The preventive role of transurethral antibiotic delivery in a rat model
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Purpose: There is currently an emerging need for developing improved approaches for preventing urinary tract infections (UTIs) occurring during diagnostic or interventional procedures of the lower urinary tract. We aimed to establish a rat model to assess the use of transurethral antibiotic administration and to provide evidence that this could be used as a preventive therapy.

Methods: Animals received fosfomycin trometamol (FOF) either urethrally or orally prior to the procedure. A third group was generated as treatment controls and did not receive any medication. Urethral dilation was conducted to recapitulate an interventional procedure prior to intravesical *Escherichia coli* administration in all three groups. Finally, sham-operated animals were introduced as a fourth group which did not receive antibiotics or *E. coli*. Colony counts of urine and tissue cultures for the identification of *E. coli* and histopathological examinations of the bladder and prostate were conducted.

Results: Evaluation of infection intensities in cultures as well as histopathological examination of the bladder and prostate demonstrated a preventative role of transurethral FOF administration. In terms of efficiency, local administration of FOF was similar to oral administration.

Conclusions: These results suggest that transurethral antibiotic administration is a promising alternative for preventing UTIs occurring during diagnostic or interventional procedures of the lower urinary tract.
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Introduction

Use of systemic antibiotics for preventing urinary tract infections occurring during or following diagnostic or minimally invasive interventions related to the urethra and bladder is only reasonable in the presence of risk factors.1,2 Symptomatic urinary tract infection (UTI) incidence is low following cystoscopy and/or during invasive surgical procedures conducted during cystoscopy and the level of evidence on antibiotics prophylaxis was reported to be low, if not moderate.3,4 On the other hand, the patient is considered to be at risk for further postcytoscopy bacteriuria or symptomatic UTI development in cases such as the presence of UTI prior to additional procedures, in cystoscopy leading to disruption of the mucosa (ie, internal urethrotomy or biopsy), or in the presence of certain comorbidities (eg, immune system-weakening conditions such as diabetes mellitus, chronic system disease, and use of immunosuppressive drugs). In these specific cases, there is indeed a systemic antibiotic prophylaxis requirement.1 Side effects and development of microbial resistance patterns are the major risk factors for the use of antibiotics.3 It is therefore crucial to consider alternative...
treatment strategies for the patients within the UTI risk group. Here, we hypothesized that transurethral administration of an antimicrobial agent prior to the diagnostic or minimal intervention of the bladder and urethra would be beneficial as it would act faster, lead to fewer side effects and therefore provide higher efficacy than systemic administration.

Fosfomycin trometamol (FOF) is an efficient antibiotic in uncomplicated UTIs with a single dose of oral application and is soluble in water. It can therefore be easily administered transurethrally due to these characteristics as an alternative to its conventional use. Furthermore, FOF was shown to be effective in *Escherichia coli*-induced UTIs with a single oral administration allowing us to test it in an animal model setting.

In order to investigate whether local treatment of an antimicrobial agent could be beneficial in intervention-induced UTI in an animal model, here we established a rat model of transurethral delivery and tested, for the first time, the efficacy of transurethral administration of FOF.

**Materials and methods**

A total number of 42 male Wistar rats weighing approximately 300 g were used for this experiment. All rats had ad libitum access to food and water during a 12 hour light/dark cycle. All experimental procedures were approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Ankara University. Culture negative male Wistar rats were obtained from Ankara University Faculty of Medicine Experimental Animals Laboratory. FOF was directly supplied from the manufacturer (Drogsan, Bristol, UK) in a laboratory environment. The obtained inhibition zone diameters were established as sensitive following the results of ≥16 mm for FOF based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute criteria.

