family of metal oxide NPs due to the compound's high solubility.¹⁰ Whereas for most metal oxide NPs tested, in vivo and in vitro toxicity could be predicted by their band-gap energy, ZnO (and CuO) NP toxicity is determined by its solubility. In fact, the first decision in the proposed strategy for toxicity prediction is based on whether the solubility of the metal oxide NP is above a certain threshold (as is the case for ZnO NPs); the second decision is based on whether the band-gap energy overlaps with the cellular redox potential.

Inhalation of 20 nm ZnO NPs (2.5 mg/kg bw) by rats twice daily for 3 days resulted in an increased Zn content in the liver after 12 hours and in the kidneys after 36 hours. Histopathology revealed damage in liver and lung tissues.¹¹

Zn^{2+} , which is present due to the solubility of ZnO NPs, seems to be responsible for inducing inflammatory responses and necrosis.¹² A higher cytosolic [Zn^{2+}] was found in BAL cells and white blood cells from rats after 38 nm ZnO NP inhalation.¹³ The capacity of ZnO NPs to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in vitro seems to correlate with their potential to induce cellular inflammation in vivo.^{12,14}

While generally metal oxide NPs are trapped in the lung mucus by adhesive interaction, a small fraction of <50 nm ZnO NPs could penetrate the airway mucus.¹⁵ Inhalation and instillation of ZnO NPs result in lung inflammation and systemic toxicity. The solubility of ZnO NPs plays an important role in this inflammation. Dissolution in phagosomes is believed to be the main cause of inflammation. However, there are some indications that ZnO NPs may also show dissolution in lung lining fluid (surfactant). Airway exposure of ZnO NPs results in increased intracellular [Zn^{2+}]. ROS generation is likely to play an important role in the inflammatory response. The lung mucus is able to trap most metal oxide NPs but not (completely) ZnO NPs.

Airway exposure to ZnO NPs poses an important hazard, and there is a need for additional studies aimed at risk assessment and determination of no-observed-adverse-effect-levels.

Remaining questions are:

- Can (some of) the effects seen be explained by acute high-dose exposure? Would chronic low-dose exposure provide more useful information, especially considering the transient effects seen in acute exposure studies?
- Are instillation studies adequate to pinpoint effects specifically to the nano size of ZnO? The conditions of instillation exposure favor aggregate formation.

- Are the effects of airway exposure to ZnO (be it nano- or micro-sized) different from Zn^{2+} itself?

Effects of dermal exposure of humans and experimental animals

The presence of ZnO (and TiO_2) NPs in sunscreens implicates a major source of exposure since many people use sunscreens for many hours a day for weeks or months. Therefore, much attention is paid to the question of whether ZnO NPs in sunscreens are able to cross the skin barrier.

ZnO (and TiO_2) have intrinsic UV-absorbing properties and are therefore used in sunscreens as UV blockers. In normal pigment size ranges (200–400 nm for ZnO), these particles reflect and scatter light, making the sunscreens appear white. However, 40–100 nm ZnO NPs absorb and scatter UV radiation and largely absorb visible wavelengths, making the sunscreens appear transparent on the skin.

ZnO (and TiO_2) are semiconductors, and, therefore part (~10%) of the absorbed UV radiation can generate free radicals on the surface of metal oxides in the presence of water. This photocatalytic activity increases with decreasing NP size. Coating or doping is used to reduce the metal oxide semiconductor activity when used in sunscreens.¹

Most studies on healthy human skin support the view that ZnO NPs in sunscreens do not penetrate beyond the stratum corneum.^{16–18} To distinguish Zn in ZnO particles from the body's own Zn, ^{68}Zn was used to prepare ZnO particles.¹⁹ Two types of particles were used, 19 nm NPs and >100 nm micro-sized particles. After application of sunscreen containing one of these two types of particles on the skin of healthy human volunteers for 5 days, approximately 0.1% of all Zn in the blood was ^{68}Zn . Application of the NPs resulted in a higher amount of ^{68}Zn in blood and urine compared with the micro-sized particles.

Pigs were UV-irradiated, resulting in moderate sunburn. ZnO NPs (140 nm) penetrated only one to two layers of the stratum corneum of sunburned pig skin.²⁰ ZnO NPs did pass the stratum corneum of the skin of hairless mice. This model is, however, considered to be of questionable relevance because the skin of mice is much more penetrable than human skin.¹ Moreover, application of sunscreen containing realistic doses of 20 nm ZnO NPs to rats for 28 days (five times a week) resulted in collagen loss.²¹ This collagen loss was explained by the authors as being caused by skin passage of the ZnO NPs at the site of application due to the rat skin being thin.

It may be concluded that ZnO NPs do not cross the skin, or only do so to a small extent. This is also the case for

sunburned skin. Rodents do not seem to be suitable animal models in the study of skin penetration.

Remaining questions are:

- What is the risk in the case of damaged skin?^{18,22}
- Does long-term exposure result in skin penetration? Studies have so far only addressed acute or short-term exposures.
- Is (the small amount of) Zn that has been absorbed through the skin absorbed as ZnO NPs or as Zn^{2+} ions?^{1,19}

Neurological effects in experimental animals

Few studies have investigated neurotoxicity and effects on cognition of ZnO NPs. Spatial learning and memory ability were attenuated by alteration of synaptic plasticity in rats after intraperitoneal administration of 20–80 nm ZnO NPs (4 mg/kg bw) twice weekly for 8 weeks.²³ This is a single study and should be complemented by other studies, also taking more relevant exposure routes into account.

Biodistribution in experimental animals

When using intravenous administration, absorption is bypassed and other aspects of toxicokinetics, such as tissue distribution and elimination, can be studied with more precision.

Because of the abundance of Zn in the body, radioactive ZnO NPs were used for biodistribution studies in mice.²⁴ Radioactive ZnO NPs did not differ from the original ZnO NPs in zeta potential and particulate form (40–100 nm). Intravenous injection resulted in primary retention in the lung (43.6% of the injected dose per g wet weight, 1 hour after administration) and subsequent translocation to the gastrointestinal tract for feces excretion.

