Peptides complementary to the active loop of porin P2 from *Haemophilus influenzae* modulate its activity
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Abstract: *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) is one of the leading causes of invasive bacterial infection in young children. It is characterized by inflammation that is mainly mediated by cytokines and chemokines. One of the most abundant components of the Hib outer membrane is the P2 porin, which has been shown to induce the release of several inflammatory cytokines. A synthetic peptide corresponding to loop L7 of the porin activates JNK and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. We report a novel use of the complementary peptide approach to design a peptide that is able to bind selectively to the protein P2, thereby reducing its activity. This work provides insights into essential molecular details of P2 that may affect the pathogenesis of Hib infections where interruption of the signaling cascade could represent an attractive therapeutic strategy.
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Introduction

Peptide–peptide interactions play a fundamental role in cellular processes.¹,² De novo designed peptide ligands aimed at particular binding sites on target proteins can lead to a better understanding of this kind of molecular interaction¹ and allow the discovery of novel therapeutics. An effective way to design functional peptide ligands is the antisense peptide approach.

Antisense peptides, defined as peptides coded by antisense (negative) strands of DNA, are able to interact specifically with the sense peptide in a way that is comparable to the specific interaction between the sense and complementary strands of DNA. Several sense and antisense peptide pairs have been reported to bind to each other,⁴ which might be correlated to the fact that the antisense peptide is the hydrophobic complement of the native sequence.⁵ There have been numerous reports of the successful identification of peptide–peptide interactions based on these principles.⁶–¹⁴ However, the mechanism of interactions is still debated under two main hypotheses. In the molecular recognition theory (MRT), the sense and complementary strands of DNA must always code for peptide sequences that are opposite in hydropathic profile to each other, and therefore have mutually complementary shapes, so they should be able to interact specifically.⁵,¹⁵ In the Mekler-Idlis (M-I) pair theory,¹² each amino acid in a sense peptide interacts with its corresponding codon-directed amino acid residue in an antisense peptide in a specific and pair-wise way. Owing to the degeneracy of genetic codes, each given amino acid residue is coupled to more than one amino acid residue. Accordingly, there is more than one antisense peptide corresponding to a defined sense peptide, which is called the degeneracy of antisense peptide. Both theories
provide new insight into the design and selection of antisense peptides with a strong affinity for sense peptides.16

The treatment of bacterial infections with antibiotics is one of the key concepts of human medicine. However, the effectiveness of antibiotics has become limited owing to an increase in bacterial antibiotic resistance, which presents a global health problem with a strong social and economic impact. Thus, there is an urgent need for the development of antibiotics with a novel mechanism of action. Bacterial pathogenicity is largely dependent on its surface structures. Among the components of the bacterial outer membrane, outer membrane proteins (OMPs), such as the porins, play a fundamental role in pathogenicity and in protection.17

*Haemophilus influenzae*, a Gram-negative bacterium belonging to Pasteurellaceae, is responsible for a variety of infections in humans and animals, which range from local respiratory infections to serious invasive diseases. Thus, an understanding of the structure-function relationships of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) components may provide opportunities to develop novel antibacterial agents.

Bacterial surfaces are important when considering the interaction with host cells and tissues in the context of pathogenesis and immunity. The identification of surface components may highlight domains that are likely to be involved directly in the interaction with the host and may be critical for reducing the number of targets for the design of potential vaccines. A key component of the Gram-negative bacterial outer membrane, porins play an important role in pathogenesis of bacterial infections, and stimulate immunological responses inducing the release of several cytokines.18,19 Structural analyses have revealed that bacterial porins exist as homotrimers of intimately associated subunits. The folding pattern of each monomer is constituted by 16 or 18 anti-parallel β-strands crossing the outer membrane and loops that connect the β-strands on either side of the membrane. The whole structure is an anti-parallel β-barrel with eight large loops of variable length on the external surface of the bacterial membrane and eight short periplasmic turns.20 This tight conformation, inserted into the outer membrane, forms a compact molecule with cellsurface-exposed domains involved in various activities.20

The importance of surface-exposed loops of porins has been the focus of recent studies.21 Some of the surface-exposed loops are involved in the recognition of ligands, including small molecule nutrients, agents such as bacteriophages or colicins, and probably eukaryotic target cells for bacterial pathogens.

