In vitro evaluation of anticancer nanomedicines based on doxorubicin and amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers
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Abstract: Four monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide), (mPEG-P(LA-co-GA))₂ copolymers were synthesized by ring-opening polymerization of L-lactide and glycolide with double hydroxyl functionalized mPEG (mPEG-(OH)₂) as macroinitiator and stannous octoate as catalyst. The copolymers self-assembled into nanoscale micellar/vesicular aggregations in phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. Doxorubicin (DOX), an anthracycline anticancer drug, was loaded into the micellar/vesicular nanoparticles, yielding micellar/vesicular nanomedicines. The in vitro release behaviors could be adjusted by content of hydrophobic polyester and pH of the release medium. In vitro cell experiments showed that the intracellular DOX release could be adjusted by content of P(LA-co-GA), and the nanomedicines displayed effective proliferation inhibition against Henrietta Lacks’s cells with different culture times. Hemolysis tests indicated that the copolymers were hemocompatible, and the presence of copolymers could reduce the hemolysis ratio of DOX significantly. These results suggested that the novel anticancer nanomedicines based on DOX and amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers were attractive candidates as tumor tissular and intracellular targeting drug delivery systems in vivo, with enhanced stability during circulation and accelerated drug release at the target sites.
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Introduction

Over the past decade, a new era in nanosized polymer-based pharmaceuticals has emerged, via interface of chemistry and biomedical science, to improve the efficacy of cancer chemotherapy.¹ ² Amphiphilic copolymers have been widely studied as economic and versatile nanoscale drug carriers, due to their typical diameter of several tens of nanometers and relatively narrow size distribution.³ ⁵ The unique compositions, molecular geometry, and physicochemical properties of the amphiphilic copolymers enable them to aggregate into nanoparticles with various morphologies in aqueous medium, such as micelles⁶ ⁹ and vesicles.¹⁰ ¹² The nanomedicines based on the above-mentioned polymeric micellar/vesicular nanoparticles can effectively resist rapid renal clearance and nonspecific uptake by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). In addition, the nanoparticles can deliver anticancer drugs and genetic agents into target pathological sites, due to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect in tumor vasculature, which improve the efficacy of chemotherapy and reduce the side effects of the loaded drug to healthy tissues.⁴ ¹³ ¹⁶

Previous studies on micellar/vesicular nanovehicles for anticancer drug delivery have focused mainly on the synthesis and self-assembly of linear amphiphilic
di/triblock copolymers. Traditional linear amphiphilic block copolymers have been able to self-assemble into stable nanoparticles as drug carriers in aqueous solution. However, their low drug-loading efficiency and uncontrollable degradation have limited their usage in drug delivery. As such, more attention has been paid to amphiphilic miktoarm star-shaped copolymers with two or more types of chemically different arms, as they might completely or partially overcome the problem of thermodynamic instability associated with micelles assembled from linear amphiphilic di/triblock copolymers.\textsuperscript{13,18–20} Due to their particular molecular architecture, star-shaped copolymers are expected to exhibit different properties compared with their linear counterparts. For instance, amphiphilic star-shaped copolymers are superior in host–guest interactions and drug delivery compared to conventional linear ones.\textsuperscript{21–25} Typically, several synthetic strategies, such as atom transfer or nitroxide-mediated radical polymerization (ATRP or NMP),\textsuperscript{26} reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT), ring-opening polymerization (ROP),\textsuperscript{27} and “click chemistry” reactions,\textsuperscript{28} have been successfully employed in the preparation of star-shaped copolymers with well-defined macromolecular building blocks and architecture. However, the undegradability of the resultant polymer has restricted the development of the ATRP, NMP, and RAFT methods; the biodegradable blocks can be introduced through ROP. Aliphatic polyesters, such as poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL), poly(L-lactide) (PLA), and poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA),\textsuperscript{29} are the most common hydrophobic moieties that act as bioactive molecule reservoirs, due to their excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability. For biomedical applications, however, the hydrophilic segments are composed of zwitterionic material or polyethylene glycol (PEG), which can resist nonspecific protein adsorption (ie, nonfouling properties) and prolong the circulation of nanoparticles in the complicated in vivo environment.