Single intraperitoneal administration of 100 nm ZnO NPs (2.5 g/kg bw) resulted in accumulation in liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and heart.²⁵ The Zn concentration in the liver, spleen, and lung was higher after NP administration than after administration of similar amounts of 1 μm ZnO particles.

Oral administration of 100 nm ZnO NPs (2.5 g/kg bw) resulted in accumulation in the liver, spleen, lung, and kidney. In contrast to intraperitoneal administration, ZnO NPs did not accumulate in the heart.²⁵ The Zn concentration in the liver, spleen, and kidney was higher after NP administration than after administration of similar amounts of 1 μm ZnO particles. Oral NP administration resulted in transient histopathology of the liver that was not seen after administration of 1 μm ZnO particles. Nano- and micro-sized ZnO show systemic distribution, also after oral uptake, with a higher absorption and stronger toxic effect of the nano-sized particles. Liver, spleen, lung, and kidney and, in some cases, the heart are target organs.

In vitro studies

General observations

In vitro studies using ZnO NPs often show a small concentration range in which cell viability is reduced from almost 100% to almost zero, ie, a steep concentration-viability curve. It is assumed that this is caused by the solubility of ZnO, resulting in free intracellular Zn^{2+} . Zn is a component of many enzymes (eg, alcohol dehydrogenase, matrix metalloproteinase) and transcription factors (eg, zinc finger protein transcription factors). Disruption of cellular Zn homeostasis in in vitro systems has been linked to loss of viability, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction.^{1,13} Similarly, Deng et al concluded from their study on ZnO NP exposure of neural stem cells that toxicity results from dissolved Zn^{2+} in the culture medium or inside cells.²⁶ Furthermore, Müller et al showed that pH-triggered intracellular release of ionic Zn^{2+} is responsible for the toxicity of ZnO nanowires.²⁷

The toxic effects of ZnO NPs are due to their solubility, resulting in increased intracellular $[Zn^{2+}]$. This results in cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction. An overview of the in vitro studies discussed below is provided in Table 1.

In vitro studies using the human bronchial epithelial cell line BEAS-2B and the human alveolar adenocarcinoma cell line A549

From the in vivo section of this review we concluded that airway exposure to ZnO NPs poses an important hazard. In line with this, a large part of the in vitro studies reported use cell lines and exposure models derived from the airways. The human bronchial epithelial cell line (BEAS-2B) and human alveolar adenocarcinoma cell line (A549) are the two human cell lines representing the airways that are used most often. They represent two important cell types, bronchial epithelial cells and alveolar epithelial cells.

A cytotoxicity screening approach using four dyes (Hoechst 33342, JC-1, Fluo-4, and propidium iodide), showed induction of the intracellular Ca^{2+} flux, lowering of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and loss of membrane integrity after exposure of BEAS-2B cells and RAW264.7 murine macrophages to 20 nm ZnO NPs.²⁸ Dissolution of these NPs occurred both in culture medium and in endosomes.²⁹ When these NPs did not dissolve, in BEAS-2B cells they entered caveolae, whereas in RAW264.7 cells they entered lysosomes.²⁹ Iron doping of the NPs reduced the rate of Zn^{2+} dissolution and thereby reduced cytotoxicity.²⁸

Along a similar line, coating 32–95 nm ZnO NP cores with a TiO_2 shell reduced mitochondrial activity (reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide [MTT]), membrane damage (LDH release), IL-8 production, and ROS generation in A549 lung epithelial cells.³⁰ Thicker shell NPs were less cytotoxic. The reduced toxicity resulting from the TiO_2 coating is presumably because of less interaction of ZnO NPs with the cells and a lower rate of Zn^{2+} release by the ZnO NPs.

In BEAS-2B cells 20 nm ZnO NPs induced a concentration- and time-dependent cytotoxicity as well as increased LDH release, increased oxidative stress, and increased intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$.³¹ The expression of four genes involved in apoptosis and oxidative stress were increased (*BNIP*, *PRDX3*, *PRNP*, and *TXRND1*).

In primary human bronchial epithelial cells and BEAS-2B cells, IL-8 messenger (m)RNA expression and production was induced by 24–70 nm ZnO NPs.³² This induction was mediated by p65 phosphorylation and I κ B α phosphorylation and degradation. The p53 pathway was activated by 22.5 nm ZnO NPs in BEAS-2B cells.³³

Aqueous extracts from <10 nm ZnO NPs affected cytotoxicity, IL-8 production, and activation of the transcription factors AP-1 and nuclear factor (NF)- κ B in A549 cells.⁶ These findings again suggest that Zn^{2+} is an important mediator of the in vitro effects of ZnO NPs.

ZnO nanorods (6×8 nm and 7×19 nm) were more toxic to A549 cells than spherical ones (6, 25, and 38 nm) and smaller ones were more toxic to these cells than larger ones.³⁴ The contact area between a single NP and a single cell was more important for toxicity than the total surface area of an NP.

Exposure of A549 cells to 50×140 nm ZnO nanorods induced cytotoxicity, ROS generation, oxidative stress, and activation of caspase-3 and caspase-9 in a dose- and time-dependent way.³⁵ Heat-shock protein 70 and p53 were induced. The pro-apoptotic protein bax was upregulated, while the anti-apoptotic proteins survivin and bcl-2 were downregulated. In conclusion, ZnO nanorods induced apoptosis involving p53, survivin, bax/bcl-2, and caspase pathways.

mRNA expression of *IL-8* and *HO-1* were different when 24–71 nm ZnO NP-exposed submerged A549 cells were compared with 24–71 nm ZnO NP-exposed air-liquid interface (ALI) A549 cells.³⁶

Xie et al compared 25 nm ZnO NP exposure of submerged and ALI cells but used C10 mouse alveolar type II cells.³⁷ The NPs induced cytotoxicity at a similar dose range in the two exposure systems. The time course of oxidative stress was,

