Effects of once-daily extended release quetiapine fumarate on patient-reported outcomes in patients with generalized anxiety disorder
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Background: We evaluated the effects of once-daily extended-release quetiapine fumarate (quetiapine XR) on patient-reported outcomes in generalized anxiety disorder (GAD).

Methods: This is a report of a pooled analysis from three acute 8-week, randomized, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose (50, 150, 300 mg/day) studies and a 52-week maintenance flexible dose (50–300 mg/day) study of quetiapine XR monotherapy in patients with GAD. Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form (Q-LES-Q-SF) percent maximum total scores (items 1–14), item 15 (“satisfaction with medication”), item 16 (“overall life satisfaction”), and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) global scores are reported. Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) total scores were also assessed (maintenance study only).

Results: The acute studies showed significant improvements at week 8 in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score with quetiapine XR 150 mg/day (P < 0.001) and item 16 with quetiapine XR 50 (P < 0.05) and 150 mg/day (P < 0.001) versus placebo; PSQI global scores significantly improved with quetiapine XR 50, 150, and 300 mg/day versus placebo (P < 0.001). The maintenance study showed significant benefits versus placebo with quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day in Q-LES-Q-SF percent total score, item 15 and item 16 scores, PSQI global score, and SDS total score.

Conclusion: Quetiapine XR 150 mg/day (acute studies) and 50–300 mg/day (maintenance study) improved quality of life, overall functioning, and sleep quality in patients with GAD.
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Introduction

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) is one of the most persistent and common anxiety disorders among the general population,¹ with community studies reporting 12-month and lifetime prevalence rates of 3.0% and 5.0%, respectively.²,³ Despite the availability of several treatment options, GAD remains a major public health concern and is among the leading causes of psychiatric morbidity in adults.⁴ GAD symptoms have a detrimental impact on patients’ work, education, social environment, and health-related quality of life,⁵,⁶ and those affected often seek professional psychiatric help.⁴ Many patients continue to experience symptoms 6–12 years following diagnosis³ and fewer than 20% of patients achieve complete remission.⁵,⁹

Current first-line therapies for GAD include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors.⁷ Significant improvement in quality of life and maintenance of functioning has been reported with both treatments;¹,¹²
however, the utility of these agents may be compromised in some patients by their delayed onset of action (2–4 weeks).10 Sleep disturbance is a core symptom of GAD, with both the quality and quantity of sleep being adversely affected. The course of disturbed sleep in GAD is chronic but fluctuating, and is exacerbated by stressful events, although psychological treatments and/or some pharmacotherapeutic interventions can improve sleep quality to some extent.13 Rapid-acting benzodiazepines are often used as therapy adjunctive to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors/serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, resulting in prompt improvement in quality of sleep in patients with GAD.13 However, the efficacy of benzodiazepines is compromised by their decreased long-term effectiveness and tolerability issues.10

Immediate-release quetiapine fumarate improves the affective symptoms of schizophrenia14 and bipolar depression;15,16 studies have also shown improvement of anxiety symptoms when used as adjunctive therapy in patients with GAD17 and as acute monotherapy in patients with bipolar depression.15,16 A previous study reported improvements in quality of life and quality of sleep in patients with bipolar depression treated with immediate-release quetiapine.18 Extended-release quetiapine fumarate (quetiapine XR) is a once-daily formulation of quetiapine.19,20 Neither quetiapine nor quetiapine XR have regulatory approval for GAD in the United States or Europe.

Efficacy and tolerability results from the three Phase III studies of quetiapine XR monotherapy in adults (D1448C00009 [Titanium],21 D1448C00010 [Gold],22 and D1448C00011 [Silver22]), one acute study in an elderly population (D1448C00015 [Chromium]24), and one long-term maintenance study in adults (D1448C00012 [Platinum]25) have shown that quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day is an effective and well tolerated treatment, with a tolerability profile similar to the known profile of quetiapine. In a pooled analysis of the adult acute monotherapy studies, significant improvements in total Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HAM-A) score at week 8 were observed for quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (least squares mean [LSM] change $-13.31, P < 0.001$), 150 mg/day (LSM change $-14.39, P < 0.001$), and 300 mg/day (LSM change $-12.50, P < 0.05$) versus placebo (LSM change $-11.30$).26

Here we report an analysis of the effects of quetiapine XR on patient-reported outcomes in adult patients with GAD using pooled data from the three acute studies and the one long-term maintenance study.

