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Abstract: Many attempts have been made to increase the duration of local anesthetic action. One avenue of investigation has focused on encapsulating local anesthetics within carrier molecules to increase their residence time at the site of action. This article aims to review the literature surrounding the recently approved formulation of bupivacaine, which consists of bupivacaine loaded in multivesicular liposomes. This preparation increases the duration of local anesthetic action by slow release from the liposome and delays the peak plasma concentration when compared to plain bupivacaine administration. Liposomal bupivacaine has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for local infiltration for pain relief after bunionectomy and hemorrhoidectomy. Studies have shown it to be an effective tool for postoperative pain relief with opioid sparing effects and it has also been found to have an acceptable adverse effect profile. Its kinetics are favorable even in patients with moderate hepatic impairment, and it has been found not to delay wound healing after orthopedic surgery. More studies are needed to establish its safety and efficacy for use via intrathecal, epidural, or perineural routes. In conclusion, liposomal bupivacaine is effective for treating postoperative pain when used via local infiltration when compared to placebo with a prolonged duration of action, predictable kinetics, and an acceptable side effect profile. However, more adequately powered trials are needed to establish its superiority over plain bupivacaine.
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Introduction

Pain is a protective mechanism which has adaptive value, and the inability to experience pain has been linked to early mortality from accidental injuries or damage to joints. However, pain in the postoperative setting is an unwanted side effect of surgery directed to improve morbidity or mortality. The potential benefits of optimal postoperative pain control include: improved cardiac, respiratory, and gastrointestinal functions; fewer thromboembolic complications; improved arterial graft survival; fewer septic complications; reduced chronic post surgical pain; reduced mortality in high-risk patients; and reduced health care costs. Opioids have been the cornerstone of relief for perioperative pain; however, opioids have numerous side effects including nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, prolonged ileus, itching, tolerance, and development of opiate induced hyperalgesia. Increasingly, multimodal analgesia is used to reduce perioperative opiate requirements, thus potentially reducing opioid side effects and improving the quality of analgesia. Local anesthetics are increasingly used perioperatively via different routes.
as part of a multimodal regimen. The use of bolus injection of local anesthetics is limited by duration of post operative pain relief with the average duration of block via interscalene injection being 8 to 12 hours with either bupivacaine 0.5% or ropivacaine 0.5% or 0.75%. Local anesthetic infusions via catheters are used to increase the duration of postoperative analgesia; however, placement and maintenance of perineural catheters involves additional training in addition to the added cost of pumps.

Complications due to perineural catheters are infrequent but can be life threatening, and these complications can include infection, sepsis, intravascular placement, or intravascular catheter migration. The development of new, long acting local anesthetics, like liposomal bupivacaine is potentially important in the management of perioperative pain. This article will review liposomal bupivacaine as a potential addition to the clinician’s analgesic armamentarium.

**Liposomal bupivacaine**

Liposomes are microscopic structures consisting of a phospholipid bilayer encapsulating an aqueous core. They may be unilamellar, multilamellar, or multivesicular. Unilamellar liposomes consist of a single lipid bilayer surrounding the aqueous core, whereas multilamellar liposomes consist of concentric lipid layers. Multivesicular liposomes (MVL), however, consist of nonconcentric lipid bilayers. The nonconcentric nature of MVL confers characteristic drug release patterns from the aqueous core that are different from the unilamellar and multilamellar liposomes, leading to increased stability and longer duration of drug release. The release of drug from the MVL requires only a breach in the external layer, and release of a drug from internal vesicles leads to redistribution of the drug within the particle without release. The multivesicular structure also ensures that the vesicles rearrange themselves without release of drug by internal fusion and division. These vesicles can encapsulate water soluble drugs in their core, and lipid soluble drugs within the membrane. They are used in the systemic delivery of antifungals, antineoplastics, and antibiotics. Currently available liposomal bupivacaine consists of vesicles of bupivacaine loaded in the aqueous chambers using DepoFoam® technology (Pacira Pharmaceuticals Inc, San Diego, CA). Each particle is composed of a honeycomb-like structure of numerous internal aqueous chambers containing encapsulated bupivacaine (Figures 1 and 2).