Table 1 Overview of in vitro studies using zinc oxide nanoparticles

Cell type	Species	Cyto-toxicity	Reactive oxygen species	Oxidative stress	Ca ²⁺ flux	Mitochondrial membrane potential	Membrane integrity	Apoptosis	IL-8	Zn ²⁺	References
Airways											
A549 alveolar epithelial	H	+	+	+				+	+	+	(b) 5,30,35
BEAS-2B bronchial epithelial	H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		28,31,32
Primary bronchial epithelial	H								+		32
Skin											
Primary dermal fibroblasts	H							+			39
NCTC2544 keratinocytes	H		+			+					41
Digestive tract											
LoVo colon carcinoma	H	+				+		+	+		44
Immune system											
Monocytes	H	+	+	+							45
Lymphocytes	H	+	+	+							45
RAW264.7 macrophages	M				+	+	+				28
Ana-I macrophages	M	+								+	(b) 47
Miscellaneous											
Jurkat leukemia	H	+	NEG					+	(a)		48
H1355 lung carcinoma	H	+				+		+			13
Primary cardiac microvascular endothelial	H	+	+					+			49
NIH3T3 fibroblasts	M	+									50
HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma	H	+	+								51
IP15 glomerular mesangial	H	+	+							+	(c) 53
HK-2 proximal epithelial	H	+	+								53
Embryonic lung fibroblast	H	+									54
Primary airway smooth muscle	H	+								+	(c) 57

Notes: (a) Caspase-independent; (b) effect was also shown for Zn²⁺ ions; (c) effect was also shown for zinc oxide microparticles.

Abbreviations: H, human; M, mouse; +, effect shown; NEG, shown not to be involved.

however, different, peaking at 2–6 hours in the case of the submerged cells and at 6 hours in the case of the ALI cells.

Apical exposure of a rat alveolar epithelial cell monolayer to 20 nm ZnO NPs resulted in a dose- and time-dependent decrease in transepithelial electrical resistance.³⁸ Mitochondrial activity was decreased, while LDH release and intracellular ROS were increased. Tight junctions were

disrupted. The effect was, at least in part, mediated by Zn²⁺ released from ZnO NPs. Pretreatment with the antioxidant N-acetyl-L-cysteine attenuated injury related to intracellular ROS.

Exposure of BEAS-2B cells results in cytotoxicity, ROS generation, apoptosis, and increased oxidative stress and increased intracellular [Ca²⁺]. The mitochondrial membrane

potential is decreased, and production of IL-8 is induced. The effects on A549 cells seem to be comparable to the effects on BEAS-2B cells. ZnO nanorods appear to be more toxic than spherical ZnO NPs. ZnO nanorods induce apoptosis, but whether spherical ZnO NPs also induce apoptosis was not investigated. ZnO NP toxicity can be reduced by lowering its solubility by doping or coating, resulting in a lower release of Zn^{2+} . The response of A549 cells in ALI differs from the response when these cells are submerged in medium.

In vitro studies using dermal cell lines

ZnO NPs are present in products that are used on the skin, such as sunscreens. For this reason, in vitro studies have investigated exposure effects on skin cells.

ZnO NPs (23.5 nm) induced apoptosis and upregulation of p53 and phospho-p38 in human dermal fibroblasts.³⁹ p53 was phosphorylated at the Ser³³ and Ser⁴⁶ sites, which are known to be phosphorylated by p38. Together this suggests a role of the p53–p38 pathway in apoptosis induction.

HaCaT human keratinocytes and SK Mel-28 human melanoma cells were exposed to 8–10 nm ZnO NPs and <44 μm ZnO particles.⁴⁰ Gene profiling revealed changes in metal metabolism, chaperonin proteins, and protein folding genes but did not show a proinflammatory signature.

Exposure of NCTC2544 human keratinocytes to <100 nm ZnO NPs (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) for 3 months induced tubular intercellular structures.⁴¹ The NPs were only found inside vesicles within the cytoplasm, particularly in early and late endosomes and amphisomes. Moreover, exposure resulted in ROS generation, decreased mitochondrial activity, loss of normal cell morphology, and a disturbed cell-cycle distribution. ZnO NPs (15 nm) did not activate the inflammasome in primary human keratinocytes.⁴²

The p53 pathway was activated by 22.5 nm ZnO NPs in BJ human neonatal foreskin cells.³³ After p53 knock-down, the cells became more resistant to NP-induced cell death, and they increased cell-cycle progression.

ZnO NPs do not (or only slightly) penetrate the human skin, but they do affect skin cells in vitro. Short-term exposure results in apoptosis but not in an inflammatory response, while long-term exposure shows increased ROS generation, decreased mitochondrial activity, and the formation of tubular intercellular structures.

In vitro studies using colon cell lines

ZnO NPs are present in products that may be ingested, such as tooth paste. For this reason, in vitro studies have investigated

exposure effects on colon cell lines as representative of the digestive tract.

Cytotoxicity of 8–10 nm ZnO NPs and <44 μm ZnO microparticles to RKO human colon carcinoma cells was dependent on direct particle–cell contact and independent of the Zn^{2+} concentration in cell culture medium.⁴³ The NPs showed a higher cytotoxic potency per unit mass. ZnO particles induced annexin V staining. ZnO particles but not Zn^{2+} -induced mitochondrial dysfunction (measured by JC-1 staining).

RKO and Caco-2 human colon carcinoma cells were exposed to 8–10 nm ZnO NPs and <44 μm ZnO microparticles.⁴⁰ Similar to the results described above for HaCaT and SK Mel-28 cells, gene profiling revealed changes in metal metabolism, chaperonin proteins, and protein folding genes but did not show a pro-inflammatory signature.

Exposure of the LoVo human colon carcinoma cell line to 50–70 nm ZnO NPs resulted in decreased viability, increased $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{OH}^\cdot$, decreased $\text{O}_2^\cdot$ and glutathione, depolarization of the inner mitochondrial membrane, apoptosis, and IL-8 release.⁴⁴ Together these results show that oxidative stress is a key route in inducing cytotoxicity.

The results for RKO cells seem to contradict the above-mentioned results for BEAS-2B and A549 cells in that, for RKO cells, ZnO NPs but not Zn^{2+} induced cytotoxicity and mitochondrial dysfunction. Moreover, for RKO cells, gene profiling did not suggest an inflammatory response, a response that was observed for BEAS-2B and A549 cells.

