Fabrication and characterization of a rapid prototyped tissue engineering scaffold with embedded multicomponent matrix for controlled drug release

Muwan Chen1,2 Dang QS Le1,2 San Hein2 Pengcheng Li1 Jens V Nygaard2 Moustapha Kassem3 Jørgen Kjems2 Flemming Besenbacher2 Cody Bünger1

1Orthopaedic Research Lab, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus C, Denmark; 2Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark; 3Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Odense University Hospital, Odense C, Denmark

Abstract: Bone tissue engineering implants with sustained local drug delivery provide an opportunity for better postoperative care for bone tumor patients because these implants offer sustained drug release at the tumor site and reduce systemic side effects. A rapid prototyped macroporous polycaprolactone scaffold was embedded with a porous matrix composed of chitosan, nanoclay, and β-tricalcium phosphate by freeze-drying. This composite scaffold was evaluated on its ability to deliver an anthracycline antibiotic and to promote formation of mineralized matrix in vitro. Scanning electronic microscopy, confocal imaging, and DNA quantification confirmed that immortalized human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hMSC-TERT) cultured in the scaffold showed high cell viability and growth, and good cell infiltration to the pores of the scaffold. Alkaline phosphatase activity and osteocalcin staining showed that the scaffold was osteoinductive. The drug-release kinetics was investigated by loading doxorubicin into the scaffold. The scaffolds comprising nanoclay released up to 45% of the drug for up to 2 months, while the scaffold without nanoclay released 95% of the drug within 4 days. Therefore, this scaffold can fulfill the requirements for both bone tissue engineering and local sustained release of an anticancer drug in vitro. These results suggest that the scaffold can be used clinically in reconstructive surgery after bone tumor resection. Moreover, by changing the composition and amount of individual components, the scaffold can find application in other tissue engineering areas that need local sustained release of drug.

Keywords: nanoclay, chitosan, scaffold, tissue engineering, drug delivery system

Introduction

Repair of bone defects caused either by traumatic accidents or tumor resection poses major health care challenges to biomedical science and technology.1-3 Bone tissue engineering scaffolds have advanced greatly over the last decade and show very promising potential as bone graft substitutes.4,5 Scaffolds are generally composed of polymers and other materials which have been used in drug delivery systems for decades. The combined efforts of medical practitioners and material scientists enable fabrication of scaffolds with additional drug delivery features to which clinically important functionalities are added. To facilitate bone tissue formation, bioactive molecules like growth factors are incorporated into the scaffold.6,7 To prevent bacterial infection and biofilm, scaffolds have been designed to release antibiotics.8 To prevent cancer recurrence, chemotherapeutic drugs have been incorporated into the scaffold.9,10 There is an increasing interest in fabrication of drug-eluting bone tissue engineering scaffolds.
scaffolds because these scaffolds provide an approach that conventional medical practice does not offer.11-13

One such drug-eluting scaffold is a ceramic scaffold which is osteoconductive and able to carry drugs. However, it is difficult to tune drug release profiles into desired levels.14 Biodegradable polymeric materials such as polycaprolactone (PCL)15 and poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)16 have been investigated for bone tissue engineering and local drug delivery. There are several techniques in fabrication PCL scaffolds such as freeze drying, salt leaching and rapid prototyping. Among them, rapid prototyping or 3D printing are popular because it is possible to control the pore sizes and shapes of the scaffold to different degrees of strength and biodegradability.17 However, the exclusive use of PCL and PLGA scaffolds has shown limited success because of their hydrophobicity, difficulty to control drug release, and inferior osteoconductivity. Thus, an optimal combination of biomaterials is essential in the fabrication of tunable drug-eluting bone scaffolds with proper mechanical strength.

Nanoclay (sodium montmorillonite) are layered silicates, cationic exchangers, and possess negatively charged surfaces with specific surface areas up to 750 m²/g.18 Cationic drug or polymer molecules can intercalate and exfoliate the clay particles to provide a stable aqueous suspension and improve aqueous solubility of drugs. The cation exchange capacity of the clay, the charge of the drug, and pH of the medium determine the drug-release kinetics. Additional clay-drug interaction mechanisms, including hydrophobic, hydrogen bonding, ligand exchange, and water bridging may also be present. These properties have encouraged the use of clays for controlled release of drugs.19,20 Chitosan/clay nanocomposites are also potential sustained drug-release carriers.21-23

Both chitosan and calcium phosphate compounds, eg, hydroxyapatite or β-tricalcium phosphate, are widely used in bone tissue engineering because of their osteogenic properties. In addition, studies have shown that incorporation of clay with chitosan and hydroxyapatite improves both mechanical and osteogenic scaffold properties.24,25 However, the strength of the composite scaffold made from the combination of clay, β-tricalcium phosphate, and chitosan is insufficient to implant in defects of a high-loading tissue such as bone.

