Bronchial hyperresponsiveness in women with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease related to wood smoke
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Purpose: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) related to wood smoke exposure is characterized by important inflammation of the central and peripheral airways without significant emphysema. The objective of this study is to describe the bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) level in women with COPD related to wood smoke exposure and to compare it with the BHR in women with COPD related to tobacco smoking.

Materials and methods: Two groups of women with stable COPD were studied: (1) wood smoke exposed (WS-COPD); and (2) tobacco smoke exposed (TS-COPD). A methacholine challenge test (MCT) was performed in all patients according to American Thoracic Society criteria. BHR levels were compared using the methacholine concentration, which caused a 20% fall in the FEV1 (PC20).

Results: Thirty-one patients, 19 with WS-COPD and 12 with TS-COPD, were included. There were no significant differences between the groups in baseline FVC, FEV1, IC, FEF25–75, and FEF25–75/FVC. All 31 patients had a positive MCT (PC20 < 16 mg/mL) and the fall in the FEV1 and IC was similar in both groups. The severity of BHR was significantly higher in the WS-COPD patients (PC20: 0.39 mg/mL) than in the TS-COPD patients (PC20: 1.24 mg/mL) (P = 0.028). The presence of cough, phlegm, and dyspnea during the test were similar in both groups.

Conclusion: We found moderate to severe BHR in women with WS-COPD, which was more severe than in the TS-COPD women with similar age and airflow obstruction. This paper suggests that the structural and inflammatory changes induced by the chronic exposure to wood smoke, described in other studies, can explain the differences with TS-COPD patients. Future studies may clarify our understanding of the impact of BHR on COPD physiopathology, phenotypes, and treatment strategies.
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Introduction

Fifty percent of the world population, approximately three billion people, use solid fuels (charcoal or biomass materials) as their main or alternative household energy source.1 In developing countries, especially in the rural areas, the use of wood, dung, and crop residues are common resources for cooking and heating, and even in developed countries, are sometimes used for heating. The indoor air pollution derived from burning biomass fuels causes significant global morbidity and mortality, especially in developing countries,2-4 and has been consistently related to chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and acute respiratory infections in children.5-9 Chronic exposure to biomass smoke, including wood smoke, is currently considered to be a risk factor for COPD.7-9
In rural areas in Colombia, wood is widely used for cooking, especially by women. Due to high migration rates from rural to urban settings over the last few decades, wood smoke COPD (WS-COPD) in women has become more frequent in Colombia’s biggest cities. Previous studies have shown clinical, radiographic, and functional differences between WS-COPD and tobacco smoke COPD (TS-COPD). In WS-COPD, the central and peripheral airways are predominantly affected, with minimal or no emphysema defined by CT scan and functional findings.

Bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR), a frequent finding in COPD patients, can precede the symptoms and the diagnosis of COPD, and has been related to a more rapid decline in FEV₁. Therefore, BHR is considered by some researchers to be a risk factor for chronic bronchitis and COPD. However, the true importance and physiopathological role of BHR in COPD patients has not been clearly established and it is unknown whether its presence and severity is related to the inflammatory changes of the airways. The aim of this study is to describe BHR in women with WS-COPD and establish a comparison with TS-COPD.

Material and methods

Patients
This is a cross-sectional study. Therefore, the sample was established from consecutive ambulatory patients of the COPD Program of the Fundacion Neumologica Colombiana (Bogotá, Colombia), with the following inclusion criteria: (1) women older than 40 years; (2) COPD diagnosis based on symptoms, FEV₁/FVC < 70%, FEV₁ < 80% of the predicted value, and pre–post bronchodilator change of FEV₁ ≤ 12% and 200 mL; (3) history of significant exposure to tobacco smoke (>20 packs/year) or wood smoke (>20 years); (4) clinical stability in the last six weeks defined as no changes in treatment and no acute exacerbations. Exclusion criteria included history of exposure to both risk factors (tobacco and wood smoke); less than one year of exposure cessation; contraindications for methacholine challenge test (MCT); a particularly severe airflow obstruction (FEV₁ < 50%); and respiratory disease other than COPD, especially asthma (medical diagnosis or high probability: recurrent episodes of wheezing starting before 40 years old) and allergic rhinitis. The patients were divided into two groups: (1) WS-COPD, wood smoke-exposed (no exposure to tobacco smoke); and (2) TS-COPD, tobacco smoke-exposed (no exposure to wood smoke). The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and all participants signed informed consent forms.

Measurements

Spirometry
A V-MAX 20C (Sensormedics®, Yorba Linda, CA) was used to perform the flow-volume curves and to measure the inspiratory capacity (IC) at baseline and after each methacholine concentration using the ATS (American Thoracic Society)/European Respiratory Society standardized methods and the Crapo’s reference values. Patients were instructed and trained to perform the IC maneuvers. IC was selected as the highest value from three acceptable maneuvers done at baseline and after each stage of the MCT. The FEF₂₅–₇₅/FVC quotient was calculated for each patient.