We then conducted a time course study to investigate the experimental cystitis and prostatitis developing process of the *E. coli* J96 strain. To this end, 18 culture negative male Wistar rats were divided into three groups and anesthetized with intramuscular ketamine HCl (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Next, the cannula was placed into the urethra and 0.3 mL of *E. coli* J96 strain with a dose of 10^6 colony-forming units (CFU) was administered into the bladder of each rat. The agent was kept inside the bladder for 30 minutes by tying the penis with 2/0 silk suture. Laparotomy was conducted on the animals at day 3 for the first group, day 7 for the second group, and day 14 for the third group with a vertical incision and bladder content was aspirated with a 28-gauge needle aseptically. Bladder, prostate, and the abdominal part of the urethra were dissected for further analysis. *E. coli* infection and the effects of infection were investigated in urine sample cultures and tissue cultures as well as by using histopathological analysis of the bladder and prostate tissue.

**Main study**

Twenty-four rats were divided into four groups (*n* = 6, rat/group). All procedures were conducted under anesthesia as described above. FOF was administered to group 1 immediately before the procedure with the same method used in the preliminary study through a sterile catheter placed urethrally at 50 mg/kg concentration in 0.3 mL volume. Group 2 received 50 mg/kg oral FOF in 0.3 mL volume through an orogastric tube 3 hours prior to the procedure. Group 3 did not receive any medication and was used as a control group. Next, all three groups went through urethral dilation in order to recapitulate an interventional procedure. Then, FOF-sensitive *E. coli* J96 strain that had earlier been confirmed to develop cystoprostatitis in rats was administered with intravesical injection in 1 × 10^8 CFU/mL concentration to each of the first three groups. Furthermore, we generated a fourth group of sham animals that underwent a dilation procedure but did not receive any antibiotics or *E. coli*. In order to achieve 1 × 10^8 CFU/mL concentration of *E. coli* for the dilation procedure, the lyophilized strain was incubated on MacConkey agar for 24 hours at 37°C. A single colony was picked and incubated in nutrient bouillon for 24 hours at 37°C. Tenfold serial dilutions in sterile saline were performed. From each dilution, 0.1 mL of culture was spread on nutrient
agar and incubated for another 24 hours at 37°C. The correct dilution was determined by colony counts.

Subsequently, animals were left ad libitum for 3 days. Urine, bladder, and prostate samples were obtained at day 3 with the same method as the preliminary study. For the urine culture, samples were cultured in Levine’s eosin–methylen blue agar (Levine’s EMB; HiMedia, Mumbai, India). E. coli colonies were counted following 24 hours of incubation at 37°C. Half of the collected bladder and prostate were used for histopathological examination; the other half was weighed, homogenized in 1 mL sterile saline for 1 mg tissue, serially diluted, and cultured on EMB agars. In order to estimate the number of total bacteria in tissue (infection intensities of bladder and prostate), E. coli colonies in serial cultures were counted following 24 hours of incubation at 37°C. In addition, polymerase chain reaction was conducted to confirm that the isolated strain was indeed the J96 strain by amplifying the virulent genes that J96 is believed to have. Bacterial DNA from urine samples was isolated using the GeneJET Genomic DNA purification kit (Fermentas, Burlington, ON) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protocol and amplification conditions, which are described by Yamamoto et al., were modified and optimized from multiplex PCR to single PCR by Babacan O. Primers targeting sfa, hly, cnf, traT, fim, and usp were generated according to earlier literature. Briefly, 10 µL of amplified sample was loaded in 1.5% agarose gel (Prona, Madrid, Spain). Electrophoresis was conducted for 60 minutes at 180 volts.

### Histopathological study

The bladder and prostate tissue samples were immersion fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin blocks were sectioned at 4 µm thickness and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The stained specimens were then examined using Olympus BX51 microscope and scored by an observer blinded to the identity of the samples according to the classification presented in earlier literature. Briefly, sections were scored for bladder tissue as follows: grade 0, normal; grade 1, subepithelial inflammation; grade 2, edema and subepithelial cell inflammatory infiltration (focal and multifocal); grade 3, marked subepithelial inflammatory cells with necrosis and neutrophils in and on bladder epithelium; grade 4, inflammatory cell infiltrate extends into muscle in addition to criteria for grade 3; and grade 5, loss of surface epithelium (necrosis with full-thickness inflammatory cell infiltration). Also, prostate sections were scored as follows: grade 0, normal; grade 1, edema and infiltrates of polymorphonuclear (PMN) leukocytes in the prostate stroma; grade 2, PMN leukocytes in the prostate acini; grade 3, loss of epithelial and/or basement membrane architecture in the prostate acini; grade 4, hemorrhage; and grade 5, tissue necrosis.