In vitro studies using cells of the immune system

Particulate matter, such as pathogens but also NPs, are readily ingested by monocytes/macrophages and dendritic cells. This may pose a hazard for these cell types, thereby reducing the capacity to mount a (functional) immune response.

Monocytes are more sensitive to 4–20 nm ZnO NP cytotoxicity than lymphocytes.⁴⁵ In both cell types, cytotoxicity is mediated by oxidative stress. Cytotoxicity and ROS generation increased with decreasing NP size. Interferon (IFN)- γ , tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , and IL-12 production were induced by these NPs in peripheral blood mononuclear cells.

Expression of *IL-1 β* and chemokine *CXCL9* was induced by 20 nm ZnO NPs in murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells and RAW264.7 murine macrophages.⁴⁶ In RAW264.7 cells, 20 nm ZnO NPs resulted in induction of the intracellular Ca^{2+} flux, lowering of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and loss of membrane integrity.²⁸

In addition, in these cells, 22.5 nm ZnO NPs induced the p53 pathway.³³

ZnO NPs (10–30, 30, and 100 nm) but also <1 µm ZnO microparticles induced cytotoxicity in Ana-1 murine macrophages.⁴⁷ The $[Zn^{2+}]$ that induced 50% viability in cells exposed to nano- and micro-sized ZnO was close to the $[Zn^{2+}]$ that induced 50% viability in $ZnCl_2$ -treated cells. Moreover, the $[Zn^{2+}]$ in supernatants from cells exposed to nano- and micro-sized ZnO correlated with viability and LDH release. Together this suggests an important role of Zn^{2+} in the cytotoxicity induced by nano- and micro-sized ZnO.

ZnO NPs (15 nm) do not activate the inflammasome in THP-1 human macrophages.⁴² ZnO NPs clearly affect macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells. Exposure results in LDH release, oxidative stress, intracellular Ca^{2+} flux, lowering of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and production of IL-1 β and CXCL9. It is likely that the p53 pathway plays a role in (some of) these processes. ZnO nanowires were taken up by macrophages through phagocytosis and dissolved in lysosomes.²⁷ Again, the effects of ZnO NPs are likely caused by Zn^{2+} .

In vitro studies using other cell lines

In Jurkat human leukemia cells and H1355 human lung carcinoma cells, <50 nm ZnO NP exposure resulted in a rise in cytosolic and mitochondrial $[Zn^{2+}]$.¹³ In H1355 cells, these NPs induced LDH release, depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and caspase-3 activation.

Exposure of Jurkat cells to 15.5 nm ZnO NPs resulted in caspase-independent apoptosis that was also independent of ROS formation.⁴⁸ This effect was caused by Zn^{2+} that was formed by extracellular dissolution of ZnO NPs. Coating the NP with mercaptopropyl trimethoxysilane-SiO₂ or methoxyl clearly reduced cell death.

Exposure of human cardiac microvascular endothelial cells to 45 nm ZnO NPs induced a concentration- and time-dependent cytotoxicity, measured by MTT reduction and LDH leakage.⁴⁹ In addition, cell permeability was affected (measured by the flux through endothelial monolayers of bovine serum albumin [BSA]-fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate), and an inflammatory response was induced (measured by ROS generation and increased mRNA expression of *vascular cell adhesion molecule 1*, *intercellular adhesion molecule 1*, chemokine *CCL2*, and *IL-8*).

Zn^{2+} released from 90 × 25 nm ZnO nanorods induced cytotoxicity in NIH 3T3 murine fibroblasts.⁵⁰ ZnO NPs (47–106 nm) induced cytotoxicity and ROS generation in HepG2 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells.⁵¹ ZnO NPs (uncoated

100 nm and triethoxycaprylsilane-coated 130 nm) were 50% soluble, which could account for their cytotoxicity.⁵² Moreover, both ZnO NPs (but not other NPs tested) decreased albumin production by C3A human hepatoblastoma cells, suggesting effects on hepatic function.

Nano- and micro-sized ZnO induced cytotoxicity at similar concentrations in IP15 human glomerular mesangial cells.⁵³ The NPs (75 nm) induced ROS generation and reduced glutathione levels in IP15 cells and HK-2 human proximal epithelial cells. In addition, these NPs induced NF- κ B translocation in HK-2 cells.

In human embryonic lung fibroblasts, 20, 30, and 40 nm ZnO NPs were cytotoxic.⁵⁴ In primary mouse embryo fibroblasts, 20 nm ZnO NPs were cytotoxic (measured by MTT reduction, LDH leakage, and the water-soluble tetrazolium [WST] assay) and induced oxidative stress (measured by glutathione depletion, malondialdehyde production, and superoxide dismutase inhibition) as well as ROS generation.⁵⁵ Exposure of neuro-2A mouse neuroblastoma cells to 50–70 nm ZnO NPs induced cytotoxicity (measured by MTT reduction and LDH release).⁵⁶ Both 40–100 nm ZnO NPs and 44 µm micro-sized ZnO particles decreased viability and cell contractility of human airway smooth muscle cells.⁵⁷ It may be speculated that ZnO NPs mediate UV phototoxicity. However, at least in vitro there was no difference in cytotoxicity between UV-irradiated and nonirradiated ZnO NPs.¹⁸

Similar to the observations reported above in sections on in vitro studies, cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, apoptosis, and an inflammatory response were reported. ZnO NPs decrease cell contractility of airway smooth muscle cells, posing an additional adverse effect on airway cells. This single study should be complemented with additional ones. ZnO NPs do not mediate UV phototoxicity.

