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Abstract: Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is an autosomal-dominant inherited disease with a prevalence of one in 500 (heterozygous) to one in 1,000,000 (homozygous). Mutations of the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor gene, the apolipoprotein B100 gene, or the PCSK9 gene may be responsible for the disease. The resulting LDL hypercholesterolemia results in premature atherosclerosis as early as childhood (homozygous FH) or in adulthood (heterozygous FH). Current treatment modalities include lifestyle modification, combination drug therapy (statin-based), and apheresis. Mipomersen is an antisense oligonucleotide which inhibits apolipoprotein B production independent of LDL receptor function and thus works in homozygous FH, heterozygous FH, and other forms of hypercholesterolemia. Mipomersen is given 200 mg/week subcutaneously. Phase III studies indicate that the LDL cholesterol concentration can be reduced by 25%–47%, lipoprotein(a) levels by 20%–40%, and triglyceride concentrations by approximately 10%. In general, mipomersen has no effect on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations. Although there is considerable interindividual variability, the observed lipid effects are largely independent of age, gender, concomitant statin therapy, and underlying dyslipoproteinemia. The most common side effects are injection site reactions (70%–100%), flu-like symptoms (29%–46%), and elevated transaminases associated with an increased liver fat content (6%–15%). Mipomersen may be an interesting add-on drug in patients with heterozygous or homozygous FH not reaching treatment goals, either because baseline values are very high or because high-dose statins are not tolerated.
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Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is an inherited disease, characterized by an autosomal-dominant mode of inheritance. Heterozygous FH has a prevalence of approximately one in 500 while the prevalence of homozygous FH is approximately one in 1,000,000 in Western Europe. The frequency may be considerably higher in selected populations with high consanguinity.

Mutations of the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor gene are the underlying defect in the majority of FH patients, but mutations in two other genes can also cause FH, ie, mutations in the apolipoprotein B100 gene and mutations in the pro-protein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 gene. The LDL receptor gene is located on chromosome 19, and more than 1000 mutations affecting the function of the receptor are described. The resulting defects refer to different functions, ie, ligand binding, transport, internalization, recycling, or total lack or receptors. Several types of LDL receptor mutation have been described, including premature stop codons, single amino acid substitutions, large rearrangements, mutations affecting the promoter region, and mutations affecting splicing of premessenger RNA. Clinically, the most severe forms of FH are related to total lack of receptors, while receptor-defective mutations usually lead to lesser symptoms.

Although it was initially thought that FH is characterized by an overproduction of lipoproteins, kinetic studies, and particularly the work of Brown and Goldstein, demonstrated that the underlying metabolic defect in FH is the absence of a high affinity receptor for uptake of LDL.

Clinical FH can also be the result of ligand-defective apolipoprotein B. In contrast with the many mutations described for the LDL receptor, there are only two mutations described for defective apolipoprotein B (both affecting ARG 3500). Finally, gain of function mutations of PCSK9 may also lead to clinical FH, because PCSK9 reduces hepatic LDL receptor activity. Interestingly, loss of function mutations are associated with lower LDL cholesterol concentrations and less atherosclerosis.

FH is characterized by elevated plasma LDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein B levels, resulting in a severely increased risk for atherosclerosis. In untreated patients, clinical symptoms of atherosclerosis typically develop in the fourth or fifth decade of life. Other risk factors, such as smoking, hypertension, diabetes, and elevated lipoprotein(a) may change the clinical course.

Current treatment options

Current guidelines recommend lowering the LDL cholesterol concentration by at least 50% of baseline. Ideally, a LDL cholesterol level <100 mg/dL should be achieved. Lifestyle modification, statins, ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants, nicotinic acid, and fibrates are used to achieve this goal. Lifestyle modification aims at lowering LDL cholesterol and reducing other risk factors for cardiovascular disease. However, in patients with FH, only a modest reduction of LDL cholesterol can be induced, even if the diet contains less than 7% saturated fat and less than 200 mg cholesterol per day. Although helpful and generally recommended, these means are rarely sufficient to achieve treatment goals. Therefore, drug therapy is required in almost all patients.