Materials and methods

The designs and methodology of all four studies have been described previously,21-23,25 and the study details described here are intended as a brief summary only. All three acute studies were 8-week, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, placebo-controlled Phase III studies (D1448C00009 [Titanium], D1448C00010 [Gold], and D1448C00011 [Silver]).

The long-term maintenance study was a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, placebo-controlled Phase III study (D1448C00012 [Platinum]). It included an open-label run-in period (4–8 weeks of quetiapine XR), an open-label stabilization period (12–18 weeks of quetiapine XR), and a randomized withdrawal period (up to 52 weeks of randomized treatment with quetiapine XR or placebo).

Inclusion criteria

In brief, male and female outpatients, aged 18–65 years, with a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR)27 clinical diagnosis of GAD, confirmed by the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview28 were eligible for inclusion in all four studies. In addition, to enter the randomized treatment period of the maintenance study, patients were required to have received ≥12 weeks of quetiapine XR 50, 150, or 300 mg/day during the stabilization period.

Exclusion criteria

Key exclusion criteria were: any other DSM-IV-TR Axis I disorder within 6 months of enrollment; DSM-IV-TR Axis II disorder that had a major impact on current psychiatric status; Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale item 10 score ≥ 4 or a current serious suicidal or homicidal risk; an unstable medical condition, such as poorly controlled diabetes or unstable angina; or use of antipsychotic, mood stabilizer, or antidepressant drugs within 7 days prior to randomization.

Acute studies

The schedules for administration, up titration, and discontinuation of study treatments are shown in Figure 1A. Studies D1448C00010 and D1448C00011 also included selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors as active controls (escitalopram 10 mg/day and paroxetine 20 mg/day, respectively); these data are not reported here because comparator drug arms were only included in the individual study analyses to allow for evaluation of assay sensitivity.
and risk/benefit analysis. Medication was taken orally, once daily in the evening.

**Maintenance study**

The schedules for administration, uptitration, and discontinuation of study treatments are shown in Figure 1B. Medication was taken orally, once daily in the evening.

**Concomitant medication**

Use of other psychoactive medications was prohibited for the duration of each study, with the exceptions of chloral hydrate 1 g, zaleplon 20 mg, zolpidem 10 mg, or zopiclone 7.5 mg. Medications to treat extrapyramidal symptoms were permitted but were not to be taken prophylactically.

**Assessment of patient-reported outcomes**

Quality of life, enjoyment, and satisfaction were assessed by the change from randomization in the Quality of Life and Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form (Q-LES-Q-SF) percent maximum total (items 1–14), item 15 (“satisfaction with medication”), and item 16 (“overall life satisfaction”) scores.
The Q-LES-Q-SF assessments were conducted at randomization and at weeks 4 and 8 in the acute studies, and at the start of the open-label run-in period, at randomization, and every 4 weeks thereafter until week 52 (or until discontinuation) in the maintenance study.

Sleep quality was assessed using change from randomization in the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) global score\textsuperscript{30} and the seven PSQI component scores of “sleep quality”, “sleep latency”, “sleep duration”, “habitual sleep efficiency”, “sleep disturbances”, “use of sleep medication”, and “daytime dysfunction”. The PSQI assessments were conducted at randomization and at weeks 4 and 8 in the acute studies, and at the start of the open-label run-in period, at randomization, week 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter until week 52 (or until discontinuation) in the maintenance study.

