Keratouveitis from *Euphorbia cyparissias* exposure is a temporal phenomenon

**Case report**

An 82-year-old Caucasian female with no remarkable past medical or ocular history called the on-call ophthalmologist at our institution complaining of ocular irritation and nasal discharge following gardening. She had been pulling weeds an hour prior to the development of redness and irritation of both eyes. There were no pesticides or chemicals used in the garden and she denied any direct plant contact to her eyes while gardening. She noted substantial difficulty in washing some plant sap off her hands and had been using her hands to rinse her eyes. It was recommended that she no longer use her hands to rinse her eyes and instead use a clean cup or direct water contact to continue irrigation.

Five hours later, the patient presented to the emergency department with worsening eye pain, epiphora, redness, blurred vision, photophobia, and rhinorrhea. Vision was 20/60 in the right eye and 20/30 in the left eye. Primary survey revealed bilateral hyperemic eyes. Slit-lamp examination showed conjunctival hyperemia and scattered punctate epithelial erosions of the cornea that was worse in the right eye. The remainder of the examination was unremarkable. Each eye was irrigated for 10 minutes with saline after eversion of the lids to ensure no foreign material was present. The patient, an avid gardener, remarked several times that the plant she had been pulling was of the *Euphorbia* genus. Further investigation into *Euphorbia* exposure revealed several references in the literature describing ocular toxicity and elevated pH secondary to exposure to the sap from this plant. The pH was measured in each eye and found to be 9 oculus dexter and 8 oculus sinister. The eyes were irrigated for an additional 20 minutes, and subsequent pH measurements were 7 in both eyes. She was started on moxifloxacin drops three times a day oculus uterque with follow-up within 24 hours.

On day 2, her vision in the right eye had decreased to count fingers at 4 feet and she was found to have a large epithelial defect (Figure 1A and B) and 3+ anterior chamber...
cell and flare in this eye. Her vision in the left eye was 20/25 and scant punctate epithelial erosions and trace cell and flare were seen on exam. Punctal plugs were placed as the patient had a poor tear film, as well as to promote epithelial healing with the tear’s own growth factors. Gentamicin ointment was also initiated. On day 3, the vision in the right eye improved to 20/200 with improvement of the epithelial defect, although prominent stromal edema and Descemet’s folds had developed. On day 5, although completely re-epithelialized, persistent corneal edema accompanied an elevated pressure of 28 mmHg and continued mild anterior chamber inflammation. Brimonidine and nepafenac were started and her intraocular pressure decreased to 8 mmHg the following day. Several weeks following this exposure, the patient had complete resolution, with vision of 20/20 in the right eye and 20/15 in the left eye (Figure 1C).

Discussion

The authors report a case of toxic keratopathy due to exposure to *Euphorbia cyparissias* (Figure 2). Untreated, the keratopathy associated with *Euphorbia* can be mild and self-limiting, or can be as severe as to cause blindness, especially when treatment is delayed and secondary infection is present.1-3

It is well documented that exposure to this plant may result in a keratopathy with a characteristic clinical course.4-6 Following contact with the sap of *Euphorbia*, there is burning, photophobia, and epiphora. Despite irritation, this will often worsen. Exam may reveal punctate epithelial erosions, which will often enlarge to form a frank epithelial defect over the following day. Corneal edema is often present and an anterior uveitis may develop. Visual acuity may be only modestly reduced on presentation, but within the next day it may decrease to count fingers, much like the patient in this case.

The severity of keratitis from exposure to *Euphorbia* appears to depend somewhat on the exact species of plant involved. In Eke’s clinical case series of ocular inflammation from several *Euphorbia* species, he describes the clinical presentation according to plant species.5 The plant in this present case, *E. cyparissias*, was not described, however. Interestingly, the potency of *E. cyparissias*’ sap is temporally dependent with toxicity decreasing during the months of April and May and peaking during August and September, coinciding with the month of exposure in this case.7,8

Another interesting report of *Euphorbia* toxicity involved the species *Euphorbia lathyris*.9 In that case, the authors reported that the patient’s ocular pH was alkaline which resulted in alkaline chemical injury as a result of exposure to the plant sap. Given the similarities between the two cases, it appears that *E. cyparissias*, as in the present case, may act in much the same way as *E. lathyris*.

Treatment for patients exposed to *Euphorbia* sap includes measurement of the pH of the ocular surface and thorough irrigation. This patient had a likely combined-mechanism injury due to the noxious elements of the *Euphorbia* plant sap, as well as its basic pH. Fortunately, the patient did not develop any evidence of conjunctival injury or scarring from this exposure. Ophthalmic exam should also include eversion of the lids to ensure no foreign body or retained plant material is present. Topical antibiotics are recommended due to the high risk of infection in these cases. Cycloplegics and steroids have been described to have some utility in these cases and topical anti-inflammatory drops may also be useful.4-6 Punctal occlusion was found to be useful in this case in treating the patient’s poor tear film and to promote re-epithelialization. Close follow-up is warranted, with infection as the primary and most devastating complication from *Euphorbia* keratopathy with reports of some infections associated with *Euphorbia* species, he describes the clinical.

Figure 1 (A) Slit-lamp photograph of the right eye. Folds in Descemet’s membrane could be appreciated, as well as mucous discharge, conjunctival hyperemia, and surface irregularities. (B) Fluorescein staining reveals a large epithelial defect with numerous surrounding punctate epithelial erosions. (C) Six weeks later, there was nearly complete resolution of *Euphorbia* toxicity.

Figure 2 The plant, *Euphorbia cyparissias*, is often found in roadsides and fence rows. Notes: It is naturalized from Maine to Pennsylvania and from Illinois to Kansas and Colorado. The plant is alien to Europe.9
causing blindness.\textsuperscript{3} After infection has been ruled out in most corneal alkali injuries, steroids are warranted. In this case, given that the injury from \textit{Euphorbia} is not only from its basic pH, but from other components, and since the literature described that highest morbidity resulted from secondary infections, we elected to avoid steroids. Because of the close follow-up, we were able to appreciate a dramatic improvement in her condition, and fortunately steroids were ultimately not needed in this case.

In summary, exposure to the \textit{Euphorbia} plant may cause an alkaline chemical injury with severe keratitis or keratouveitis. In this case, the history of exposure along with the patient’s horticultural knowledge proved useful in identifying the cause and providing appropriate treatment. Eye care providers should include exposure to \textit{Euphorbia} in the differential of any plant exposure, especially in autumn, causing marked keratitis and treatment should include measurement of the pH of the ocular surface and adequate irrigation.
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