Potential role of enzastaurin in the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphomas: a review
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Abstract: Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCLs) are rare extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphomas characterized by neoplastic T-lymphocyte accumulation in the skin. The two most common types of CTCLs are mycosis fungoides and the leukemic variant, Sézary syndrome. Prognosis of CTCLs depends on the stage, with a poor prognosis in advanced-stage disease. A number of agents have recently been developed for the treatment of CTCLs: chemotherapeutic agents such as pralatrexate, interferon-alpha, retinoids such as bexarotene, monoclonal antibodies such as alemtuzumab, and histone deacetylase inhibitors such as vorinostat and romidepsin. Nevertheless, there is no cure for CTCLs except for allogeneic stem cell transplant. A promising new drug is enzastaurin. Enzastaurin is a novel serine/threonine kinase inhibitor that binds to protein kinase C-β (PKC-β) and inhibits the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K)/AKT/phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) signaling pathway. Enzastaurin induces apoptosis and inhibits angiogenesis; it was also shown to suppress growth of CTCL cell lines in vitro. Given its low toxicity, enzastaurin has been tested against both solid tumors and hematologic malignancies. This article is focused on the potential role of enzastaurin in the treatment of CTCLs. A phase II multicenter trial evaluated enzastaurin monotherapy in patients with CTCLs. However, the results from this study were disappointing, demonstrating that enzastaurin had only modest clinical activity. Hence, enzastaurin is not currently developed for treating CTCLs. Potential strategies to improve enzastaurin efficacy against CTCLs are discussed: validation of enzastaurin targets such as PKC-β expression in CTCL lesions and/or blood; measurement of serum vascular endothelial growth factor levels; dose optimization; combining enzastaurin with other antiangiogenic agents, or glycogen synthase kinase inhibitors, or mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors. Ultimately, developing more potent inhibitors of PKC-β and PI3K/AKT/PTEN/mTOR signaling pathways may be necessary to improve clinical outcomes in CTCLs.
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Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCLs) are rare, largely indolent, extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphomas characterized by neoplastic T-lymphocyte accumulation in skin.1 The two most common types are mycosis fungoides (MF) and the leukemic variant, Sézary syndrome (SS), which account for more than 50% of all primary cutaneous lymphomas. CTCLs have an age-adjusted incidence rate of 7.7 per 1,000,000 person-years.2

The etiology of CTCLs is unclear. It may include genetic predisposition,3,4 infectious causes (eg, human T-lymphotropic virus type 1),5,6 and environmental factors such as solvents. Malignant T-cells in CTCLs show clonal chromosomal abnormalities,
mostly deletions and translocations.\textsuperscript{7–10} Increased expression of adhesion molecules such as intercellular adhesion molecule 1, alpha3beta1 integrin, and cutaneous lymphocyte antigen has been observed in CTCLs, which may explain its epidermotropism.\textsuperscript{11–17} Cytokines play an important role in CTCL pathophysiology. Sézary cells, which are present in peripheral blood in SS, show aberrant production of cytokines: increased serum levels of T-helper type 2 cytokines (interleukin [IL]-4, IL-5, and IL-10) and decreased levels of T-helper type 1 cytokines (IL-2 and interferon gamma), which impairs cell-mediated cytotoxicity and increases levels of serum immunoglobulin E and A, and peripheral eosinophilia.

The categorization of CTCLs as primary cutaneous lymphomas is part of the World Health Organization–European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer classification.\textsuperscript{18} Clinical trials in MF and SS have suffered from a lack of standardization in evaluation, staging, end points, and response criteria. To resolve these inconsistencies, the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas, the US Cutaneous Lymphoma Consortium, and the Cutaneous Lymphoma Task Force of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer developed consensus guidelines.\textsuperscript{19}