### Statistical methods

Statistical significance for the group comparisons for bladder and prostate culture intensities were analyzed by using one-way ANOVA, followed by post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey test. The above-mentioned data were represented as the mean ± the standard error of the mean. All other nominal and ordinary data were analyzed using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test and groups were compared by a post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparison test. Nominal data were expressed as median (min–max) for nominal variables and the ordinal data was represented as number of cases and/or as percentage (%). The P value < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

### Results

First, before testing the transurethral efficacy of FOF, in a preliminary experimental setup, we evaluated the sensitivity of the E. coli J96 strain against FOF and confirmed its effectiveness in developing cystitis and prostatitis in rats. Urine and tissue cultures as well as histopathological examination of the bladder and prostate tissue revealed cystitis and prostatitis in six animals already at day 3 (Table 1). We did not find significant exacerbation of the symptoms in any of the cultures or histology specimens.

### Table 1 Comparison of urine cultures and tissue infection intensities between groups in preliminary study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Urine cultures</th>
<th>Bladder infection intensities</th>
<th>Prostate infection intensities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CFU&lt;sup&gt;p1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>p&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>99,713 (±36,321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>36,733 (±35,524)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>13,833 (±24,296)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: n*, number of rats infected in each group; p1, Comparison of number of rats infected between group 1 and others by Fisher’s exact test; p2, Comparison of CFU means between group 1 and others by one-way ANOVA analysis followed by Tukey post-hoc test; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CFU, colony-forming unit.
but observed spontaneous resolution on days 7 and 14 and therefore conducted the treatment study by collecting data 3 days following the intervention. None of the animals died or were excluded from the experiment due to any procedure or treatment.

Next, we evaluated the bacterial growth and histopathological parameters among the transurethral administration, oral administration, control, and sham groups. First, we compared urine cultures as well as bladder and prostate tissue cultures in order to assess bacterial growth (Table 2). Urine cultures were positive in all animals (100%) in the control group indicating that *E. coli* administration successfully led to UTI. Positive urine culture rates were 66.7%, 33.3%, and 0% in group 1, group 2, and the sham group, respectively, and reached significance (*P* = 0.004). We then analyzed the infection intensity in the bladder. All animals that received either transurethral or oral FOF administration demonstrated significantly lower intensity of bladder infection compared to the controls and were not statistically different from the sham group. Results from the analysis of prostate cultures were also in line with the findings from the bladder infection intensities. Each FOF administration route led to a significant decrease in infection as compared to controls. Similar to the bladder infection intensity results, although oral administration had a tendency to result in a higher recovery compared to transurethral administration, these differences between the prostate intensities following oral and transurethral FOF delivery were not statistically significant. Note that all cases were verified as the *E. coli* J96 strain by polymerase chain reaction suggesting that all cases were related to the experimental procedure, but did not occur spontaneously.

As a second outcome, we evaluated the tissue samples histopathologically. Infection stages in the bladder and prostate are demonstrated visually in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. As expected, all control animals represented a pathology related to the infection in both bladder and prostate tissue analysis. Except for two bladder tissue samples, the sham group demonstrated a normal histology. Both FOF administration groups led to either complete prevention of infection and were scored as 0, or received a score ranging from 1–3. The histopathological scores in the bladder revealed no significant difference between the delivery methods used for FOF administration (*P* = 0.071). In the bladder histopathology, while group 1, group 2, and the sham group were mostly staged as normal or focal/multifocal subepithelial inflammation (Figure 1A), a general picture was observed ranging from a complete layer of inflammatory cell infiltration to tissue necrosis in the control group (Figure 1C, D, and Table 3). However, all the groups had significantly lower histopathological scores than the control group (statistical differences between the control group and group 1, group 2, and the sham group were *P* < 0.001, *P* < 0.001, and *P* < 0.001, respectively) (Table 3).