Porin P2 of Hib,22 one of the best-characterized porins in terms of its functional characteristics, is the most abundant OMP in nontypeable *H. influenzae* (NTHi) and in Hib. Its molecular mass varies between 36 and 42 kDa, and it is present in all strains and functions as a porin. P2 contains 16 anti-parallel β strands across the outer membrane, eight large loops of variable length on the external surface of the bacterial membrane, and eight short periplasmic turns (Figure 1A). All transmembrane regions are relatively conserved among strains, while considerable heterogeneity exists in loop regions.21 The β-barrel spans the entire outer membrane, forming a trimer with the three-barrel axes almost mutually parallel and perpendicular to the membrane. With regard to other porins, one large loop (loop L3), folding back into the channel, determines effective pore cross section and consequent molecular exclusion limit, as well as its physiological and conductivity properties.21

Recently, it has been demonstrated that porin P2 from Hib induces activation of signaling pathways in U937 cells through the MEK1-MEK2/MAPK cascade.21,23,24 Peptide sequences corresponding to variable loop regions facing the cell exterior are able to activate this cascade and provide mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway activation similar to that of the entire porin. In particular, we have reported that a synthetic peptide (L7) corresponding to loop 7 of protein P2 is mainly responsible for the activation of MEK1/MEK2/MAPK signaling pathways. We also investigated the role of synthetic peptide L7 of porin P2 in an experimental model in the initial phase of systemic inflammation and coagulation responses in vivo. We found that L7 significantly induces pathophysiological changes on both hemodynamic and coagulation parameters, and observed a modification of the circulating markers of endothelial injury during Gram-negative bacterial sepsis.25

Agents that interfere with ligand binding and/or the formation of the higher order complexes would clearly have therapeutic potential and/or be useful biological tools. In this context, biological active peptides might be developed as specific antagonist of loop L7 and serve as useful tools in the drug discovery process. In this study, we designed peptides complementary to loop L7, which may be used to block the activity of the porin and may provide new opportunities to the design of novel agents that could be added to the existing therapeutic options in order to obtain complete coverage. This strategy may represent a novel approach for the design of antibacterial drugs that could be used against a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria (Figure 1B).
**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines**

U937 monocytes (ATCC CRL-1593.2) were grown and differentiated as previously described.26

**Bacteria and growth conditions**

*H. influenzae* type b (Hib), (ATCC 9795), and *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium (strain SH5014) were grown in CY medium and nutrient broth (Difco), respectively, for 18–24 hours at 37°C. Cells were harvested at the end of the exponential growth phase.

**Preparation of Hib and Salmonella OmpC Porins**

Hib and OmpC porins were isolated and purified from bacterial cells as previously reported.27,28 The protein content of the porin preparation was determined by the method of Lowry et al29 and checked by SDS-PAGE according to Laemmli.30 All possible
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*Figure 1* Three dimensional model of the P2 monomer from Hib (panel A) showing the target of our study, loop L7; signal transduction pathways analyzed in this study and their inhibition with complementary peptides (panel B); Kyte-Doolittle hydropathy plots of L7 and complementary peptides (panel C).
traces of LPS (lipopolysaccharides) were revealed on SDS-PAGE stained with silver nitrate as described by Tsai and Frasch and by the Limulus-amoeboocyte-lysate assay (Limulus test) according to Yin et al. Using the Limulus test, LPS contamination in the porin preparation was estimated to be about 0.001% w/w. The pore-forming ability of our preparation was checked by a functional assay (liposome swelling assay) after the incorporation into proteoliposome according to Nikaido and Rosenberg.

**Peptide synthesis**

Peptides (Table 1) were synthesized using the standard solid-phase 9-fluorenymethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) method and purified as reported previously. All purified peptides were obtained with good yields (70%-80%) and a purity >95%. A scrambled peptide, with the same composition, but a different sequence from L7, was also synthesized as a control to state the sequence-dependency of the results.

Nitrobenzoxadiazolo (NBD) labelling was performed on resin-bound peptides as previously reported by Rapaport and Shai. The identity of the NBD-peptides was confirmed by LC/MS. All the peptides were detoxified before being tested on cells. Detoxification was performed by using Detoxi Gel<sup>TM</sup> Affinity Pak columns supplied by Pierce (Rockford, IL).

**Analysis of kinase phosphorylation**

U937 cells (3 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL) were stimulated by different concentrations of stimuli for different periods. In some experiments, the complementary peptides C1, C2, C3, and C4 were preincubated for 60 minutes at 37°C with Hib porin or loop L7 and then used for U937-stimulation.