![Figure 1 Scanning electron microscope image of DepoFoam® containing bupivacaine.](image-supplied-courtesy-of-Pacira-Pharmaceuticals-Inc-Sylvan-Way-Parsippany-NJ-07054)
Pharmacodynamics

Bupivacaine is an amide local anesthetic, which acts by inactivating voltage-dependent sodium channels. It has a pKa of 8.1 so only 15% is present in uncharged form at tissue pH. The uncharged fraction of bupivacaine travels across the cell membrane of the nerve, and once charged binds to the inner side of sodium channels, inactivating them. The release of bupivacaine from its binding site is slow, which leads to a longer duration of action than lidocaine.

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics of MVL bupivacaine have been studied in both animal and human models. Richard et al compared MVL bupivacaine in doses of 9, 18, and 30 mg/kg with 9 mg/kg of plain bupivacaine injected by wound infiltration in rabbits. They found the Cmax to be dose dependent, being 107 ± 27.6, 222 ± 28.3, and 307 ± 148 ng/mL for the three doses of MVL bupivacaine, respectively. However, the Cmax was much lower than plain bupivacaine (620 ± 89.9 ng/mL). The plasma bupivacaine concentration in the group receiving plain bupivacaine peaked quickly compared to the MVL bupivacaine group: 1 ± 0 h compared to 12.5 ± 8.06, 7.0 ± 11.3, and 30.3 ± 22.5 hours for the three doses of MVL bupivacaine, respectively. Plasma bupivacaine concentrations were detectable in most animals (dogs) who received MVL bupivacaine 9 mg/kg over a 96-hour study period.

In the pharmacokinetic study of human volunteers, Davidson et al compared subcutaneous injection of 20 mL of 2% liposomal bupivacaine versus 20 mL of 0.5% plain bupivacaine. They found no difference in the Cmax between the two groups (0.87 ± 0.45 versus 0.83 ± 0.34 in plain and liposomal groups, respectively) despite a 4-fold increase in bupivacaine dose and a 9.8-fold increase in the terminal half-life displayed by the liposomal bupivacaine group (131 ± 58 versus 1294 ± 860 min in plain and liposomal groups, respectively). The Tmax increased seven-fold in the liposomal bupivacaine group compared to the group administered plain bupivacaine, which was attributable to the slow release of liposomal bupivacaine. The attributes of slow release leading to prolonged Tmax and long T ½ leading to prolonged detectable plasma concentration of liposomal bupivacaine have been confirmed in a subsequent Phase II, multicenter clinical trial conducted by Langford et al.

Bupivacaine is metabolized mainly in the liver by glucuronide conjugation and hepatic N-dealkylation into pipecolyxylidine. Pipecolyxylidine is then hydroxylated and forms glucuronide conjugates. A small amount of bupivacaine is excreted unchanged in urine.

Efficacy in postoperative pain

Liposomal bupivacaine has been FDA approved for single dose wound infiltration in postoperative pain relief among patients undergoing hemorrhoidectomy and bunionectomy. Gorfine et al conducted a multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients undergoing hemorrhoidectomy. At the end of surgery, patients were randomized to receive either 300 mg (30 mL) extended release MVL bupivacaine or placebo (30 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride) in 5 mL increments via wound infiltration. Intraoperative use of all analgesics or local anesthetics, except fentanyl, was prohibited unless needed for the treatment of adverse effects. Patients remained at the
study center for 72 hours, and were administered postsurgical analgesia in response to breakthrough pain consisting of morphine sulfate as needed.

The primary outcome measure consisted of a cumulative pain score in the first 72 hours as reflected in the AUC\(_{0-72}\) (area under the curve) numerical rating score (NRS) of pain intensity. Secondary efficacy measures consisted of assessing the proportion of patients who received no opioid rescue medications, total amount of opioid rescue medications consumed, time to first postsurgical use of rescue medications, and the patient’s rating of satisfaction with postsurgical analgesia. The researchers found the pain scores to be markedly lower in the bupivacaine extended release group compared to those receiving the placebo with a least mean square (SE) AUC ranging from 0 to 72 hours of 141.8 (10.7) in the MVL bupivacaine group versus 202.5 (10.7) in the placebo group (\(P < 0.0001\)). In the bupivacaine extended release group, 59% of patients were opioid free at 12 hours, and 28% were opioid free at 72 hours when compared to 14% and 10% in the placebo group, respectively (\(P < 0.0008\) through 72 hours). In addition, the mean total amount of opioid consumed was lower in the MVL bupivacaine group (22.3 mg vs 29.1 mg, \(P = 0.0006\)), and the median time to first opioid use was longer (14.3 hours vs 1.2 hours with \(P < 0.0001\)) and was associated with greater patient satisfaction with postoperative analgesia (95% vs 73%, \(P = 0.0007\)) when compared to placebo.