Herein, novel amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers, a common type of star-shaped copolymers, composed of P(LA-co-GA) and PEG, were designed and synthesized. In detail, a series of amphiphilic monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide)\textsubscript{(mPEG-P(LA-co-GA))} copolymers were synthesized by ROP of L-lactide and glycolide, with mPEG-(OH)\textsubscript{2}, as macoinitiator and stannous octoate (Sn(Oct))\textsubscript{2} as catalyst. The obtained copolymers formed into micellar/vesicular nanoparticles by altering the length of the hydrophobic P(LA-co-GA) block in phosphate buffer (PB) at pH 7.4. DOX, a model anticancer drug, could be loaded into the cores of nanoparticles, yielding micellar/vesicular nanomedicines. In vitro DOX release from the nanomedicines in PB was found to be accelerated by the decrease of polyester content or in tumor tissular and intracellular acidic conditions. The biocompatibilities and hemocompatibilities of the copolymers, and the cellular proliferation inhibition of the nanomedicines were also investigated. These properties indicated that the amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers are promising materials for designing and fabricating DOX-based nanomedicines for efficient cancer treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol) (mPEG\textsubscript{113}, $M_n = 5000$) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO) without further purification. Dowex 50W-X2 ion exchange resin was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used after a simple methanol rinse. Stannous octoate (Sn(Oct))\textsubscript{2} (>95%, Sigma-Aldrich), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (DMP; Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd), 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propionic acid (BHPA; Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) (GL; Biochem Co, Ltd) were used as obtained. Acetonide-2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propionic anhydride (ABHPA) was prepared from DMP and BHPA according to the procedure outlined in the literature.\textsuperscript{30} L-Lactide and glycolide were recrystallized with ethyl acetate before use. Doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX-HCl) was purchased from Zhejiang Hisun Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd and used as obtained. Toluene was stored over calcium hydride (CaH\textsubscript{2}) and purified by vacuum distillation. All the other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd and used without further purification.

**Synthesis of double hydroxyl functionalized mPEG (mPEG-(OH)\textsubscript{2})**

mPEG-(OH)\textsubscript{2} was synthesized by esterification of mPEG with ABHPA, using DMAP as a catalyst and the subsequent deprotection of acetonide groups, as depicted in Figure 1. Briefly, mPEG (10 g, 2 mmol), ABHPA (2.05 g, 6.1 mmol), and DMAP (0.23 g, 1.82 mmol) were dissolved in 60 mL of a methylene chloride (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2})/pyridine (9/1, v/v) mixed solvent and stirred overnight at 25°C. Then, 10 mL of methanol was added to the mixture and allowed to stir for 5 hours to quench the excess ABHPA. Finally, the product was precipitated in excessive diethyl ether. The obtained product was further washed, twice, with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 hours (yield: 97%).
was added dropwise to the solution, under gentle stirring. Subsequently, 1 mL of deionized water of tetrahydrofuran (THF), and then stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Subsequently, the resultant product was dissolved in 150 mL of methanol, and then 3.0 g of Dowex 50 W-X2 was added to the solution. After stirring for 5 hours at 55°C, the Dowex 50 W-X2 was filtered out, and the remaining filtrate was precipitated in diethyl ether. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to constant weight, at room temperature. The yield was approximately 98%. 

**Synthesis of amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers (mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$)**

Various mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ copolymers with different compositions (various LA-GA molar ratios) were easily prepared by the ROP of L-lactide and glycolide in the presence of mPEG-(OH)$_2$ as macroinitiator and Sn(Oct)$_2$ as catalyst (Figure 1). In brief, mPEG-(OH)$_2$ was dissolved in freshly distilled toluene and azeotropically distilled at 120°C to remove micro-amounts of water. Then, L-lactide, glycolide, Sn(Oct)$_2$, and additional toluene were added. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 120°C under nitrogen. The product was precipitated in diethyl ether. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to constant weight at room temperature (yield: 80–85%).

**Preparation of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ nanoparticles**

mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ (10.0 mg) was dissolved in 2 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF), and then stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Subsequently, 1 mL of deionized water was added dropwise to the solution, under gentle stirring. After stirring for 2 hours at room temperature, the THF was removed by dialysis against deionized water for 24 hours to obtain the solution of nanoparticles.