After incubation, the cells were prepared as previously reported and used for enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) Western blot analysis. Immunoprecipitation was carried out with the appropriate antibodies: (1) anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204) E10 monoclonal antibodies (American Diagnostica), which detects doubly phosphorylated ERK1 and ERK2 and are produced by immunizing mice with a synthetic phospho-Thr202 and phospho-Tyr204 peptide corresponding to residues around Thr202 and Tyr204 of human p44 MAPK; (2) anti-phospho-MEK1/2 antibody (anti-p-MEK1/2; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), which detects MEK1/2 only when it is activated by phosphorylation at Ser217 and Ser221 and does not cross-react with other related family members; (3) anti-phospho-p38 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, Santa Cruz, CA), which is a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against a peptide corresponding to a short amino acid sequence phosphorylated on Thr183 and Tyr185 of JNK of human origin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc). Blots were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in Tris-buffered saline (TBS [150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5]) containing 1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich SRL, Milan, Italy) plus 1% blotting grade blocker non-fat milk (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Membranes were subsequently washed twice with TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TTBS) before incubation for 1 hour at room temperature with anti-phosphokinase antibodies (as described earlier) diluted in TBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). After six washings with TTBS for 3 minutes, polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes were incubated at room temperature for 2 hours with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) horseradish peroxidase-linked (HRP) secondary antibodies diluted 1:3,000.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for cytokines**

All assays were carried out using 3 x 10<sup>6</sup>/mL U937 cells stimulated with different concentrations of stimuli for 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> (time points and concentrations have been established in preliminary experiments and optimized for maximum release of cytokines). The complementary peptides C1, C2, C3, and C4 were preincubated for 60 minutes at 37°C with Hib or loop L7 and then used for U937 stimulation. The samples were centrifuged at 1800 rpm at 4°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatants were collected and stored at −80°C. TNF-α and IL-6 release were measured by AviBion Human ELISA kits from Organium Laboratories (Vantaa, Finland), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The assays employ an antibody specific for human TNF-α.
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**Table 1 Peptide sequences**


**Scrambled**


**Abbreviation:** RNA, ribonucleic acid.
or IL-6 coated on a 96-well plate. Standards, samples, and biotinylated anti-human TNF-α or IL-6 were pipetted into the wells, and TNF-α or IL-6 present in the samples were captured by the antibodies immobilized to the wells and by the biotinylated specific detection antibodies. All analyses were performed at least four times for each individual cell-stimulation assay.

**AP-1 and NF-κB activation analysis**

To detect and quantify AP-1 and NF-κB activation in U937 cells, we used ELISA-based Trans-Am® transcription factor kits (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA). The active forms of AP-1 c-Fos and c-Jun or NF-κB p50 and p65 subunits in whole-cell extracts can be detected using Abs specific for epitopes that are accessible only when the nuclear factors are activated and bound to their target DNA. U937 cells (10 × 10^6/mL) were stimulated with the optimal amount of Hib porin or loop L7 for 1 hour at 37°C in 5% CO₂ as determined in the pilot assays. The complementary peptides C1, C2, C3, and C4 were preincubated for 60 minutes at 37°C with Hib porin or loop L7 and then used for U937 stimulation.

Preparation of cell extract was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Ten micrograms of proteins, collected after cell stimulation, was added to the wells. After a 1 hour incubation period at room temperature, the wells were washed three times with the washing buffer included in the kit; 100 µL of the provided anti-c-Fos and anti-c-Jun or anti-p65 and anti-p50 antibodies was added at a 1:1000 dilution. The plate was incubated at room temperature for 1 hour and the wells were washed three times. HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG was added at a 1:1000 dilution and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were washed four times, and developing solution was added, followed by the stop solution. The amount of AP-1 or NF-κB activation was measured at 450 nm in an HTS 700 BioAssay reader (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT). The specificity of the assays was checked by measuring the ability of soluble wildtype or mutated AP-1 or NF-κB oligonucleotides to inhibit binding. In preliminary assays, the Trans-Am® kits showed a good correlation with an alternative complementary peptide (Table 1). A complementary peptide is coded for by a solution containing porin P2 or porin OMPC (3.5 × 10⁻⁴ M), with a molar ratio P2/peptide of 1/40. The peptide concentration of complementary peptides was chosen according to the minimal concentration of the NBD group that we were able to detect by UV in these experimental conditions. The binding mixture was held for 1 hour at 37°C and then was loaded on a PD-10 column containing Sephadex G-25 medium. The collected fractions were analyzed by UV spectrometry and the presence of the NBD moiety was determined.

**Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) assay**

The LDH assay was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions by using a cytotoxicity detection kit (Roche Diagnostic SpA, Milan, Italy).

**Reproducibility**

Gels were scanned for densitometry analysis by Sigma Gel software (GeoMem Limited, Dundee, UK) and the results shown are an average of three different experiments. The results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments.

**Results**

**Peptide design**

Double helical DNA is made of two antiparallel 2’-deoxy-polynucleotide chains. It has been thought that the sense strand contains the coding information for proteins and peptides, whereas the antisense (complementary) strand propagates the information. Coding information may be obtained from the complementary strand as well; peptides coded for by sense and complementary strands of DNA are actually able to interact specifically similarly to the two strands of DNA. A complementary peptide is coded for by the nucleotide sequence 5 ’→3’ (Mekler-Idlis direction) of the complementary strand of DNA (or, more precisely, by codons in complementary mRNA whose sequence contains the same coding information as the complementary strand of DNA). The codons in complementary mRNA may also be read continuously in the 3’→5’ (Root–Bernstein direction) to give an alternative complementary peptide (Table 1). Our approach has been to exploit this concept of complementary peptides in order to design peptides able to block the activity of the porin P2 from Hib. Therefore, we designed peptides complementary to one of the surface exposed loops (loop L7), which was involved in the interaction with the host cell. We thought that complementary peptides could interact specifically with the porin and reduce its biological activity. Three antisense peptides (C1–C3) were designed based on the principle of complementary base pairs and the degeneracy of the principle of complementary base pairs and the degeneracy of the principle of complementary base pairs and the degeneracy of
genetic codes (Table 1), using L7 as target sense peptide. The sense mRNA sequence of L7 was obtained and the sequence of 3’→5’ complementary M-I peptide was deduced from the corresponding complementary mRNA sequence (Table 1, peptide C1). Using the Root–Bernstein theory, we also designed two 3’→5’ complementary peptides of L7: C2 and C3. The original complementary mRNA-derived sequences of C2 and C3 were complicated by the appearance of a stop codon at the N-terminus. We substituted the stop codons with the other two complementary amino acids of threonine (tryptophan and cysteine). In particular, in C3, a tryptophan was substituted for the appearance of the stop signal, while in C2 a glycine was used as a substitute for cysteine to avoid any added complication from the thiol group oxidation as well racemization during synthesis.

As a control for the application of the sense and complementary peptide theory, we designed peptide C4 simply considering the characteristics of single residues, substituting the charged residues with analog residues with opposite charge and hydrophilic or hydrophobic residues with residues bearing similar features.

In the past, much research has reported on the fact that sense and complementary peptides are mutually complementary with respect to their hydropathic profiles (according to the Kyte–Doolittle scale) and are, therefore, able to interact specifically on account of their mutually complementary shapes (secondary and tertiary structures). Figure 1C shows a hydropathic plot of L7 and each of the complementary peptides. In this plot, the complementary peptides C1, C2, and C3 showed evident complementarities with L7 in hydropathic scores; while the peptide C4 that was used as control showed a hydropathic profile similar to L7, which was as expected because the only differences concerned the charges of polar residues.

**MAPK pathway signaling activation by loop L7 and complementary peptides**

Having previously demonstrated that loop L7 was the most active among the peptides corresponding to external loops of porin P2 at inducing MEK1-MEK2/MAPK pathways (in particular JNK and p38), we now compared activating phosphorylation capabilities of complementary peptides by individual tests on U937 cells (Figure 2).

U937 cells were treated with stimuli as described in the previous section. The optimal concentrations and time points of the stimulations were selected by experiments performed previously on porin P2 and peptide L7 and analogs. Peptide concentrations of 0.01 nmol/mL, 0.05 nmol/mL, 0.13 nmol/mL, 5.0 nmol/mL, 12 nmol/mL and 26 nmol/mL were assayed. Regarding the entire protein, signals from active peptides were visible 3 minutes after treatment, with a phosphorylation peak at 10 minutes persisting for at least 20 minutes thereafter and going back to standard levels by 60 minutes (data not shown). A standard concentration of 0.13 nmol/mL and stimulation times of 10 minutes were chosen for subsequent experiments. The peptide concentrations used were not toxic for cells, and treatments did not induce any significant release of LDH in cell supernatants (data not shown).