In the maintenance study only, the ability to maintain level of functioning was assessed by change from randomization in the total Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) score.\textsuperscript{31} The SDS includes three domains assessing “work/school”, “social life”, and “family life/home responsibilities”, and also evaluates “unproductive days” and “underproductive days.” SDS assessments were conducted at the start of the open-label run-in period, at randomization, at week 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter until week 52 (or until discontinuation).

Statistical analyses

Acute studies

Analyses were performed on the pooled modified intent-to-treat population (patients who received study medication and had both a randomization and at least one post-randomization HAM-A total score). Missing data were imputed using the last observation carried forward methodology. Analysis of LSM changes from randomization in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score used an analysis of covariance model that included center as a random effect. The nonparametric Jonckheere-Terpstra test was used in an exploratory analysis of the pooled data to test if change from randomization in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score increased with increasing dose of quetiapine XR. In all cases, statistical tests were two-tailed with a significance level of 0.05.

Maintenance study

Analyses were performed on the intent-to-treat population (patients who took study medication during the randomized treatment period). Analysis of LSM change from randomization to either an anxiety event or study end (defined as an anxiety event or any other reason for withdrawal) in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total, Q-LES-Q-SF percent items 15 and 16, PSQI global, and SDS total scores used an analysis of covariance model, with treatment and region as fixed effects. All assessments between randomization and up to but excluding the anxiety event were included in the analyses (patients were required to withdraw from the study after experiencing an anxiety event).

Results

Patient population

Acute studies

The patient populations for each of the three acute studies have been described previously.\textsuperscript{21–23} Only data from the quetiapine XR and placebo arms of the three studies were included in the pooled analysis; comparator drug arms were only included in the individual study analyses to allow for evaluation of assay sensitivity and risk/benefit analysis. In the pooled analysis, 2248 patients were included who had been randomly assigned to quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (n = 455), 150 mg/day (n = 678), 300 mg/day (n = 448), or placebo (n = 667); the modified intent-to-treat population included 2171 patients (63 patients had no valid HAM-A score at or after randomization). Overall quality of life, sleep quality, and overall functioning scores at baseline are shown in Table 1.

Maintenance study

The patient population for the maintenance study has been described previously.\textsuperscript{24} In total, 1248 patients were enrolled and 1224 patients were treated with quetiapine XR during the open-label period. Eight hundred and fifty patients entered the 12–18-week open-label stabilization period, with 433 patients randomized to receive quetiapine XR 50, 150, or 300 mg/day, or placebo (432 patients received study treatment). All patients who entered the randomized treatment period were randomly assigned either to continue quetiapine XR treatment (n = 216) at the same dose as prescribed at the last visit of the open-label stabilization period or to switch to placebo (n = 216). The mean ± standard deviation daily dose of quetiapine XR during randomized treatment was 162.8 ± 88.3 mg/day. Overall quality of life, sleep quality, and overall functioning scores at baseline are shown in Table 1.

Patient-reported outcomes

Acute studies

Quetiapine XR 150 mg/day significantly improved the Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score from randomization to week 8 (LSM change 11.90, P < 0.001) versus
Table 1  Demographics and scores at randomization for HAM-A total and patient-reported outcome measures (pooled modified intent-to-treat population [acute studies] and intent-to-treat population [maintenance study])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (n = 654)</th>
<th>Quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (n = 438)</th>
<th>Quetiapine XR 150 mg/day (n = 654)</th>
<th>Quetiapine XR 300 mg/day (n = 425)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 216)</th>
<th>Quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day (n = 216)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>236 (36.1)</td>
<td>164 (37.4)</td>
<td>225 (34.4)</td>
<td>146 (34.4)</td>
<td>79 (36.6)</td>
<td>71 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>418 (63.9)</td>
<td>274 (62.6)</td>
<td>429 (65.6)</td>
<td>279 (65.6)</td>
<td>137 (63.4)</td>
<td>145 (67.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>39.0 ± 12.4</td>
<td>39.9 ± 11.7</td>
<td>40.4 ± 12.0</td>
<td>40.0 ± 12.3</td>
<td>41.7 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8 ± 6.8</td>
<td>4.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>5.6 ± 7.4</td>
<td>5.3 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating scale scores, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-A total</td>
<td>25.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>25.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>24.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>62 ± 3.2</td>
<td>5.9 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-LES-Q-SF % maximum total</td>
<td>51.0 ± 15.4</td>
<td>50.1 ± 14.0</td>
<td>51.1 ± 14.8</td>
<td>53.6 ± 14.5</td>
<td>68.2 ± 14.3</td>
<td>68.6 ± 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-LES-Q-SF % item 15 (satisfaction with medication)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-LES-Q-SF % item 16 (overall life satisfaction)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI global score</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>10.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitual sleep efficiency</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbances</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of sleep medication</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime dysfunction</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS total score</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>5.9 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/school</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social life</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family life/home responsibilities</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unproductive days</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underproductive days</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Pooled acute studies, D1448C00009, D1448C00010, and D1448C00011; maintenance study, D1448C00012; patients who received quetiapine XR in the open-label period and went on to receive placebo in the randomized period; patients who received quetiapine XR in both the open-label and randomized periods.