Analysis of the prostate tissue demonstrated that both transurethral and oral delivery of FOF led to a significant improvement in the pathology compared to treatment controls (*P* = 0.007 and *P* = 0.002, respectively). Histopathological analyses of the prostate showed that the grades were mostly in the normal category in group 2 and the sham group (Figure 2A), and epithelium structure and/or basal membrane structure loss in prostate acini were observed in group 1 (Figure 2D). As expected, a severe picture was present leading to tissue necrosis in the control group (Figure 2E, F and Table 4). Oral FOF administration led to a significant improvement in the prostate pathology and this was not significantly different from the sham group (*P* = 0.140). On the other hand, this improvement was significantly better than the transurethral administration group (*P* = 0.007) and control group (*P* = 0.002). This suggests that oral FOF delivery leads to a better protection in the prostate tissue compared to transurethral delivery.

Table 2 Comparison of urine cultures and tissue infection intensities between groups in main study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Urine cultures</th>
<th>Bladder infection intensities</th>
<th>Prostate infection intensities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n*</td>
<td>p*</td>
<td>n*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** n*, number of rats infected in each group; p*, Comparison of number of rats infected between control group and others by Fisher’s exact test; p**, Comparison of CFU means between control group and others by variance analysis followed by Tukey post-hoc test; CFU, colony-forming unit.
Discussion

FOF is effective against the *E. coli* J96 strain in vitro and the appropriate evaluation time is the third day

In an earlier study, in vitro FOF sensitivity of *E. coli* isolated from patients was found to be 97% in 236 clinical urinary *E. coli* specimens. In a more recent clinical study, among 1705 *E. coli* strains, 5% were found to be resistant to FOF.

We therefore initially sought to confirm the sensitivity of the *E. coli* J96 strain and rule out the possibility of a resistance compromising the following experiments with FOF treatment.

The response of the host against UTI in animal models might lead to progressive spontaneous resolution. Hopkins et al demonstrated a significant spontaneous recovery against *E. coli*-mediated UTI in 6 out of 10 rat strains with different genetic backgrounds within 14 days. In our preliminary study we confirmed that indeed, the in-house bred Wistar rats exhibit spontaneous resolution 7 and 14 days postsurgery and therefore, in order to obtain an appropriate evaluation time in terms of both clinical and laboratory analysis we used the third day in the experimental cystitis and prostatitis model formed by the *E. coli* J96 strain. Spontaneous resolution observed on day 7 and 14 could have caused a conflict about whether the results were due to the antibiotics used or to spontaneous resolution.

Transurethral delivery of FOF leads to decreased infection intensities and improved pathology

When infection intensities were evaluated in urine and tissue cultures, we observed a significant prevention of infection intensities in the urine and tissue samples when FOF was introduced via the urethra. This protective effect was not significantly different from the sham group. Furthermore, we did not find any difference between oral and transurethral treatment paradigms in the urine and tissue infection rates. Oral administration led to a stronger protection in both urine and tissue cultures, although the results did reach statistical significance. Histopathological analysis of the bladder and prostate also confirmed the effectiveness of the transurethral administration when compared with the control group. Results of this analysis were in parallel to urine and tissue culture results when bladder and prostate histopathologies were assessed. This analysis led us to reveal detailed information about the form of the inflammation. In the light of these findings, we can conclude that transurethral administration of FOF provides significant prevention to the bladder and
prostate from UTI, although not as effectively as the oral administration group.