Therefore, the mechanically stable and biodegradable rapid prototyped macroporous PCL scaffold was used to host an osteoconductive and drug-eluting porous matrix. The chitosan/β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) composite was embedded into the host scaffold to improve osteoconductivity of the scaffold. The drug-loaded sodium montmorillonite clay was further incorporated to the chitosan/β-TCP matrix, providing a tunable drug-release system to the scaffold.

We used doxorubicin as a model drug because it is a widely used anthracycline antibiotic with a broad-spectrum antitumor activity to treat several types of malignancies,26-28 especially for soft tissue and bone sarcoma.29 However, due to its cumulative-dose limit and myocardial toxicity, treatment with doxorubicin is limited.30,31 Therefore, a sustained local drug delivery system could overcome these drawbacks.

We have designed and tested a biocompatible, biodegradable, and bioresorbable scaffold, capable of sustained drug release for a therapeutic strategy. The drug-loaded chitosan/nanoclay/β-TCP composite is housed in a rapid prototyped polycaprolactone scaffold for this purpose. We evaluated this composite scaffold in vitro in terms of its bone graft substitute potential with hMSC and its capacity for sustained release of doxorubicin.

Materials and methods

The nanoclay was Cloisite Na+, Lot: 07F28GDX-008 (Southern Clay Products, Inc, Moosburg, Germany). The chitosan was Chitopharm M with 75%-85% degree of deacetylation (Cognis, Florham Park, NJ). Polycaprolactone (MW = 50 kDa) was from Perstorp (Cheshire, UK). The β-TCP nanocrystals were Lot: TCPCH01 (Berkeley Advanced Biomaterials, Inc, Berkeley, CA). Doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX) was from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO).

Scaffold fabrication

PCL-base scaffold manufacture

Scaffolds were made from PCL by means of fused deposition modeling with a BioScaffolder (SYS+ENG GmbH, Ilmenau, Germany). Using a biopsy punch (Acumed, Fort Lauderdale, FL), cylindrical scaffolds with a diameter of 10 mm were punched out from 5 mm-thick porous PCL mats. To increase surface hydrophilicity and thus improve cell attachment, the scaffolds were etched in 5 mol/L sodium hydroxide for 3 hours, and then in 70% ethanol for sterilization. The scaffolds were rinsed in sterile water multiple times and dried.

Clay modification

Our pilot study showed that the clay-DOX carrier released less than 10% in 1 month. Thus we modified the clay with chitosan as described by Yuan et al23 and in the remainder of this paper, “clay” denotes this modified clay.

Clay was added into 0.2% (w/v) chitosan solution prepared in 1.0% (v/v) acetic acid. The weight ratio of chitosan to clay was 10:1. After stirring for 4 hours at ~500 rpm, the colloidal
scaffold fabrication.

Clay/DOX carrier
The modified clay was dispersed in DOX solution for 12 hours and in vortex for 2 hours. Then the solution was centrifuged at 15,000 g for 10 minutes and the supernatant was collected. DOX was encapsulated into the clay nanoparticles and designated as clay/DOX carrier.

Preparation of composite scaffolds
β-TCP nanoparticles were dispersed in 1% (w/v) chitosan solution prepared in 1% (v/v) acetic acid. The weight ratio of β-TCP to chitosan was 1:20. The chitosan/β-TCP solution was stirred at room temperature and then divided into four groups: A, B, C, and D, our testing groups for drug delivery (Figure 1).

Modified clay was added to Group A solution and used as a blank scaffold for the bone tissue engineering. DOX was added to Group B solution and used as a control group for the drug delivery. Both modified clay and DOX were added to Group C solution. The clay/DOX carrier was added to Group D solution. Each PCL scaffold (thickness 5 mm and diameter 10 mm) was immersed in 500 µL of each solution and was frozen at −20°C for 24 hours. Subsequently, lyophilization was done at −20°C at 40 mTorr for 48 hours with a Dura-Stop/Dura-Dry freeze dryer system (FTS Systems; SP Scientific, Warminster, PA). Next, the scaffolds were neutralized in 0.4 M NaOH in 70% ethanol (FTS Systems; SP Scientific, Warminster, PA). Next, the scaffolds were lyophilized for 3 hours for sterilization treatment. The scaffolds were rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) multiple times and freeze-dried. The combinations of each scaffold are shown in Table 1.

