Self-illuminating quantum dots for highly sensitive in vivo real-time luminescent mapping of sentinel lymph nodes

Qiang Wu
Maoquan Chu
School of Life Science and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China

Abstract: Quantum dots (QDs) show promise as novel nanomaterials for sentinel lymph node (SLN) mapping through their use in noninvasive in vivo fluorescence imaging, and they have provided remarkable results. However, in vivo fluorescence imaging has limitations mainly reflected in the strong autofluorescence and low deepness of tissue penetration associated with this technique. Here, we report on the first use of self-illuminating QDs for mouse axillary SLN mapping by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer, which was found to overcome these limitations. We used CdTe/CdS QDs synthesized in aqueous solution to conjugate a mutant of the bioluminescent protein, Renilla reniformis luciferase. The nanobioconjugates obtained had an average hydrodynamic diameter of 19 nm, and their luminescence catalyzed by the substrate (coelenterazine) could penetrate into at least 20 mm of hairless pigskin, which could be observed using an in vivo imaging system equipped with a 700 nm emission filter. Conversely, the fluorescence of the nanobiocunjugates penetrated no more than 10 mm of pigskin and was observed with a strong background. When 80 µL of the nanobiocunjugates (containing about 0.5 µmol/L of QDs) and 5 µL of coelenterazine (1 µg/µL) were intradermally injected into a mouse paw, the axillary SLN could be imaged in real time without external excitation, and little background interference was detected. Furthermore, the decayed luminescence of QD-Luc8 in SLNs could be recovered after being intradermally reinjected with the coelenterazine. Our data showed that using self-illuminating QDs, as opposed to fluorescence QDs, has greatly enhanced sensitivity in SLN mapping, and that the SLN could be identified synchronously by the luminescence and fluorescence of the self-illuminating QDs.
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Introduction
During the past decade, semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) have come under the spotlight as biomedical imaging and diagnostic probes, due to their excellent optical properties compared with organic fluorescent dyes, such as a broad absorption, a narrow fluorescence emission, and a high quantum yield.1–6 One of the most attractive applications of such fluorescent QDs is their use for in vivo sentinel lymph node (SLN) mapping and imaging,7–15 as SLN mapping is a popular management option in cancer surgery. It is known that SLN is the first lymph node (or group of nodes) to receive lymphatic drainage from a primary tumor.16 SLN mapping and biopsies are very important techniques for surgeons to decide whether a patient requires more or less surgery, as lymph node metastasis is one of the most important prognostic signs of the spread of cancer. QDs subcutaneously injected into animal bodies can migrate...
easily and quickly into lymph nodes near the injection site through passive targeting, and the fluorescence emitted by the QDs trapped in the SLN, deep within the tissue, can be directly visible through skin and muscle. In addition, visual inspection would help the surgeon to judge whether or not all of the SLNs have been removed from the node field. SLN visual mapping using vital blue dyes is a traditional method that is widely used in current cancer clinics. However, blue dyes located within deep tissue are hard to observe through their blue color, unless the tissue is exposed to air after surgical management. SLN mapping using QD fluorescence can reduce the need for surgical management; this is a great advantage over the traditional methods.

However, two challenges facing in vivo fluorescent imaging are that the strong background autofluorescence is difficult to avoid, and a part of the external exciting light is absorbed and scattered by the tissue, so that the fluorescence intensity emitted by the QDs in deep tissue is sometimes too low to be detected or just simply too weak to be distinguished from the background autofluorescence. To overcome this limitation, So et al., Xing et al., Ma et al., and Kim et al. developed self-illuminating QDs based on the principle of bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET). The fluorescence emitted by these QDs can be illuminated by the bioluminescence produced by the reaction between the enzymes and substrate around the QD surface. Therefore, self-illuminating QDs can emit bright fluorescence with no requirement for external excitation, which exhibits extremely high sensitivity for use in in vivo imaging. Recently, Kosaka et al. applied self-illuminating QDs to in vivo lymphatic imaging in mice. However, the luminescence life of the self-illuminating QDs in vivo was short, and how to recover the luminescence was not investigated.

In this work, we report the use of self-illuminating QDs for SLN mapping and imaging. We found that the decayed luminescence of self-illuminating QDs in SLN could be reilluminated after being intradermally reinjected with coelenterazine and the SLN could be identified synchronously by the luminescence and fluorescence of the self-illuminating QDs. Therefore, CdTe/CdS QDs used in this study were synthesized in aqueous solution.