All complementary peptides were almost unable to activate efficiently phosphorylation of MEK1/2 and ERK1/2, p38 and JNK (Figure 2A and B). When P2 porin or the peptide L7 were pre-incubated with complementary molecules,
we did not observe significant activation, which indicated that the interaction of complementary peptides with the porin significantly reduces its ability to induce the activation of signaling pathways (Figure 2). We observed a significant reduction when P2 porin was treated with complementary peptides.

**Release of TNF-α and IL-6 in U937 cells**

Peptide L7, at a concentration of 130 nmol/mL, induced significant TNF-α and IL-6 cytokine responses compared to the entire P2 protein, as previously reported.\(^{23}\) We showed only the treatment with peptide at a concentration of 130 nmol/mL, which gave the highest increases in the release of both cytokines (Figure 3A and B). All four complementary peptides were unable to induce significantly the release of TNF-α (Figure 3A) and IL-6 (Figure 3B), but they were able to drastically reduce the cytokine release induced by porin P2 or loop L7. In fact, the preincubation of complementary peptides with P2 porin or loop L7 before cell stimulation strongly influenced the release of cytokines by porin P2 and loop L7. All complementary peptides induced a significant reduction of the cytokines release caused by porin as well as L7. The amount of released cytokines TNF-α and IL-6 by P2 was reduced by approximately 80% in the presence of complementary peptides. The amount of cytokines released by peptide L7 was lower than that released by porin P2, and when the treatment was performed with L7 and complementary peptides, we observed a reduction in L7 cytokines release of approximately 60%. The concentrations of peptides used, as well as the duration of the treatment, were not toxic for U937 cells.

![Graphs A and B showing TNF-α and IL-6 release](image)

**Figure 3** TNF-α (panel A) and IL-6 (panel B) release induced by loop L7 complementary peptides. U937 cells (3 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were stimulated with Hb porin (13 nmol/mL) or peptides (130 nmol/mL) for 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂; in some assays, the complementary peptides C1, C2, C3 and C4 were preincubated for 60 minutes at 37°C with Hb porin or loop L7 and then used for U937-stimulation. **Notes:** The results shown are the average of three independent experiments; the error bars indicate the standard errors of the means.
the cells. In fact, the treatment did not induce any significant release of LDH in the cell supernatants (data not shown).

**Activation of AP-1 and NF-κB in U937 cells**

The MAPK cascade activates transcription factors, such as activating protein 1 (AP-1) and nuclear factor κB (NF-κB). It is well documented that LPS induces NF-κB activation in monocytes and regulates cytokine expression. It has been recently demonstrated that porins also induce the activation of AP-1 and NF-κB in U937 cells.

In order to selectively analyze the regulation of loop L7 complementary peptides-induced AP-1 and NF-κB activation, an ELISA based Trans-Am technology from nuclear lysates of U937 cells stimulated by P2 porin, loop L7, or complementary peptides was performed. These transcription factors are presumed to be involved in the expression of proinflammatory cytokine genes. Therefore, in order to demonstrate AP-1 and NF-κB activation, we investigated the induction of AP-1 c-Fos/c-Jun subunits and NF-κB p50/p65 subunits in whole-cell extracts using Abs specific for epitopes that are accessible only when the nuclear factors are phosphorylated and bound to their target DNA. Following the treatment of U937 cells with our stimuli, AP-1 and NF-κB binding significantly increased by 30 minutes, was maintained at the same level for 60 minutes, and returned to background levels by 120 minutes (data not shown). In particular, we found that P2 porin and loop L7 were able to activate significantly both AP-1 (Figure 4) and NF-κB (Figure 5).

When we tested the complementary peptides with porin P2 or with peptide loop L7, we observed a significant decrease in the production of AP-1 and NF-κB (Figures 4 and 5).