MF typically affects older adults (median age is 55–60 years) but has been observed in individuals \(\leq 35\). Men are affected more often than women (2:1),\textsuperscript{18} and blacks are affected more commonly than whites. MF has an indolent course with slow clinical progression (years to decades). Clinical manifestations are varied, from limited cutaneous patches to more extensive, generalized cutaneous plaques (Figure 1) and tumors, commonly accompanied by pruritus. Patches are characteristically located in sun-protected areas, such as the lower abdomen and buttocks. Tumors tend to occur in more advanced disease and are often associated with previous patches or plaques. These lesions frequently become ulcerated, leading to secondary infections and high morbidity. Histology varies with disease stage, but epidermotropism is typically seen; intradermal collections of atypical cells (Pautrier microabscesses) are rare, but when present indicate MF. Immunophenotypic analysis of malignant lymphocytes reveals T-cell markers, typically with a CD4\textsuperscript{+}/CD8\textsuperscript{–} (T-helper) phenotype, including CD2\textsuperscript{+}, CD3\textsuperscript{+}, CD4\textsuperscript{+}, CD5\textsuperscript{+}, CD45RO\textsuperscript{+}, and CD8\textsuperscript{–} expression. At later stages, patients may exhibit nodal or visceral involvement.

MF staging is based on the TNMB system, which incorporates evaluation of skin tumors (T), lymph nodes (N), viscera (M), and blood (B). Stages IA to IIA represent early stage disease; stages IIB to IV and Sézary syndrome represent advanced disease. Prognosis depends on stage, type and extent of cutaneous lesions, and presence of extracutaneous disease. Patients with limited stage MF (disease affecting \(\leq 10\%\) of the skin surface) have a similar life expectancy to an age-, sex-, and race-matched control population, with 10-year disease-specific survival of 97\%–98\%.\textsuperscript{20,21} Patients with more extensive disease (higher stage) show decreased overall survival. In a study examining long-term outcomes of 525 MF and SS patients, the most important clinical factors predicting survival were age, T classification, and extracutaneous disease.\textsuperscript{22}

SS is the more aggressive leukemic variant of CTCLs. Patients generally present with erythroderma, pruritus, and characteristic Sézary cells that are circulating mononuclear malignant T lymphocytes with cerebriform nuclei (Figure 2). In advanced cases, patients suffer from alopecia, leonine facies, hyperkeratosis, cutaneous pain, and ectropion. Prognosis is poor, with a median survival of 2–4 years.\textsuperscript{18}

### Currently available treatments for CTCLs

Several treatment options are available for CTCLs, but there is currently no curative therapy other than allogeneic stem cell transplant.\textsuperscript{23} Treatment is based on disease stage, early (IA–IIA) or advanced (IIB–IVB). In early stage disease,
skin-directed therapies are preferred: phototherapy with UVB and PUVA, topical chemotherapy (nitrogen mustard or carmustine), topical steroids and retinoids, and irradiation with X-rays or electron beam. In advanced-stage disease, treatment goals include relieving symptoms, reducing tumor burden, and delaying disease progression. Advanced disease is usually treated with a combination of topical and systemic treatments: total skin electron beam radiation, chemotherapy, extracorporeal photopheresis, interferon alpha, newer retinoids such as bexarotene, monoclonal antibodies such as alemtuzumab, and histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors such as vorinostat and romidepsin. It is unclear whether these treatments prolong overall survival in patients with CTCLs. Furthermore, comparative effectiveness of these approaches is unknown because the disease is rare and it is difficult to accrue enough patients for a large randomized phase II or III trial.24

Recently, several novel agents were developed for treating CTCLs. One of these agents, pralatrexate, a novel antifolate agent, was approved for unlabeled use in the treatment of relapsed/refractory CTCLs based on the results from a multicenter dose-finding study demonstrating that pralatrexate has high antineoplastic activity with acceptable toxicity.25

Bexarotene, a synthetic retinoid X receptor–selective retinoid agonist, was approved for treating cutaneous manifestations of CTCLs in patients refractory to at least one prior systemic therapy. Approval was based on a phase II–III trial for treatment of advanced-stage (IIB–IVB) CTCLs in 94 patients.26 Response rates were 45% and 55%, but only 6% achieved a complete response.26 Major side effects such as hyperlipidemia and hypothyroidism potentially limit the long-term use of this drug. While retinoids are commonly used in combination with other treatment modalities, including interferon, phototherapy, or radiation, a study comparing bexarotene alone or with the addition of interferon alpha-2b did not find an improved response rate with the use of the combination.27