BHR measurement
BHR was evaluated using a MCT that was performed according ATS criteria. Any drug that could affect the MCT results was withdrawn. A five-breath dosimeter protocol was used with progressive concentrations of methacholine (Provocholine® Methapharm, Inc): 0.0625 mg/mL, 0.25 mg/mL, 1 mg/mL, 4 mg/mL, and 16 mg/mL. The best FEV₁ and IC data from the acceptable and repeatable maneuvers were registered. The test ended when the FEV₁ fell ≥20% from baseline or the five-stage protocol was completed. At the end of the test, all patients received salbutamol 400 μg using a dose-metered pressurized inhaler with a space chamber. The presence of cough, phlegm, and wheezing, as well as the respiratory rate, heart rate, and the pulse oxymetry (SpO₂) were registered at each stage of the test. Dyspnea during usual daily activities was evaluated immediately before the MCT using the five-stage Medical Research Council scale and during the MCT using the Borg Scale.

BHR interpretation
The severity of BHR was established according to the methacholine concentration (mg/mL), which produced a 20% fall in the FEV₁ (PC₂₀) as follows: normal response, PC₂₀ > 16; borderline BHR, PC₂₀ 4.0–16; mild BHR, PC₂₀ 1–4.0; and, moderate to severe BHR, PC₂₀ < 1.0.

Statistical analysis
Mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables were determined using SPSS statistics software (version 10.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL). The geometric mean of PC₂₀ was calculated for each group (WS-COPD and TS-COPD). The differences were estimated using the Student’s t-test for continuous variables with normal distribution and the Mann–Whitney U test for non-normal variables. The chi-square test or the Fisher’s exact
Results

Baseline characteristics

Thirty-one women with COPD were included in this study, 19 with WS-COPD and 12 with TS-COPD. The WS-COPD group reported 42 ± 15 years of exposure to wood smoke, and the TS-COPD group reported 43 ± 13 packs/year of smoking. There were no significant differences in age, body mass index, inspiratory capacity, or spirometry values at baseline (Table 1). Furthermore, there were no significant differences in the COPD medications such as inhaled salbutamol (WS-COPD: 50% versus TS-COPD: 42%, \(P = 0.47\)), inhaled ipratropium bromide (67% versus 67%, \(P = 1.00\)), inhaled steroids (33% versus 42%, \(P = 0.42\)), and theophylline (22% versus 8%, \(P = 0.62\)).

Metacholine challenge testing

Both WS-COPD and TS-COPD patients had a positive result for the MCT (\(\text{PC}_{20} < 16\) mg/mL). The fall in the FEV\(_1\) and the IC at the end of the test was similar in both groups (Table 2, Figure 1). The BHR level was significantly higher in the WS-COPD group than in the TS-COPD group (0.39 versus 1.24 mg/mL, \(P = 0.028\)). The majority of the WS-COPD patients had moderate to severe BHR (\(\text{PC}_{20} < 1\) mg/mL) compared to the TS-COPD patients who were similarly distributed in moderate to severe and in mild BHR (\(\text{PC}_{20} \approx 4.0\) mg/mL) (Figure 2). After the administration of salbutamol, the FEV\(_1\) and IC similarly returned to the baseline value in both groups. There was no correlation between age and \(\text{PC}_{20}\) (\(r^2 = 0.34\), \(P = 0.324\)).

Symptoms and signs

There were no differences between the WS-COPD and TS-COPD groups in terms of chronic dyspnea, which was measured by the Medical Research Council scale (Table 1), at the end of the methacholine challenge test according to the Borg Scale (Table 2), neither in cough (WS-COPD: 77.8% versus TS-COPD: 63.6%, \(P = 0.34\)) nor phlegm (WS-COPD: 22.2% versus TS-COPD: 36.4%, \(P = 0.34\)). All the patients showed a significant increase in their respiratory rate, but no significant changes were observed in the heart rate or pulse oximetry (Table 2). Although wheezing was found more frequently in the WS-COPD patients (WS-COPD: 44% versus TS-COPD: 27%), the difference was not statistically significant (\(P = 0.30\)).

Discussion

This study found that patients with COPD related to wood smoke have more severe BHR than patients with COPD related to tobacco smoke with similar levels of baseline airflow obstruction, age, duration of smoke exposure, respiratory symptoms, and current medication use. All patients had BHR, but among the WS-COPD patients, the BHR was more frequently moderate to severe, while half of the TS-COPD patients had mild hyperresponsiveness.