**Characterization**

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 NMR spectrometer in chloroform-$d_3$ (CDCl$_3$). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Bio-Rad Win-IR instrument using the potassium bromide (KBr) method. Number- and weight-average molecular weights ($M_n$ and $M_w$) and molecular weight distributions (polydispersity index, PDI) were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC), using a series of linear Styragel columns (HT$_x$ and HT$_w$) and a Waters 515 HPLC pump, with an OPTILAB DSP Interferometric Refractometer (Wyatt Technology) as detector. The eluent was chloroform at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min$^{-1}$ at 40°C. The monodispersed polystyrene standards with a molecular weight range from 1,310 to 55,100 were purchased from Waters Co, Ltd, and used to generate the calibration curve. Dynamic laser scattering (DLS) measurements were carried out on a WyattQELS instrument with a vertically polarized He-Ne laser (DAWN EOS, Wyatt Technology). The scattering angle was fixed at 90°. The morphologies of the mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ nanoparticles were confirmed by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurement on a JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 100 KV. A drop of the nanoparticle solution (0.5 g L$^{-1}$) was deposited onto a 230-mesh copper grid coated with carbon, and laid to dry in air at 25°C before measuring. The critical aggregation concentration (CAC) was determined by fluorescence spectroscopy, using pyrene as the fluorescence probe on a PTI Fluorescence Master System with Felix 4.1.0 software ($\lambda_{em}$ = 390 nm). The CAC was obtained from the intersection of the tangent to the horizontal line of
with relative constant values and the diagonal line, with rapidly increased \( I_{336.5}/I_{334} \) ratio.

**Fabrication of nanomedicine and in vitro DOX release**

The nanomedicines were prepared by the solvent-displacement (nanoprecipitation) method (Figure 2). Briefly, mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\) (50.0 mg), DOX·HCl (10.0 mg), and triethylamine (1.8 mg) were dissolved in 3.0 mL of THF and stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. Then, 1 mL of deionized water was added dropwise to this solution under stirring. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours, and then the solution was dialyzed against deionized water for 24 hours, using a dialysis bag (MWCO 3500 Da), to eliminate THF and excess DOX. The solution was filtered and lyophilized to obtain the nanomedicine. The drug-loading content (DLC) and drug-loading efficiency (DLE) of the nanomedicine were calculated by the following equations: DLC (wt %) = (amount of drug in nanomedicine/amount of nanomedicine) \( \times \) 100, DLE (wt %) = (amount of drug in nanomedicine/total amount of feeding drug) \( \times \) 100.

In vitro DOX release behaviors from the nanomedicines were investigated in PB at pH 5.3, 6.8, and 7.4. The weighed freeze-dried nanomedicine was suspended in 2 mL of PB and introduced into a dialysis bag (MWCO 3500 Da). The release experiment was initiated by placing the end-sealed dialysis bag into 50 mL of PB at 37°C, with continuous shaking, at 75 rpm. At given times, 2 mL of external release medium was taken out, and the volume withdrawn was replenished with an equal volume of fresh release medium. The amount of released drug was tested by UV-vis spectrophotometry at 480 nm, using the standard curve method.

**Intracellular DOX release**

The intracellular release behaviors of the nanomedicines were monitored via confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) against Henrietta Lack’s (HeLa) cells (a human cervical carcinoma cell line). The cells were seeded in 6-well culture plates at \( \sim \)200,000 cells in 2 mL of complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) per well and cultured for 24 hours. Then, the culture medium was removed and nanomedicines were added at a final DOX concentration of 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) (in 2 mL of complete DMEM medium). Free DOX was used as control. The cells were incubated with nanomedicines or free DOX for 2 hours. Afterwards, the culture media were removed and the cells were washed four times with PB and fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 30 minutes at room temperature, and the cell nuclei were dyed with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue). CLSM images of the cells were obtained with a confocal microscope (Olympus FluoView 1000).

**Cytotoxicity assay**

The cytotoxicities of the nanoparticles and nanomedicines were analyzed using methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) viability assays toward HeLa cells. The cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at a density of 7000 cells per well in 200 µL of DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, supplemented with 50 U mL\(^{-1}\) penicillin and 50 U mL\(^{-1}\) streptomycin, and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere for 24 hours. Then, the culture medium was removed and nanoparticles (0–10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) or nanomedicines (0–10 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOX) were added to 200 µL of complete DMEM medium. The cells were subjected to MTT assay after being incubated for three time intervals (24, 48, and 72 hours), at 37°C, in 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere. The absorbance of the medium was measured at 490 nm on a Bio-Rad 680 microplate reader. Cell viability was calculated based on the following equation: Cell viability (%) = \( (A_{\text{sample}}/A_{\text{control}}) \times 100, \) where \( A_{\text{sample}} \) and \( A_{\text{control}} \) are denoted as absorbencies of the sample and control wells, respectively.