Genotoxicity studies in vivo and in vitro

In vivo studies

Triethoxycaprylsilane-coated ZnO NPs (30–200 nm) did not induce genotoxicity in lung cells from rats exposed by inhalation, as determined by the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test.⁵⁸ Although ZnO NPs (60–200 nm) showed some clastogenic activity in vitro in mammalian cells, there was no indication for clastogenic potential or aneugenic activity in vivo.²⁰

In vitro studies

Dimethoxydiphenylsilane/triethoxycaprylsilane crosspolymer-coated ZnO NPs (30–200 nm) did not induce

genotoxicity in vitro, established by the *Salmonella* reverse mutation (Ames) test.⁵⁸ ZnO NPs (<100 nm) were negative in the Ames test up to 1000 µg/mL in the absence of S9 metabolic activation and induced only marginal mutagenesis in *Escherichia coli* WP2 trp uvrA in the presence of 9% S9 fraction.⁵⁹ Tetramethylammonium hydroxide-coated ZnO NPs (size before coating was reported to be 5.4 nm) were negative in the Ames test using *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA(–), with and without metabolic activation using S9 pre-incubation.⁶⁰

ZnO NPs (<100 nm) induced genotoxicity in HEp-2 human cervix carcinoma cells, using the Comet assay and the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay.⁶¹ Genotoxicity was associated with increased tyrosine phosphorylation.

ZnO NPs (<100 nm) were internalized in primary human epidermal keratinocytes after 6 hours exposure.⁶² Inhibition of mitochondrial activity was seen as well as induction of DNA damage as evident from the comet assay; in the A431 human epidermal cell line, these NPs induced DNA damage.⁶³ In the latter study, oxidative stress was also found to be induced by these NPs, as evidenced by glutathione depletion and reduction of catalase and superoxide dismutase activity.

In Caco-2 cells, 10 nm ZnO NPs induced cytotoxicity (measured by the WST assay and LDH release) and DNA strand breakage and oxidative DNA damage (established using the Fpg-comet assay).⁶⁴

Repetitive exposure to 76 × 53 nm ZnO NPs (5 µg/mL) of human nasal mucosa mini-organ cultures induced DNA damage, established using the comet assay.⁶⁵ This damage was further increased after a 24-hour regeneration period. The NPs were distributed in the cytoplasm and the nucleus.

Coating 30 nm ZnO NPs with poly methyl acrylic acid (PMAA) reduced cytotoxicity and ROS generation in WIL2-NS human lymphoblastoid cells.⁶⁶ PMAA coating did, however, significantly increase genotoxicity compared to the uncoated NPs, established by the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay.⁶⁷

In vivo genotoxicity assays did not show any effects. In vitro, the Ames test failed to show genotoxicity, but the relevance of the bacterial mutation assay for NPs may be limited. However, in vitro both the Comet assay and the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay showed induction of DNA damage and genotoxicity. This may suggest that the type of assay and whether testing was done in vitro or in vivo affects the outcome of the test.

Figure 1 Putative mechanisms underlying the in vitro effects of ZnO NPs.

Notes: ZnO NPs dissolve in the extracellular milieu, resulting in an increased extracellular [Zn²⁺]. This in turn leads to an increased intracellular [Zn²⁺], resulting in reduced activity of Zn²⁺-dependent enzymes and Zn²⁺-dependent transcription factors. This mechanism has been suggested for A549 and BEAS-2B cells. Alternatively, ZnO NPs enter the cell, after which they dissolve intracellularly. This mechanism may apply to RKO cells. In lysosomes, ZnO NPs are dissolved, the low pH and lysosomal enzymes aiding in digestion of the protein corona. This leads to an increased intralysosomal [Zn²⁺], resulting in lysosomal destabilization. Toxic effects of ZnO NPs include intracellular Ca²⁺ flux, ROS generation, membrane damage, and mitochondrial dysfunction.

Abbreviations: L, lysosome; N, nucleus; NPs, nanoparticles; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

Protein binding

NPs are covered by proteins in vitro in cell culture medium and in cells but also in vivo. The identity of the proteins that bind to the NPs depends, next to the NP itself, on the environment (ie, cell culture medium, blood) and on the time in which the NPs are present in that specific environment.

Ultrasonication is the most effective way for disaggregating NPs in water. ZnO NPs (20 nm) could not remain stable in suspensions in water, which is presumably due to the high Zn^{2+} concentration resulting in enhanced aggregation.⁶⁸ Adsorption of BSA to 20 nm ZnO NPs led to deagglomeration.⁶⁹ The presence of BSA results in enhanced stability.

ZnO NPs (30 nm) are able to bind proteins with important biological functions, including immunoglobulins, lipoproteins, albumin, α -1-antichymotrypsin, α -2-macroglobulin, and transferrin.⁷⁰ Polymethylsilsesquioxane-coated ZnO NPs did not bind any plasma proteins.

Proteins adhering to ZnO NPs result in enhanced stability of the NPs. The proteins that are bound by ZnO NPs are physiologically important.

General conclusions and recommendations

The in vivo results indicate that ZnO NP exposure via inhalation poses the most important hazard, while for skin exposure hazard can be considered rather minimal in view of the limited uptake via the skin and the absence of local effects. Risk assessment (exposure assessment, dose response relationships) of ZnO NP exposure is almost lacking; risk assessment of inhalation exposure is needed urgently. Exposure of rats to 2.4 mg/m³ 35 nm ZnO NPs for 6 hours resulted in an increased neutrophil number in the BAL 24 hours later.⁷¹ Moreover, the number of white blood cells was increased. Earlier studies have shown that exposure of rats to 1 mg/m³ 60 nm ZnO NPs for 3 hours resulted in a fivefold increase in *HO* mRNA expression; exposure to 2.5 and 5 mg/m³ resulted in increased mRNA expression of *HO* and *metallothionein*.⁷² Exposure of guinea pigs to 2.3 mg/m³ 50 nm ZnO NPs for 2 days (3 hours/day) resulted in an increased neutrophil number, LDH release, and alkaline phosphatase activity in the BAL, while exposure to 1.1 mg/m³ resulted in an increased neutrophil number and acid phosphatase activity in the BAL.^{73,74} Exposure of guinea pigs to 4.6 mg/m³ 50 nm ZnO NPs for 6 days (3 hours/day) resulted in functional and morphological changes in the lung.⁷⁵ Importantly, metal fume fever developed in workers exposed to 2.5 mg/m³ for 2 hours.⁷⁶ Together these data suggest that the current

exposure standard of 5 mg/m³ may not be adequate to protect workers from acute lung inflammation.