Statins can safely lower LDL cholesterol levels by up to 50%, and there is overwhelming evidence that statins reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality as well as overall mortality. It has also been shown that the prognosis of patients with heterozygous and homozygous FH has been dramatically improved since the introduction of statins. While patients with heterozygous FH usually respond very well to statin treatment, the response rate in homozygous FH is usually less predictable. Depending on the underlying defect, LDL cholesterol reductions between 0% and 50% are observed in such patients. Observational data from large cohorts suggest that long-term statin treatment reduces the risk of cardiovascular disease considerably.

However, treatment goals cannot be achieved by statin therapy in many patients, or statin therapy is contraindicated or poorly tolerated. Ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants, nicotinic acid, and fibrates may be used in this situation, either

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Continued)</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flu-like symptoms</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Approximately 30% of patients describe flu-like symptoms (not significantly different from placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated LFTs</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6%–15% of patients have elevated LFTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic evidence</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>The drug has not yet been approved by US FDA or European agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LFT, liver function test; FDA, Food and Drug Administration.
as addon therapy to statins or as monotherapy. The most potent combination is probably high-dose statins combined with ezetimibe and bile acid sequestrants. However, even if combination therapy is performed at maximal doses, LDL cholesterol reduction rarely exceeds 60%.

Another option to decrease LDL cholesterol is regular apheresis or plasmapheresis. This treatment modality has been in use for more than 30 years. A single apheresis usually decreases LDL cholesterol by approximately 70%, resulting in a mean LDL cholesterol reduction of approximately 30%. However, if regular apheresis is performed at weekly intervals, LDL cholesterol does not rebound to the original baseline value, resulting in an average LDL cholesterol concentration of approximately 50% of the original baseline value. Several studies indicate that FH patients treated with regular apheresis benefit from such treatment. Recommendations concerning when to initiate such treatment differ considerably between countries. In Japan and Germany, where regular apheresis is relatively widely used, patients with established coronary heart disease and LDL cholesterol above goal may qualify for such treatment. In the US, apheresis is only recommended in patients with FH and LDL cholesterol > 300 mg/dL (without coronary heart disease) or >200 mg/dL (with coronary heart disease). Although regular apheresis is a time-consuming and cost-intensive form of therapy, it is generally well tolerated with very few side effects. Finally, liver transplantation can be used in selected cases of homozygous FH. This treatment option has been primarily used in pediatric patients sometimes as a combined heart-liver transplant.

New therapeutic strategies
A number of new therapeutic strategies (Table 1) to lower LDL cholesterol have been developed over recent years. These include inhibition of apolipoprotein B production, inhibition of PCSK9 production, monoclonal antibodies binding to PCSK9, thyroid mimetics, cholesterylester transfer protein (CETP) inhibitors, and microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP) inhibitors. All of these approaches have been shown to reduce LDL cholesterol to varying degrees. Although this review primarily focuses on mipomersen, an antisense oligonucleotide for inhibition of production of apoprotein B, the other new approaches will also be briefly discussed.

Strategies to lower PCSK9
PCSK9 is an important regulator of LDL receptor degradation, because binding of PCSK9 to the LDL receptor results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
<th>Main lipid effect</th>
<th>Main mechanism</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mipomersen</td>
<td>Injection site reactions</td>
<td>LDL-C reduction by 20%-50%, variable TG reduction; no HDL change; lipoprotein(a) reduction 30%</td>
<td>Antisense oligonucleotide inhibiting apolipoprotein B synthesis</td>
<td>May work in homozygous and heterozygous FH and in other forms of hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>CETP, cholesterylester transfer protein; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MTP, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in degradation of the receptor, thereby preventing recycling of the receptor. Loss of function mutations of PCSK9 are associated with increased LDL receptor function, low LDL cholesterol, and reduced cardiovascular risk. PCSK9 activity can be reduced by antisense oligonucleotides inhibiting PCSK9 production, by small interfering RNAs also inhibiting production, or by monoclonal antibodies. Interestingly, statins and fribates increase PCSK9 expression, probably as part of a feedback mechanism following increased LDL receptor-mediated uptake of cholesterol during therapy with these drugs; therefore, combination therapy may be of particular potential to reduce LDL cholesterol levels. However, it should be noted that this approach will only work if functional LDL receptors are present, thus only in heterozygous FH and not in the homozygous form of the disease.