**Hemolysis assay**

Hemolytic activities of the nanoparticles and nanomedicines were assessed, using spectrophotometry, by monitoring the release of hemoglobin from rabbit blood. Potassium oxalate-stabilized rabbit blood samples were freshly obtained from the
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**Figure 2** Schematic illustration of preparation of micellar/vesicular nanomedicines based on DOX and amphiphilic Y-shaped copolymers. 

**Abbreviations:** DOX, doxorubicin; mPEG, monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol); P(LA-co-GA), poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide); PB, phosphate buffer.
Statistical analysis

All the experiments were repeated at least three times. Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All results were analyzed with a two-tailed Student’s t-test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\)

The aliphatic polyesters can be directly synthesized by ROP of lactone monomers, using covalent metal alkoxides or carboxylates (e.g., aluminum isopropoxide and Sn(Oct)\(_2\)) as catalysts.\(^{31,32}\) In this work, four types of amphiphilic mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\) copolymers, with different contents of P(LA-co-GA), were synthesized by ROP of L-lactide and glycolide, with mPEG-(OH)\(_2\) as macronitiator and Sn(Oct)\(_2\), as catalyst. As shown in Figure 1 and Table 1, the polymerization was conducted in toluene at 120°C, with -OH/LA/GA monomer feed molar ratios of 1/7/10, 1/15/10, 1/30/10, and 1/60/20. The chemical structures of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\) were confirmed by \(^1\)H NMR and FT-IR spectra (Figure 3). The \(^1\)H NMR spectra verified the successful synthesis of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\), and all signals were well assigned, as shown in Figure 3A. The degrees of polymerization (DP, Table 1) of two monomers (LA and GA) in the resultant mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\) were calculated by comparing the integrated area of peak at (a) 5.17 ppm attributed to methylene proton of the LA group and (b) 4.83 ppm assigned to the methylene proton of the GA group, with the signal at (c) 3.65 ppm of methylene proton of mPEG. The molar compositions of the LA/GA monomers in the resultant copolymers were calculated to be 4/9, 12/9, 24/8, and 45/15. The result of FT-IR (Figure 3B) also demonstrated the generation of P(LA-co-GA) block, based on the increased strength of carbonyl absorption at 1759 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_{C=O}\)), attributed to the ester bond.

The successful synthesis of Y-shaped copolymers was further confirmed by the GPC analyses, as shown in Figure 4. The unimodal peaks with decreased retention time, corresponding to higher molecular weights, demonstrated the formation of Y-shaped copolymers. The PDI values of the copolymers were around 1.21–1.34. The relatively low molecular weight distribution may be explained by the living character of the ROP of the GA and LA monomers catalyzed by Sn(Oct)\(_2\). It should be noted that the \( M_n \) measured by GPC was relatively higher than that measured by \(^1\)H NMR, due to the structural difference between the resultant copolymers and the monodispersed polystyrene standards that were used to generate the calibration curve in GPC analyses.\(^{12,33}\)

Self-assembly behavior of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\)

Amphiphilic copolymers are an interesting type of materials that can self-assemble into various morphologies in selective solvents. In this study, Y-shaped mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)\(_2\),
copolymers were dissolved in THF, and the solution was mixed with water to induce microphase separation of the mPEG and P(LA-co-GA) blocks, followed by removing THF through dialysis. To demonstrate the formation of self-assembled aggregates, the widely reported pyrene-probe-based fluorescence technique was used. The fluorescence excitation spectra of pyrene in PB solution of mPEG-P(LA<sub>12</sub>-co-GA<sub>9</sub>) at different concentrations are shown in Figure 5A. It was obvious that fluorescence intensity increased as the copolymer concentration increased, due to the transfer of pyrene into a hydrophobic environment. A red shift of (0, 0) absorption band from 334.5 to 336 nm was observed when the copolymer concentration was increased from 6.10 × 10<sup>-5</sup> to 1.25 × 10<sup>-1</sup> g L<sup>-1</sup>. This red shift resulted from the transfer of pyrene molecules from a water environment to the hydrophobic core, and thus provided information on the location of the pyrene probe in the system, in fact, indicating the formation of aggregations. The critical
aggregation concentration (CAC) was obtained from the plot of the fluorescence intensity ratio of $I_{136.5}/I_{334}$ versus $\log c$ of Y-shaped copolymers. The CAC values of copolymers decreased from $(6.53 \pm 0.1) \times 10^2$ to $(1.52 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3$ g L$^{-1}$, with an increase in length of P(LA-co-GA) (Table 2). This was because the increase in hydrophobic P(LA-co-GA) length enhanced the probability of polyester backbone winding and interaction among the polyester chains.