Here, due to its pharmacokinetic efficacy through oral administration, we chose to study FOF by testing transurethral delivery. The fact that it is directly extracted through the urine without being metabolized in the body strongly suggested that transurethral administration of FOF would be more beneficial than the oral application to a certain extent. A number of studies have reported on the use of fosfomycin for prophylactic purposes in patients before surgical interventions. Among these, the ones employing prophylactic oral FOF administration reported infection prevention success as 88.6% to 100% following transurethral resection of the prostate and urological interventions for diagnostic or treatment purposes. In another study by Ishizaka et al comparing parenteral fosfomycin and cefotiam, postoperative infection prevention success was reported as 92.9% in transurethral resection cases, 100% in open clean surgeries, 84.6% in open clean-contaminated surgeries, and 33% in open contaminated surgeries. In studies that used oral FOF as a prophylaxis, FOF was utilized 3 hours before as in our study. In our animal model study, taking the infection intensities in urine and bladder tissue cultures into account, infection prevention success was established as 66%, and considering infection intensity in prostate tissue culture, success was found as 50% in the oral FOF administration group. To our knowledge, literature from animal studies do not exist on oral FOF treatment following UTI; therefore, in regards to the results from studies conducted on patients and the outcome of the control group one can appreciate that oral FOF use is generally a success in our study. On the other hand, the comparison of the transurethral FOF delivery

Figure 2 Representative images of different histopathological grades in the rat prostate tissue following UTI.

Notes: Each panel represents a different pathology scored according to the grading scale summarized in Table 1. (A) Grade 0 inflammation (H&E, ×4); (B) Grade 1 inflammation (H&E, ×20); (C) Grade 2 inflammation (H&E, ×20); (D) Grade 3 inflammation (H&E, ×4); (E) Grade 4 inflammation (H&E, ×10); (F) Grade 5 inflammation (H&E, ×4).

Abbreviations: H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Table 3 Histopathological grades of bladder inflammation in different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The values in bold highlight the most observed histopathological grades.

Table 4 Histopathological grades of prostate inflammation in different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The values in bold highlight the most observed histopathological grade.
is more challenging, as it has not been performed in either humans or in animal models. In one study by Leach et al. that applied FOF topically, a combination of polymyxin B and FOF was demonstrated to decrease ototoxicity risk compared to the use of polymyxin B by itself.

When we evaluated infection intensities in urine and tissue cultures and in bladder and prostate histopathologies, transurethral administration of FOF was not better than its oral administration as we hypothesized earlier. In our opinion this is due to three major concerns: (1) the availability of FOF in the tissue, (2) the predictive value of the rat model, and (3) surgical concerns.

First, when used at the suggested concentrations, FOF remains above minimum inhibitory concentration values for a longer period of time following a single oral FOF administration. On the other hand, the concentration of the drug in urine decreases rapidly with the first urination following transurethral FOF administration. Therefore, direct intravesical injection of a drug that was designed for oral administration might have decreased the efficiency of the drug as some metabolic steps might have been skipped (although sources claim the effectiveness of the drug is unchanged when excreted with urine).

Secondly, for the first time, we established the preventative role of an antibiotic used transurethrally against infections occurring following a transurethral surgical or diagnostic intervention on a rat model of cystitis and prostatitis. Although, the findings show promising results for further use of the model for testing alternative treatment strategies in UTI, one should take the limitations of the model system into consideration such as the rate of spontaneous resolution and other factors related to any other animal model system.

Finally, limitations related to the use of a small animal exist especially regarding the treatment, surgical procedure, and the size of the materials used for surgery. In this study, although a direct replication of transurethral intervention in patients was attempted, factors as the diameter and size of the utilized materials might have induced a higher histological damage than what would have been caused in human patients. Therefore, taking these factors into account, we suggest that transurethral administration would be beneficial and the efficiency could be further increased by using a similar administration strategy by keeping the active agent in the bladder longer or by using a compound designed for transurethral use.

In conclusion, we demonstrated in a rat model of UTI that transurethral administration of an antimicrobial agent prior to the intervention of the bladder and urethra is effective and provides a promising alternative to oral treatment for certain risk conditions. Although, we were not able to show any benefit of using transurethral delivery of FOF over oral treatment, given the risk factors, an improved transurethral delivery using different active agents or by developing strategies to prolong the activity of the same agent in the bladder should be considered. As urine concentration of the active compound is reached more rapidly using transurethral delivery compared to oral treatment, one can consider using a ready-to-use form, such as the lidocaine gels administered with an applicator facilitating the workload of the clinician in high-capacity clinics.
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