**Experimental Materials**

The fusion protein purification kit was purchased from TOYOBO (Osaka, Japan). 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC) was purchased from J&K (Atlanta, Georgia). Coelenterazine (native), the substrate of Luc8, was purchased from Promega (Madison, Wisconsin). All other reagents, such as CdCl₂·2.5H₂O, Te powder, and NaBH₄ were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd (Shanghai, China). The nude mice were provided by the Sinobi British Sippr/BK Lab Animal Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

**Synthesis of CdTe/CdS QDs**

CdTe QDs were synthesized in aqueous solution (pH = 9.0) using CdCl₂, fresh NaHTe (NaHTe solution was prepared by dissolving Te powder into a NaBH₄ solution under a N₂ atmosphere), and MPA as precursors. The reaction volume was 1000 mL, and the solution was reacted at 95 °C. The concentration of CdCl₂ was 3.4 mmol/L, and the molar ratio of Cd:Te:MPA in the reaction solution was 1:0.47:6.76. When the fluorescence emission wavelength of the solution exceeded 700 nm, Na₂S was introduced into the CdTe solution and reacted at 60 °C for 1 hour. The molar ratio of Cd to S was about 1:0.01. The CdTe/CdS QD solution was then stored at 4 °C prior to use.

**Expression and purification of Luc8**

The plasmid, pBAD-Rluc8, containing a gene encoding eight-mutation variants of *Renilla reniformis* luciferase (Luc8) with a C-terminal six-histidine tag, was kindly provided by Professor Jianghong Rao (Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA). The pBAD-Rluc8 plasmid was transferred into the *Escherichia coli* Rosetta strain, and the bacteria was cultured at 37 °C on a shaking-table at 180 rpm. When the absorbance of the culture reached 0.6, arabinose was added at a final concentration of 0.2% to induce expression of the Luc8. Then, the culture was incubated at 30 °C for another 12 hours. The thalli were collected by centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 10 minutes), and the Luc8 was purified using the fusion protein purification kit mentioned above.

**Preparation of QD-Luc8 bioconjugates**

The QD bioconjugation was prepared according to the procedures reported by So et al. Briefly, EDC (1 µL, 4 nmol/µL) was added to the QD aqueous solution (400 µL, 1 µmol/L),...
which was dissolved in phosphate buffer (397 µL, pH = 7.4). After 30 minutes, 2 µL of Luc8 was introduced into the solution, which was then incubated with the QDs at 4 °C for 12 hours. The QD-Luc8 bioconjugate solution obtained was prepared and stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator.

Characterization
The fluorescence and bioluminescence emission spectra were measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a xenon lamp (F-2500; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). For detecting the bioluminescence, the excitation light was blocked using a black plastic filter, and the emission spectra were collected from a wavelength region of 400–800 nm. The morphologies of the QDs before and after conjugation of Luc8 were observed with a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (JEOL-2010; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 200 kV. The size distributions were ascertained using photon correlation spectroscopy (3000HS; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Each sample was measured three times. The size distribution data were analyzed with respect to their intensity.

In vitro fluorescence and luminescence imaging
Eighty microliters of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-dissolved QD and QD-Luc8 bioconjugate solutions (all containing ~0.5 µmol/L of QDs) were placed side by side in the chamber of an in vivo imaging system (NightOWL LB983; Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). A 630 nm excitation filter and 700 nm emission filter (long pass) were used for collecting the fluorescence images of the above three samples. For detecting the luminescence signals, three methanol-dissolved coelenterazine (1 µL, 1 µg/µL) solutions were each added into those three samples, and the luminescence images were then acquired with and without the 700 nm emission filter in place. For comparing the depth of tissue penetration of the QD fluorescence with that of the QD-Luc8 luminescence, the samples were covered with hairless pigskin of different thicknesses (2, 5, 10, and 20 mm), and the fluorescence (excitation filter: 630 nm) and luminescence images, all with the 700 nm emission filter, were acquired. All images were analyzed using the IndiGo software provided with the in vivo imaging system (Berthold Technologies).