Vorinostat, an oral inhibitor of histone deacetylases (HDAC), was approved for treating CTCL patients with progressive, persistent, or recurrent disease. In a phase IIB multicenter trial of oral vorinostat in 74 patients with stage IB–IVA persistent, progressive, or treatment-refractory MF or SS, the overall response rate was modest at 29.7%, with only one patient achieving a complete response.28 Most notably, 32% of patients had significant relief of pruritis symptoms with vorinostat therapy. The most common drug-related adverse events included diarrhea, fatigue, and nausea; grade 3 or higher adverse events included fatigue, pulmonary embolism, thrombocytopenia, and anaphylaxis.

Depsipeptide (also known as romidepsin) is one of the first HDAC inhibitors found to have activity in CTCL. An international phase II trial evaluated the efficacy of depsipeptide in patients with treatment-refractory CTCLs.29 Ninety-six patients with stage IB–IVA CTCLs who had received one or more prior systemic therapies were enrolled. The majority of patients (71%) had advanced-stage disease (>IIB). The overall response rate was 34%, with five patients having complete responses. Drug-related toxicities included nausea, thrombocytopenia, leucopenia, and reversible electrocardiographic changes.

Denileukin difitox is a novel recombinant fusion protein consisting of peptide sequences for the enzymatically active and membrane translocation domains of diphtheria toxin and human IL-2. A phase III study investigated the efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics of denileukin difitox in previously treated patients with stage IB–IVA CTCLs that expressed CD25, the receptor for IL-2.30 This study demonstrated an overall response rate of 30%, with 10% of patients obtaining a complete response. Adverse events included flu-like symptoms, acute infusion-related events, vascular leak syndrome, transient elevations in hepatic transaminase levels, and hypoalbuminemia.

Alemtuzumab (Campath-1H), a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against CD52, was effective against CTCLs.31–33 In a phase II trial of patients with advanced stage MF or SS, the overall response rate was 55%, with 32% achieving complete remission.32 The median time to treatment failure was 12 months. Alemtuzumab was associated with significant immunosuppression, serious infections (cytomegalovirus, generalized herpes simplex, fatal aspergillosis, fatal Mycobacterium pneumonia), and cardiac toxicity.31–34 Low-dose subcutaneous alemtuzumab...
administered for a short time had a good toxicity profile and similar efficacy in SS patients.35

Thus, although several biologic agents have recently been FDA approved for the treatment of CTCLs, the average response rate in the frontline setting is only 25%–30%,23 which underscores the need for novel agents for these diseases. New agents are currently being investigated for CTCLs. Among them are forodesine, an orally available analog inhibitor of purine nucleoside phosphorylase, and zalzimunab, a fully human monoclonal anti-CD4 antibody. There continue to be additional avenues of investigation into various treatment modalities for CTCL patients. Researchers continue to develop unique agents and novel approaches, such as vaccines, combined modality treatments, and stem cell transplantation. One newer agent investigated in CTCLs is the serine/threonine kinase inhibitor enzastaurin (LY317615; Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN).

**Enzastaurin**

**Structure and mechanism of action**

Enzastaurin is an oral synthetic macrocyclic bisindolylmaleimide. It is a small-molecule serine/threonine kinase inhibitor with specificity for protein kinase C isozyme β (PKC-β). PKC is a receptor for phorbol esters, which are potent carcinogens, capable of inducing tumors in experimental animals.36 PKC is a serine/threonine kinase that becomes activated due to growth factor signaling and translocates to a cell membrane, where it plays a critical role in stimulating cell growth and proliferation (Figure 3). At the membrane, PKC phosphorylates its substrates, resulting in initiation of PI3-kinase (PI3K) signaling and a subsequent cascade of downstream signals, including phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1, AKT and glycogen synthase kinase (GSK-3) β. PKC isoforms have been implicated in many aspects of tumorigenesis, including proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Overexpression of the PKC-β isoform results in susceptibility to malignant transformation.36 PKC is an important molecule in PI3K/AKT/phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) signaling (Figure 3), a key pathway regulating cell growth and angiogenesis.37,38