**Gel filtration**

Complex formation between P2 and complementary peptides was measured following the shift of the NBD moiety covalently linked to the N-terminal side of complementary peptides (Figure 6, panels B–E). The UV chromatographic profile at 210 nm detects the presence of both the peptide and the porin, whereas the profile at 465 nm is determined only by the presence of the NBD group linked to complementary peptides. In particular, we observed a specific binding for all the complementary peptides demonstrated by the elution of the peptides together with the porin P2 at lower retention times. The peptide C4 showed the lowest ability to bind to porin P2; while C1 and C2 showed the highest ability as demonstrated by the higher elution peak of the peptide together with porin P2 at lower retention times (Figure 6, panels A–E). As a control of specificity, we used the porin OMP-C from *Salmonella typhymurium* (Figure 6, panels G–M), and we could not detect the formation of any significant complex. In order to determine whether the interaction
was specific, we also used the peptide L7 as a control. We did not detect the formation of any complex in that case as shown by the absence of absorbance at 465 nm in the fraction at low retention times where the porin is eluted (Figure 6, panels F and N).

**Discussion**

Porins possess a variety of proinflammatory and immunological activities, as shown for *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, and *Haemophilus influenza*. Previous reports have demonstrated that porin-stimulated monocytic cell lines are activated with an evident phosphorylation of many cellular proteins. Some proteins were identified as MAPK and as nuclear transcription factors NF-κB, AP-1 and STAT-1/STAT-3. Among the different surface bacterial components, Hib porin is involved in the pathogenesis of bacterial meningitis.

The damage due to Gram-negative bacteria is a disorder thought to be caused by the excessive stimulation of
mononuclear cells by active surface components of bacterial cells. Among those components, LPS has been studied most frequently, and several molecules have been analyzed for their antagonist activity against LPS. No research has attempted to find antagonists to porins. Loop 7 of porin P2 of Hib has been shown to stimulate the MAPKs pathway, similarly to porin P2, and thus play a key role in inflammation. We report a novel use of the complementary peptide approach to devise a peptide molecule able to bind selectively to the porin P2 of Hib, thereby inhibiting the activation of the MAPKs pathway. We aimed to show that the hydrophatic complementarity in itself might be sufficient to develop an antagonist peptide to surface exposed loops, which could inhibit sequences that are involved in the host-pathogen interaction. Complementary peptides could be useful for drug discovery and therefore represent a conceptually attractive approach. There are some examples reported in the literature, which suggest that interactions between sense and antisense peptides could play an important role in the molecular interactions involved in the recognition between proteins. In addition to H-bonds, peptides provide various non-covalent interactions, which are strongly dependent on the peptide sequence; thus, the recognition is specific and selective. The successful applications of this principle for designing sequence-directed recognition peptides indicate that hydrophatic complementarity plays an important role in peptide interactions.

We employed the degeneracy of the antisense peptide approach to design complementary peptides to one of the surface exposed loops of porin P2 from Haemophilus influenzae. We showed that a biologically active peptide can be obtained from a small loop structure. In summary, the complementary peptides described were shown to bind specifically to porin P2 and to inhibit the MEK1/2 pathway and cytokine production. Complementary peptides could form the basis of a novel therapeutic approach against Gram-negative bacteria. The specificity of the mechanism was demonstrated by the failure of any other peptide tested to yield similar results. The approach of complementary peptides has been successfully used only for a few targets and is complicated by the possibility of peptides to interact non-specifically with the target protein. Our experiments support the use of this strategy for our target because in vivo porins are embedded in the outer membrane of the bacteria and only surface loops are available for the interaction. We thus proved that amphiphilic sequences complementary to surface exposed loops may represent a valuable strategy for reducing the inflammation caused by Gram-negative porins. Their non-covalent mode of interaction with the active loops of the porin P2 involve both hydrophobic and polar interactions.

Conclusion
The results of this study demonstrate the utility of an algorithm-based approach for the identification of independent biologically active peptides for a target that has clear therapeutic potential for bacterial infections. Agents that interfere with ligand binding and/or the formation of the higher order complexes might have therapeutic potential and/or be useful biological tools. In this context, biologically active peptides might be developed as specific antagonist of loop L7. In this study, we designed amphiphilic peptides complementary to loop L7, which may be used to block porin activity and may provide new opportunities for the design of novel agents that could be added to existing therapeutic strategies. Moreover, this study may represent a novel approach for the design of antibacterial molecules against other Gram-negative bacteria. Finally, this approach may be of interest in understanding the specific intermolecular interactions between pathogenic bacteria and their eukaryotic hosts, which underlie life-threatening diseases, such as septic shock.
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