SLN mapping and imaging in nude mice
A nude mouse (~25 g) was first anesthetized using 200 µL of chloral hydrate (4 wt.%) and then fixed in the chamber of the same in vivo imaging system as described above. PBS-dissolved QD-Luc8 bioconjugates (80 µL, QDs: ~0.5 µmol/L) mixed with 5 µL of coelenterazine (1 µg/µL) was injected intradermally into the mouse paw. The fluorescence (excitation filter: 630 nm) and luminescence images were then alternately taken (with a 700 nm emission filter in place) immediately after injection and monitored from 1 to 100.3 minutes. At 120 minutes after injection of the mixture of QD-Luc8 and coelenterazine, 5 µL of coelenterazine (1 µg/µL) was injected intradermally again into the mouse paw at the same site as the first injection, and the same method was then used to acquire the luminescence images. After the in vivo imaging had been completed (~3 hours after injection with the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates), the lymph node in the axillary location at the injection side was resected for fluorescence and luminescence imaging using the in vivo imaging system via the same method as described above.

To repeat the above animal experiment and determine the long-time stability of QD-Luc8 signal in mouse SLN imaging, PBS-dissolved QD-Luc8 bioconjugates (80 µL, QDs: ~0.5 µmol/L) mixed with 5 µL of coelenterazine (1 µg/µL) were injected intradermally into another mouse paw, and the coelenterazine (5 µL, 1 µg/µL) was re-injected into the mouse paw at 307 (~5 hours) and 595 minutes (~10 hours) after the first injection, respectively. The mouse fluorescence and luminescence images were recorded using the same method as described above. After imaging, the mouse SLN was resected for cryosectioning at ~20 °C using a cryostat (CM 3050 S; Leica, Nussloch, Germany), and the frozen section images were taken on a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Yokohama, Japan).

To compare the SLN imaging using QDs-Luc8 and QDs alone, PBS-dissolved QDs (80 µL, QDs: ~0.5 µmol/L) without Luc8 were intradermally injected into the third mouse paw. The fluorescent imaging method was the same as that described above.

Unless otherwise stated, the exposure times for all fluorescence and luminescence were 0.1 and 30 seconds, respectively.

Results and discussion
We first expressed and purified Luc8 with a maximal luminescence emission at 480 nm, and then we conjugated the Luc8 variants onto the MPA-capped QDs using EDC as the cross-linking reagent (see Method). The QD-Luc8 bioconjugates obtained could still be well dispersed in water and did not obviously aggregate, compared with the QDs before conjugation (Figure 1A). Although the Luc8 molecules
on the QD surface were difficult to observe clearly using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, the hydrodynamic diameter of the QD-Luc8 (19 ± 13.5 nm) was obviously larger than that of the QDs (6.0 ± 0.4 nm) without Luc8 (Figure 1B). It has been demonstrated that the ideal contrast agent for SLN mapping should be 10–50 nm in size;28,29 therefore, the QD-Luc8 nanocomposites provided by this work are of a suitable diameter to be passively delivered into the lymphatic system.

The fluorescence peak of the as-prepared QD-Luc8 bioconjugates located at 634.5 nm are slightly blue-shifted by 3 nm compared with that of the QDs without Luc8. However, the fluorescence spectra obtained for both the QDs and QD-Luc8 bioconjugates have long tails exceeding 700 nm in wavelength (Figure 2). After addition of coelenterazine, the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates exhibited two maximum emission peaks at 480 and 630 nm, respectively, and the latter spectrum exceeded 700 nm (Figure 2). The peak at 480 nm was due to bioluminescence caused by the reaction between Luc8 and coelenterazine, and the peak at 630 nm was due to the QD fluorescence caused by BRET. In this work, the QDs were synthesized in aqueous solution. Their surface defects are usually greater than those of QDs synthesized in organic solution. This may be the main reason why the BRET ratio is not high.

Both the QDs and QD-Luc8 bioconjugates, when excited with a 630 nm light, were found to emit a bright NIR fluorescence as observed using an in vivo imaging system equipped with a 700 nm emission filter (Figure 3A, left). After the addition of coelenterazine, the Luc8 and QD-Luc8 bioconjugate solutions immediately emitted luminescence without any emission filter, and the luminescence intensity of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates was significantly brighter than that of Luc8 alone (Figure 3A, right). When the luminescence was filtered using the 700 nm emission filter, nearly no signal from the Luc8 solution could be detected, but a bright emission from the QD-Luc8 solution could be detected (Figure 3A, middle). These results indicate that BRET had occurred between Luc8 and the QDs in the mixed solution of QD-Luc8 and coelenterazine, so that the NIR fluorescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates could be detected by the in vivo imaging system without any further external light excitation.