Abbreviations: GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; HAM-A, Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; NR, not recorded; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; Q-LES-Q-SF, Quality of Life and Satisfaction Questionnaire short form; SD, standard deviation; SDS, Sheehan Disability Scale; XR, extended release.

placebo (8.82); no significant difference was observed with quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (LSM change 9.50, P = 0.461) or 300 mg/day (LSM change 8.15, P = 0.473, Figure 2A). The Jonckheere-Terpstra test did not reveal an increasing treatment effect with increasing dose of quetiapine XR (50, 150, and 300 mg/day) for the Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total scores (12, 14, 12, respectively, P = 0.513).

A small directional but nonsignificant improvement was observed in the Q-LES-Q-SF item 15 (“satisfaction with medication”) score from randomization to week 8 for quetiapine XR 150 mg/day (LSM change 0.03, P = 0.742) versus placebo (−0.01); no difference was observed with quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (LSM change −0.14, P = 0.377), or 300 mg/day (LSM change −0.21, P = 0.159, Figure 2A).

Only a small number of patients had data reported for this item (quetiapine XR, n = 254; placebo, n = 105).

A statistically significant improvement was observed in Q-LES-Q-SF item 16 (“overall life satisfaction”) scores from randomization to week 8 for quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (LSM change 0.60, P = 0.039) and 150 mg/day (LSM change 0.70, P < 0.001) versus placebo (0.49); no significant difference was seen with quetiapine XR 300 mg/day (LSM change 0.52, P = 0.616) versus placebo (Figure 2A).

Pooled PSQI global scores showed a significant improvement in sleep quality from randomization to week 8 for quetiapine XR 50 mg/day (LSM change −5.00, P < 0.001), 150 mg/day (LSM change −5.25, P < 0.001), and 300 mg/day (LSM change −4.60, P < 0.001) versus
placebo (−3.53, Figure 3A). With respect to PSQI individual items, there were significant improvements on six out of seven, five out of seven, and four out of seven item scores for quetiapine XR 50, 150, and 300 mg/day versus placebo, respectively (Figure 3A).

Maintenance study
Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score improved from randomization only in the quetiapine XR group and was significantly better maintained during randomized treatment (0.22, \( P < 0.05 \)) versus placebo (−2.12). Improvement was also significantly better maintained in Q-LES-Q-SF items 15 (quetiapine XR −0.09, \( P < 0.001 \) versus placebo −0.39) and 16 (quetiapine XR −0.01, \( P < 0.01 \) versus placebo −0.19) scores (Figure 2B).

Quetiapine XR maintained significantly better overall sleep quality during randomized treatment, as shown by PSQI global scores (0.39, \( P < 0.001 \) versus placebo 1.60).

**Figure 2** Least squares mean change from randomization in Quality of Life and Satisfaction Questionnaire short form scores at (A) week 8 in pooled acute studies (modified intent-to-treat; last observation carried forward) and (B) during randomized treatment in the maintenance study (intent-to-treat; last observation carried forward).