Increased levels of PKC have been observed in solid tumors. Mouse models show that inhibition of PKC activity impairs tumor growth.39 Overexpression of PKC-β has been reported in B-cell and T-cell lymphomas;40 PKC-β overexpression was associated with inferior prognosis in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas.40 Given the role of PKC and specifically PKC-β in tumorigenesis, novel agents
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**Figure 3** Schematic illustration of the effects of enzastaurin on the PI3K/AKT/PTEN signal transduction pathway.


**Abbreviations:** ENZ, enzastaurin; PLC, phospholipase C; PKC, protein kinase C; GSK3, glycogen synthase kinase 3; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3 kinase; PDK1, phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1; AKT, also called protein kinase B (PKB); p70S6K, p70S6 kinase; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog.
blocking this target may provide promising targeted anti-cancer therapy.

Enzastaurin was originally developed as an adenosine triphosphatase–competitive selective inhibitor of PKC-β. It actively competes with adenosine triphosphatase for the binding site of PKC, thereby blocking its activation of PKC-β and preventing phosphorylation of downstream molecules. Enzastaurin suppresses the PI3K/AKT/PTEN pathway and inhibits GSK-3 phosphorylation. Studies with tumor cell lines have shown that the addition of enzastaurin to cell cultures results in inhibition of AKT and GSK-3β phosphorylation. Enzastaurin has both direct and indirect antitumor effects. Enzastaurin inhibition of PKC-β and its downstream mediators induces apoptotic cell death and decreased cell proliferation in vitro experiments. In vivo experiments show that enzastaurin reduces vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression and microvessel density in a human xenograft model. It also suppresses growth of new blood vessels in a rat corneal micropocket assay. The potent antiangiogenic activity of enzastaurin in preclinical studies stimulated its clinical development.

Enzastaurin is a noncytotoxic agent with a favorable side-effect profile. A phase I trial in solid-tumor patients indicated that the drug was well tolerated at doses that achieved a biologically active serum concentration. Toxicities included grade 1 chromaturia (red discoloration of the urine), nausea, diarrhea, fatigue, and rare dose-limiting QTc interval prolongation. In the phase I trial, the enzastaurin dose was escalated up to 700 mg daily and the maximum tolerated dose was not achieved.

**Clinical experience with enzastaurin**

Enzastaurin has been evaluated in both hematologic malignancies and solid tumors as either a single agent or in combination with other therapies. Previous studies with enzastaurin as a single agent in solid tumors – in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer, metastatic colorectal cancer, locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer, malignant gliomas, prostate cancer, breast cancer, or primary peritoneal cancer – did not result in responses as dramatic as its effect in vitro. Investigations of enzastaurin in various hematologic malignancies were more promising. This may be a result of higher selective expression of the PKC-β isoform in hematopoietic cells.

Preclinical studies showed that enzastaurin has activity in multiple myeloma and Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia (WM). A multicenter phase II study was conducted to determine whether further study of single-agent enzastaurin is warranted in patients with previously treated WM. Twenty-nine patients with WM were treated with oral enzastaurin 250 mg twice daily in 28-day cycles. While no patients achieved a complete response, one had a partial response and seven patients had a minor response, for an overall response rate of 27.6%. Only one patient had a grade 3 drug-related adverse event.

Among hematologic malignancies, PKC-β has been shown to have the highest level of expression in mantle cell lymphomas, with overexpression in >90% of cases. A phase II study was conducted in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. Sixty patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma received oral enzastaurin 500 mg daily; the primary end point was freedom from progression (FFP) after three 28-day cycles of treatment. Following three cycles of treatment, 22 of 60 patients (37%) attained FFP for ≥3 cycles; six of 22 attained FFP > 6 months. At the time of publication, two patients remained on treatment and experienced FFP for ≥23 months. However, no objective tumor responses were noted. In this study, enzastaurin was well tolerated, with fatigue being the most common toxicity. While there were no drug-related deaths, grade 3 anemia, diarrhea, dyspnea, vomiting, hypotension, and syncope were observed.