To compare the depth of tissue penetration achievable by the QD fluorescence with that of the QD-Luc8 luminescence, hairless pigskins with different thicknesses were used to

Figure 1 (A) High-resolution transmission electron microscopy images and (B) hydrodynamic diameters of QDs before and after conjugating with Luc8.

Abbreviations: Luc8, Renilla reniformis luciferase; QDs, quantum dots.
cover the above three samples (QDs, Luc8, and QD-Luc8) for the detection of the corresponding fluorescence and luminescence signals. As shown in Figure 3B, the fluorescence of the QDs and QD-Luc8 bioconjugates could penetrate no more than 10 mm of skin tissue, and the fluorescence signals associated with the QDs, as well as the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates, were difficult to distinguish from the strong background autofluorescence of the skins, even when the 700 nm emission filter was used to reduce the autofluorescence signal. However, the luminescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates could penetrate at least 20 mm of skin tissue, and little background signal could be detected. With the 700 nm emission filter in place, the bioluminescence of Luc8 without QD conjugation could not penetrate any thickness of pigskin provided by this work. The deep penetration ability and reduced background interference of the self-illuminating QD-Luc8 bioconjugates are expected to be of great benefit for in vivo bioimaging.

We then investigated the use of NIR luminescence emitted by the self-illuminating QD-Luc8 bioconjugates for mouse axillary SLN mapping. We intradermally injected 80 µL of QD-Luc8 (QDs: ~0.5 µmol/L) and 5 µL of coelenterazine (1 µg/µL) as a substrate into a nude mouse paw and used the in vivo imaging system equipped with a 700 nm emission filter to monitor the migration of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates into the axillary SLN by means of NIR luminescence. As shown in Figure 4, the mouse axillary region emitted bright luminescence as early as 1 minute after injection, and it could be observed clearly without the need to excise skin and muscle. The luminescence intensity at the axillary region increased during the initial 20 minutes after injection, but then decreased during the subsequent period (Figure 4 A, C left). This may be due to the following two factors: (1) that successively more QD-Luc8 nanoparticles migrated from the injection site into the lymphatic vessel, finally becoming trapped by the SLN during the initial period (eg, ~1–20 minutes after injection), and (2) that the number of coelenterazine molecules in the axillary region was slowly reduced during the subsequent period (eg, ~30–100 minutes after injection) because of reaction with the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates and their diffusion into the surrounding tissue. In spite of this, the luminescence signal emitted by the axillary region persisted for at least 100 minutes after the injection. It is interesting that when the luminescence intensity of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates in the axillary region decayed to close to zero, the luminescence signal could be recovered after being intradermally re-injected with the coelenterazine substrate into the mouse paw at the same site as the first injection (Figure 4B, C right). Furthermore, the recovered luminescence signal persisted for at least 50 minutes after the second injection.

It is also clearly shown that nearly no autobioluminescence associated with the mouse could be detected, and only the injection site and the axillary region exhibited bright luminescence signals. Therefore, the axillary SLN could be found easily in real time in deep tissue using the self-illuminating QD-Luc8 bioconjugates. In addition, we found that there is enough time to identify and ensure complete resection of the SLN, as the luminescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates trapped within the SLN can be retained for a long time and can be reilluminated through reinjection of the coelenterazine substrate.

To compare the self-luminescence and fluorescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates for SLN imaging, the fluorescence images of the same mouse, as described above, were taken using the in vivo imaging system during the corresponding luminescence imaging intervals. As shown in Figure 5, the SLN imaging using the QD fluorescence is hampered by the strong autofluorescence produced by the liver, stomach, intestines, and skin of the mouse. Although these tissues usually only emit yellow or green fluorescence, they have a broad emission spectra. Therefore, the autofluorescence of these tissues could not be completely filtered by the 700 nm emission filter.