As shown in Figure 2, the obtained amphiphilic mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ copolymers self-assembled into micellar or vesicular nanoparticles in PB at pH 7.4, depending on the length of hydrophobic P(LA-co-GA) moiety. The typical TEM micrographs of mPEG-P(LA$_{12}$-co-GA$_{6}$)$_2$ micelles and mPEG-P(LA$_{24}$-co-GA$_{8}$)$_2$ vesicles in PB at pH 7.4, 25°C, are shown in Figure 6A and C, respectively. The morphologies and homogeneous sizes of the nanoparticles were observed by TEM. In addition, nanoparticle sizes were quantitatively determined by DLS in PB at pH 7.4, 25°C, and they are shown in Figure 6B and D, as well as Table 2. The hydrodynamic radii ($R_h$) values of the nanoparticles decreased from $88 \pm 2.5$ to $32 \pm 3.2$ nm as the length of P(LA-co-GA) increased, except for mPEG$_{113}$-b-P(LA$_{24}$-co-GA$_{12}$)$_2$, with an abnormal $R_h$ of $144 \pm 1.4$ nm, attributed to its vesicular morphology.

**In vitro DOX loading and release**

DOX, an anthracycline anticancer drug, is one of the most common chemotherapeutic agents, known to have serious side effects, such as myelosuppression and cardiotoxicity. Formulation of DOX with nanocarriers through physical loading or chemical attachments into micellar/vesicular nanoparticles has been reported to improve the compatibility of DOX to normal tissues and enhance its therapeutic efficacy. In this study, DOX was chosen as a model anticancer drug and loaded into micellar and vesicular nanoparticles through a nanoprecipitation technique. Comparison of the drug-loading abilities of the nanoparticles indicated that higher DLC and DLE were attributed to a longer hydrophobic P(LA-co-GA) block (Table 2). The values of DLC and DLE increased from $6.96 \pm 0.2$ to $10.34 \pm 0.2$ wt % and from $41.76 \pm 0.6$ to $62.04 \pm 0.4$ wt %, respectively, as the length of the P(LA-co-GA) segment increased.

First, the DOX release behaviors of three micellar nanomedicines were studied in PB at pH 5.3, 6.8, and 7.4, 37°C, for 336 hours; the results are shown in Figure 7A. The copolymer composition was found to be correlated to the drug release kinetics at all test pH levels, and the release rate decreased with the increase of P(LA-co-GA) content, which led to more compact nanomedicine core, thus decreasing the DOX release rate. Moreover, the in vitro DOX release behaviors of all the micellar/vesicular nanomedicines were pH-dependent. The release rate order was pH 5.3 > pH 6.8 > pH 7.4 (Figure 7), which corresponded to the pHs of late endosomes, tumor tissue, and the circulation system, respectively. The accelerated DOX release at acidic pH can be attributed to the acid hydrolysis of ester bonds and the improvement of DOX solubility. In addition, it needs to be pointed out that all the nanomedicines displayed a relatively severe burst release in the current work, due to the weak interaction between P(LA-co-GA) and DOX. Fortunately, the burst drug release from the nanomedicines may be overcome by conjugating the drug molecules into nanoparticles through an acid-labile bond.