Various cell types (representing, among others, airways, skin, intestines, and the immune system) are affected in vitro by ZnO NPs. Most studies suggest that these effects are caused by Zn^{2+} that results from NP dissolution outside the cell. One study suggests that NPs are taken up by the cell, after which dissolution takes place inside the cell. The in vitro results indicate that induction of oxidative stress is the most important or most likely mechanism underlying ZnO NP toxicity. The putative mechanisms underlying the in vitro effects of ZnO NPs are outlined in Figure 1.

After systemic exposure neurological effects may occur. Additional studies are needed regarding potential neurological responses after systemic ZnO NP exposure.

So far, genotoxicity was only observed in in vitro studies, while in vivo studies were negative. Additional studies are needed before definitive conclusions on the genotoxicity of ZnO NPs can be made.

Acknowledgments

Prof Henk van Loveren and Dr Margriet Park are acknowledged for critical review of the manuscript. This review was supported by The Netherlands Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority (V340370).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Osmond MJ, McCall MJ. Zinc oxide nanoparticles in modern sunscreens: an analysis of potential exposure and hazard. *Nanotoxicology*. 2010;4(1): 15–41.
- Witschger O, Fabriès JF. Particules ultra-fines et santé au travail: 1 – Caractéristiques et effets potentiels sur la santé [Ultrafine particles and occupational health: 1 – Characteristics and potential health effects]. *Cahiers de notes documentaires*. 2005;199:21–35. French.
- Warheit DB, Sayes CM, Reed KL. Nanoscale and fine zinc oxide particles: can in vitro assays accurately forecast lung hazards following inhalation exposures? *Environ Sci Technol*. 2009;43(20): 7939–7945.
- Sayes CM, Reed KL, Warheit DB. Assessing toxicity of fine and nanoparticles: comparing in vitro measurements to in vivo pulmonary toxicity profiles. *Toxicol Sci*. 2007;97(1):163–180.
- Cho WS, Duffin R, Poland CA, et al. Metal oxide nanoparticles induce unique inflammatory footprints in the lung: important implications for nanoparticle testing. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118(12): 1699–1706.
- Cho WS, Duffin R, Poland CA, et al. Differential pro-inflammatory effects of metal oxide nanoparticles and their soluble ions in vitro and in vivo; zinc and copper nanoparticles, but not their ions, recruit eosinophils to the lungs. *Nanotoxicology*. 2012;6(1):22–35.
- Cho WS, Duffin R, Howie SE, et al. Progressive severe lung injury by zinc oxide nanoparticles; the role of Zn^{2+} dissolution inside lysosomes. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2011;8:27.