Drug-release profile test
The release profile of DOX from the scaffold was determined by incubating a piece of scaffold in 1.0 mL of sterile PBS (pH = 7.4) at 37°C in a sterile incubator for different time intervals. Scaffolds were placed in a 48-well plate (one scaffold/well) and the lid was closed tightly. At each time point, 1 mL of solution was collected and replaced with 1 mL of fresh PBS. The fluorescence intensity of DOX in the buffer solution was quantified with a Victor 1420 multilabel counter (Wallac, Waltham, MA) with excitation at 405 nm and emission at 615 nm. The concentrations of DOX released in the solutions were calculated according to the calibration curve of DOX in PBS and the cumulative release rates were calculated afterwards.

Seeding hMSC-TERT cells to scaffold
A telomerase reverse transcriptase gene-transduced cell population, hMSC-TERT cells, was used in this study. These cells maintain the functional characteristics of primary MSCs and have the capability to differentiate into certain mesodermal cell types (osteoblasts, chondrocytes, and adipocytes) in the presence of specific stimuli. Cells from population doubling (PD) level 262 (passage 45) were seeded at a density of 4000 cells/cm² in culture flasks in Dulbecco’s Modified Essential Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen) and cultivated in a humidified atmosphere of 37°C and 5% CO₂. After one week, cells were washed in PBS, detached with 0.125% trypsin and 5 mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, reseeded, and cultured for another week. Cells were trypsinized (PD level 271, passage 47) and resuspended for use (2 × 10⁵ cells/mL) in DMEM/10% FBS penicillin (100 U/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) and streptomycin (100 mg/L; Sigma-Aldrich).

Table 1 Scaffolding composition in different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaffold composition</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1% chitosan (µL)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-TCP (mg)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (mg)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX (mg)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay/DOX (mg)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.45/0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: β-TCP, β-tricalcium phosphate; DOX, doxorubicin hydrochloride.
The hMSC-TERT cells were seeded onto the top of the scaffolds by pipetting 50 µL of cell suspension media with 1 × 10⁶ cells onto each scaffold. The scaffolds were placed in agarose-coated (1% in sterile H₂O) six-well plates (4 scaffolds/well), and incubated for 2 hours in an incubator. Thereafter, additional 7.5 mL of DMEM/10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin were added to each well. After 24 hours, cell/scaffold constructs were moved to 58 mm diameter dual side-arm spinner flasks (Bellco Glass, Vineland, NJ). An autoclavable stainless framework with four needles was constructed and placed in the spinner flasks. Two cell-seeded scaffolds were mounted on each needle giving a total of eight scaffolds per flask. Spinner flasks containing 120 mL of media were placed on a Bell-ennium™ five-position magnetic stirrer (Bellco Biotechnology, Vineland, NJ) at 30 revolutions per minute in the incubator with side arm caps loosely attached.

Cell/scaffold constructs were cultured with DMEM/10% FBS for the first week, and then the medium was replaced with osteogenic stimulation medium (DMEM/10% FBS with 100 mM dexamethasone, 290 µM ascorbic acid and 5 mM β-glycerophosphate) (all from Sigma-Aldrich) and cultured for up to 21 days. Medium was exchanged twice a week.

**Cellular adhesion, viability and proliferation of hMSC-TERT cellular scaffolds**

**Scanning electron microscope (SEM)**

Scaffolds from day 1, day 7, day 14, and day 21 were rinsed in PBS and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde containing 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) and dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, air-dried. The samples from day 21 with cell culture and day 0 without cell culture were viewed using environmental mode SEM (Nova NanoSEM 600; FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR) and the element component of the crystal-like structure was analyzed by means of an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX).

**Confocal imaging**

To assess cell viability, the cell/scaffold constructs were incubated for 30 minutes in DMEM containing 10 µM CellTracker™ Green CMFDA (Invitrogen). The staining medium was then replaced with fresh DMEM/10% FBS and incubated for another 30 minutes at 37°C. Non-fluorescent CMFDA was converted to a bright green fluorescent product when cytosolic esterases cleaved off the acetates. The cell/scaffold constructs were then rinsed in prewarmed PBS, fixed in 10% formalin for 5 minutes at room temperature, and stained with 1 µg/mL Hoechst 33258 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 20 minutes. Living cells were labeled with green pixels. Nuclei of the cells were stained with Hoechst, labeled with red pixels. Chitosan were stained with yellow pixels resulting from the spatial overlap of red and green pixels. Images were acquired using a laser scanning confocal microscope, 510 Meta (Zeiss Microimaging GmbH, Jena, Germany). The confocal settings (excitation, laser power, detector gain, and pinhole size) were the same for all cell imaging.