Golf et al\(^{28}\) conducted a multicenter, parallel group, placebo controlled, randomized, double blind study in which they compared extended release MVL bupivacaine to placebo in patients undergoing bunionectomy. The patients underwent primary first metatarsal bunionectomy under midazolam and/or propofol sedation with Mayoo block with up to 25 mL of 2% lidocaine with epinephrine. Within 30 minutes after injection of lidocaine, the patients received either a single dose of 120 mg (8 mL) extended release bupivacaine or placebo (8 mL 0.9% sodium chloride) by local infiltration. Patients were observed for 24 hours at the study center. Rescue analgesia consisted of 5 mg oxycodone/325 mg acetaminophen tablets up to a maximum of 12 tablets per day with a single dose of intravenous ketorolac 15–30 mg as a second rescue. The primary outcome measure was the AUC of NRS pain scores through 24 hours. Secondary outcome measures consisted of: the proportion of patients who received no rescue pain medications; AUC of NRS pain scores through 36, 48, 60, and 72 hours; the proportion of patients who were pain free during the observation period; the time to first rescue medication use; and total oxycodone/acetaminophen consumption through 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 hours. The researchers found markedly reduced pain intensity scores at 24 and 36 hours post injection in the MVL bupivacaine group compared to placebo (\(P = 0.0005\) and \(P = 0.0229\) at 24 and 36 hours) with no difference at 48 hours (\(P = 0.1316\)). The percentage of patients who were pain free showed a statistically significant difference at 2, 4, 8, and 48 hours only in the MVL bupivacaine group (\(P < 0.05\)), with more patients in the MVL bupivacaine group not receiving any rescue pain medication through 24 hours only (\(P < 0.05\)). The time to first opioid use was longer (7.2 hours vs 4.3 hours, \(P < 0.0001\)), and fewer mean total number of oxycodone/acetaminophen tablets were used through 24 hours (3.8 vs 4.7 tablets, \(P = 0.0077\)) in the MVL bupivacaine group compared to the placebo group.

Smoot et al\(^{29}\) conducted a randomized, multicenter, double blind, parallel group, active control study comparing MVL bupivacaine 300 mg to bupivacaine HCl 100 mg (bupivacaine 0.5% with epinephrine 1:200,000) in patients undergoing bilateral cosmetic submuscular breast augmentation. At the end of the surgical procedure, the patients received either 300 mg of MVL bupivacaine or 100 mg of bupivacaine HCl (with epinephrine) on each side, injected locally at the breast implant pockets at the end of surgery. Postoperatively, the patients received 1000 mg of acetaminophen three times daily with rescue analgesia (oxycodone) for breakthrough pain through 96 hours. The primary outcome measure was the AUC of NRS pain scores through 72 hours. Secondary outcomes consisted of cumulative pain scores at time points other than 72 hours, proportion of patients not requiring rescue analgesia, total amount of rescue opioid medication consumed, and integrated rank assessment through multiple time points.

The mean cumulative pain score (numeric rating score with activity through 72 hours) was not significantly different in the two groups (441.5 in the MVL bupivacaine group vs 468.2 in the bupivacaine HCl group, \(P = 0.3999\)). The lack of a difference was attributed to a lack of statistical power. The NRS pain score with activity mean (SE) was markedly lower in the MVL bupivacaine group at 8 and 12 hours [4.9 (0.41) and 5.6 (0.40)] compared with the bupivacaine HCl group [6.7 (0.40) and 6.9 (0.37), \(P = 0.0016\) and 0.0143, respectively]. The difference in mean (SE) pain scores at rest was also lower in the MVL bupivacaine group at 8 hours only compared to the bupivacaine HCl group [3.5 (0.35) vs 5.0 (0.34) respectively (\(P = 0.027\))]. The total amount of postsurgical rescue opioid medication used at 24 and 48 hours was also lower in the MVL bupivacaine...
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Gorfine et al 17

RCT

300 mg DepoFoam

bupivacaine compared

with placebo

Hemorrhoidectomy

AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS

pain intensity scores

Least square mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 141.8 (10.7)

in the DepoFoam bupivacaine

(n = 94) group compared
to 202.5 (10.7) in placebo

(n = 93). \(P < 0.0001\).