A phase II study investigated enzastaurin in 55 patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Enzastaurin was given once daily, initially at 525 mg and subsequently at 500 mg, until the disease progressed or unacceptable toxicity occurred. Study end points included FFP for two or more 28-day cycles, an objective response, and toxicity. Overall, twelve of 55 patients (22%) experienced FFP for ≥2 cycles, with eight attaining FFP for ≥4 cycles. Four patients, including three complete responders and one patient with stable disease, maintained FFP for 20–50 months.

Enzastaurin was also investigated in a phase II study of 66 patients with grade 1 or 2 stage III or IV follicular lymphoma. Patients received enzastaurin 500 mg for up to 3 years or until progression, withdrawal, or unacceptable toxicity occurred. The primary end point was response rate; secondary end points included duration of response and safety. At the time of publication, the median overall exposure was 10.1 months. Of the 64 patients who received at least one dose of enzastaurin, one had a complete response and 15 had a partial response, resulting in an overall response rate of 25%; 25 (39.1%) had disease progression. While there were no drug-related deaths, three patients had serious drug-related
adverse events, including grade 3 bronchitis, grade 2 diarrhea, and grade 2 pancreatitis.

**Evaluation of enzastaurin in CTCLs**

Despite many therapeutic developments, CTCLs still remain poorly understood and largely incurable. Therefore, novel drugs that target cell proliferation and angiogenesis, including the targeting of PKC-β and AKT signaling pathways, are of great interest in the treatment of CTCLs.

Based on these considerations, enzastaurin, an inhibitor of PKC-β and the PI3K/AKT/PTEN signaling pathway, was tested in the treatment of CTCLs, which appeared to be dependent on this pathway for survival. In vitro studies demonstrated that enzastaurin has substantial activity against
two well-characterized human CTCL cell lines, HuT-78 and HH.59 Enzastaurin effectively inhibited growth and induced apoptosis in these cell lines through inhibition of AKT signaling in a time- and dose-dependent manner.

A multicenter, open-label screening study was initiated to evaluate the activity of enzastaurin in relapsed peripheral T-cell lymphomas, CTCLs, and indolent and aggressive B-cell lymphomas. Patients received 250 mg oral enzastaurin twice daily in 28-day cycles for up to 2 years and were assessed for overall tumor response, progression-free survival, time to progression, and safety. A total of 57 patients were enrolled, including eleven with CTCLs. Nobody had a complete response; however, partial responses were observed in four of the 57 patients, including one patient with CTCL. Three patients (5%) discontinued treatment due to drug-related toxicities; there were no drug-related deaths. Based on these results, the authors postulated that enzastaurin appeared to have activity and was tolerated in patients with indolent lymphomas, including CTCLs.60

Given these promising results, a phase II multicenter trial with a two-stage design was conducted to evaluate enzastaurin monotherapy in patients with heavily pretreated, recurrent, or refractory MF, stage IB–IVB, or SS. However, results from this study were disappointing. Enzastaurin had only modest clinical activity in this patient population.61 Twenty-five patients received enzastaurin 250 mg twice daily until disease progression or intolerable toxicity. The primary end point was investigator-assessed response rate, with secondary end points including time to objective response, response duration, time to progression, patient-reported pruritus, and safety/tolerability. A partial response was observed in one patient with MF, with no patient achieving a complete response. The median time to progression was 78 days among patients with MF, and 44 days among patients with SS. Six patients achieved symptomatic relief from pruritus. While enzastaurin was generally well tolerated, with mostly grade 1–2 side effects including fatigue and diarrhea, there were two adverse events with subsequent drug discontinuation after one of these events, desquamation, which possibly was related to treatment. This trial did not proceed to stage 2 of accrual and was terminated because of the insufficient activity of enzastaurin. To our knowledge, no translational, pharmacokinetic, or pharmacodynamic studies were conducted in this trial.