Notes: (A) *\( P < 0.05 \); ***\( P < 0.001 \) vs placebo. \( n = \) placebo, quetiapine XR 50, 150 and 300 mg/day, respectively. (B) *\( P < 0.05 \); **\( P < 0.01 \); ***\( P < 0.001 \) vs placebo. \( n = \) placebo and quetiapine XR, respectively.

Abbreviations: ITT, intent-to-treat; LSM, least squares mean; XR, extended release.
Four of seven PSQI individual item scores were better maintained with quetiapine XR versus placebo (Figure 3B).

Quetiapine XR maintained a significantly better SDS total score during randomized treatment (−0.19, \( P < 0.05 \) versus placebo 1.01), with significant improvements seen in “family life/home responsibilities” (−0.13, \( P < 0.05 \) versus placebo 0.32) and numerical improvements in “work/school” (−0.10, \( P = 0.051 \) versus placebo 0.29), and “social life” (0.05, \( P = 0.114 \) versus placebo 0.34). Patients treated with quetiapine XR had significantly fewer “unproductive days” from randomization to end of treatment (−0.05, \( P < 0.05 \) versus an increase with placebo (0.11), and numerically fewer “underproductive days” (0.06, \( P = 0.619 \) versus placebo (0.13). Individual SDS item scores are shown in Figure 4.

**Discussion**

Results from the pooled analysis of the three acute studies have previously shown that quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day is significantly effective at improving anxiety symptoms at week 1 and improvements continued until study end.\(^2\) In addition, data from the maintenance study show that these results are maintained over the long term.\(^2\) Beyond these rapid improvements in anxiety symptoms, the secondary analysis reported here suggests that quetiapine XR is effective at improving and maintaining improvements in quality of life, enjoyment and satisfaction, sleep quality, and overall functioning in patients with GAD.

Studies investigating the reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Q-LES-Q-SF have identified significant correlations with clinical efficacy in patients with GAD, validating the use of this rating scale in this patient population.\(^2\) The data reported here show that quetiapine XR 150 mg/day significantly improved health-related quality of life, as shown by changes in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score versus placebo in the pooled acute studies, and quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day also better maintained improvements versus placebo in the long-term study. Quetiapine XR 50 and 150 mg/day significantly improved patient “overall life satisfaction” (Q-LES-Q-SF item 16) versus placebo in the short term, and quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day maintained significantly better improvements in patient “satisfaction with medication” (Q-LES-Q-SF item 15) and “overall life...
satisfaction” (Q-LES-Q-SF item 16) versus placebo during long-term therapy.

The significant improvement in health-related quality of life with quetiapine XR 150 mg/day, but not quetiapine XR 50 mg/day or 300 mg/day, in the three acute studies appears to correspond with the improvements in HAM-A total score observed in the individual studies, as significant separation from placebo occurred with quetiapine XR 150 mg/day consistently in all three studies. In addition, significance versus placebo was observed for quetiapine XR 50 mg/day in study D1448C00009, 300 mg/day in study D1448C000010, and 50 mg/day in study D1448C000011. Significance versus placebo was not determined with quetiapine XR 300 mg/day in study D1448C00009.

While the reasons for the lack of a dose-response relationship with quetiapine XR in this analysis remain speculative, one possible explanation is the increased withdrawal rate with quetiapine XR 300 mg/day (>35%), due to reduced tolerability of this dose compared with lower doses.21–23,25

Previous short-term studies examining quality of life in patients with GAD receiving duloxetine and escitalopram have also reported improvements in Q-LES-Q-SF percent maximum total score with their current first-line treatments.11,33 Moreover, significant improvements in “overall satisfaction with medication” and “overall life satisfaction” scores measured by Q-LES-Q-SF were reported in an acute study of escitalopram in patients with GAD.15 Overall satisfaction with medication may help to improve adherence to a medication regimen, thereby expediting reduction in anxiety symptoms in patients with GAD.34 For patients with a chronic disorder, such as GAD, improvement in overall quality of life is pivotal because ongoing symptoms can have a detrimental effect on work, education, social environment, and health-related quality of life;3,6 therefore, improvement in overall quality of life may have far-reaching benefits for the patient.