Golf et al 18

RCT

120 mg DepoFoam

bupivacaine compared

with placebo

Bunionectomy

AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS

pain intensity scores

Least square mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 123.936

(4.854) in DepoFoam bupivacaine

bupivacaine group

(n = 93) compared to 146.233

(4.5869) in placebo

(n = 92). \(P < 0.0005\). 95% CI

difference between DepoFoam

bupivacaine vs placebo –34.799

to –9.794.

Smoot et al 19

RCT

600 mg DepoFoam

bupivacaine compared

with 200 mg bupivacaine

HCl with epinephrine

1:200,000

Submuscular augmentation

mammoplasty

AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS-A

pain intensity scores

Mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 441.5 (23.6) in

DepoFoam bupivacaine group

(n = 66) and 468.2 (23.0)

in bupivacaine HCl group

(n = 70). \(P = 0.3999\).
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RCT

Bupivacaine HCl 150 mg

(0.5%) with epinephrine

1:200,000 compared with

four doses of DepoFoam

bupivacaine (133, 266,

399, and 532 mg)

Total knee arthroplasty

AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS-A

pain intensity scores

Mean (SD) 20.7 (5.4), 19.5 (5.3), 18.8 (5.3),

and 19.1 (4.4) in DepoFoam bupivacaine

133 mg, 266 mg, 399 mg, and 532 mg groups

(n = 25, 24, 26, and 21, respectively) and

20.4 (3.9) in the bupivacaine HCl group

(n = 30). \(P \text{ value } > 0.05\) in each DepoFoam

bupivacaine group compared to

bupivacaine HCl group.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; MVL, multivesicular liposomes; NRS, numerical rating scale; NOS, not otherwise specified; NRS-A, numerical rating score with activity; RCT, randomized clinical trial; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error.

group compared to the bupivacaine HCl group (\(P = 0.0211\)

and 0.0459, respectively).

Bramlett et al 20 performed a randomized, double blind

study comparing wound infiltration of MVL bupivacaine

to bupivacaine HCl for postsurgical analgesia in total

knee arthroplasty. They compared 150 mg of bupivacaine

HCl (with 1:200,000 epinephrine) to MVL bupivacaine

in doses of 133 mg, 266 mg, 399 mg, and 532 mg. The

patients were between 18–75 years old and were classified

as American Society of Anesthesiologists physical

status 1–3 patients undergoing unilateral knee replacement

under general anesthesia. For 24 hours prior to surgery, all

patients received 1000 mg of acetaminophen three times
daily. Intraoperatively, only intravenous fentanyl use was

permitted. The study medications were diluted in 60 mL of

0.9% saline and were injected via local infiltration in the

depth tissues, the capsulotomy incision, and the subcutaneous

tissues intraoperatively. Postoperatively, patients received a

single dose of a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug parent-
tally with oral acetaminophen. For rescue analgesia, patient-

controlled intravenous morphine was used until patients could

be switched to oral oxycodone 5–10 mg every 4–6 hours once

oral intake was established. The primary outcome measure

was AUC of NRS pain scores with activity (NRS-A) through
day 4. Secondary outcome measures consisted of: AUC of

NRS-A through time points other than day 4; AUC of NRS

pain scores at rest (NRS-R); NRS-R and NRS-A scores at
each assessed time point; total consumption of opioid rescue

medications; total consumption of opioid medications; time
to resumption of daily activities; and provider’s satisfaction

with postoperative analgesia on day 8.

There was no difference between the groups for the

primary outcome measure of the mean AUC of NRS pain

scores with activity. The mean (SD) scores were 20.4 (3.9)
in the bupivacaine HCl group versus 19.1 (4.4), 18.8 (5.3),
19.5 (5.3), and 20.7 (5.4), in the MVL bupivacaine 532 mg,
399 mg, 266 mg, and 133 mg groups, respectively. There

was no detectable difference in the groups with regard to

mean numeric rating scale pain scores, total consumption of

rescue opioids, or the time to resumption of work or normal
daily activities (Table 1).