The authors of this study postulated that the lack of meaningful clinical response suggests that another pathway could be involved in the growth and survival of malignant T-lymphocytes. Therefore, monotherapy with a targeted agent such as enzastaurin may not be an effective therapy. The authors also suggested that prolonged exposure may be necessary to reach a therapeutic level of enzastaurin, which may not be appropriate for highly symptomatic CTCL patients.

In summary, clinical trials examining the role of enzastaurin in various malignancies have been negative so far, and enzastaurin is not FDA approved for any clinical indication. The only remaining ongoing clinical trial of enzastaurin is a phase III PRELUDE trial investigating enzastaurin as compared to placebo in the maintenance setting in patients with large-cell lymphomas. The future development of enzastaurin is uncertain at this time.62

**Discussion and future directions**

Intensive research over the last two decades into the molecular mechanisms of cancer has resulted in a better understanding of the crucial role that specific molecular abnormalities (targets) in signal transduction pathways play in tumor initiation and progression. Several very successful targeted therapies, which block the targets and corresponding upregulated signaling pathways, have been developed for solid and hematologic malignancies such as chronic myeloid leukemia, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, and breast cancer. As research advanced, it became clear that effective targeted therapies require identification of the target that is critical for tumor survival, demonstration of the drug binding to the target, and correlation of the subsequent downstream effect with a clinical outcome. Since there is often “cross-talk” between different and sometimes redundant signal transduction pathways,60 clinical trials may utilize a combination of agents that block up- and downstream targets in the same signal transduction pathway, or block several signal transduction pathways.

Multiplicity of molecular networks in malignant cells can explain why the disappointing results from enzastaurin clinical trials are not unique. Many targeted agents failed to demonstrate sufficient activity to proceed to stage 2 of accrual in phase II trials or demonstrate enough benefit in phase III trials to obtain FDA approval. Recently, the National Cancer Institute mandated investigators to incorporate tissue assays for validated targets, and demonstrate drug-target interaction as a prerequisite condition in order to obtain federal funding for clinical trials. If the clinical trial fails to document the specific target and enroll patients with the target present in the tumor, it may result in falsely negative data and consequent wastage of valuable resources, especially in rare diseases such as CTCLs.
Table I Summary of clinical trials with new agents in cutaneous T-cell lymphomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Study objective</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Significant adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pralatrexate&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Dose-finding study of pralatrexate</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, Sézary syndrome, or primary cutaneous anaplastic large-cell lymphoma, with disease progression after at least 1 prior systemic therapy</td>
<td>• Overall response was 43% at a dose of 15 mg/m²&lt;br&gt;• Overall response was 50% at a dose of ≥15 mg/m²</td>
<td>• Mucositis, neutropenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexarotene&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Evaluate safety and efficacy of bexarotene</td>
<td>Refractory advanced stage CTCL (stage IIB–IVB)</td>
<td>• Response rate was 45% at 300 mg/m²/day dosing&lt;br&gt;• Response rate was 55% at ≥300 mg/m²/day dosing&lt;br&gt;• Only 6% achieved complete response</td>
<td>• Hyperlipidemia, hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Evaluate the safety and activity of vorinostat</td>
<td>Persistent, progressive, or treatment refractory mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome (stage IB–IVA)</td>
<td>• Overall response rate was 29.7%&lt;br&gt;• One patient achieved a complete response</td>
<td>• Diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, pulmonary embolism, thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romidepsin&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Confirm the efficacy of romidepsin</td>
<td>Stage IB–IVA CTCL who had received one or more prior systemic therapies</td>
<td>• Overall response rate was 34%&lt;br&gt;• Five patients achieved a complete response</td>
<td>• Nausea, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, reversible EKG changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denileukin difitox&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Investigated the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of denileukin difitox</td>
<td>Previously treated patients with stage IB–IVA CTCL</td>
<td>• Overall response rate was 30%&lt;br&gt;• 10% of patients obtained a complete response</td>
<td>• Flu-like symptoms, acute infusion-related events, vascular leak syndrome, transient elevations in hepatic transaminase levels, hypoalbuminemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alemtuzumab&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Evaluate the safety and efficacy of alemtuzumab</td>
<td>Advanced stage mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome (stage II–IV)</td>
<td>• Overall response rate was 55%&lt;br&gt;• 32% achieved a complete remission</td>
<td>• Significant immunosuppression and serious infections, cardiac toxicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; EKG, electrocardiogram.