8. Cho WS, Duffin R, Thielbeer F, et al. Zeta potential and solubility to toxic ions as mechanisms of lung inflammation caused by metal/metal oxide nanoparticles. *Toxicol Sci.* 2012;126(2):469–477.
9. Xia T, Zhao Y, Sager T, et al. Decreased dissolution of ZnO by iron doping yields nanoparticles with reduced toxicity in the rodent lung and zebrafish embryos. *ACS Nano.* 2011;5(2):1223–1235.
10. Zhang H, Ji Z, Xia T, et al. Use of metal oxide nanoparticle band gap to develop a predictive paradigm for oxidative stress and acute pulmonary inflammation. *ACS Nano.* 2012;6(5):4349–4368.
11. Wang L, Wang L, Ding W, Zhang F. Acute toxicity of ferric oxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles in rats. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 2010;10(12):8617–8624.
12. Landsiedel R, Ma-Hock L, Kroll A, et al. Testing metal-oxide nanomaterials for human safety. *Adv Mater.* 2010;22(24):2601–2627.
13. Kao YY, Chen YC, Cheng TJ, Chiung YM, Liu PS. Zinc oxide nanoparticles interfere with zinc ion homeostasis to cause cytotoxicity. *Toxicol Sci.* 2012;125(2):462–472.
14. Ayres JG, Borm P, Cassee FR, et al. Evaluating the toxicity of airborne particulate matter and nanoparticles by measuring oxidative stress potential – a workshop report and consensus statement. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2008;20(1):75–99.
15. Jachak A, Lai SK, Hida K, et al. Transport of metal oxide nanoparticles and single-walled carbon nanotubes in human mucus. *Nanotoxicology.* July 29, 2011. [Epub ahead of print.]
16. Cross SE, Innes B, Roberts MS, Tsuzuki T, Robertson TA, McCormick P. Human skin penetration of sunscreen nanoparticles: in-vitro assessment of a novel micronized zinc oxide formulation. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol.* 2007;20(3):148–154.
17. Filipe P, Silva JN, Silva R, et al. Stratum corneum is an effective barrier to TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles percutaneous absorption. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol.* 2009;22(5):266–275.
18. Schilling K, Bradford B, Castelli D, et al. Human safety review of “nano” titanium dioxide and zinc oxide. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 2010;9(4):495–509.
19. Gulson B, McCall M, Korsch M, et al. Small amounts of zinc from zinc oxide particles in sunscreens applied outdoors are absorbed through human skin. *Toxicol Sci.* 2010;118(1):140–149.
20. Monteiro-Riviere NA, Wiensch K, Landsiedel R, Schulte S, Inman AO, Riviere JE. Safety evaluation of sunscreen formulations containing titanium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles in UVB sunburned skin: an in vitro and in vivo study. *Toxicol Sci.* 2011;123(1):264–280.
21. Surekha P, Kishore AS, Srinivas A, et al. Repeated dose dermal toxicity study of nano zinc oxide with Sprague-Dawley rats. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol.* 2012;31(1):26–32.
22. Newman MD, Stotland M, Ellis JI. The safety of nanosized particles in titanium dioxide- and zinc oxide-based sunscreens. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2009;61(4):685–692.
23. Han D, Tian Y, Zhang T, Ren G, Yang Z. Nano-zinc oxide damages spatial cognition capability via over-enhanced long-term potentiation in hippocampus of Wistar rats. *Int J Nanomedicine.* 2011;6:1453–1461.
24. Chen JK, Shih MH, Peir JJ, et al. The use of radioactive zinc oxide nanoparticles in determination of their tissue concentrations following intravenous administration in mice. *Analyst.* 2010;135(7):1742–1746.
25. Li CH, Shen CC, Cheng YW, et al. Organ biodistribution, clearance, and genotoxicity of orally administered zinc oxide nanoparticles in mice. *Nanotoxicology.* September 27, 2011. [Epub ahead of print.]
26. Deng X, Luan Q, Chen W, et al. Nanosized zinc oxide particles induce neural stem cell apoptosis. *Nanotechnology.* 2009;20(11):115101.
27. Müller KH, Kulkarni J, Motskin M, et al. pH-dependent toxicity of high aspect ratio ZnO nanowires in macrophages due to intracellular dissolution. *ACS Nano.* 2010;4(11):6767–6779.
28. George S, Pokhrel S, Xia T, et al. Use of a rapid cytotoxicity screening approach to engineer a safer zinc oxide nanoparticle through iron doping. *ACS Nano.* 2010;4(1):15–29.
29. Xia T, Kovochich M, Liong M, et al. Comparison of the mechanism of toxicity of zinc oxide and cerium oxide nanoparticles based on dissolution and oxidative stress properties. *ACS Nano.* 2008;2(10):2121–2134.
30. Hsiao IL, Huang YJ. Titanium oxide shell coatings decrease the cytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2011;24(3):303–313.
31. Huang CC, Aronstam RS, Chen DR, Huang YW. Oxidative stress, calcium homeostasis, and altered gene expression in human lung epithelial cells exposed to ZnO nanoparticles. *Toxicol In Vitro.* 2010;24(1):45–55.
32. Wu W, Samet JM, Peden DB, Bromberg PA. Phosphorylation of p65 is required for zinc oxide nanoparticle-induced interleukin 8 expression in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2010;118(7):982–987.
33. Ng KW, Khoo SP, Heng BC, et al. The role of the tumor suppressor p53 pathway in the cellular DNA damage response to zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Biomaterials.* 2011;32(32):8218–8225.
34. Hsiao IL, Huang YJ. Effects of various physicochemical characteristics on the toxicities of ZnO and TiO₂ nanoparticles toward human lung epithelial cells. *Sci Total Environ.* 2011;409(7):1219–1228.
35. Ahamed M, Akhtar MJ, Raja M, et al. ZnO nanorod-induced apoptosis in human alveolar adenocarcinoma cells via p53, survivin and bax/bcl-2 pathways: role of oxidative stress. *Nanomedicine.* 2011;7(6):904–913.
36. Lenz AG, Karg E, Lentner B, et al. A dose-controlled system for air-liquid interface cell exposure and application to zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2009;6:32.
37. Xie Y, Williams NG, Tolic A, et al. Aerosolized ZnO nanoparticles induce toxicity in alveolar type II epithelial cells at the air-liquid interface. *Toxicol Sci.* 2012;125(2):450–461.
38. Kim YH, Fazlollahi F, Kennedy IM, et al. Alveolar epithelial cell injury due to zinc oxide nanoparticle exposure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010;182(11):1398–1409.
39. Meyer K, Rajanahalli P, Ahamed M, Rowe JJ, Hong Y. ZnO nanoparticles induce apoptosis in human dermal fibroblasts via p53 and p38 pathways. *Toxicol In Vitro.* 2011;25(8):1721–1726.
40. Moos PJ, Olszewski K, Honegger M, et al. Responses of human cells to ZnO nanoparticles: a gene transcription study. *Metallomics.* 2011;3(11):1199–1211.
41. Kocbek P, Teskac K, Kreft ME, Kristl J. Toxicological aspects of long-term treatment of keratinocytes with ZnO and TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Small.* 2010;6(17):1908–1917.
42. Yazdi AS, Guarda G, Riteau N, et al. Nanoparticles activate the NLR pyrin domain containing 3 (Nlrp3) inflammasome and cause pulmonary inflammation through release of IL-1 α and IL-1 β . *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(45):19449–19454.
43. Moos PJ, Chung K, Woessner D, Honegger M, Cutler NS, Veranth JM. ZnO particulate matter requires cell contact for toxicity in human colon cancer cells. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2010;23(4):733–739.
44. De Berardis B, Civitelli G, Condello M, et al. Exposure to ZnO nanoparticles induces oxidative stress and cytotoxicity in human colon carcinoma cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2010;246(3):116–127.
45. Hanley C, Thurber A, Hanna C, Punnoose A, Zhang J, Wingett DG. The influences of cell type and ZnO nanoparticle size on immune cell cytotoxicity and cytokine induction. *Nanoscale Res Lett.* 2009;4(12):1409–1420.
46. Palomäki J, Karisola P, Pylkkänen L, Savolainen K, Alenius H. Engineered nanomaterials cause cytotoxicity and activation on mouse antigen presenting cells. *Toxicology.* 2010;267(1–3):125–131.
47. Song W, Zhang J, Guo J, et al. Role of the dissolved zinc ion and reactive oxygen species in cytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles. *Toxicol Lett.* 2010;199(3):389–397.
48. Buerki-Thurnherr T, Xiao L, Diener L, et al. In vitro mechanistic study towards a better understanding of ZnO nanoparticle toxicity. *Nanotoxicology.* March 20, 2012. [Epub ahead of print.]
49. Sun J, Wang S, Zhao D, Hun FH, Weng L, Liu H. Cytotoxicity, permeability, and inflammation of metal oxide nanoparticles in human cardiac microvascular endothelial cells: cytotoxicity, permeability, and inflammation of metal oxide nanoparticles. *Cell Biol Toxicol.* 2011;27(5):333–342.