Separate channels and filters were used. Excitation/emission wavelengths were 488 nm/BP505-530 nm for CellTracker™ Green and 405 nm/LP420 nm for Hoechst.

**DNA quantification**

The total cell number in the 3D cellular scaffold was estimated by quantifying the dsDNA content in each scaffold using the Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA assay (Invitrogen). Scaffolds were thawed and sonicated at intervals of 1 second on/5 seconds off for a total of 1 minute. Three milligrams of collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich,) were added to each DNA sample and the samples were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 3 hours. One mg proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich) was then added and the samples were incubated overnight in a 45°C water bath. Sample volume was diluted 1:10 in a Tris–EDTA buffer and vortexed in order to release DNA from scaffold debris. From each sample, 2 × 50 µL were drawn, 50 µL of PicoGreen (diluted 1:200 in TE buffer) was added, then the mixture was incubated in darkness for 5 minutes and measured into a 96-well plate using a microplate reader, Victor3 1420 Multilabel Counter, (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). Samples were excited at 480 nm, and the fluorescence emission intensity was measured at 520 nm. Standards were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions (lambda DNA, concentration range: 0–1 µg/mL). Technical duplicates were used for each biological sample (n = 4).

**Osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of hMSC-TERT cells in a 3D scaffold**

**Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity assay**

ALP activity was determined using a colorimetric endpoint assay measuring the enzymatic conversion of p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich) to the yellowish product, p-nitrophenol, in the presence of ALP. p-Nitrophenol absorbance was measured by means of a microspectrophotometer (Victor 1420; Perkin Elmer) at double wavelengths of 405 nm and 600 nm. Standards were prepared from p-nitrophenol...
(concentration range: 0–0.2 mM). Technical duplicates were used for each biological sample (n = 4).

von Kossa staining
The scaffolds were rinsed with PBS and fixed for 5 minutes in 4% (w/v) formaldehyde solution (pH 7.0), then washed with ddH₂O, incubated in darkness with a 2.5% silver nitrate solution for 20 minutes, and subsequently developed by adding 0.5% hydroquinone for 2 minutes. Finally, surplus silver was removed using sodium thiosulphate for 5 minutes. The scaffolds were dried under vacuum and pictures were taken afterwards.

Calcium content assay
Calcium contents of cell-seeded scaffolds were quantified using a colorimetric endpoint assay based on the complexation of one Ca²⁺ ion with two Arsenazo III molecules to a blue-purple product (Diagnostic Chemicals Limited, Charlottetown, PEI, Canada). The calcium deposition was dissolved in 1 M acetic acid by placing it in a shaker overnight. The samples were diluted 1:50 with ddH₂O and aliquots of 20 µL were transferred to a 96-well plate. Arsenazo III solution (280 µL) was added and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. A standard dilution series of calcium ranging from 0 to 50 µg/mL was prepared and Ca²⁺ concentration was quantified spectrophotometrically at 650 nm. Calcium content was expressed as micrograms of Ca²⁺ per scaffold.

Histology and immunohistochemistry
The scaffolds were fixed in 70% ethanol, Technovit® 7100 (Ax-lab, Vedbæk, Denmark) embedded, and cut into 25 µm sections using a Sawing Microtome KDG 95 (Meprotech, Dirkskorn, the Netherlands). Sections were taken from the peripheral and the central part of the scaffold. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was applied in order to reveal cell distribution. Histochemical staining for ALP was performed to test the osteogenic phenotype of cells cultured in the scaffolds. For immunohistochemistry, the sections were incubated overnight with rabbit anti-human osteocalcin antibody (BT593; Biomedical technologies Inc, Stoughton, MA), followed by biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (E0432; DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) for 1 hour, and peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (P0397; DAKO) for 1 hour. Sections were visualized with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazol (A6926; Sigma-Aldrich) and counter-stained with Mayer's haematoxilin. With the same staining procedure, sections stained without the primary antibody of the rabbit anti-human osteocalcin served as control. Images were photographed using a BX50 microscope with a Camedia C-5060 camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis
Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for n = 4 biological replicates. The data of DNA quantification, ALP activity, and calcium content were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statgraphics Centurion XVI software version 16.1.05 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc, Warrenton, VA). Data were tested for normal distribution and variance homogeneity using Levene’s-test. Multiple range test (LSD 95%) was used to identify differences between sampling days at the 5% significance level.