Approximately 3 hours after injection of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates, the skin and muscle at the luminescence region of the axillary region were dissected, and the SLN was exposed to air. It should be noted that, before resection from the mouse body, the exposed SLN emitted both luminescence and fluorescence, as detected using the in vivo

---

**Figure 2** Fluorescence emission spectrum of QDs and bioluminescence emission spectra of the Luc8 and QD-Luc8 bioconjugates.

**Abbreviations:** Luc8, *Renilla reniformis* luciferase; QDs, quantum dots.
imaging system (Figure 6A). However, the luminescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates in the SLN could not be observed after resection (Figure 6B, top). This is because the lymphatic vessel has been cut off, thereby preventing the flow of coelenterazine substrate molecules into the SLN to react with the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates. It can be seen, however, that the resected SLN still emitted bright fluorescence, and its fluorescence intensity was at least twice that of the maximal intensity of the in vivo SLN, because the resected SLN was no longer covered by skin and muscle.

Therefore, combining the luminescence of the QD-Luc8 conjugates for in vivo imaging and the fluorescence of the QD-Luc8 for in vitro imaging may be a more efficient strategy for SLN mapping and imaging. As a control, the resected lymph node of another mouse, without injection of QDs, exhibited little or no bioluminescence or fluorescence after being filtered by the 700 nm emission filter (Figure 6B, bottom).

In order to monitor the long-time stability of QD-Luc8 in mouse SLN, QD-Luc8 bioconjugates (80 µL, QDs: ~0.5 µmol/L) mixed with coelenterazine (5 µL, 1 µg/µL) was injected intradermally into another mouse paw, and the axillary SLN luminescence was imaged. As shown in Figure 7A, the luminescence of the SLN persisted for at least
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100 minutes after the injection, which was similar to the first mouse results shown (Figure 4). This indicates that using the luminescence of the QD-Luc8 conjugates for SLN mapping is a repeatable technique. When coelenterazine (5 µL, 1 µg/µL) was intradermally reinjected into the mouse paw at the same site of the first injection, 307 minutes after the first injection, the extinct luminescence was recovered, and the recovered luminescence signal persisted for about 40 minutes after the second injection (Figure 7B, C). This suggests that some Luc8 molecules remained on the surfaces of the QDs and retained bioactivity in the mouse body for about 347 (307 ± 40) minutes from the first injection. However, when coelenterazine (5 µL, 1 µg/µL) was injected into the mouse paw for the third time, 595 minutes after the first injection, nearly no luminescence signal could be detected (Figure 7D), which suggests that the bioactivity of Luc8 on the QD surfaces in the mouse body disappeared about 10 hours after the first injection. Although the luminescence signal of QD-Luc8 bioconjugates in SLN disappeared, these bioconjugates still emitted bright fluorescence about 10 hours after the first injection, which could be observed whether the axillary skin and muscle were resected or not (Figure 7E). The fluorescent image of the ultrathin section showed that a large amount of QDs was trapped in the mouse SLN (Figure 8).

Finally, we intradermally injected QDs alone (80 µL, 0.5 µmol/L) into the third nude mouse paw, and found that the axillary SLN emitted bright fluorescence even about 10 hours after the injection (Figure 9). Combining the results shown in Figures 5 (or 6), 7E, and 9, we can conclude that the Luc8
enzyme on the QD surfaces does not obviously influence the QDs passively targeting the SLN.

**Conclusion**

In summary, water-soluble CdTe/CdS QDs synthesized in aqueous solution were used for conjugating with *R. reniformis* luciferase (Luc8). The bright luminescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates obtained could be illuminated quickly through BRET when the bioconjugates were reacted with a substrate (coelenterazine). Using an in vivo imaging system equipped with a 700 nm emission filter, SLN mapping of a mouse using the NIR luminescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates catalyzed by the coelenterazine substrate has great advantages over using the NIR fluorescence of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates, because of their high sensitivity resulting from the limited background interference, as well as the high depth of tissue penetration of the luminescence. In addition, the bright luminescence trapped within the SLN was retained for at least 100 minutes after injection of the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates and the coelenterazine substrate, and the extinct luminescence could be reilluminated by reinjection of the substrate. The strategy described in this study indicates that the QD-Luc8 bioconjugates are a smart nanostructure that may be applicable as a novel imaging tool for use in in vivo SLN mapping, due to their highly sensitive self-luminescence.
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