50. Yang ST, Liu JH, Wang J, et al. Cytotoxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles: importance of microenvironment. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2010;10(12): 8638–8645.
51. Wang Y, Aker WG, Hwang HM, Yedjou CG, Yu H, Tchounwou PB. A study of the mechanism of in vitro cytotoxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles using catfish primary hepatocytes and human HepG2 cells. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011;409(22):4753–4762.
52. Kermanizadeh A, Pojana G, Gaiser BK, et al. In vitro assessment of engineered nanomaterials using a hepatocyte cell line: cytotoxicity, proinflammatory cytokines and functional markers. *Nanotoxicology*. January 20, 2012. [Epub ahead of print.]
53. Pujalté I, Passagne I, Brouillaud B, et al. Cytotoxicity and oxidative stress induced by different metallic nanoparticles on human kidney cells. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2011;8:10.
54. Yuan JH, Chen Y, Zha HX, et al. Determination, characterization and cytotoxicity on HELF cells of ZnO nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2010;76(1):145–150.
55. Yang H, Liu C, Yang D, Zhang H, Xi Z. Comparative study of cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and genotoxicity induced by four typical nanomaterials: the role of particle size, shape and composition. *J Appl Toxicol*. 2009;29(1):69–78.
56. Jeng HA, Swanson J. Toxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles in mammalian cells. *J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng*. 2006;41(12):2699–2711.
57. Berntsen P, Park CY, Rothen-Rutishauser B, et al. Biomechanical effects of environmental and engineered particles on human airway smooth muscle cells. *J R Soc Interface*. 2010;7(Suppl 3):S331–S340.
58. Landsiedel R, Ma-Hock L, Van Ravenzwaay B, et al. Gene toxicity studies on titanium dioxide and zinc oxide nanomaterials used for UV-protection in cosmetic formulations. *Nanotoxicology*. 2010;4:364–381.
59. Pan X, Redding JE, Wiley PA, Wen L, McConnell JS, Zhang B. Mutagenicity evaluation of metal oxide nanoparticles by the bacterial reverse mutation assay. *Chemosphere*. 2010;79(1):113–116.
60. Yoshida R, Kitamura D, Maenosono S. Mutagenicity of water-soluble ZnO nanoparticles in Ames test. *J Toxicol Sci*. 2009;34(1):119–122.
61. Osman IF, Baumgartner A, Cemeli E, Fletcher JN, Anderson D. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of zinc oxide and titanium dioxide in HEp-2 cells. *Nanomedicine (Lond)*. 2010;5(8):1193–1203.
62. Sharma V, Singh SK, Anderson D, Tobin DJ, Dhawan A. Zinc oxide nanoparticles induced genotoxicity in primary human epidermal keratinocytes. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2011;11(5):3782–3788.
63. Sharma V, Shukla RK, Saxena N, Parmar D, Das M, Dhawan A. DNA damaging potential of zinc oxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicol Lett*. 2009;185(3):211–218.
64. Gerloff K, Albrecht C, Boots AW, Förster I, Schins RP. Cytotoxicity and oxidative DNA damage by nanoparticles in human intestinal Caco-2 cells. *Nanotoxicology*. 2009;3(4):355–364.
65. Hackenberg S, Zimmermann FZ, Scherzed A, et al. Repetitive exposure to zinc oxide nanoparticles induces DNA damage in human nasal mucosa mini organ cultures. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2011;52(7): 582–589.
66. Yin H, Casey PS, McCall MJ. Surface modifications of ZnO nanoparticles and their cytotoxicity. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2010;10(11): 7565–7570.
67. Yin H, Casey PS, McCall MJ, Fenech M. Effects of surface chemistry on cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and the generation of reactive oxygen species induced by ZnO nanoparticles. *Langmuir*. 2010;26(19): 15399–15408.
68. Tso CP, Zhung CM, Shih YH, Tseng YM, Wu SC, Doong RA. Stability of metal oxide nanoparticles in aqueous solutions. *Water Sci Technol*. 2010;61(1):127–133.
69. Tantra R, Tompkins J, Quincey P. Characterisation of the deagglomeration effects of bovine serum albumin on nanoparticles in aqueous suspension. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2010;75(1):275–281.
70. Deng ZJ, Mortimer G, Schiller T, Musumeci A, Martin D, Minchin RF. Differential plasma protein binding to metal oxide nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology*. 2009;20(45):455101.
71. Ho M, Wu KY, Chein HM, Chen LC, Cheng TJ. Pulmonary toxicity of inhaled nanoscale and fine zinc oxide particles: mass and surface area as an exposure metric. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2011;23(14):947–956.
72. Cosma G, Fulton H, DeFeo T, Gordon T. Rat lung metallothionein and heme oxygenase gene expression following ozone and zinc oxide exposure. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 1992;117(1):75–80.
73. Conner MW, Flood WH, Rogers AE, Amdur MO. Lung injury in guinea pigs caused by multiple exposures to ultrafine zinc oxide: changes in pulmonary lavage fluid. *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1988;25(1):57–69.
74. Conner MW, Flood WH, Rogers AE, Amdur MO. Changes in pulmonary lavage fluid of guinea pigs exposed to ultrafine zinc oxide with adsorbed sulfuric acid. *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1989;26(2):223–234.
75. Lam HF, Conner MW, Rogers AE, Fitzgerald S, Amdur MO. Functional and morphologic changes in the lungs of guinea pigs exposed to freshly generated ultrafine zinc oxide. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 1985;78(1): 29–38.
76. Fine JM, Gordon T, Chen LC, Kinney P, Falcone G, Beckett WS. Metal fume fever: characterization of clinical and plasma IL-6 responses in controlled human exposures to zinc oxide fume at and below the threshold limit value. *J Occup Environ Med*. 1997;39(8): 722–726.

Nanotechnology, Science and Applications

Publish your work in this journal

Nanotechnology, Science and Applications is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focuses on the science of nanotechnology in a wide range of industrial and academic applications. It is characterized by the rapid reporting across all sectors, including engineering, optics, bio-medicine, cosmetics, textiles, resource sustainability

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/nanotechnology-science-and-applications-journal>

and science. Applied research into nano-materials, particles, nano-structures and fabrication, diagnostics and analytics, drug delivery and toxicology constitute the primary direction of the journal. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Dovepress