Both quality and quantity of sleep are adversely affected among patients with GAD. Many clinicians believe that much of the insomnia reported by patients is actually a variant of GAD35 and that it can result in a significant reduction of quality of life and functioning.36 Insomnia associated with mild-to-moderate GAD generally responds well to psychological treatments and anxiolytic agents, with
significant improvements in sleep quality reported with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, cyclopyrrolones, and benzodiazepines. Our analyses of PSQI scores in the acute and maintenance studies confirm that quetiapine XR is significantly more effective in improving and better maintaining quality of sleep in patients with GAD versus placebo. Significant changes were also seen for several items on the HAM-A psychic and somatic subscale scores, which demonstrate that the anxiolytic effect of quetiapine is broad and occurs independently of its effects on sleep.

Findings from our pooled analysis of data from the acute studies are similar to those found in a short-term augmentation study, which showed that quetiapine significantly improved sleep quality in patients with GAD. Our pooled analysis confirms the findings from three individual acute studies that showed a significant improvement in PSQI global scores with quetiapine XR versus placebo.

Patients with GAD have significantly impaired levels of functioning compared with healthy individuals, as assessed by SDS scores. The SDS total scores reported here show that quetiapine XR was significantly more effective in maintaining patient functioning compared with placebo; these data are consistent with other anxiolytic agents that have shown improvement in SDS total scores in acute studies of patients with GAD. By considering the individual domains of the SDS, the overall improvement in patient functioning was predominantly attributable to improvements in “family life/home responsibilities” and “work/school”. Moreover, quetiapine XR-treated patients had fewer “unproductive days” and “underproductive days” at work compared with placebo. GAD is associated with a significant economic burden owing to decreased work productivity; one study reported 34% of patients with noncomorbid GAD showing a 10% reduction in work productivity and 11% of patients showing a 50% reduction in activity at work. The reduction in unproductive and underproductive days at work in patients treated with quetiapine XR reported here could therefore reduce the economic impact of GAD.

GAD places a substantial burden on the individual and is most notable in terms of diminished functioning in family life/home responsibilities, socially, and at work, with epidemiological studies describing impairment in these factors similar to that of major depression. In a European study of anxiety syndromes, 27% of patients with GAD reported moderate or severe social disability, rising to 59% when GAD was comorbid with major depression. The improvement and better maintenance of SDS domain scores versus placebo reported in our study is potentially of importance for functional status in patients with GAD.

Tolerability data, including the occurrence of extrapyramidal symptoms, have been reported previously for the individual studies and a pooled analysis of the acute studies. The overall tolerability profile of quetiapine XR was consistent with the known profile of quetiapine. Neither quetiapine XR nor quetiapine have regulatory approval for GAD in the United States or Europe.

Limitations of this analysis include the exclusion of patients with major depression or other anxiety disorders within 6 months of enrollment from participating in these studies because it has previously been reported that over 90% of patients with lifetime GAD have a lifetime mental or substance use disorder, with major depressive disorder being the most prevalent at 62.4%. Therefore, our overall pooled population may not be clinically representative of patients encountered by physicians in day-to-day practice. It should also be noted that the three acute studies did not allow flexibility in quetiapine XR dosing; consequently, treatment is not reflective of clinical practice where the dose can be adjusted on the basis of the efficacy and tolerability in the individual patient. However, studies have shown quetiapine XR monotherapy to be effective in both acute and long-term maintenance treatment of major depressive disorder, the disorder that most frequently occurs as a comorbidity in patients with GAD.

In conclusion, treatment with quetiapine XR 50–300 mg/day monotherapy provided and maintained improvements in quality of life, sleep quality, and overall functioning compared with placebo during acute and maintenance treatment in patients with GAD.
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