**Thyroid mimetics**

It is well known that hyperthyroidism is associated with low LDL cholesterol concentration, and hypothyroidism with hypercholesterolemia. A number of selective agonists to the thyroid receptor expressed in the liver have been developed. One of them, eprotirome, has been shown to decrease LDL cholesterol by approximately 30% if added to a statin. However, the development of eprotirome was recently stopped because of side effects in cartilage seen in animal studies. Whether this holds true for the other thyroid mimetics remains unclear.

**CETP inhibitors**

CETP is a key enzyme of lipid metabolism, and facilitates the transfer of cholesterol esters from high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particles to other lipoproteins. The inhibition of CETP results in an increase of HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol is decreased if torcetrapib, anacetrapib or evacetrapib are used, while dalcetrapib has no effect on LDL cholesterol levels. The development of torcetrapib has been stopped because of off-target effects (blood pressure increase) resulting in an unexpected increased mortality and morbidity, while the development of Dalcetrapib was stopped because of lack of efficacy. Anacetrapib is evaluated in a large outcome trial. If these outcome trials show benefit, then CETP inhibition may also be useful in patients with FH.

**MTP inhibition**

MTP is an enzyme necessary for the assembly of very-low-density lipoprotein. Mutations of MTP result in hypobetalipoproteinemia, so MTP inhibition was developed as a strategy to lower LDL cholesterol concentrations. MTP inhibition by lomitapide results in an LDL cholesterol reduction of approximately 30%. MTP inhibition also induces an increase in hepatic steatosis, the importance of which is unclear. However, it should be noted that patients with hypobetalipoproteinemia also have hepatic steatosis and are still characterized by longevity.

**Mipomersen: antisense-oligonucleotide inhibiting apolipoprotein B**

Apolipoprotein B is the central protein of very-low-density lipoprotein, intermediate density lipoprotein, and LDL. Although epidemiological and interventional studies have focused on LDL-cholesterol, newer data indicate that apolipoprotein B concentrations may be a better predictor and target for atherosclerosis prevention. This also relates to the fact that apolipoprotein B containing lipoproteins only contain one molecule of apolipoprotein B, while the amount of cholesterol and cholesterol ester is to a certain point variable (leading to LDL size heterogeneity). Apolipoprotein B is essential for the production and catabolism of very-low-density lipoprotein and LDL. Without apolipoprotein B, these lipoproteins cannot be produced. Furthermore, apolipoprotein B100 is the main ligand for the LDL receptor.

Based on these observations, apolipoprotein B is an interesting target for atherosclerosis prevention. While most currently available approaches (statins, ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants) primarily induce increased catabolism of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins, another promising strategy would be to reduce the production of these lipoproteins. While MTP inhibition also interferes with the assembly and secretion of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins, a much more direct approach is to reduce the production of apolipoprotein B100.

Mipomersen (previously ISIS-301012) is a 20-nucleotide second-generation antisense oligonucleotide targeting human apolipoprotein B100 that is complementary to a sequence within the coding region of human apolipoprotein B mRNA (exon 22, position 3249–3269 base pairs). Following the binding of the oligonucleotide to the mRNA, degradation by endogenous RNase H is induced. As a consequence, the synthesis of apolipoprotein B is reduced, resulting in decreased production and secretion of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins. Production and secretion of apolipoprotein B48 from enterocytes, which is required to form chylomicrons, is not inhibited.

**Phase I studies**

The initial Phase I study was conducted as a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study in
36 volunteers with mild dyslipidemia. Subjects were treated with weekly doses of mipomersen 50–400 mg subcutaneously. Apolipoprotein B and LDL cholesterol were reduced by up to 50% and 35%, respectively. No significant changes in HDL cholesterol were observed. Apolipoprotein B and LDL cholesterol remained below baseline for up to 3 months after the last dose. The most common adverse event was erythema at the injection site in more than 70% of subjects.

In a second Phase I study, the interaction of mipomersen with simvastatin and ezetimibe was evaluated. This study confirmed that there are no clinically relevant interactions between these drugs in 10 healthy volunteers. In addition, it was shown that mipomersen does not inhibit the major cytochrome P450 isoform enzymes, which is consistent with the fact that antisense drugs are not metabolized through the cytochrome system.