BHR is related to age, sex, respiratory symptoms, airway size (diameter), baseline lung function, lung size, airway size disproportion, and treatment. Previous studies have found an increase in the prevalence of BHR in older adults. However,
in this study, the age of the WS-COPD and the TS-COPD patients was similar, and an additional analysis found no correlation between age and PC_{20}^{20}. Because women have higher BHR and experience more negative impacts from smoking tobacco than men,\textsuperscript{25–27} this study only included women as subjects in order to avoid the possible effect of gender. BHR is also linked to airflow obstruction severity, expressed by FEV\textsubscript{1}, and the disproportion between airway diameter and lung volume, which is indirectly estimated by FEF\textsubscript{25–75}/FVC – the lower the FEV\textsubscript{1} and FEF\textsubscript{25–75}/FVC, the higher the BHR.\textsuperscript{28,29} In this study, the FEV\textsubscript{1} and the FEF\textsubscript{25–75}/FVC were similar in both groups. The IC, which is a useful measurement of dynamic hyperinflation during spontaneous and methacholine-induced acute bronchoconstriction in asthmatic patients,\textsuperscript{30} was also similar at baseline and after the MCT for both groups. During the methacholine test, no differences were observed between the two groups in terms of respiratory symptoms such as changes in cough, phlegm, or dyspnea. Similarly, no significant differences in the recent stable treatment were found. Finally, according to the ATS criteria, the appropriate drugs were withdrawn before the methacholine challenge test.

Therefore, none of the mentioned factors associated with BHR could explain the higher severity of BHR in the WS-COPD group, which suggests that other factors, likely related to different characteristics of the disease in these two groups, could be involved. In previous studies, we have described significant differences between WS-COPD and TS-COPD patients. In an initial study, comparing 86 WS-COPD patients with 53 TS-COPD patients, we found that women with WS-COPD had a less severe decrease in carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DL_{CO}) when compared...
to women with TS-COPD with a nearly normal transfer coefficient (DLco/VA). This functional pattern suggests a predominance of small airway disease in WS-COPD patients, similar to what has been described in patients with airflow obstruction, compromise of peripheral small airways, and mild or no emphysema. This hypothesis was confirmed in a further study that used a qualitative evaluation of high-resolution CT scan and found that WS-COPD women frequently had sub-segmentary atelectasis, severe thickening of the bronchial walls, bronchiectasis, and mild or no emphysema in comparison with TS-COPD patients who showed a significantly higher index of emphysema with mild changes in airways. These functional and tomographic findings can be correlated with those observed in a retrospective study of 103 autopsies of subjects exposed to wood smoke in which the main findings were hypertensive changes of the pulmonary vessels, peribronchial, perivascular, and interstitial depositions of anthracotic pigment, and the absence of emphysema. The descriptions of the bronchoscopic abnormalities found in women exposed to wood smoke include mucosal hyperemia and edema, irregular reduction of the lumen, and in some cases, severe anthracotic plaques.

Based on the results of this study and previous observations, we speculate that the higher BHR observed in WS-COPD patients could be related to differences in the structure and inflammatory response of their airways, compared to TS-COPD patients. The relationship between BHR and airway inflammation in patients with COPD related to tobacco smoke has not been clearly defined. In some studies, the correlation between inflammatory markers like exhaled nitric oxide or sputum eosinophils and the PC20 has been weak, but others have shown that the more severe the airway inflammation, the higher the rate of BHR. In addition, no functional indexes evaluating the lung parenchyma structure like pressure-volume curves or diffusion capacity (DLco) have been related to BHR, which suggests that BHR is more closely related to airway abnormalities (inflammation and lumen reduction) in COPD patients. However, no differences have been observed between BHR in patients with chronic bronchitis and those with emphysema.

BHR is considered a risk factor for COPD as it may precede its symptoms, and is associated with the early decline of lung functions in healthy young adults, accelerated impairment of airflow obstruction in COPD patients, and higher mortality. The cross-sectional design of this study does not allow us to conclude that BHR is a risk factor for COPD related to wood smoke, but the finding of more severe BHR in WS-COPD than in TS-COPD patients suggests that it may play a role in the physiopathology of WS-COPD that is possibly related to airway inflammation.

Some limitations of this study include the relatively small number of patients and the absence of other measurements of airway inflammation such as bronchial biopsies or cellular and biochemical sputum examinations. However, the rigorous selection of the patients according to exposures favored the conformation of very different groups and a reliable comparison. Because WS-COPD is more frequent in women, we excluded males; therefore, the results of this study cannot be generalized to men with COPD.

**Conclusion**

This study found the occurrence of moderate to severe BHR in women with WS-COPD that was more severe than in TS-COPD women with similar age and airflow obstruction. We speculate that the structural and inflammatory changes induced by the chronic exposure to wood smoke, described in other studies, explains the BHR severity in WS-COPD patients and the differences when compared to TS-COPD patients. Future studies may clarify our understanding of the
impact of BHR on COPD physiopathology, phenotypes, and treatment strategies.
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