Results
Drug release from scaffolds
DOX release from scaffolds was measured using a colorimetric assay (Diagnostic Chemicals Limited, Charlottetown, PEI, Canada). The scaffolds were immersed in 1 M acetic acid by placing them in a shaker overnight. A standard dilution series of Ca²⁺ ion with two Arsenazo III molecules to a blue-purple product (Diagnostic Chemicals Limited, Charlottetown, PEI, Canada). The calcium deposition was dissolved in 1 M acetic acid by placing it in a shaker overnight. The samples were diluted 1:50 with ddH₂O and aliquots of 20 µL were transferred to a 96-well plate. Arsenazo III solution (280 µL) was added and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. A standard dilution series of calcium ranging from 0 to 50 µg/mL was prepared and Ca²⁺ concentration was quantified spectrophotometrically at 650 nm. Calcium content was expressed as micrograms of Ca²⁺ per scaffold.

Histology and immunohistochemistry
The scaffolds were fixed in 70% ethanol, Technovit® 7100 (Ax-lab, Vedbæk, Denmark) embedded, and cut into 25 µm sections using a Sawing Microtome KDG 95 (Meprotech, Dirkskorn, the Netherlands). Sections were taken from the peripheral and the central part of the scaffold. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was applied in order to reveal cell distribution. Histochemical staining for ALP was performed to test the osteogenic phenotype of cells cultured in the scaffolds. For immunohistochemistry, the sections were incubated overnight with rabbit anti-human osteocalcin antibody (BT593; Biomedical technologies Inc, Stoughton, MA), followed by biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (E0432; DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) for 1 hour, and peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (P0397; DAKO) for 1 hour. Sections were visualized with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazol (A6926; Sigma-Aldrich) and counter-stained with Mayer's haematoxilin. With the same staining procedure, sections stained without the primary antibody of the rabbit anti-human osteocalcin served as control. Images were photographed using a BX50 microscope with a Camedia C-5060 camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis
Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for n = 4 biological replicates. The data of DNA quantification, ALP activity, and calcium content were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statgraphics Centurion XVI software version 16.1.05 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc, Warrenton, VA). Data were tested for normal distribution and variance homogeneity using Levene’s-test. Multiple range test (LSD 95%) was used to identify differences between sampling days at the 5% significance level.

Results
Drug release from scaffolds
DOX without modified clay, DOX with modified clay, and clay/DOX carrier were each incorporated into individual scaffolds. The release profile of DOX from these three different composite scaffolds is shown in Figure 2. There was an initial burst release in all the groups. On day 4, DOX released 94% of the total amount of drug from the Group B control scaffolds (prepared without modified clay). Whereas, the clay incorporated scaffolds released far less amounts of drug loaded: 13% from Group C scaffolds (prepared by direct mixing with modified clay and DOX) and 15% from Group D scaffolds (prepared with clay/DOX carrier). The cumulative drug release was significantly lower from Group C scaffolds than Group D scaffolds on day 5 (P = 0.04). By day 56, about 33% was released by Group C scaffolds and 47% was released by Group D scaffolds.

Cell adhesion, viability and proliferation in the scaffold
Scanning electron microscopy (Figure 3A) shows the cells and extracellular matrix deposition on the scaffolds. On day 1, the cells anchored tightly on the surface of the scaffold. Cells were adhered and spread well on the scaffold. On day 7, cells and extracellular matrix deposition partially covered the scaffold. Increasing density and extracellular matrix deposition almost completely covered the scaffold on day 14. Crystal-like extracellular matrix deposition was observed on the surface of the scaffold of the 21 day culture. These deposits were expected to be calcium phosphate and were further identified by element component analysis (energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer) to consist mainly of
P, Ca, and O. Compared to the scaffold without cell culture on day 0, the amount of calcium increased significantly.

Confocal microscopy images (Figure 3B) showed good cell viability in the scaffolds during the 21 days of culturing. Cells attached and spread in the scaffolds from day 1. Cells migrated into the macro- and micropores of the scaffolds and spread evenly on the surface of the scaffolds. Cell density increased steadily as the culturing period progressed. Higher magnification showed that the cells grew into the chitosan structure and proliferated rapidly (the nuclear density increased).