Phase II studies

In a number of Phase II trials, mipomersen has been shown to result in prolonged, dose-dependent reduction of LDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, triglycerides, and lipoprotein(a), as shown in Table 2. In one study, 44 patients with heterozygous FH were enrolled and received doses ranging from 50 mg to 300 mg of mipomersen for up to 13 weeks. LDL cholesterol was reduced by 21% in the 200 mg/week group and 34% in the 300 mg/week group, with a reduction in apolipoprotein B of 23% and 33%, respectively. Given that all patients were on conventional lipid-lowering therapy (statins), this study shows that mipomersen has an incremental LDL cholesterol-lowering effect when added to conventional lipid-lowering therapy in FH patients.

Similarly, it was shown in a group of 74 patients with hypercholesterolemia receiving stable statin therapy that mipomersen induced significant changes from baseline in apolipoprotein B and LDL cholesterol at doses between 100 mg and 400 mg per week over 13 weeks, while 30 mg per week had no significant effect. After 13 weeks of dosing at 200 mg/week, mipomersen reduced apolipoprotein B and LDL cholesterol by 36% and triglycerides by 15%. HDL cholesterol did not change. Injection site reactions (erythema in 90%) and hepatic transaminase increases (17%) were the most common side effects, leading to discontinuation in three subjects.

The same authors also reported the effect of mipomersen in 50 subjects with mild to moderate hyperlipidemia, and showed that doses ranging from 50 mg/week to 400 mg/week resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in all apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins over a period of 13 weeks. In the 200 mg/week and 300 mg/week groups, mean reductions from baseline in LDL cholesterol were 45% and 61%, corresponding to a decrease in apolipoprotein B concentration of 46% and 61%, respectively. All subjects treated with mipomersen experienced injection site reactions and 18% showed transaminase elevations more than three times the upper limit of normal. To evaluate further the increase in liver function tests, intrahepatic triglyceride content was studied by model reference technology in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in 21 patients with FH receiving weekly mipomersen doses of 200 mg or placebo for 13 weeks while continuing statin therapy. Mipomersen reduced LDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein B by approximately 20%. For the whole group, there was a trend towards an increase in intrahepatic triglyceride content, and one patient developed mild hepatic steatosis, which was reversible following discontinuation of mipomersen.

Phase III studies

Five randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase III studies using 200 mg of mipomersen have been conducted in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. A total of 219 patients were enrolled, with 108 patients receiving mipomersen and 111 receiving placebo. The primary endpoint was change from baseline in LDL cholesterol at 13 weeks. The difference in mean change from baseline in LDL cholesterol between the groups was significant, with a reduction of 40 mg/dL in the active group compared to 0 mg/dL in the placebo group. The study also showed a significant reduction in apolipoprotein B, triglycerides, and lipoprotein(a) levels. No significant changes were observed in HDL cholesterol. Injection site reactions and transaminase elevations were more common in the active group, but were generally mild and reversible.

Table 2: Dose-dependent effect of mipomersen on LDL-C, apolipoprotein B, and lipoprotein(a) in Phase II studies of 5–13 weeks’ duration (% change compared with baseline)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Statins</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>30 mg/w</th>
<th>50 mg/w</th>
<th>100 mg/w</th>
<th>200 mg/w</th>
<th>300 mg/w</th>
<th>400 mg/w</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterozygous FH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6 w</td>
<td></td>
<td>−13 ± 15</td>
<td>−11 ± 10</td>
<td>−21 ± 23</td>
<td>−34 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−10 ± 12</td>
<td>−8 ± 11</td>
<td>−23 ± 19</td>
<td>−33 ± 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−3 ± 10</td>
<td>−15 ± 10</td>
<td>−17 ± 19</td>
<td>−24 ± 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5–13 w</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 ± 12</td>
<td>2 ± 10</td>
<td>−21 ± 10</td>
<td>−27 ± 21</td>
<td>−52 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 ± 12</td>
<td>0 ± 11</td>
<td>−19 ± 11</td>
<td>−24 ± 20</td>
<td>−54 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−3 ± 10</td>
<td>−15 ± 10</td>
<td>−17 ± 19</td>
<td>−24 ± 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild to moderate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13 w</td>
<td></td>
<td>−8 ± 15</td>
<td>−16 ± 10</td>
<td>−44 ± 20</td>
<td>−61 ± 24</td>
<td>−70 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyperlipidemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−17 ± 12</td>
<td>−22 ± 11</td>
<td>−45 ± 19</td>
<td>−61 ± 24</td>
<td>−71 ± 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ApoB, apolipoprotein B; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a); w, week.
In 50% of mipomersen-treated patients, LDL cholesterol similar changes in apolipoprotein B and lipoprotein(a). Week for 26 weeks reduced LDL cholesterol by 37%, with olemia and high cardiovascular risk, mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks reduced LDL cholesterol by 37%, with a similar decrease in apolipoprotein B (46.2%) and a decrease of lipoprotein(a) by 27.1%. Eighteen percent of the mipomersen-treated patients and 17% of the placebo-treated patients discontinued due to adverse events. As in statin-tolerant patients, injection site reactions and flu-like symptoms were the most common side effects. Liver function tests were above three times the upper limit of normal in 33% of mipomersen-treated patients.