It was more important to reveal DOX release from nanomedicines in living cells. The cellular uptake and intracellular release behaviors of the nanomedicines toward the HeLa cells were evaluated by CLSM to determine whether the DOX released effectively in the intracellular environment. The HeLa cells were incubated with micellar and vesicular nanomedicines, as well as free DOX (10 mg L$^{-1}$ DOX), for 2 hours. As shown in Figure 8, the strength of the intracellular DOX fluorescence in the HeLa cells, observed after 2 hours incubation, was nearly the same in the nanomedicines and free DOX. Moreover, the strength of the intracellular DOX fluorescence decreased as the P(LA-co-GA) content increased, which was concordant with extracellular release behavior. It has been reported that the incorporation of DOX into the hydrophobic cores of nanoparticles decreases the DOX fluorescence intensity compared with free DOX at the same concentration, due to

**Table 2 Properties of mPEG-P(LA-co-GA)$_2$ nanoparticles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copolymers</th>
<th>CAC (10$^{-5}$ g L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R_h$ (nm)</th>
<th>DLC (wt %)</th>
<th>DLE (wt %)</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (10$^{-5}$ g L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{113}$-b-P(LA$</em>{12}$-co-GA$_{6}$)$_2$</td>
<td>65.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>88 ± 2.5</td>
<td>6.96 ± 0.2</td>
<td>41.76 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{113}$-b-P(LA$</em>{24}$-co-GA$_{8}$)$_2$</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.2</td>
<td>78 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.3</td>
<td>44.10 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.13 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{113}$-b-P(LA$</em>{24}$-co-GA$_{12}$)$_2$</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.1</td>
<td>144 ± 1.4</td>
<td>8.50 ± 0.2</td>
<td>51.00 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.81 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{113}$-b-P(LA$</em>{24}$-co-GA$_{32}$)$_2$</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32 ± 3.2</td>
<td>10.34 ± 0.2</td>
<td>62.04 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.08 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: mPEG, monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol); P(LA-co-GA), poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide); CAC, critical aggregation concentration; $R_h$, hydrodynamic radius; DLC, drug loading content; DLE, drug loading efficiency; IC$_{50}$, half maximal inhibitory concentration.
the faster internalization of free DOX and the self-quenching effect of DOX in nanoparticles.8,35,39 Therefore, the enhanced fluorescence intensity in the HeLa cells incubated with micellar and vesicular nanomedicines should be the result of high endocytosis efficiency and improved intracellular DOX release from the nanomedicines.

In vitro cytotoxicities of nanoparticles and nanomedicines

MTT assays were used to estimate the in vitro cytotoxicities of nanoparticles and nanomedicines toward HeLa cells. As shown in Figure 9, the polyester nanoparticles showed no noticeable cytotoxicities up to 100 mg L⁻¹, indicating their good biocompatibilities and displaying their potential as
drug delivery vehicles. Furthermore, the cellular proliferation inhibitions of nanomedicines against HeLa cells were estimated after incubation for 24, 48, and 72 hours, using free DOX as control. As shown in Figure 10, the nanomedicines displayed an effective inhibitory effect on the proliferation of HeLa cells for all the test times. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) values are listed in Table 2. The IC$_{50}$ values of free DOX were 0.94, 0.48, and 0.44 mg L$^{-1}$ at 24, 48, and 72 hours, respectively, which were lower than those of the nanomedicines with equivalent DOX. The somewhat lower toxicities of the nanomedicines compared to free DOX were probably due to the gradual release of DOX within the cells. The ability not only to minimize drug loss in blood circulation, but also to selectively accumulate in tumor tissue through EPR effects, may enhance its overall therapeutic efficacy in vivo relative to free DOX. In addition, with the prolongation of drug treatment time from 24 to 72 hours, the IC$_{50}$ values of the nanomedicines decreased from $\sim 3$ to $\sim 1.8$ mg L$^{-1}$, quantificationally confirming that the nanomedicines were more effective after lengthy treatment.

Characterization of in vitro hemocompatibilities of nanoparticles and nanomedicines was important, as they were designed to be administered via intravenous injection for most drug delivery applications. The blood compatibilities of the micellar/vesicular nanoparticles and nanomedicines were assessed by hemolysis assay on rabbit RBCs. The HR represents the amount of RBC membrane destroyed by substances in contact with RBCs, as smaller HR values represent better blood compatibility of biomaterials. RBCs were co-incubated with nanoparticles, nanomedicines, and free DOX at different concentrations for 1 hour, and then the HR values were determined by spectrophotometer (Figure 11). As shown in Figure 11A, none of the nanoparticles showed conspicuous hemolytic activities on RBC, even at the very...
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