With these considerations in mind, further development of enzastaurin in CTCLs is likely to depend on target validation. It is thus imperative to study overexpression of the PKC-β isoform in CTCL cell lines and clinical samples. Murine xenograft models of CTCLs have been established with subcutaneous injection of Sézary cells or malignant T-lymphocytes in immunodeficient mice. These models recapture, albeit incompletely, the course of CTCLs and can be instrumental in confirming PKC-β overexpression in CTCLs.

It is also possible that we have not yet found a reliable biomarker of enzastaurin activity. Anecdotal cases of dramatic and durable responses have been reported in clinical trials with enzastaurin, but these findings could not have been predicted or explained with the existing battery of translational biomarkers. We have personally observed a patient with recurrent ovarian cancer who has been progression-free for 5 years on enzastaurin. Extensive tumor and blood testing for mutations and gene copy numbers as well as protein expression of PKC-β, pGSK3, PTEN, TP53, PIK3CA, AKT2, and other relevant biomarkers of the PI3K/AKT/PTEN pathway failed to explain this dramatic response.<sup>61</sup> No correlations between biomarkers and clinical outcomes have been noted in other clinical trials with enzastaurin. The results of the aforementioned PRELUDE trial are expected to become available in 2012. This study investigated a panel of biomarkers implicated in enzastaurin’s mechanism, including PKC-β, pGSK3, and others. Hopefully, some of these biomarkers will correlate with clinical outcomes in the trial. This would allow revisiting the role of enzastaurin in CTLCs by selecting patients with the appropriate biomarkers. If, however, no reliable biomarker is identified in the PRELUDE trial, the next step may be global assessment of genetic changes in CTCL lesions, and/or peripheral blood using commercial genomic assays or next-generation sequencing.

As mentioned earlier, enzastaurin has antiangiogenic properties. Thus, another potential biomarker for selecting CTCL patients for a clinical trial with enzastaurin is a biomarker of angiogenic activity either in the tumor or in the host. Identification and validation of angiogenic markers has
been the holy grail of the development of bevacizumab and of other antiangiogenic drugs. Various markers have been investigated for this purpose, including microvessel density in tumors and single-nucleotide polymorphisms and other aberrations in the \textit{VEGF} gene.\textsuperscript{66} Although not confirmed by all studies, the baseline serum level of \textit{VEGF} has emerged as the best biomarker for predicting which patients are more likely to respond to antiangiogenic interventions (patients with a high \textit{VEGF} level are more likely to respond).\textsuperscript{67} Given this information, future clinical trials with enzastaurin in CTCLs may benefit from selecting patients with high serum \textit{VEGF} levels or a more reliable biomarker of tumor angiogenic activity if one is found.

Because CTCLs involve primarily skin, access to tumor tissue is relatively easy compared to other malignancies. Once a target is confirmed, that information should guide the design of subsequent enzastaurin clinical trials. Selection of study participants should include patients whose tumor biopsies and/or serum specimens are available for testing and are shown to express the biomarker (high levels of the PKC-\(\beta\) isoform or of \textit{VEGF}). Although this strategy is appealing, limiting the patient population may impede the development of enzastaurin in such a rare disease as CTCLs and necessitate an international trial to obtain sufficient statistical power and arrive at a meaningful conclusion.