The DNA amount was assumed to be proportional to the cell number. Thus, cell proliferation over time could be followed by quantification of the extracted DNA from the scaffolds. DNA amounts increased during the culturing period (Figure 3C).

**Osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of hMSC-TERT cells in the 3D scaffold**

ALP activity was highest on day 7, then decreased at day 14, after which the same level was maintained until day 21. This suggests that the cells started to initiate mineralization on day 7 (Figure 4A).

ALP positive staining (brown color) confirmed the presence of ALP, which was a component and marker for extracellular matrix produced by osteogenic differentiated cells (Figure 4B).

Quantitative data of calcium content (Figure 4C) and von Kossa staining (Figure 4D) showed that the scaffolds were osteoinductive.

**Histology**

Cross-sections of the scaffold with hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed the cellular distribution within the scaffold (Figure 5A). Nuclei were stained dark blue (basophilic), extracellular matrix and cytoplasm were stained purple, and the chitosan foam was stained orange. Cells migrated into the center of the scaffolds within 7 days of culture and the pores of the scaffolds were partly filled with cells and extracellular matrix. The depth of cell infiltration and the density of the cells increased as culturing progressed. On day 21, cells had fully filled the macro- and micropores of the scaffolds.
Positive osteocalcin staining (red dots, pointed with arrows) showed that hMSCs-TERT cells had undergone osteogenic differentiation and secreted bone-related extracellular matrix marker-osteocalcin (Figure 5B).

**Discussion**

We fabricated a drug-eluting scaffold consisting of two main parts: a rapid prototyped PCL scaffold for mechanical support and an embedded chitosan/nanoclay/β-TCP composite for sustained drug delivery and enhancement of osteogenesis. This composite scaffold had a favorable environment for cell attachment, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs. This scaffold also had the capacity to load and release an anticancer drug in a sustained manner. The present study suggests that the composite scaffold has the potential to be a drug-eluting bone graft substitute.

In the clinic, tumor resection is followed by systematically administered chemotherapy to prevent tumor recurrence. The limitations of systemic drug administration are limited bioavailability at the tumor site, systemic toxicity, and other adverse effects. Although systemically administered tumor-targeted drug delivery has been a great focus of advanced drug-delivery research, no breakthrough products for clinical use are as yet on the market. At present, local sustained drug release from an implanted device at the tumor site is a more realistic approach. The Gliadel® Wafer (Eisai Inc., Tokyo, Japan) is an early example of a sustained drug delivery device that can be implanted locally as an adjunct to surgery for malignant glioma. Various local drug-delivery systems for different tumor types have been researched. Itokazu et al showed that methotrexate released from porous hydroxyapatite blocks and β-TCP blocks remained effective against tumor cells for up to 12 days in vitro. El-Ghannam et al tested a ceramic-based anticancer drug to treat breast cancer in a murine model. However, it was difficult to tune the drug amount and release kinetic in those systems.

Many studies have used clay to enhance the solubility of poorly soluble drugs and to promote sustained release. Ofloxacin has been controllably released from chitosan/clay hydrogel beads. A pseudo-zero-order release kinetics of vitamin B12 was observed from clay/chitosan nanohydrogel. Wang et al showed that BSA could be controllably released from clay nanoparticles. However, the ultimate goals of all these studies were to use clay or clay composite as a simple drug carrier rather than as a component in an implantable device. Therefore, we included the montmorillonite clay in the drug-eluting matrices in this study.
We chose sodium montmorillonite due to its high cation exchange capacity, good absorbance, and drug carrying capability. The structure of montmorillonite clay consists of one shared edge of an octahedral sheet of aluminum hydroxide fused in between two silica tetrahedrals. It has a plate-like layer with the thickness of 1 nm and a high aspect ratio (ratio of length to thickness), which gives it a large surface area and makes it suitable for reinforcement purposes. These layers can stack and lead to a regular van der Waal’s gap between layers. In the interlayer region, there exist exchangeable cations such as Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\), which enable intercalation with drugs and polymers.

The principle of our composite scaffold with drug-eluting matrix embedding is shown in Figure 6. The experiment rationale of the designed composite scaffold is two-fold: firstly, to show the successful loading and release of a chemotherapeutical drug, doxorubicin, and secondly, to test the drug-free composite for bone tissue repair using hMSC. All the tests were done in vitro as shown in Figure 1. The drug was released sustainably from the composite scaffolds for 2 months. About 45% DOX was released after 56 days from the scaffold when clay was incorporated into a chitosan/β-TCP matrix. In contrast, about 95% DOX was released within 4 days from the scaffolds without clay. This confirms that clay is an effective material in drug delivery modulation.