Boogaerts et al 21 compared 0.5% bupivacaine (with
1:200,000 epinephrine) with 0.5% liposomal bupivacaine

(a multilamellar formulation different from the clinically

available multivesicular DepoFoam) administered epidur-
ally for the management of postsurgical pain. The patients

were classified as American Society of Anesthesiologists

physical status 2 and 3 undergoing major abdominal surgery.

Table I Studies comparing the efficacy of MVL bupivacaine versus placebo or bupivacaine HCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Primary outcome</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gorfine et al 17</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>300 mg DepoFoam bupivacaine compared with placebo</td>
<td>Hemorrhoidectomy</td>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS pain intensity scores</td>
<td>Least square mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 141.8 (10.7) in the DepoFoam bupivacaine (n = 94) group compared to 202.5 (10.7) in placebo (n = 93). (P &lt; 0.0001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf et al 18</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>120 mg DepoFoam bupivacaine compared with placebo</td>
<td>Bunionectomy</td>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS pain intensity scores</td>
<td>Least square mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 123.936 (4.854) in DepoFoam bupivacaine group (n = 93) compared to 146.233 (4.5869) in placebo (n = 92). (P &lt; 0.0005). 95% CI of difference between DepoFoam bupivacaine vs placebo –34.799 to –9.794.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoot et al 19</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>600 mg DepoFoam bupivacaine compared with 200 mg bupivacaine HCl with epinephrine 1:200,000</td>
<td>Submuscular augmentation mammoplasty</td>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS-A pain intensity scores</td>
<td>Mean (SE) AUC\textsubscript{0–24} 441.5 (23.6) in DepoFoam bupivacaine group (n = 66) and 468.2 (23.0) in bupivacaine HCl group (n = 70). (P = 0.3999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bramlett et al 20</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Bupivacaine HCl 150 mg (0.5%) with epinephrine 1:200,000 compared with four doses of DepoFoam bupivacaine (133, 266, 399, and 532 mg)</td>
<td>Total knee arthroplasty</td>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–24} of NRS-A pain intensity scores</td>
<td>Mean (SD) 20.7 (5.4), 19.5 (5.3), 18.8 (5.3), and 19.1 (4.4) in DepoFoam bupivacaine 133 mg, 266 mg, 399 mg, and 532 mg groups (n = 25, 24, 26, and 21, respectively) and 20.4 (3.9) in the bupivacaine HCl group (n = 30). (P \text{ value } &gt; 0.05) in each DepoFoam bupivacaine group compared to bupivacaine HCl group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The epidural catheter was inserted with a test dose of bupivacaine (0.5% 3 mL) with epinephrine 1:200,000 given at the time of insertion. Postoperatively, when patients experienced pain after complete recovery of motor function, they received a 10 mL bolus of either liposomal bupivacaine 0.5% or 10 mL of plain bupivacaine 0.5% (with 1:200,000 epinephrine). The researchers found no detectable difference in the time of onset of analgesia (13.75 ± 1.25 min in the plain bupivacaine group versus 13.92 ± 1.58 min in the liposomal bupivacaine group), though the duration of analgesia increased significantly in the liposomal bupivacaine group (6.25 ± 1.13 hours in the liposomal bupivacaine group versus 3.2 ± 0.4 hours in the plain bupivacaine group, \( P < 0.05 \)). In a subset of patients who underwent abdominal aortic surgery, the duration of analgesia was 10.6 ± 1.4 hours in the liposomal bupivacaine group versus 2.42 ± 0.35 hours in the plain bupivacaine group \( (P < 0.001) \). There was no motor block in the liposomal bupivacaine group though intraoperative surgical anesthesia was not observed with the liposomal bupivacaine group. The lack of surgical block was thought to be due to alterations in the pharmacodynamics of the drug preventing the necessary amount of free bupivacaine available at the site of action, thus producing only postsurgical analgesia. There are no studies evaluating the epidural use of DepoFoam bupivacaine to assess whether the lack of surgical analgesia is seen with the DepoFoam formulation as well.