Since biologic agents are usually much less toxic compared to chemotherapeutic drugs, it is often difficult to find the optimal dose. As discussed earlier, the MTD was not achieved in the phase I trial with enzastaurin doses up to 700 mg/day.\textsuperscript{62} This is a common experience in phase I clinical trials with targeted agents. Hence, dose escalation and achieving higher serum concentrations of enzastaurin may be necessary to achieve a more potent biologic effect. For enzastaurin, a dose of 525 mg/day was recommended for subsequent phase II trials with a goal for the serum concentration of the drug being 1400 nmol/L. It has been suggested that the optimal biologic dose of enzastaurin should be based on the serum concentration of the drug that inhibits 90\% (IC\textsubscript{90}) of PKC-\(\beta\) activity\textsuperscript{68} rather than on the absolute free drug concentration. The activity of PKC decreases in monocytes in peripheral blood samples after exposure to enzastaurin\textsuperscript{69} and may assist in establishing the optimal biologic dose of enzastaurin.

Multiple clinical trials with various targeted agents often demonstrate a low level of single-agent activity. A solution to this problem may lie in studying combinations of the biologic agent with chemotherapeutic agents or other targeted drugs. Combinations of enzastaurin with other active agents may be beneficial in the treatment of CTCLs. It is reasonable to combine enzastaurin with other agents inhibiting downstream molecules in the PI3K/AKT/PTEN pathway to block the pathway more effectively. This approach has been tried in vitro with a combination of enzastaurin and the phosphorylated glycogen synthase kinase inhibitor AR-A014418. Enhanced cytotoxicity was observed when CTCL cell lines HH, H9, and MJ were exposed to this combination. The authors suggest further in vivo experiments in mice to investigate possible synergistic toxicities of this combination.\textsuperscript{70}

As discussed earlier, signal transduction pathways can be redundant and overlapping. For instance, the PI3K/AKT/PTEN signal transduction pathway and the mTOR pathway interact and are complementary.

Recently, a number of mTOR inhibitors entered clinical trials and showed promise in various tumor types. One of them, everolimus, has been FDA approved for renal cell carcinoma and has been studied in combinations with other cytostatic agents with encouraging results.\textsuperscript{71} Given this data, it is reasonable to study everolimus as a single agent and in combination with enzastaurin in vitro in CTCLs.

Another potential strategy for developing enzastaurin-based combinations in CTCLs is to administer it with agents affecting the same biologic processes (“hallmarks of cancer”\textsuperscript{72}): angiogenesis and apoptosis. This approach has been tried with a combination of enzastaurin and another antiangiogenic agent – bevacizumab. This combination showed a dramatic response and only minimally increased toxicity in heavily pretreated women with gynecologic cancers, with 74\% of patients achieving clinical benefit.\textsuperscript{73}

Unfortunately, the development of drug combinations for the treatment of malignant diseases is hampered by the FDA requirement for a relatively high level of single-agent activity as well as the difficulty of the licensing processes for agents patented by different pharmaceutical companies. Therefore, combination therapies from the same company are those that are most likely to succeed. Since Eli Lilly currently holds the license for enzastaurin, it has the ability to study enzastaurin in combination with mTOR inhibitors or antiangiogenic agents either currently available or under development, with the hope of finding a powerful regimen for the treatment of CTCLs.

Enzastaurin can potentially be combined with already approved agents for CTCLs, such as HDAC inhibitors\textsuperscript{74} and interferon alpha.\textsuperscript{61} However, to demonstrate superiority, such a trial would require minimal overlapping toxicities of two agents and a large number of patients to conduct a randomized phase II trial, which may not be feasible in CTCLs.
Future development of new therapies for the treatment of CTCLs may abandon enzastaurin altogether, but capitalize on the lessons learned in enzastaurin trials. Novel therapeutics that can more potently inhibit PKC-β and the PI3K/AKT/PTEN/mTOR signaling pathway are currently under investigation, and may eventually change the clinical outcome of CTCLs. Since developing new agents for the treatment of a rare disease is such a challenge, it is crucial for industry, government, philanthropy, and patient-advocacy organizations to work together to prolong lives and achieve a cure.
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