Even with the same amount of modified clay in the scaffolds, a faster drug-release rate was observed when clay/DOX carriers were first prepared and mixed in the chitosan/β-TCP solution (Figure 2, Group D). A slower drug-release rate was observed when the drug was directly mixed with modified clay in the chitosan/β-TCP solution during the scaffold preparation (Figure 2, Group C). It is postulated that DOX intercalates into clay layers by replacing Na\(^+\). The pKa of DOX is around 8.3 and the drug loaded composites are prepared in acidic pH. Under the acidic pH, the drug molecules will be positively charged. When DOX and clay were directly mixed with the chitosan/β-TCP solution, DOX (pKa ~ 8.3) and chitosan (pKa ~ 6.3) competitively replaced Na\(^+\) ions.
in the clay layers. The smaller and more positively charged DOX could replace more Na\(^+\) ions than chitosan. As a result, the binding affinity with clay and DOX was stronger and release was slower in Group C scaffolds. Yuan et al also showed that DOX release from clay was much slower than from a chitosan/clay composite carrier.\(^{23}\) When the drug was loaded to a chitosan/clay nanocomposite prior to the composite preparation as in Group D scaffolds, no competitive intercalation and exfoliation of the clay was expected. Therefore, the drug-release rate was faster as a low affinity of DOX to clay was anticipated.

Therefore, tunable drug-release rates from the scaffold can be created by adjusting the amounts and types of chitosan in chitosan-clay composite preparations. The ratios between clay and chitosan of the composites could accomplish the same result. A study by Hua et al showed that increasing the ratio of clay to chitosan enhanced drug entrapment and reduced drug release.\(^{21}\) Similar effects were observed in biopolymer/clay nanocomposites.\(^{35}\) These studies indicated that drug-release kinetics could be adjusted by altering clay/chitosan/drug ratios and compositions in our composite scaffolds.

For biomedical applications, Katti et al reported that a novel chitosan/clay/hydroxyapatite sheet is biocompatible and, in comparison to pure chitosan as well as chitosan/hydroxyapatite and chitosan/clay, possesses improved mechanical properties.\(^{24}\) In another study, they showed that chitosan/polygalacturonic acid scaffolds containing modified montmorillonite clay appeared to satisfy some of the basic requirements of scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications.\(^{25}\) Chitosan/clay nanocomposites are also potential sustained drug-release carriers.\(^{21–23}\)

The second objective of the study was to test if the drug-free composite scaffold (or drug-depleted scaffold in a clini-
chitosan-enriched diets have decreased mineral absorption extensively for use in wastewater treatment. Rats fed with chitosan, and their derivatives readily bind to divalent cat
ions, with particular affinity for heavy metal ions but still
should not be evaluated only by the calcium deposition. We
have lacked the necessary mechanical properties to mimic
bone because β-TCP is brittle and porous chitosan scaffolds
showed inferior tensile and compressive strength in comparison
to natural bone.\textsuperscript{41–43} Clay is a silicate compound, a class
of ceramics that is gaining increasing interest in biomedical
applications.\textsuperscript{44–46} Katti et al showed that a nanocomposite
sheet of chitosan/clay/hydroxyapatite was biocompatible and
had significantly improved nanomechanical properties.\textsuperscript{24}

We cultured hMSCs-TERT cells in our scaffolds and
observed high cell viability and cell infiltration, confirmed
by SEM, confocal microscopy, and histology. In particular,
a very highly increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} deposition rate was observed
compared to our first study with hyaluronic acid and
methylated collagen.\textsuperscript{47} The Na \textrightarrow{} Ca exchange equilibrium constant for sodium montmorillonite is close to 1,\textsuperscript{48} so when
found in cell culture media or blood plasma, which contains
approximately 60 times more sodium than calcium, the
majority of metal cations in the clay would be Na\textsuperscript{+}. Chitin,
chitosan, and their derivatives readily bind to divalent cat-
ions, with particular affinity for heavy metal ions but still
including Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.\textsuperscript{49–51} This chelation property has been studied
extensively for use in wastewater treatment. Rats fed with
chitosan-enriched diets have decreased mineral absorption
with a resulting decrease in bone quality.\textsuperscript{52} Consequently,
we performed a control experiment with cell-free scaffolds
in similar cell culture media and measured Ca\textsuperscript{2+} deposition
for 21 days (Figure 7).