Efficacy of mipomersen
Mipomersen results in a dose-dependent reduction of LDL cholesterol, lipoprotein(a), apolipoprotein B100, and triglycerides. At the dose chosen for further development (200 mg/week), LDL cholesterol reduction varies between 30% and 47% compared with placebo. Apolipoprotein B and lipoprotein(a) reduction is slightly less at 20%–35%. Triglyceride reduction is more variable and usually between 10% and 20%. Within each study, there is considerable variability in the reduction of LDL cholesterol, for example, in homozygous FH, LDL reduction varied between 2% and 82%. If reported, the treatment effect was independent of baseline LDL cholesterol values, age, race, or gender. The observed reduction in LDL cholesterol, lipoprotein(a), and apolipoprotein B seems to be independent of the underlying cause and independent of concomitant drug therapy.

Safety and tolerability
Mipomersen has been generally well tolerated and had an acceptable safety profile in the Phase II and Phase III studies. The most common adverse events were injection site reactions, flu-like symptoms, and increases in liver function tests, especially alanine aminotransferase.

Injection site reactions occur in most patients (75%–100%). These are dose-dependent and characterized by transient, mild to moderate erythema, occurring within 24 hours of drug injection. On subsequent injection, a flare-up of the previous injection site may occur. Injection site reactions and flu-like symptoms were again the most common side effect. Fourteen percent of mipomersen-treated patients had alanine aminotransferase elevations above three times the upper limit of normal.

Finally, mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks was evaluated in high-risk patients intolerant to statins. LDL cholesterol decreased by 47.3%, with a similar decrease in apolipoprotein B (46.2%) and a decrease of lipoprotein(a) by 27.1%. Eighteen percent of the mipomersen-treated patients and 17% of the placebo-treated patients discontinued due to adverse events. As in statin-tolerant patients, injection site reactions and flu-like symptoms were the most common side effects. Liver function tests were above three times the upper limit of normal in 33% of mipomersen-treated patients.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raal et al</td>
<td>Homozygous FH on stable low-fat diet and maximum lipid-lowering drugs n = 51</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled; mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcome: LDL-C reduction</td>
<td>Injection site reactions: Placebo 24%, Mipomersen 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome:</td>
<td>Secondary outcome: Change in apoB, total cholesterol, HDL-C, lipoprotein(a), triglycerides</td>
<td>Mipomersen 7%</td>
<td>Flu-like symptoms: Placebo 0%, Mipomersen 1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein et al</td>
<td>Heterozygous FH and cardiovascular disease on low-fat diet and stable lipid-lowering drugs n = 124</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled; mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcome: LDL-C reduction</td>
<td>Injection site reactions: Placebo 42%, Mipomersen 93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tardif et al</td>
<td>Severe heterozygous FH and high risk for atherosclerosis on maximally tolerated statin therapy n = 58</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled; mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcome: LDL-C reduction</td>
<td>Injection site reactions: Placebo 32%, Mipomersen 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromwell et al</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia and high risk for atherosclerotic disease on stable low-fat diet and maximally tolerated statin therapy n = 158</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled; mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcome: LDL-C reduction</td>
<td>Injection site reactions: Placebo 17%, Mipomersen 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visser et al</td>
<td>High-risk patients in tolerant to statins n = 33</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled; mipomersen 200 mg/week for 26 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcome: LDL-C reduction</td>
<td>Injection site reactions: Placebo 0%, Mipomersen 14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Phase III trials evaluating mipomersen.