**Safety**

Bupivacaine may produce many adverse effects. The most common life threatening side effects involve the cardiovascular and central nervous systems. Bupivacaine is more cardiotoxic than lidocaine, and it produces its toxicity by producing cardiac conduction block. Animal studies have shown that bupivacaine uncouples oxidative phosphorylation, may induce apoptosis in muscle cells, and may cause Schwann cell damage. The damage to Schwann cells happens in both a time as well as a concentration dependent fashion. The myotoxicity of bupivacaine is well described and may be related to Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced apoptosis of muscle cells. The myotoxicity of bupivacaine is most pronounced after retrobulbar and peribulbar blocks with an overall incidence of anesthesia-related diplopia reported to be 0.25%. Although the diplopia may resolve spontaneously, it may require surgical correction. The most common side effects of MVL bupivacaine in clinical trials included nausea, vomiting, constipation, pyrexia, dizziness, and headache. Bergese et al\(^{39}\) compared the cardiac safety of MVL bupivacaine in four doses (150, 300, 450, or 600 mg) to bupivacaine HCl with epinephrine injected via wound infiltration intraoperatively in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. They found no significant differences in change from baseline in QRS or QTc duration in the two groups, nor did the two groups differ in mean change from baseline heart rate and PR interval. Naseem et al\(^{40}\) examined the effect of four doses of MVL bupivacaine (300, 450, 600, and 750 mg) injected subcutaneously on the QTc interval in healthy volunteers. None of the participants receiving MVL bupivacaine had a maximum QTc interval greater than 500 ms, and there were no changes in QTc of greater than 60 ms at any measured time point.

In a 2-year follow up study assessing the effect of MVL bupivacaine on the integrity of breast implants after augmentation mammoplasty, Minowitz et al\(^{41}\) found no negative impact of intraoperative use of MVL bupivacaine on the integrity of breast implants. Local anesthetics have inhibitory effects on platelet aggregation in response to different agonists. Pinto et al\(^{42}\) studied the effect of multilamellar liposomal local anesthetics on the inhibition of platelet aggregation in response to adenosine diphosphate. They found that encapsulation of local anesthetics into liposomes increased the inhibitory effect of local anesthetics; however, the clinical impact (if any) of this finding remains to be seen in larger trials. In an animal studies by Richard et al\(^{43,44}\) evaluating the safety and efficacy of MVL bupivacaine compared to plain bupivacaine and saline, the authors did find granulomatous inflammation in the MVL bupivacaine group, which was considered to be a normal reaction to liposomes; however, there was no effect on wound healing. MVL bupivacaine did not alter wound healing or wound scarring when used for postsurgical analgesia after total knee arthroplasty in humans.\(^{30}\)

DepoFoam\(^{8}\) should not be coadministered with any other local anesthetic as it may increase the release of bupivacaine from the liposomes. It should not be allowed to come in contact with antiseptics like chlorhexidine or povidone iodine as they may disrupt the lipid layers leading to uncontrolled release of bupivacaine.\(^{43}\)

**Discussion**

DepoFoam-encapsulated bupivacaine is a new formulation of bupivacaine that provides slow sustained release of bupivacaine from multivesicular liposomes. Compared to placebo, it has been shown to produce prolonged analgesia with an opioid sparing effect, although more adequately powered trials are needed to assess its efficacy and duration of
analgesia compared to standard local anesthetic solutions. At present, it is approved by the FDA for use via local infiltration after bunioectomy and hemorrhoidectomy. It has not been shown to be more toxic compared to plain bupivacaine, and it does not have markedly different cardiac effects than plain bupivacaine. It appears safe for use in patients with moderate hepatic impairment and does not warrant dose adjustment in that group.\(^2\) It has not been evaluated for use via intrathecal, epidural, or perineural administration or in pediatric and pregnant patients.\(^3\) More multicenter trials are needed to evaluate its efficacy and safety in these populations. If its safety and efficacy are established for epidural, intrathecal, and perineural use, it holds a potentially valuable place in the analgesic arsenal for use against postoperative pain and may substantially reduce the cost and complications associated with catheter and local anesthetic infusion pumps. In addition, the opioid sparing effects of MVL bupivacaine are valuable in potentially reducing opioid-related side effects. This in turn may reduce unwanted hospital admissions related to postoperative pain or opioid side effects.

In summary, the current literature studying MVL bupivacaine has, in general, demonstrated prolonged analgesia and reduced opioid side effects compared to placebo. However, its increased analgesic efficacy (and cost effectiveness) compared to plain bupivacaine in various clinical settings needs to be evaluated in adequately powered clinical trials. At present, the literature supports only a limited role for MVL bupivacaine. This may change as larger studies are conducted.
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