Our suspicions were confirmed, as the cell-free scaffolds
had a similar amount of calcium deposition comparable to
the cell-seeded scaffolds up to day 7 and had nearly two
times the amount of calcium at day 14 and three times at
day 21 compared to the cell-seeded scaffolds. The increas-
ing progression of the graph can be explained by the regular
media change with corresponding replenishment and further
binding of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the scaffold. Dynamic culture and the
large surface area of the chitosan foam have most likely been
major contributors to the thorough accumulation of calcium.
As seen in Figure 5A, the slowed calcium deposition in the
cell-seeded scaffolds coincides with the increasing cellularity,
which decreases the exposed surface area of the chitosan
foam inside the scaffold and decreases metabolite and ion
exchange rate by obliterating the scaffold pores.

Numerous papers in bone tissue engineering have studied
the biocompatibility of chitosan scaffolds in vitro and
used calcium assays and von Kossa staining to conclude the
osteoinductive capability of the material.\textsuperscript{53–56} The majority
of these studies do not show mineralization data from cell-free
controls. As seen in this study, although chitosan is clearly
highly biocompatible and osteoconductive,\textsuperscript{40,57,58} the osteo-
inductive potential of this particular ionotropic biomaterial
should not be evaluated only by the calcium deposition. We
included an immunostaining against osteocalcin (Figure 5B)
to qualitatively demonstrate osteogenic differentiation in the
scaffold.

With the same amount of seeding cells, the measured
DNA content is lower than that of the scaffold in the first
study using hyaluronic acid and methylated collagen.\textsuperscript{47} This
could be due to inefficient extraction of DNA in the presence
of a cationic polymer like chitosan. Chitosan readily forms
complex coacervates with free DNA, which makes it useful
for making DNA-chitosan nanoparticles for drug delivery.\textsuperscript{59}
It is unlikely that the clay contributed to DNA retention, as its
absorption of polycations at physiological pH is minimal.\textsuperscript{60}

---

Figure 7 Calcium deposition contents per scaffold on cell-free scaffolds in comparison with cell-seeded scaffolds on Days 1, 7, 14, and 21.
Therefore, Picogreen used for DNA quantification cannot intercalate a DNA-chitosan complex and an underestimated value is to be expected.

ALP quantification measures the activity, ie, the amount of a protein macromolecule in the purified supernatant, and should not be affected by the adsorption and chelation properties of clay and chitosan.

Therefore, the optimal combination of four biomaterials (PCL, chitosan, β-TCP, and clay) will potentially prove to be a much needed contribution in terms of filling a vital gap in the field of therapeutic implant. Further in vivo studies on this composite scaffold are underway as the more realistic conditions for bone repair occurred after the release of chemotherapeutic drugs.

Although it is mere speculation at this juncture, further development of the therapeutic implant can be envisioned from this work. The concept of using rapid prototyped PCL as a biocompatible structural support, and soft clay composites as a drug reservoir, can be extended for the treatment of different tissues that require local sustained drug release. The only limitation will be the choice of polymer for effective dispersion of clay. The composite has to be reproducible for both sustained drug delivery and tissue repair. Other naturally derived polymers, such as alginate and gelatin, will also be good candidates for preparation of the composite. Instead of a cation exchanger like sodium montmorillonite, an anion exchanger can also be applied in this system for carrying different properties of drugs. In this case, a different class of clays, layered double hydroxides, would be used. Since the amount and type of drug needed for different patients vary from subject to subject and the severity of the medical implications, personalized therapeutic implants are necessary. Designing a composite scaffold based on the concept of this work will further contribute to the development of personalized medical care.

**Conclusion**

We fabricated a 3D hybrid scaffold composed of two main parts: a rapid prototyped PCL scaffold for mechanical support and chitosan/clay/β-TCP for enhanced bone repair and local sustained drug delivery. The composite scaffold design offered a favorable environment for cell attachment, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation of hMSC-TERT. The developed scaffold could provide a sustained drug release of the loaded doxorubicin. Doxorubicin was used in this study as a model drug to demonstrate the release kinetic of the drug from the scaffold. The tunable characteristic of clay composite to carry drug was also explained based on the extent of intercalation in clay. By applying the concept of this scaffold design, local sustained drug-release tissue engineering scaffolds can be developed for the treatment of diseases in other tissues.
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