**Abbreviations**: ApoB, apolipoprotein B; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a); TG, triglycerides; ULN, upper limit of normal.
underlying mechanism is unknown. Injection site reactions are not considered to be serious safety concerns but may affect patient compliance.

Flu-like symptoms were reported somewhat more often in the mipomersen groups than in the placebo groups. Elevated transaminases (alanine aminotransferase level more than three times the upper limit of normal) was observed in 6%–15% of mipomersen-treated patients (0% in the placebo groups). These elevations were not accompanied by other clinical or laboratory findings suggestive of hepatic dysfunction nor were there any cases of Hy’s law. Elevated alanine aminotransferase most likely reflects accumulation of hepatic fat secondary to inhibition of apolipoprotein B synthesis and therefore very-low-density lipoprotein secretion. Hepatic steatosis has been observed in some mipomersen-treated patients. Hepatic steatosis resolves and alanine aminotransferase elevations return to normal after discontinuation of treatment.

**Therapeutic potential of mipomersen**

The currently available studies indicate that mipomersen may decrease LDL cholesterol in homozygous and heterozygous FH patients by approximately 30%, independent of concomitant drug therapy. The effect of mipomersen in patients treated with apheresis is currently unknown, but there is little doubt about similar efficacy in such patients.

Thus, mipomersen could theoretically be used in all patients not at goal with respect to LDL cholesterol and at sufficient absolute risk to justify treatment with a drug for which no long-term data are currently available. Mipomersen may be of particular benefit to patients with homozygous FH or severe heterozygous FH, given that treatment options are very limited in such patients. It should also be noted that mipomersen, in contrast with other established approaches, such as statins, bile acid-binding resins, and ezetimibe, and in contrast with other newly developed approaches, such as PCSK9 inhibitors, does not rely on the LDL receptor to achieve LDL cholesterol reduction.

The following patient groups may be primary candidates for treatment with mipomersen:

- Patients with homozygous FH, many of whom do not respond to statin therapy and even if they do, usually do not reach treatment goals. In this patient group, a Phase III study has shown efficacy with respect to LDL, apolipoprotein B, and lipoprotein(a) reduction.
- Patients with severe heterozygous FH, who usually respond to statin therapy, with an LDL cholesterol reduction of up to 50%, but may not reach treatment goals either because baseline values are too high or because they do not tolerate high-dose statins. Mipomersen as an addon therapy to a statin (and potentially ezetimibe and bile-acid binding resins) may further reduce LDL cholesterol and result in a considerably larger fraction of patients reaching treatment goals. Such patients have been studied in a Phase III trial, but the results have only been published in abstract form so far.
- Patients with severe hypercholesterolemia and coronary heart disease not reaching treatment goals. Similar to patients with heterozygous FH, these patients usually respond to conventional drug therapy, but efficacy may be inadequate. Addon therapy with mipomersen may allow more patients to reach treatment goals.
- Patients at high risk for atherosclerosis intolerant to statin therapy, in whom mipomersen may be a treatment alternative allowing attainment of treatment goals.

Theoretically, all patients qualifying for regular apheresis should be candidates for mipomersen treatment. In fact, according to German guidelines, a hypothetical evaluation shows that approximately 50% of aphereses could be avoided if mipomersen was available on the German market and tolerated as well as apheresis. Obviously, mipomersen may also be an interesting addon therapy to apheresis, which may lead to lower pre-apheresis and post-apheresis values, and thus to better average values. In some patients, this may have the benefit of apheresis being required less frequently. However, before mipomersen can be used as concomitant therapy in apheresis-treated patients, a proper study must be performed in this patient group.

Although mipomersen has a significant ability to lower LDL, apolipoprotein B, and lipoprotein(a), and may thus allow more people to reach therapeutic goals, it also has significant side effects (injection site reactions, elevation of transaminases) which may affect compliance and must be taken into account in cost-benefit analysis. Until more long-term data are available, especially with respect to elevated liver enzymes, this form of therapy will be used primarily in patients with severe forms of hypercholesterolemia and at very high cardiovascular risk.

In summary, inhibition of apolipoprotein B production using mipomersen may be an interesting approach for patients with heterozygous and homozygous FH not at target with current forms of drug therapy. Mipomersen reduces LDL cholesterol by up to 45%, but leads to injection site reactions in almost all patients, and flu-like symptoms and elevated transaminases in some.
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